# SOME PRECISE ESTIMATES OF THE HYPER ORDER OF SOLUTIONS OF SOME COMPLEX LINEAR DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

#### BENHARRAT BELAIDI

Department of Mathematics

Laboratory of Pure and Applied Mathematics

University of Mostaganem B. P 227 Mostaganem-(Algeria). EMail: belaidi@univ-mosta.dz

Received: 05 March, 2007

Accepted: 30 November, 2007

Communicated by: D. Stefanescu

2000 AMS Sub. Class.: 34M10, 30D35.

Key words: Linear differential equations, Entire solutions, Hyper order.



Solutions of Complex Linear Differential Equations

Benharrat Belaidi

vol. 8, iss. 4, art. 107, 2007

Title Page

Contents

**44 >>** 

**4** ▶

Page 1 of 30

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

journal of inequalities in pure and applied mathematics

issn: 1443-5756

Abstract:

Let  $\rho(f)$  and  $\rho_2(f)$  denote respectively the order and the hyper order of an entire function f. In this paper, we obtain some precise estimates of the hyper order of solutions of the following higher order linear differential equations

$$f^{(k)} + \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} A_j(z) e^{P_j(z)} f^{(j)} = 0$$

and

$$f^{(k)} + \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \left( A_j(z) e^{P_j(z)} + B_j(z) \right) f^{(j)} = 0$$

where  $k \geq 2$ ,  $P_j(z)$   $(j=0,\ldots,k-1)$  are nonconstant polynomials such that  $\deg P_j = n$   $(j=0,\ldots,k-1)$  and  $A_j(z)$   $(\not\equiv 0)$ ,  $B_j(z)$   $(\not\equiv 0)$   $(j=0,\ldots,k-1)$  are entire functions with  $\rho(A_j) < n$ ,  $\rho(B_j) < n$   $(j=0,\ldots,k-1)$ . Under some conditions, we prove that every solution  $f(z)\not\equiv 0$  of the above equations is of infinite order and  $\rho_2(f)=n$ .

Acknowledgements:

The author would like to thank the referee for his/her helpful remarks and suggestions to improve the paper.



Solutions of Complex Linear

Differential Equations

Benharrat Belaidi

vol. 8, iss. 4, art. 107, 2007

Title Page

Contents









Go Back

Full Screen

Close

journal of inequalities in pure and applied mathematics

issn: 1443-5756

#### **Contents**

l	<b>Introduction and Statement of Results</b>	4
2	Lemmas Required to Prove Theorem 1.2 and Theorem 1.3	8
3	<b>Proof of Theorem 1.2</b>	11
ļ	Proof of Theorem 1.3	15
5	Lemmas Required to Prove Theorem 1.4	18
5	Proof of Theorem 1.4	22



Solutions of Complex Linear

Differential Equations

Benharrat Belaidi

vol. 8, iss. 4, art. 107, 2007

Title Page

journal of inequalities in pure and applied mathematics

issn: 1443-5756

#### 1. Introduction and Statement of Results

Throughout this paper, we assume that the reader is familiar with the fundamental results and the standard notations of Nevanlinna's value distribution theory and with basic Wiman-Valiron theory as well (see [6], [7], [9], [10]). Let f be a meromorphic function, one defines

$$m\left(r,f\right) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \log^{+} \left| f\left(re^{it}\right) \right| dt,$$

$$N\left(r,f\right) = \int_{0}^{r} \frac{\left(n\left(t,f\right) - n\left(0,f\right)\right)}{t} dt + n\left(0,f\right) \log r,$$

and  $T\left(r,f\right)=m\left(r,f\right)+N\left(r,f\right)\left(r>0\right)$  is the Nevanlinna characteristic function of f, where  $\log^+x=\max\left(0,\log x\right)$  for  $x\geq 0$  and  $n\left(t,f\right)$  is the number of poles of  $f\left(z\right)$  lying in  $|z|\leq t$ , counted according to their multiplicity. In addition, we will use  $\rho\left(f\right)=\varlimsup_{r\to+\infty}\frac{\log T(r,f)}{\log r}$  to denote the order of growth of a meromorphic function  $f\left(z\right)$ . See [6, 9] for notations and definitions.

To express the rate of growth of entire solutions of infinite order, we recall the following concept.

**Definition 1.1 (see [3, 11]).** Let f be an entire function. Then the hyper order  $\rho_2(f)$  of f(z) is defined by

(1.1) 
$$\rho_2(f) = \overline{\lim}_{r \to +\infty} \frac{\log \log T(r, f)}{\log r} = \overline{\lim}_{r \to +\infty} \frac{\log \log \log M(r, f)}{\log r},$$

where  $M(r, f) = \max_{|z|=r} |f(z)|$ .

Several authors have studied the second order linear differential equation

(1.2) 
$$f'' + A_1(z) e^{P_1(z)} f' + A_0(z) e^{P_0(z)} f = 0,$$



Solutions of Complex Linear

Differential Equations

Benharrat Belaidi

vol. 8, iss. 4, art. 107, 2007

Title Page

Contents



•

**>>** 

Page 4 of 30 Go Back

Full Screen

Close

journal of inequalities in pure and applied mathematics

issn: 1443-5756

where  $P_1\left(z\right)$ ,  $P_0\left(z\right)$  are nonconstant polynomials,  $A_1\left(z\right)$ ,  $A_0\left(z\right)$   $(\not\equiv 0)$  are entire functions such that  $\rho\left(A_1\right) < \deg P_1\left(z\right)$ ,  $\rho\left(A_0\right) < \deg P_0\left(z\right)$ . Gundersen showed in [4, p. 419] that if  $\deg P_1\left(z\right) \neq \deg P_0\left(z\right)$ , then every nonconstant solution of (1.2) is of infinite order. If  $\deg P_1\left(z\right) = \deg P_0\left(z\right)$ , then (1.2) may have nonconstant solutions of finite order. For instance  $f\left(z\right) = e^z + 1$  satisfies  $f'' + e^z f' - e^z f = 0$ .

In [3], Kwon has investigated the order and the hyper order of solutions of equation (1.2) in the case when  $\deg P_1(z) = \deg P_0(z)$  and has obtained the following result.

**Theorem A ([3]).** Let  $P_1(z)$  and  $P_0(z)$  be nonconstant polynomials, such that

$$(1.3) P_1(z) = a_n z^n + a_{n-1} z^{n-1} + \dots + a_1 z + a_0,$$

$$(1.4) P_0(z) = b_n z^n + b_{n-1} z^{n-1} + \dots + b_1 z + b_0,$$

where  $a_i$ ,  $b_i$  (i = 0, 1, ..., n) are complex numbers,  $a_n \neq 0$ ,  $b_n \neq 0$ . Let  $A_1(z)$  and  $A_0(z) (\not\equiv 0)$  be entire functions with  $\rho(A_j) < n \ (j = 0, 1)$ . Then the following four statements hold:

- (i) If either  $\arg a_n \neq \arg b_n$  or  $a_n = cb_n$  (0 < c < 1), then every nonconstant solution f of (1.2) has infinite order with  $\rho_2(f) \geq n$ .
- (ii) Let  $a_n = b_n$  and  $\deg(P_1 P_0) = m \ge 1$ , and let the orders of  $A_1(z)$  and  $A_0(z)$  be less than m. Then every nonconstant solution f of (1.2) has infinite order with  $\rho_2(f) \ge m$ .
- (iii) Let  $a_n = cb_n$  with c > 1 and  $\deg(P_1 cP_0) = m \ge 1$ . Suppose that  $\rho(A_1) < m$  and  $A_0(z)$  is an entire function with  $0 < \rho(A_0) < 1/2$ . Then every nonconstant solution f of (1.2) has infinite order with  $\rho_2(f) \ge \rho(A_0)$ .
- (iv) Let  $a_n = cb_n$  with  $c \ge 1$  and let  $P_1(z) cP_0(z)$  be a constant. Suppose that  $\rho(A_1) < \rho(A_0) < 1/2$ . Then every nonconstant solution f of (1.2) has infinite order with  $\rho_2(f) > \rho(A_0)$ .



#### Solutions of Complex Linear Differential Equations

Benharrat Belaidi

vol. 8, iss. 4, art. 107, 2007

Go Back

Full Screen
Close

### journal of inequalities in pure and applied mathematics

issn: 1443-5756

In [1], Chen improved the results of Theorem A(i), Theorem A(iii) for the linear differential equation (1.2) as follows:

**Theorem B** ([1]). Let  $P_1(z) = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i z^i$  and  $P_0(z) = \sum_{i=0}^n b_i z^i$  be nonconstant polynomials where  $a_i$ ,  $b_i$  (i = 0, 1, ..., n) are complex numbers,  $a_n \neq 0$ ,  $b_n \neq 0$ , and let  $A_1(z)$ ,  $A_0(z)$   $(\not\equiv 0)$  be entire functions. Suppose that either (i) or (ii) below, holds:

(i) 
$$\arg a_n \neq \arg b_n \text{ or } a_n = cb_n \ (0 < c < 1), \ \rho(A_j) < n \ (j = 0, 1);$$

(ii) 
$$a_n = cb_n (c > 1)$$
 and  $\deg (P_1 - cP_0) = m \ge 1$ ,  $\rho(A_j) < m (j = 0, 1)$ .

Then every solution  $f(z) \not\equiv 0$  of (1.2) satisfies  $\rho_2(f) = n$ .

Recently, Chen and Shon obtained the following result:

**Theorem C** ([2]). Let  $h_0 \not\equiv 0, h_1, \ldots, h_{k-1}$  be entire functions with  $\rho(h_j) < 1$   $(j = 0, \ldots, k-1)$ . Let  $a_0 \not\equiv 0, a_1, \ldots, a_{k-1}$  be complex numbers such that for  $j = 1, \ldots, k-1$ ,

(i) 
$$a_j = 0, or$$

(ii) 
$$\arg a_j = \arg a_0 \text{ and } a_j = c_j a_0 \ (0 < c_j < 1), \text{ or }$$

(iii) 
$$\arg a_j \neq \arg a_0$$
.

Then every solution  $f(z) \not\equiv 0$  of the linear differential equation

(1.5) 
$$f^{(k)} + h_{k-1}(z) e^{a_{k-1}z} f^{(k-1)} + \dots + h_1(z) e^{a_1z} f' + h_0(z) e^{a_0z} f = 0$$
satisfies  $\rho(f) = \infty$  and  $\rho_2(f) = 1$ .

The main purpose of this paper is to extend and improve the results of Theorem B and Theorem C to some higher order linear differential equations. In fact we will prove the following results.



Solutions of Complex Linear Differential Equations

Benharrat Belaidi

vol. 8, iss. 4, art. 107, 2007

Title Page

Contents





Page 6 of 30

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

journal of inequalities in pure and applied mathematics

issn: 1443-5756

**Theorem 1.2.** Let  $P_j(z) = \sum_{i=0}^n a_{i,j} z^i$   $(j=0,\ldots,k-1)$  be nonconstant polynomials where  $a_{0,j},\ldots,a_{n,j}$   $(j=0,1,\ldots,k-1)$  are complex numbers such that  $a_{n,j}a_{n,0} \neq 0$   $(j=1,\ldots,k-1)$ , and let  $A_j(z)$   $(\not\equiv 0)$   $(j=0,\ldots,k-1)$  be entire functions. Suppose that  $\arg a_{n,j} \neq \arg a_{n,0}$  or  $a_{n,j} = c_j a_{n,0}$   $(0 < c_j < 1)$   $(j=1,\ldots,k-1)$  and  $\rho(A_j) < n$   $(j=0,\ldots,k-1)$ . Then every solution  $f(z) \not\equiv 0$  of the equation

(1.6) 
$$f^{(k)} + A_{k-1}(z) e^{P_{k-1}(z)} f^{(k-1)} + \dots + A_1(z) e^{P_1(z)} f' + A_0(z) e^{P_0(z)} f = 0,$$
  
where  $k \ge 2$ , is of infinite order and  $\rho_2(f) = n$ .

**Theorem 1.3.** Let  $P_j(z) = \sum_{i=0}^n a_{i,j} z^i$   $(j=0,\ldots,k-1)$  be nonconstant polynomials where  $a_{0,j},\ldots,a_{n,j}$   $(j=0,1,\ldots,k-1)$  are complex numbers such that  $a_{n,j}a_{n,0} \neq 0$   $(j=1,\ldots,k-1)$ , and let  $A_j(z) \not\equiv 0$   $(j=0,\ldots,k-1)$  be entire functions. Suppose that  $\arg a_{n,j} \neq \arg a_{n,0}$  or  $a_{n,j} = c_j a_{n,0}$   $(0 < c_j < 1)$   $(j=1,\ldots,k-1)$  and  $\rho(A_j) < n$ ,  $\rho(B_j) < n$   $(j=0,\ldots,k-1)$ . Then every solution  $f(z) \not\equiv 0$  of the differential equation

$$(1.7) f^{(k)} + (A_{k-1}(z)e^{P_{k-1}(z)} + B_{k-1}(z)) f^{(k-1)} + \cdots + (A_1(z)e^{P_1(z)} + B_1(z)) f' + (A_0(z)e^{P_0(z)} + B_0(z)) f = 0,$$

where  $k \geq 2$ , is of infinite order and  $\rho_2(f) = n$ .

**Theorem 1.4.** Let  $P_j(z) = \sum_{i=0}^n a_{i,j} z^i$   $(j=0,\ldots,k-1)$  be nonconstant polynomials where  $a_{0,j},\ldots,a_{n,j}$   $(j=0,1,\ldots,k-1)$  are complex numbers such that  $a_{n,j}a_{n,0} \neq 0$   $(j=1,\ldots,k-1)$ , and let  $A_j(z) (\not\equiv 0)$   $(j=0,\ldots,k-1)$  be entire functions. Suppose that  $a_{n,j} = ca_{n,0}$  (c>1) and  $\deg(P_j - cP_0) = m \geq 1$   $(j=1,\ldots,k-1)$ ,  $\rho(A_j) < m$   $(j=0,\ldots,k-1)$ . Then every solution  $f(z) \not\equiv 0$  of the equation (1.6) is of infinite order and  $\rho_2(f) = n$ .



Solutions of Complex Linear Differential Equations

Benharrat Belaidi

vol. 8, iss. 4, art. 107, 2007

Title Page

Contents





Page 7 of 30 Go Back

Full Screen

Close

journal of inequalities in pure and applied mathematics

issn: 1443-5756

#### 2. Lemmas Required to Prove Theorem 1.2 and Theorem 1.3

We need the following lemmas in the proofs of Theorem 1.2 and Theorem 1.3.

**Lemma 2.1** ([5]). Let f(z) be a transcendental meromorphic function, and let  $\alpha > 1$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$  be given constants. Then the following two statements hold:

(i) There exists a constant A > 0 and a set  $E_1 \subset [0, \infty)$  having finite linear measure such that for all z satisfying  $|z| = r \notin E_1$ , we have

(2.1) 
$$\left| \frac{f^{(j)}(z)}{f(z)} \right| \le A \left[ T(\alpha r, f) r^{\varepsilon} \log T(\alpha r, f) \right]^{j} \qquad (j \in \mathbb{N}).$$

(ii) There exists a constant B > 0 and a set  $E_2 \subset [0, 2\pi)$  that has linear measure zero, such that if  $\theta \in [0, 2\pi) \setminus E_2$ , then there is a constant  $R_1 = R_1(\theta) > 1$  such that for all z satisfying  $\arg z = \theta$  and  $|z| = r \ge R_1$ , we have

$$(2.2) \qquad \left| \frac{f^{(j)}(z)}{f(z)} \right| \le B \left[ \frac{T(\alpha r, f)}{r} \left( \log^{\alpha} r \right) \log T(\alpha r, f) \right]^{j} \qquad (j \in \mathbb{N}).$$

**Lemma 2.2 ([2]).** Let  $P(z) = a_n z^n + \cdots + a_0$ ,  $(a_n = \alpha + i\beta \neq 0)$  be a polynomial with degree  $n \geq 1$  and  $A(z) \not\equiv 0$  be an entire function with  $\sigma(A) < n$ . Set  $f(z) = A(z) e^{P(z)}$ ,  $z = re^{i\theta}$ ,  $\delta(P, \theta) = \alpha \cos n\theta - \beta \sin n\theta$ . Then for any given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a set  $E_3 \subset [0, 2\pi)$  that has linear measure zero, such that for any  $\theta \in [0, 2\pi) \setminus (E_3 \cup E_4)$ , where  $E_4 = \{\theta \in [0, 2\pi) : \delta(P, \theta) = 0\}$  is a finite set, there is  $R_2 > 0$  such that for  $|z| = r > R_2$ , the following statements hold:

(i) if 
$$\delta(P, \theta) > 0$$
, then

$$(2.3) \qquad \exp\left\{ \left(1 - \varepsilon\right) \delta\left(P, \theta\right) r^{n} \right\} \leq |f\left(z\right)| \leq \exp\left\{ \left(1 + \varepsilon\right) \delta\left(P, \theta\right) r^{n} \right\},$$



#### Solutions of Complex Linear Differential Equations

Benharrat Belaidi

vol. 8, iss. 4, art. 107, 2007

Title Page

Contents





Page 8 of 30

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

### journal of inequalities in pure and applied mathematics

issn: 1443-5756

(ii) if 
$$\delta(P, \theta) < 0$$
, then

$$(2.4) \qquad \exp\left\{ (1+\varepsilon)\,\delta\left(P,\theta\right)r^{n}\right\} \le |f\left(z\right)| \le \exp\left\{ (1-\varepsilon)\,\delta\left(P,\theta\right)r^{n}\right\}.$$

**Lemma 2.3 ([2]).** Let  $A_0(z), \ldots, A_{k-1}(z)$  be entire functions of finite order. If f is a solution of the equation

$$(2.5) f^{(k)} + A_{k-1}(z) f^{(k-1)} + \dots + A_1(z) f' + A_0(z) f = 0,$$

then

$$\rho_2(f) \leq \max \left\{ \rho(A_0), \dots, \rho(A_{k-1}) \right\}.$$

**Lemma 2.4.** Let  $P(z) = b_m z^m + b_{m-1} z^{m-1} + \cdots + b_1 z + b_0$  with  $b_m \neq 0$  be a polynomial. Then for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $R_3 > 0$  such that for all  $|z| = r > R_3$  the inequalities

$$(2.6) (1-\varepsilon) |b_m| r^m \le |P(z)| \le (1+\varepsilon) |b_m| r^m$$

hold.

Proof. Clearly,

$$|P(z)| = |a_m||z|^m \left| 1 + \frac{a_{m-1}}{a_m} \frac{1}{z} + \dots + \frac{a_0}{a_m} \frac{1}{z^m} \right|.$$

Denote

$$R_m(z) = \frac{a_{m-1}}{a_m} \frac{1}{z} + \dots + \frac{a_0}{a_m} \frac{1}{z^m}.$$



Solutions of Complex Linear

**Differential Equations** 

Benharrat Belaidi

vol. 8, iss. 4, art. 107, 2007

Title Page

Contents



4



Page 9 of 30

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

journal of inequalities in pure and applied mathematics

issn: 1443-5756

Obviously,  $|R_m(z)| < \varepsilon$ , if  $|z| > R_3$  for some  $\varepsilon > 0$ . This means that

$$(1 - \varepsilon) |a_{m}| r^{m} \leq (1 - |R_{m}(z)|) |a_{m}| r^{m}$$

$$\leq |1 + R_{m}(z)| |a_{m}| r^{m}$$

$$= |P(z)|$$

$$\leq (1 + |R_{m}(z)|) |a_{m}| r^{m}$$

$$\leq (1 + \varepsilon) |a_{m}| r^{m}.$$



Solutions of Complex Linear Differential Equations

Benharrat Belaidi

vol. 8, iss. 4, art. 107, 2007

Title Page

Contents

Page 10 of 30

Go Back

Full Screen

journal of inequalities in pure and applied mathematics

Close

issn: 1443-5756

#### 3. Proof of Theorem 1.2

Assume  $f(z) \not\equiv 0$  is a transcendental entire solution of (1.6). By Lemma 2.1 (ii), there exists a set  $E_2 \subset [0,2\pi)$  with linear measure zero, such that if  $\theta \in [0,2\pi) \setminus E_2$ , there is a constant  $R_1 = R_1(\theta) > 1$  such that for all z satisfying  $\arg z = \theta$  and  $|z| \geq R_1$ , we have

(3.1) 
$$\left| \frac{f^{(j)}(z)}{f(z)} \right| \le B \left[ T(2r, f) \right]^{k+1} \qquad (j = 1, \dots, k), \ (B > 0).$$

Let  $P_0\left(z\right)=a_{n,0}z^n+\cdots+a_{0,0}$   $\left(a_{n,0}=\alpha+i\beta\neq0\right),\ \delta\left(P_0,\theta\right)=\alpha\cos n\theta-\beta\sin n\theta.$  Suppose first that  $\arg a_{n,j}\neq\arg a_{n,0}\ (j=1,\ldots,k-1)$ . By Lemma 2.2, for any given  $\varepsilon\left(0<\varepsilon<1\right)$ , there is a set  $E_3$  that has linear measure, and a ray  $\arg z=\theta\in[0,2\pi)\setminus(E_3\cup E_4),$  where  $E_4=\{\theta\in[0,2\pi)\colon\delta\left(P_0,\theta\right)=0\ \text{or}\ \delta\left(P_j,\theta\right)=0\ (j=1,\ldots,k-1)\}\ (E_4$  is a finite set), such that  $\delta\left(P_0,\theta\right)>0,\ \delta\left(P_j,\theta\right)<0\ (j=1,\ldots,k-1)$  and for sufficiently large |z|=r, we have

$$(3.2) \left| A_0(z) e^{P_0(z)} \right| \ge \exp\left\{ (1 - \varepsilon) \delta\left(P_0, \theta\right) r^n \right\}$$

and

$$(3.3) \quad |A_j(z) e^{P_j(z)}| \le \exp\{(1-\varepsilon) \,\delta(P_j,\theta) \,r^n\} < 1 \qquad (j=1,\ldots,k-1) \,.$$

It follows from (1.6) that

$$(3.4) \quad |A_{0}(z) e^{P_{0}(z)}| \leq \left| \frac{f^{(k)}(z)}{f(z)} \right| + |A_{k-1}(z) e^{P_{k-1}(z)}| \left| \frac{f^{(k-1)}(z)}{f(z)} \right| + \dots + |A_{1}(z) e^{P_{1}(z)}| \left| \frac{f'(z)}{f(z)} \right|.$$



Solutions of Complex Linear

Differential Equations

Benharrat Belaidi

vol. 8, iss. 4, art. 107, 2007

Title Page

Contents







Go Back

Full Screen

Close

journal of inequalities in pure and applied mathematics

issn: 1443-5756

Now, take a ray  $\theta \in [0, 2\pi) \setminus (E_2 \cup E_3 \cup E_4)$ . Hence by (3.1) - (3.3) and (3.4), we get for sufficiently large |z| = r

$$(3.5) \qquad \exp\left\{\left(1-\varepsilon\right)\delta\left(P_{0},\theta\right)r^{n}\right\}$$

$$\leq \left(1+\sum_{j=1}^{k-1}\exp\left\{\left(1-\varepsilon\right)\delta\left(P_{j},\theta\right)r^{n}\right\}\right)B\left[T\left(2r,f\right)\right]^{k+1}$$

$$\leq kB\left[T\left(2r,f\right)\right]^{k+1}.$$

By  $0 < \varepsilon < 1$  and (3.5) we get that  $\rho(f) = +\infty$  and

(3.6) 
$$\rho_2(f) = \overline{\lim_{r \to +\infty}} \frac{\log \log T(r, f)}{\log r} \ge n.$$

By Lemma 2.3, we have  $\rho_2(f) = n$ .

Suppose now  $a_{n,j}=c_ja_{n,0}$   $(0< c_j<1)$   $(j=1,\ldots,k-1)$ . Then  $\delta\left(P_j,\theta\right)=c_j\delta\left(P_0,\theta\right)$   $(j=1,\ldots,k-1)$ . Put  $c=\max\{c_j:j=1,\ldots,k-1\}$ . Then 0< c<1. Using the same reasoning as above, for any given  $\varepsilon\left(0<2\varepsilon<\frac{1-c}{1+c}\right)$  there exists a ray  $\arg z=\theta\in[0,2\pi)\setminus(E_5\cup E_6)$ , where  $E_5$  and  $E_6$  are defined as in Lemma 2.2,  $E_5\cup E_6$  is of linear measure zero, satisfying  $\delta\left(P_j,\theta\right)=c_j\delta\left(P_0,\theta\right)>0$   $(j=1,\ldots,k-1)$  and for sufficiently large |z|=r, we have

(3.7) 
$$|A_0(z)e^{P_0(z)}| \ge \exp\{(1-\varepsilon)\delta(P_0,\theta)r^n\}$$

and

(3.8) 
$$|A_{j}(z) e^{P_{j}(z)}| \leq \exp \{(1+\varepsilon) \delta(P_{j}, \theta) r^{n} \}$$

$$\leq \exp \{(1+\varepsilon) c \delta(P_{0}, \theta) r^{n} \} (j = 1, \dots, k-1).$$



Solutions of Complex Linear Differential Equations

Benharrat Belaidi

vol. 8, iss. 4, art. 107, 2007

Title Page

Contents



**←** 

Page 12 of 30

Go Back Full Screen

Close

journal of inequalities in pure and applied mathematics

issn: 1443-5756

Now, take a ray  $\theta \in [0, 2\pi) \setminus (E_2 \cup E_5 \cup E_6)$ . By substituting (3.1), (3.7) and (3.8) into (3.4), we get for sufficiently large |z| = r,

(3.9) 
$$\exp \{ (1 - \varepsilon) \, \delta (P_0, \theta) \, r^n \}$$

$$\leq (1 + (k - 1) \exp \{ (1 + \varepsilon) \, c \delta (P_0, \theta) \, r^n \}) \, B \left[ T (2r, f) \right]^{k+1}$$

$$\leq k B \exp \{ (1 + \varepsilon) \, c \delta (P_0, \theta) \, r^n \} \left[ T (2r, f) \right]^{k+1} .$$

By  $0 < 2\varepsilon < \frac{1-c}{1+c}$  and (3.9) we have

$$(3.10) \qquad \exp\left\{\frac{(1-c)}{2}\delta\left(P_0,\theta\right)r^n\right\} \le kB\left[T\left(2r,f\right)\right]^{k+1}.$$

Thus, (3.10) implies  $\rho(f) = +\infty$  and

(3.11) 
$$\rho_2(f) = \overline{\lim}_{r \to +\infty} \frac{\log \log T(r, f)}{\log r} \ge n.$$

By Lemma 2.3, we have  $\rho_2(f) = n$ .

Now we prove that equation (1.6) cannot have a nonzero polynomial solution. Suppose first that  $\arg a_{n,j} \neq \arg a_{n,0} \ (j=1,\dots,k-1)$ . Assume  $f(z) \not\equiv 0$  is a polynomial solution of (1.6). By Lemma 2.2, for any given  $\varepsilon \ (0<\varepsilon<1)$  there exists a ray  $\arg z=\theta\in [0,2\pi)\setminus (E_3\cup E_4)$  satisfying  $\delta \ (P_0,\theta)>0, \ \delta \ (P_j,\theta)<0$   $(j=1,\dots,k-1)$  and for sufficiently large |z|=r, inequalities (3.2), (3.3) hold. By (1.6) we can write

$$(3.12) \ A_0(z) e^{P_0(z)} f = -\left(f^{(k)} + A_{k-1}(z) e^{P_{k-1}(z)} f^{(k-1)} + \dots + A_1(z) e^{P_1(z)} f'\right).$$



Solutions of Complex Linear

Differential Equations

Benharrat Belaidi

vol. 8, iss. 4, art. 107, 2007

Title Page

Contents



Page 13 of 30

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

journal of inequalities in pure and applied mathematics

issn: 1443-5756

By using (3.2), (3.3), (3.12) and Lemma 2.4 we obtain for sufficiently large |z|=r

$$(3.13) (1-\varepsilon) |b_{m}| r^{m} \exp \{(1-\varepsilon) \delta(P_{0},\theta) r^{n}\}$$

$$\leq |A_{0}(z) e^{P_{0}(z)} f|$$

$$= |f^{(k)} + A_{k-1}(z) e^{P_{k-1}(z)} f^{(k-1)} + \dots + A_{1}(z) e^{P_{1}(z)} f'|$$

$$\leq k (1+\varepsilon) m |b_{m}| r^{m-1},$$

where  $f(z) = b_m z^m + b_{m-1} z^{m-1} + \dots + b_1 z + b_0$  with  $b_m \neq 0$ . From (3.13) we get for sufficiently large |z| = r

(3.14) 
$$\exp\left\{ \left(1 - \varepsilon\right) \delta\left(P_0, \theta\right) r^n \right\} \le k \frac{1 + \varepsilon}{1 - \varepsilon} m \frac{1}{r}.$$

This is absurd since  $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ . By using similar reasoning as above we can prove that if  $a_{n,j} = c_j a_{n,0}$   $(0 < c_j < 1)$ , then equation (1.6) cannot have nonzero polynomial solution. Hence every solution  $f(z) \not\equiv 0$  of (1.6) is of infinite order and  $\rho_2(f) = n$ .



### Solutions of Complex Linear Differential Equations

Benharrat Belaidi

vol. 8, iss. 4, art. 107, 2007

Title Page

Contents

Page 14 of 30

Full Screen

Go Back

Close

### journal of inequalities in pure and applied mathematics

issn: 1443-5756

#### 4. Proof of Theorem 1.3

Assume  $f(z) \not\equiv 0$  is a solution of (1.7). By using similar reasoning as in the proof of Theorem 1.2, it follows that f(z) must be a transcendental entire solution. Suppose first that  $\arg a_{n,j} \not\equiv \arg a_{n,0} \ (j=1,\ldots,k-1)$ . By Lemma 2.2, for any given  $\varepsilon$   $(0 < 2\varepsilon < \min\{1,n-\alpha\})$ , where  $\alpha = \max\{\rho(B_j): j=0,\ldots,k-1\}$ , there exists a ray  $\arg z = \theta$  such that  $\theta \in [0,2\pi) \setminus (E_3 \cup E_4)$ , where  $E_3$  and  $E_4$  are defined as in Lemma 2.2,  $E_3 \cup E_4$  is of linear measure zero, and  $\delta(P_0,\theta) > 0$ ,  $\delta(P_j,\theta) < 0$   $(j=1,\ldots,k-1)$  and for sufficiently large |z|=r, we have

$$(4.1) |A_0(z)e^{P_0(z)} + B_0(z)| \ge (1 - o(1)) \exp\{(1 - \varepsilon)\delta(P_0, \theta)r^n\}$$

and

$$(4.2) |A_{j}(z) e^{P_{j}(z)} + B_{j}(z)| \leq \exp\left\{ (1 - \varepsilon) \delta\left(P_{j}, \theta\right) r^{n} \right\} + \exp\left\{ r^{\rho(B_{j}) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}} \right\}$$

$$\leq \exp\left\{ r^{\rho(B_{j}) + \varepsilon} \right\}$$

$$\leq \exp\left\{ r^{\alpha + \varepsilon} \right\} (j = 1, \dots, k - 1).$$

It follows from (1.7) that

$$(4.3) \quad |A_{0}(z) e^{P_{0}(z)} + B_{0}(z)|$$

$$\leq \left| \frac{f^{(k)}(z)}{f(z)} \right| + |A_{k-1}(z) e^{P_{k-1}(z)} + B_{k-1}(z)| \left| \frac{f^{(k-1)}(z)}{f(z)} \right| + \cdots + |A_{1}(z) e^{P_{1}(z)} + B_{1}(z)| \left| \frac{f'(z)}{f(z)} \right|.$$



Solutions of Complex Linear

Differential Equations

Benharrat Belaidi

vol. 8, iss. 4, art. 107, 2007

Title Page

Contents







Page 15 of 30

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

journal of inequalities in pure and applied mathematics

issn: 1443-5756

Now, take a ray  $\theta \in [0, 2\pi) \setminus (E_2 \cup E_3 \cup E_4)$ . Hence by (3.1) and (4.1) – (4.3), we get for sufficiently large |z| = r

$$(4.4) \qquad (1 - o(1)) \exp\left\{ (1 - \varepsilon) \delta\left(P_0, \theta\right) r^n \right\}$$

$$\leq \left( 1 + (k - 1) \exp\left\{ r^{\alpha + \varepsilon} \right\} \right) B \left[ T \left( 2r, f \right) \right]^{k+1}$$

$$\leq k B \exp\left\{ r^{\alpha + \varepsilon} \right\} \left[ T \left( 2r, f \right) \right]^{k+1} .$$

Thus,  $0 < 2\varepsilon < \min\{1, n - \alpha\}$  implies  $\rho(f) = +\infty$  and

(4.5) 
$$\rho_2(f) = \overline{\lim}_{r \to +\infty} \frac{\log \log T(r, f)}{\log r} \ge n.$$

By Lemma 2.3, we have  $\rho_2(f) = n$ .

Suppose now  $a_{n,j}=c_ja_{n,0}\ (0< c_j<1)\ (j=1,\dots,k-1)$ . Then  $\delta\left(P_j,\theta\right)=c_j\delta\left(P_0,\theta\right)\left(j=1,\dots,k-1\right)$ . Put  $c=\max\{c_j:j=1,\dots,k-1\}$ . Then 0< c<1. Using the same reasoning as above, for any given  $\varepsilon\left(0<2\varepsilon<\frac{1-c}{1+c}\right)$  there exists a ray  $\arg z=\theta\in[0,2\pi)\setminus(E_5\cup E_6),\,E_5\cup E_6$  is of linear measure zero, satisfying  $\delta\left(P_j,\theta\right)=c_j\delta\left(P_0,\theta\right)>0\ (j=1,\dots,k-1)$  and for sufficiently large |z|=r, we have

$$(4.6) |A_0(z)e^{P_0(z)} + B_0(z)| \ge (1 - o(1)) \exp\{(1 - \varepsilon)\delta(P_0, \theta)r^n\}$$

and

(4.7) 
$$|A_{j}(z)e^{P_{j}(z)} + B_{j}(z)|$$
  
 $\leq (1 + o(1)) \exp\{(1 + \varepsilon) c\delta(P_{0}, \theta) r^{n}\} \quad (j = 1, ..., k - 1).$ 

Now, take a ray  $\theta \in [0, 2\pi) \setminus (E_2 \cup E_5 \cup E_6)$ . By substituting (3.1), (4.6) and (4.7)



Solutions of Complex Linear

Differential Equations

Benharrat Belaidi

vol. 8, iss. 4, art. 107, 2007

Title Page

Contents



**>>** 

Page 16 of 30

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

journal of inequalities in pure and applied mathematics

issn: 1443-5756

into (4.3), we get for sufficiently large |z| = r,

$$(4.8) \qquad (1 - o(1)) \exp\{(1 - \varepsilon) \delta(P_0, \theta) r^n\}$$

$$\leq (1 + (k - 1) (1 + o(1)) \exp\{(1 + \varepsilon) c\delta(P_0, \theta) r^n\}) B [T(2r, f)]^{k+1}$$

$$\leq kB (1 + o(1)) \exp\{(1 + \varepsilon) c\delta(P_0, \theta) r^n\} [T(2r, f)]^{k+1}.$$

By  $0 < 2\varepsilon < \frac{1-c}{1+c}$  and (4.8) we have

(4.9) 
$$\exp\left\{\frac{(1-c)}{2}\delta\left(P_0,\theta\right)r^n\right\} \le kBd\left[T\left(2r,f\right)\right]^{k+1},$$

where d > 0 is some constant. Thus, (4.9) implies  $\rho(f) = +\infty$  and

(4.10) 
$$\rho_2(f) = \overline{\lim_{r \to +\infty}} \frac{\log \log T(r, f)}{\log r} \ge n.$$

By Lemma 2.3, we have  $\rho_2(f) = n$ .



Solutions of Complex Linear Differential Equations

Benharrat Belaidi

vol. 8, iss. 4, art. 107, 2007

Title Page

Contents

**>>** 



Page 17 of 30

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

journal of inequalities in pure and applied mathematics

issn: 1443-5756

#### 5. Lemmas Required to Prove Theorem 1.4

We need the following lemmas in the proof of Theorem 1.4.

**Lemma 5.1 ([8, pp. 253-255]).** Let  $P_0(z) = \sum_{i=0}^n b_i z^i$ , where n is a positive integer and  $b_n = \alpha_n e^{i\theta_n}$ ,  $\alpha_n > 0$ ,  $\theta_n \in [0, 2\pi)$ . For any given  $\varepsilon$   $(0 < \varepsilon < \pi/4n)$ , we introduce 2n closed angles

(5.1) 
$$S_{j}: -\frac{\theta_{n}}{n} + (2j-1)\frac{\pi}{2n} + \varepsilon \leq \theta \leq -\frac{\theta_{n}}{n} + (2j+1)\frac{\pi}{2n} - \varepsilon$$
$$(j = 0, 1, \dots, 2n-1).$$

Then there exists a positive number  $R_4 = R_4(\varepsilon)$  such that for  $|z| = r > R_4$ ,

(5.2) 
$$\operatorname{Re} P_{0}(z) > \alpha_{n} r^{n} (1 - \varepsilon) \sin(n\varepsilon),$$

if  $z = re^{i\theta} \in S_j$ , when j is even; while

(5.3) 
$$\operatorname{Re} P_0(z) < -\alpha_n r^n (1 - \varepsilon) \sin(n\varepsilon),$$

if  $z = re^{i\theta} \in S_i$ , when j is odd.

Now for any given  $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$ , if  $\theta \neq -\frac{\theta_n}{n} + (2j-1)\frac{\pi}{2n}$   $(j=0, 1, \ldots, 2n-1)$ , then we take  $\varepsilon$  sufficiently small, and there is some  $S_j$   $(j=0, 1, \ldots, 2n-1)$  such that  $z = re^{i\theta} \in S_j$ .

**Lemma 5.2 ([1]).** Let f(z) be an entire function of order  $\rho(f) = \alpha < +\infty$ . Then for any given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a set  $E_7 \subset [1, +\infty)$  that has finite linear measure and finite logarithmic measure, such that for all z satisfying  $|z| = r \notin [0, 1] \cup E_7$ , we have

(5.4) 
$$\exp\left\{-r^{\alpha+\varepsilon}\right\} \le |f(z)| \le \exp\left\{r^{\alpha+\varepsilon}\right\}.$$



Solutions of Complex Linear

Differential Equations

Benharrat Belaidi

vol. 8, iss. 4, art. 107, 2007

Title Page

Contents





Page 18 of 30

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

journal of inequalities in pure and applied mathematics

issn: 1443-5756

**Lemma 5.3** ([1]). Let  $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$  be an entire function of infinite order with the hyper order  $\rho_2(f) = \sigma$ ,  $\mu(r)$  be the maximum term, i.e.,  $\mu(r) = \max\{|a_n| r^n;$  $n = 0, 1, \dots$  and let  $\nu_f(r)$  be the central index of f, i.e.,  $\nu_f(r) = \max\{m, \mu(r) = 1\}$  $|a_m| r^m$  \}. Then

(5.5) 
$$\overline{\lim}_{r \to +\infty} \frac{\log \log \nu_f(r)}{\log r} = \sigma.$$

**Lemma 5.4 (Wiman-Valiron, [7, 10]).** Let f(z) be a transcendental entire function and let z be a point with |z| = r at which |f(z)| = M(r, f). Then for all |z| outside a set  $E_8$  of r of finite logarithmic measure, we have

$$(5.6) \qquad \frac{f^{(j)}(z)}{f(z)} = \left(\frac{\nu_f(r)}{z}\right)^j (1 + o(1)) \qquad (j \text{ is an integer, } r \notin E_8).$$

**Lemma 5.5** ([1]). Let f(z) be an entire function with  $\rho(f) = +\infty$  and  $\rho_2(f) =$  $\alpha < +\infty$ , let a set  $E_9 \subset [1, +\infty)$  have finite logarithmic measure. Then there exists  $\{z_p = r_p e^{i\theta_p}\}\$  such that  $|f(z_p)| = M(r_p, f)$ ,  $\theta_p \in [0, 2\pi)$ ,  $\lim_{p \to +\infty} \theta_p = \theta_0 \in$  $[0,2\pi)$ ,  $r_p \notin E_9$ ,  $r_p \to +\infty$ , and for any given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , for sufficiently large  $r_p$ , we have

(5.7) 
$$\overline{\lim}_{p \to +\infty} \frac{\log \nu_f(r_p)}{\log r_p} = +\infty,$$

(5.8) 
$$\exp\left\{r_p^{\alpha-\varepsilon}\right\} \le \nu_f(r_p) \le \exp\left\{r_p^{\alpha+\varepsilon}\right\}.$$

**Lemma 5.6.** Let  $P_i(z) = \sum_{i=0}^n a_{i,j} z^i$   $(j=0,\ldots,k-1)$  be nonconstant polynomials where  $a_{0,j}, \ldots, a_{n,j}$   $(j = 0, 1, \ldots, k-1)$  are complex numbers such that  $a_{n,j}a_{n,0} \neq 0 \ (j=1,\ldots,k-1), let \ A_{i}(z) (\not\equiv 0) \ (j=0,\ldots,k-1) be entire func$ tions. Suppose that  $a_{n,j} = ca_{n,0}$  (c > 1) and  $deg(P_j - cP_0) = m \ge 1$  (j = 1, ..., k - 1),  $\rho(A_i) < m \ (j = 0, \dots, k-1)$ . Then every solution  $f(z) \not\equiv 0$  of the equation (1.6) is of infinite order and  $\rho_2(f) > m$ .



Solutions of Complex Linear Differential Equations

Benharrat Belaidi

vol. 8, iss. 4, art. 107, 2007

Title Page

Contents





44

Page 19 of 30

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

journal of inequalities in pure and applied mathematics

issn: 1443-5756

*Proof.* Assume  $f(z) \not\equiv 0$  is a solution of (1.6). By using similar reasoning as in the proof of Theorem 1.2, it follows that f(z) must be a transcendental entire solution. From (1.6), we have

$$(5.9) \quad |A_{0}(z) e^{(1-c)P_{0}(z)}|$$

$$\leq |e^{-cP_{0}(z)}| \left| \frac{f^{(k)}(z)}{f(z)} \right| + |A_{k-1}(z) e^{P_{k-1}(z)-cP_{0}(z)}| \left| \frac{f^{(k-1)}(z)}{f(z)} \right|$$

$$+ \dots + |A_{1}(z) e^{P_{1}(z)-cP_{0}(z)}| \left| \frac{f'(z)}{f(z)} \right|.$$

By Lemma 2.1 (i), there exists a constant A > 0 and a set  $E_1 \subset [0, \infty)$  having finite linear measure such that for all z satisfying  $|z| = r \notin E_1$ , we have

$$\left| \frac{f^{(j)}(z)}{f(z)} \right| \le Ar \left[ T(2r, f) \right]^{k+1} \qquad (j = 1, \dots, k).$$

By (5.9) and (5.10), we have for all z satisfying  $|z| = r \notin E_1$ 

$$(5.11) \quad |A_0(z) e^{(1-c)P_0(z)}| \le \left[ \left| e^{-cP_0(z)} \right| + \left| A_{k-1}(z) e^{P_{k-1}(z) - cP_0(z)} \right| + \cdots + \left| A_1(z) e^{P_1(z) - cP_0(z)} \right| \right] Ar \left[ T(2r, f) \right]^{k+1}.$$

Since  $\deg{(P_j-cP_0)}=m<\deg{P_0}=n\ (j=1,\ldots,k-1)$ , by Lemma 5.1 (see also [3, p. 385]), there exists a positive real number b and a curve  $\Gamma$  tending to infinity such that for all  $z\in\Gamma$  with |z|=r, we have

(5.12) 
$$\operatorname{Re} P_0(z) = 0$$
,  $\operatorname{Re} (P_j(z) - cP_0(z)) \le -br^m \quad (j = 1, ..., k - 1)$ .

Let  $\max \{ \rho(A_j) \ (j = 0, ..., k - 1) \} = \beta < m$ . Then by Lemma 5.2, there exists a set  $E_7 \subset [1, +\infty)$  that has finite linear measure, such that for all z satisfying



#### Solutions of Complex Linear Differential Equations

Benharrat Belaidi

vol. 8, iss. 4, art. 107, 2007

Title Page

Contents

Page 20 of 30

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

### journal of inequalities in pure and applied mathematics

issn: 1443-5756

$$|z| = r \notin [0,1] \cup E_7$$
, we have

$$(5.13) \qquad \exp\left\{-r^{\beta+\varepsilon}\right\} \le |A_j(z)| \le \exp\left\{r^{\beta+\varepsilon}\right\} \qquad (j=0,\ldots,k-1).$$

Hence by 
$$(5.11)-(5.13)$$
, we get for all  $z \in \Gamma$  with  $|z|=r \notin [0,1] \cup E_1 \cup E_7$ 

$$(5.14) \exp\left\{-r^{\beta+\varepsilon}\right\} \le \left(1 + (k-1)\exp\left\{r^{\beta+\varepsilon}\right\}\exp\left\{-br^{m}\right\}\right) Ar \left[T(2r, f)\right]^{k+1}.$$

Thus  $\beta + \varepsilon < m$  implies  $\rho(f) = +\infty$  and

$$\rho_2(f) = \overline{\lim}_{r \to +\infty} \frac{\log \log T(r, f)}{\log r} \ge m.$$



#### Solutions of Complex Linear Differential Equations

Benharrat Belaidi

vol. 8, iss. 4, art. 107, 2007



## journal of inequalities in pure and applied mathematics

Close

issn: 1443-5756

#### 6. Proof of Theorem 1.4

Assume  $f(z) \not\equiv 0$  is a solution of (1.6). Then by Lemma 5.6 and Lemma 2.3, we have  $\rho(f) = \infty$  and  $m \leq \rho_2(f) \leq n$ . We show that  $\rho_2(f) = n$ . We assume that  $\rho_2(f) = \lambda$  ( $m \leq \lambda < n$ ), and we prove that  $\rho_2(f) = \lambda$  fails. By the Wiman-Valiron theory, there is a set  $E_8 \subset [1, +\infty)$  with logarithmic measure  $lm(E_8) < +\infty$  and we can choose z satisfying  $|z| = r \notin [0, 1] \cup E_8$  and |f(z)| = M(r, f), such that (5.6) holds. Set  $\max\{\rho(A_j)\ (j=0,\ldots,k-1)\} = \beta < m$ . By Lemma 5.2, for any given  $\varepsilon$  ( $0 < 3\varepsilon < \min(m-\beta,n-\lambda)$ ), there exists a set  $E_7 \subset [1,+\infty)$  that has finite logarithmic measure, such that for all z satisfying  $|z| = r \notin [0,1] \cup E_7$ , the inequalities (5.13) hold and

(6.1) 
$$\exp\left\{-r^{m+\varepsilon}\right\} \le \left|\exp\left\{P_{j}\left(z\right) - cP_{0}\left(z\right)\right\}\right| \\ \le \exp\left\{r^{m+\varepsilon}\right\} \qquad (j = 1, \dots, k-1).$$

By Lemma 5.5, we can choose a point range  $\{z_p=r_pe^{i\,\theta_p}\}$  such that  $|f(z_p)|=M\left(r_p,f\right),\,\theta_p\in[0,2\pi)$ ,  $\lim_{p\to+\infty}\theta_p=\theta_0\in[0,2\pi)$ ,  $r_p\notin[0,1]\cup E_7\cup E_8,\,r_p\to+\infty$ , and for the above  $\varepsilon>0$ , for sufficiently large  $r_p$ , we have

(6.2) 
$$\exp\left\{r_p^{\lambda-\varepsilon}\right\} \le \nu_f(r_p) \le \exp\left\{r_p^{\lambda+\varepsilon}\right\},\,$$

(6.3) 
$$\overline{\lim_{p \to +\infty}} \frac{\log \nu_f(r_p)}{\log r_p} = +\infty.$$

Let  $P_0(z) = \sum_{i=0}^n b_i z^i$  where n is a positive integer and  $b_n = \alpha_n e^{i\theta_n}$ ,  $\alpha_n > 0$ . By Lemma 5.1, for any given  $\varepsilon$   $(0 < 3\varepsilon < \min(m - \beta, n - \lambda, \pi/4n))$ , there are 2n closed angles

$$S_j: -\frac{\theta_n}{n} + (2j-1)\frac{\pi}{2n} + \varepsilon \le \theta \le -\frac{\theta_n}{n} + (2j+1)\frac{\pi}{2n} - \varepsilon \qquad (j=0,1,\ldots,2n-1).$$

For the above  $\theta_0$  and  $0 < 3\varepsilon < \min\left(m - \beta, n - \lambda, \frac{\pi}{4n}\right)$ , there are three cases:



#### Solutions of Complex Linear Differential Equations

Benharrat Belaidi

vol. 8, iss. 4, art. 107, 2007

Title Page

Contents



Page 22 of 30

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

### journal of inequalities in pure and applied mathematics

issn: 1443-5756

- 1.  $r_p e^{i\theta_0} \in S_j$  where j is odd;
- 2.  $r_p e^{i\theta_0} \in S_j$  where j is even;

3. 
$$\theta_0 = -\frac{\theta_n}{n} + (2j-1)\frac{\pi}{2n}$$
 for some  $j = 0, 1, \dots, 2n-1$ .

Now we have three cases to prove Theorem 1.4.

Case (1):  $r_p e^{i\theta_0} \in S_j$  where j is odd. Since  $\lim_{p\to +\infty} \theta_p = \theta_0$ , there is a N>0 such that  $r_p e^{i\theta_p} \in S_j$  when p>N. By Lemma 5.1, we have

(6.4) Re 
$$\left\{P_0\left(r_pe^{i\theta_p}\right)\right\} < -\delta r_p^n \quad (\delta > 0)$$
, i.e., Re  $\left\{-P_0\left(r_pe^{i\theta_p}\right)\right\} > \delta r_p^n$ .

From (6.1) and (6.4), we obtain for sufficiently large p,

(6.5) 
$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ P_{j} \left( r_{p} e^{i\theta_{p}} \right) - P_{0} \left( r_{p} e^{i\theta_{p}} \right) \right\} \\ = \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \left( c - 1 \right) P_{0} + \left( P_{j} - c P_{0} \right) \right\} \\ < r_{p}^{m+\varepsilon} - \left( c - 1 \right) \delta r_{p}^{n} \qquad (j = 1, \dots, k - 1) .$$

By (1.6), we have

(6.6) 
$$-e^{-P_0(z)} \frac{f^{(k)}}{f} = A_{k-1}(z) e^{P_{k-1}(z) - P_0(z)} \frac{f^{(k-1)}}{f} + \cdots$$
$$+ A_1(z) e^{P_1(z) - P_0(z)} \frac{f'}{f} + A_0(z) .$$

Substituting (5.6) into (6.6), we get for  $z_p = r_p e^{i\theta_p}$ 

(6.7) 
$$-\nu_{f}^{k}(r_{p})(1+o(1))\exp\{-P_{0}(z_{p})\}$$

$$= A_{k-1}(z_{p})\exp\{P_{k-1}(z_{p})-P_{0}(z_{p})\} z_{p}\nu_{f}^{k-1}(r_{p})(1+o(1))+\cdots$$

$$+ A_{1}(z_{p})\exp\{P_{1}(z_{p})-P_{0}(z_{p})\} z_{p}^{k-1}\nu_{f}(r_{p})(1+o(1))+z_{p}^{k}A_{0}(z_{p}).$$



Solutions of Complex Linear Differential Equations

Benharrat Belaidi

vol. 8, iss. 4, art. 107, 2007

Page 23 of 30

Go Back Full Screen

Close

journal of inequalities in pure and applied mathematics

issn: 1443-5756

Thus we have, from (6.2) and (6.4)

(6.8) 
$$\left|-\nu_{f}^{k}\left(r_{p}\right)\left(1+o\left(1\right)\right)\exp\left\{-P_{0}\left(z_{p}\right)\right\}\right|$$

$$\geq\frac{1}{2}\exp\left\{\delta r_{p}^{n}\right\}\exp\left\{kr_{p}^{\lambda-\varepsilon}\right\}>\exp\left\{\delta r_{p}^{n}\right\}.$$

And by (5.13), (6.5) and (6.2), we have

$$(6.9) \quad |A_{k-1}(z_p) \exp\{P_{k-1}(z_p) - P_0(z_p)\} z_p \nu_f^{k-1}(r_p) (1 + o(1)) + \cdots + A_1(z_p) \exp\{P_1(z_p) - P_0(z_p)\} z_p^{k-1} \nu_f(r_p) (1 + o(1)) + z_p^k A_0(z_p)| \leq 2 (k-1) r_p^{k-1} \exp\{r_p^{\beta+\varepsilon}\} \exp\{r_p^{m+\varepsilon} - (c-1) \delta r_p^n\} \times \exp\{(k-1) r_p^{\lambda+\varepsilon}\} + r_p^k \exp\{r_p^{\beta+\varepsilon}\} \leq \exp\{(k-1) r_p^{\lambda+2\varepsilon}\}.$$

From (6.7) we see that (6.8) contradicts (6.9).

Case (2):  $r_p e^{i\theta_0} \in S_j$  where j is even. Since  $\lim_{p\to +\infty} \theta_p = \theta_0$ , there is a N>0 such that  $r_p e^{i\theta_p} \in S_j$  when p>N. By Lemma 5.1, we have

(6.10) 
$$\operatorname{Re}\left\{P_{0}\left(r_{p}e^{i\theta_{p}}\right)\right\} > \delta r_{p}^{n}, \operatorname{Re}\left\{-cP_{0}\left(r_{p}e^{i\theta_{p}}\right)\right\} < -c\delta r_{p}^{n},$$

(6.11) Re 
$$\{(1-c) P_0(r_p e^{i\theta_p})\}\$$
  $< (1-c) \delta r_p^n$ , Re  $\{P_j(r_p e^{i\theta_p}) - cP_0(r_p e^{i\theta_p})\}\$   $< -c\delta r_p^n \ (j=2,\ldots,k-1)$ .

By (1.6), we have

(6.12) 
$$-A_{1}(z) e^{P_{1}(z)-cP_{0}(z)} \frac{f'}{f} = e^{-cP_{0}(z)} \frac{f^{(k)}}{f} + A_{k-1}(z) e^{P_{k-1}(z)-cP_{0}(z)} \frac{f^{(k-1)}}{f}$$
$$+ \dots + A_{2}(z) e^{P_{2}(z)-cP_{0}(z)} \frac{f''}{f} + A_{0}(z) e^{(1-c)P_{0}(z)}.$$



Solutions of Complex Linear

Differential Equations

Benharrat Belaidi vol. 8, iss. 4, art. 107, 2007

Title Page

Contents



Page 24 of 30

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

journal of inequalities in pure and applied mathematics

issn: 1443-5756

Substituting (5.6) into (6.12) we get for  $z_p = r_p e^{i\theta_p}$ 

(6.13) 
$$-A_{1}(z_{p}) \exp \{P_{1}(z_{p}) - cP_{0}(z_{p})\} z_{p}^{k-1} \nu_{f}(r_{p}) (1 + o(1))$$

$$= \nu_{f}^{k}(r_{p}) (1 + o(1)) \exp \{-cP_{0}(z_{p})\} + \nu_{f}^{k-1}(r_{p}) (1 + o(1))$$

$$\times z_{p} A_{k-1}(z_{p}) \exp \{P_{k-1}(z_{p}) - cP_{0}(z_{p})\} + \dots + z_{p}^{k-2} \nu_{f}^{2}(r_{p}) (1 + o(1))$$

$$\times A_{2}(z_{p}) \exp \{P_{2}(z_{p}) - cP_{0}(z_{p})\} + z_{p}^{k} A_{0}(z_{p}) \exp \{(1 - c)P_{0}(z_{p})\} .$$

Thus we get, from (5.13), (6.1) and (6.2)

$$(6.14) \quad \left| -A_{1}\left(z_{p}\right) \exp\left\{P_{1}\left(z_{p}\right) - cP_{0}\left(z_{p}\right)\right\} z_{p}^{k-1} \nu_{f}\left(r_{p}\right) \left(1 + o\left(1\right)\right) \right|$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{2} r_{p}^{k-1} \exp\left\{-r_{p}^{\beta+\varepsilon}\right\} \exp\left\{-r_{p}^{m+\varepsilon}\right\} \exp\left\{r_{p}^{\lambda-\varepsilon}\right\} > \exp\left\{-r_{p}^{m+\varepsilon}\right\}.$$

And from (5.13), (6.2) and (6.10), (6.11) we have for sufficiently large p

(6.15) 
$$\left| \nu_{f}^{k}(r_{p}) \left( 1 + o\left( 1 \right) \right) \exp\left\{ -cP_{0}\left( z_{p} \right) \right\} + \nu_{f}^{k-1}\left( r_{p} \right) \left( 1 + o\left( 1 \right) \right) \right.$$

$$\times z_{p}A_{k-1}\left( z_{p} \right) \exp\left\{ P_{k-1}\left( z_{p} \right) - cP_{0}\left( z_{p} \right) \right\} + \dots + z_{p}^{k-2}\nu_{f}^{2}\left( r_{p} \right) \left( 1 + o\left( 1 \right) \right) \right.$$

$$\times A_{2}\left( z_{p} \right) \exp\left\{ P_{2}\left( z_{p} \right) - cP_{0}\left( z_{p} \right) \right\} + z_{p}^{k}A_{0}\left( z_{p} \right) \exp\left\{ \left( 1 - c \right)P_{0}\left( z_{p} \right) \right\} \right|$$

$$\leq 2 \exp\left\{ kr_{p}^{\lambda+\varepsilon} \right\} \exp\left\{ -c\delta r_{p}^{n} \right\} + 2\left( k - 2 \right)r_{p}^{k-2} \exp\left\{ \left( k - 1 \right)r_{p}^{\lambda+\varepsilon} \right\}$$

$$\times \exp\left\{ r_{p}^{\beta+\varepsilon} \right\} \exp\left\{ -c\delta r_{p}^{n} \right\} + r_{p}^{k} \exp\left\{ r_{p}^{\beta+\varepsilon} \right\} \exp\left\{ \left( 1 - c \right)\delta r_{p}^{n} \right\}$$

$$< \exp\left\{ \frac{\left( 1 - c \right)}{2}\delta r_{p}^{n} \right\}.$$

Thus (6.13) - (6.15) imply a contradiction.

Case (3):  $\theta_0 = -\frac{\theta_n}{n} + (2j-1)\frac{\pi}{2n}$  for some  $j = 0, 1, \dots, 2n-1$ . Since  $\operatorname{Re}\left\{P_0\left(r_pe^{i\,\theta_0}\right)\right\}$  = 0 when  $r_p$  is sufficiently large and a straight line  $\arg z = \theta_0$  is an asymptotic line



#### Solutions of Complex Linear Differential Equations

Benharrat Belaidi

vol. 8, iss. 4, art. 107, 2007

Title Page

Contents



Page 25 of 30

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

### journal of inequalities in pure and applied mathematics

issn: 1443-5756

of  $\{r_p e^{i\theta_p}\}$ , there is a N>0 such that when p>N, we have

(6.16) 
$$-1 < \operatorname{Re}\left\{P_0\left(r_p e^{i\theta_p}\right)\right\} < 1, \qquad -c < \operatorname{Re}\left\{P_j\left(r_p e^{i\theta_p}\right)\right\} < c$$
$$(j = 1, \dots, k - 1).$$

By considering Re  $\{P_j(r_pe^{i\theta_p}) - cP_0(r_pe^{i\theta_p})\}$ , we again split this into three cases. **Case (i):** 

(6.17) 
$$\operatorname{Re}\left\{P_{j}\left(r_{p}e^{i\theta_{p}}\right) - cP_{0}\left(r_{p}e^{i\theta_{p}}\right)\right\} < -dr_{p}^{m} \quad (j = 1, \dots, k - 1)$$

(d>0 is a constant) when p is sufficiently large. We have a slightly modified form of (6.7)

(6.18) 
$$-\nu_{f}^{k}(r_{p}) (1 + o(1)) \exp \left\{-cP_{0}(z_{p})\right\}$$

$$= A_{k-1}(z_{p}) \exp \left\{P_{k-1}(z_{p}) - cP_{0}(z_{p})\right\} z_{p}\nu_{f}^{k-1}(r_{p}) (1 + o(1)) + \cdots$$

$$+ A_{1}(z_{p}) \exp \left\{P_{1}(z_{p}) - cP_{0}(z_{p})\right\} z_{p}^{k-1}\nu_{f}(r_{p}) (1 + o(1))$$

$$+ z_{p}^{k}A_{0}(z_{p}) \exp \left\{(1 - c)P_{0}(z_{p})\right\}.$$

Thus we get, from (5.13) and (6.16) - (6.18)

$$\begin{split} \frac{1}{2}\nu_{f}^{k}\left(r_{p}\right) \exp\left\{-c\right\} &< \left|-\nu_{f}^{k}\left(r_{p}\right)\left(1+o\left(1\right)\right) \exp\left\{-cP_{0}\left(z_{p}\right)\right\}\right| \\ &\leq \left|A_{k-1}\left(z_{p}\right) \exp\left\{P_{k-1}\left(z_{p}\right)-cP_{0}\left(z_{p}\right)\right\} z_{p}\nu_{f}^{k-1}\left(r_{p}\right)\left(1+o\left(1\right)\right)\right| \\ &+ \cdots + \left|A_{1}\left(z_{p}\right) \exp\left\{P_{1}\left(z_{p}\right)-cP_{0}\left(z_{p}\right)\right\} z_{p}^{k-1}\nu_{f}\left(r_{p}\right)\left(1+o\left(1\right)\right)\right| \\ &+ \left|z_{p}^{k}A_{0}\left(z_{p}\right) \exp\left\{\left(1-c\right)P_{0}\left(z_{p}\right)\right\}\right| \\ &\leq 2\left(k-1\right)r_{p}^{k-1}\nu_{f}^{k-1}\left(r_{p}\right) \exp\left\{r_{p}^{\beta+\varepsilon}-dr_{p}^{m}\right\} \\ &+ r_{p}^{k} \exp\left\{r_{p}^{\beta+\varepsilon}\right\} \exp\left\{\left(c-1\right)\right\} \\ &\leq \nu_{f}^{k-1}\left(r_{p}\right) \exp\left\{r_{p}^{\beta+2\varepsilon}\right\} \end{split}$$



Solutions of Complex Linear Differential Equations

Benharrat Belaidi

vol. 8, iss. 4, art. 107, 2007

Contents

Contents

Page 26 of 30

Go Back

Full Screen

journal of inequalities in pure and applied mathematics

Close

issn: 1443-5756

i.e.,

$$\frac{1}{2}\nu_{f}\left(r_{p}\right)<\exp\left\{ c\right\} \exp\left\{ r_{p}^{\beta+2\varepsilon}\right\} .$$

This is in contradiction with  $\nu_f(r_p) \ge \exp\left\{r_p^{\lambda-\varepsilon}\right\}$ .

Re 
$$\{P_j(r_p e^{i\theta_p}) - cP_0(r_p e^{i\theta_p})\} > dr_p^m \qquad (j = 1, ..., k - 1),$$

i.e.,

(6.19) 
$$\operatorname{Re}\left\{P_{0}\left(r_{p}e^{i\theta_{p}}\right) - \frac{1}{c}P_{j}\left(r_{p}e^{i\theta_{p}}\right)\right\} < -\frac{d}{c}r_{p}^{m} \qquad (j = 1, \dots, k - 1)$$

(d>0) is a constant) when p is sufficiently large. From (6.16), we obtain for sufficiently large p,

(6.20) 
$$\operatorname{Re}\left\{P_{s}\left(r_{p}e^{i\theta_{p}}\right) - \frac{1}{c}P_{j}\left(r_{p}e^{i\theta_{p}}\right)\right\}$$

$$= \operatorname{Re}\left\{P_{s}\left(r_{p}e^{i\theta_{p}}\right)\right\} - \frac{1}{c}\operatorname{Re}\left\{P_{j}\left(r_{p}e^{i\theta_{p}}\right)\right\}$$

$$< c + 1 \quad (s = 1, \dots, j - 1, j + 1, \dots, k - 1).$$

We have a slightly modified form of (6.7)

(6.21) 
$$-\nu_f^k(r_p) (1 + o(1)) \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{c} P_j(z_p)\right\}$$

$$= A_{k-1}(z_p) \exp\left\{P_{k-1}(z_p) - \frac{1}{c} P_j(z_p)\right\} z_p \nu_f^{k-1}(r_p) (1 + o(1)) + \cdots$$



Solutions of Complex Linear Differential Equations

Benharrat Belaidi

vol. 8, iss. 4, art. 107, 2007

Title Page

Contents





Page 27 of 30

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

journal of inequalities in pure and applied mathematics

issn: 1443-5756

$$+ A_{j}(z_{p}) \exp \left\{ \left( 1 - \frac{1}{c} \right) P_{j}(z_{p}) \right\} z_{p}^{k-j} \nu_{f}^{j}(r_{p}) \left( 1 + o(1) \right) + \cdots + A_{1}(z_{p}) \exp \left\{ P_{1}(z_{p}) - \frac{1}{c} P_{j}(z_{p}) \right\} z_{p}^{k-1} \nu_{f}(r_{p}) \left( 1 + o(1) \right) + z_{p}^{k} A_{0}(z_{p}) \exp \left\{ P_{0}(z_{p}) - \frac{1}{c} P_{j}(z_{p}) \right\}.$$

Thus we get, from (5.13), (6.16) and (6.19) - (6.21)

$$\begin{split} &\frac{1}{2}\nu_{f}^{k}\left(r_{p}\right)\exp\left\{-1\right\} \\ &<\left|-\nu_{f}^{k}\left(r_{p}\right)\left(1+o\left(1\right)\right)\exp\left\{-\frac{1}{c}P_{j}\left(z_{p}\right)\right\}\right| \\ &\leq\left|A_{k-1}\left(z_{p}\right)\exp\left\{P_{k-1}\left(z_{p}\right)-\frac{1}{c}P_{j}\left(z_{p}\right)\right\}z_{p}\nu_{f}^{k-1}\left(r_{p}\right)\left(1+o\left(1\right)\right)\right| \\ &+\cdots+\left|A_{j}\left(z_{p}\right)\exp\left\{\left(1-\frac{1}{c}\right)P_{j}\left(z_{p}\right)\right\}z_{p}^{k-j}\nu_{f}^{j}\left(r_{p}\right)\left(1+o\left(1\right)\right)\right| \\ &+\cdots+\left|A_{1}\left(z_{p}\right)\exp\left\{P_{1}\left(z_{p}\right)-\frac{1}{c}P_{j}\left(z_{p}\right)\right\}z_{p}^{k-1}\nu_{f}\left(r_{p}\right)\left(1+o\left(1\right)\right)\right| \\ &+\left|z_{p}^{k}A_{0}\left(z_{p}\right)\exp\left\{P_{0}\left(z_{p}\right)-\frac{1}{c}P_{j}\left(z_{p}\right)\right\}\right| \\ &\leq2\left(k-1\right)\nu_{f}^{k-1}\left(r_{p}\right)r_{p}^{k-1}\exp\left\{r_{p}^{\beta+\varepsilon}\right\} \\ &\times\exp\left\{c+1\right\}+r_{p}^{k}\exp\left\{r_{p}^{\beta+\varepsilon}\right\}\exp\left\{-\frac{d}{c}r_{p}^{m}\right\} \\ &\leq\nu_{f}^{k-1}\left(r_{p}\right)\exp\left\{r_{p}^{\beta+2\varepsilon}\right\} \end{split}$$



Solutions of Complex Linear **Differential Equations** 

Benharrat Belaidi vol. 8, iss. 4, art. 107, 2007

**>>** 

Title Page Contents Page 28 of 30 Go Back Full Screen

journal of inequalities in pure and applied mathematics

Close

issn: 1443-5756

i.e.,

$$\nu_f(r_p) \exp\left\{-1\right\} < 2 \exp\left\{r_p^{\beta + 2\varepsilon}\right\}.$$

This is in contradiction with  $\nu_f\left(r_p\right) \geq \exp\left\{r_p^{\lambda-\varepsilon}\right\}$  .

Case (iii): When p is sufficiently large,

$$-1 < \operatorname{Re} \left\{ P_j \left( r_p e^{i\theta_p} \right) - c P_0 \left( r_p e^{i\theta_p} \right) \right\} < 1 \qquad (j = 1, \dots, k - 1).$$

By using the same reasoning as in Case (ii) we get a contradiction. The proof of Theorem 1.4 is completed.



#### Solutions of Complex Linear Differential Equations

Benharrat Belaidi

vol. 8, iss. 4, art. 107, 2007

Title Page

Contents

Page 29 of 30

Go Back

Full Screen

### journal of inequalities in pure and applied mathematics

Close

issn: 1443-5756

#### References

- [1] Z.X. CHEN, On the hyper order of solutions of some second order linear differential equations, *Acta Mathematica Sinica Engl. Ser.*, **18**(1) (2002), 79–88.
- [2] Z.X. CHEN AND K.H. SHON, The growth of solutions of higher order differential equations, *Southeast Asian Bull. Math.*, **27** (2004), 995–1004.
- [3] K.H. KWON, Nonexistence of finite order solutions of certain second order linear differential equations, *Kodai Math. J.*, **19** (1996), 378–387.
- [4] G.G. GUNDERSEN, Finite order solutions of second order linear differential equations, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **305** (1988), 415–429.
- [5] G.G. GUNDERSEN, Estimates for the logarithmic derivative of a meromorphic function, plus similar estimates, *J. London Math. Soc.*, (2) **37** (1988), 88–104.
- [6] W.K. HAYMAN, Meromorphic Functions, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1964.
- [7] W.K. HAYMAN, The local growth of power series: a survey of the Wiman-Valiron method, *Canad. Math. Bull.*, **17** (1974), 317–358.
- [8] A.I. MARKUSHEVICH, *Theory of Functions of a Complex Variable*, Vol. II, translated by R.A. Silverman, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey, 1965.
- [9] R. NEVANLINNA, *Eindeutige Analytische Funktionen*, Zweite Auflage. Reprint. Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, Band 46. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1974.
- [10] G. VALIRON, *Lectures on the General Theory of Integral Functions*, translated by E.F. Collingwood, Chelsea, New York, 1949.
- [11] H.X. YI AND C.C. YANG, *The Uniqueness Theory of Meromorphic Functions*, Science Press, Beijing, 1995 (in Chinese).



Solutions of Complex Linear Differential Equations

Benharrat Belaidi

vol. 8, iss. 4, art. 107, 2007

Title Page
Contents

Page 30 of 30

Go Back Full Screen

Close

journal of inequalities in pure and applied mathematics

issn: 1443-5756