



## HADAMARD PRODUCT OF CERTAIN MEROMORPHIC $p$ -VALENT STARLIKE AND $p$ -VALENT CONVEX FUNCTIONS

M. K. AOUF

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS,  
FACULTY OF SCIENCE, MANSOURA UNIVERSITY,  
MANSOURA 35516, EGYPT.  
mkaouf127@yahoo.com

*Received 12 April, 2008; accepted 30 April, 2009*

*Communicated by G. Kohr*

**ABSTRACT.** In this paper, we establish some results concerning the Hadamard product of certain meromorphic  $p$ -valent starlike and meromorphic  $p$ -valent convex functions analogous to those obtained by Vinod Kumar (J. Math. Anal. Appl. 113(1986), 230-234) and M. L. Mogra (Tamkang J. Math. 25(1994), no. 2, 157-162).

*Key words and phrases:* Meromorphic,  $p$ -valent, Hadamard product.

*2000 Mathematics Subject Classification.* 30C45.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout this paper, let  $p \in \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, \dots\}$  and let the functions of the form:

$$\varphi(z) = c_p z^p - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_{p+n} z^{p+n} \quad (c_p > 0; c_{p+n} \geq 0),$$

$$\Psi(z) = d_p z^p - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d_{p+n} z^{p+n} \quad (d_p > 0; d_{p+n} \geq 0)$$

be regular and  $p$ -valent in the unit disc  $U = \{z : |z| < 1\}$ . Also, let

$$(1.1) \quad f(z) = \frac{a_{p-1}}{z^p} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{p+n-1} z^{p+n-1} \quad (a_{p-1} > 0; a_{p+n-1} \geq 0),$$

$$f_i(z) = \frac{a_{p-1,i}}{z^p} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{p+n-1,i} z^{p+n-1} \quad (a_{p-1,i} > 0; a_{p+n-1,i} \geq 0),$$

$$g(z) = \frac{b_{p-1}}{z^p} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_{p+n-1} z^{p+n-1} \quad (b_{p-1} > 0; b_{p+n-1} \geq 0)$$

The author is thankful to the referee for his comments and suggestions.

and

$$g_j(z) = \frac{b_{p-1,j}}{z^p} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_{p+n-1,j} z^{p+n-1} \quad (b_{p-1,j} > 0; b_{p+n-1,j} \geq 0).$$

be regular and  $p$ -valent in the punctured disc  $D = \{z : 0 < |z| < 1\}$ .

Let  $S_0^*(p, \alpha, \beta)$  denote the class of functions  $\varphi(z)$  which satisfy the condition

$$\left| \frac{\frac{z\varphi'(z)}{\varphi(z)} - p}{\frac{z\varphi'(z)}{\varphi(z)} + p - 2\alpha} \right| < \beta$$

for some  $\alpha, \beta$  ( $0 \leq \alpha < p$ ,  $0 < \beta \leq 1$ ,  $p \in \mathbb{N}$ ) and for all  $z \in U$ ; and let  $C_0(p, \alpha, \beta)$  be the class of functions  $\varphi(z)$  for which  $\frac{z\varphi'(z)}{p} \in S_0^*(p, \alpha, \beta)$ . It is well known that the functions in  $S_0^*(p, \alpha, \beta)$  and  $C_0^*(p, \alpha, \beta)$  are, respectively,  $p$ -valent starlike and  $p$ -valent convex functions of order  $\alpha$  and type  $\beta$  with negative coefficients in  $U$  (see Aouf [1]).

Denote by  $\Sigma S_0^*(p, \alpha, \beta)$ , the class of functions  $f(z)$  which satisfy the condition

$$(1.2) \quad \left| \frac{\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} + p}{\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} + 2\alpha - p} \right| < \beta$$

for some  $\alpha, \beta$  ( $0 \leq \alpha < p$ ,  $0 < \beta \leq 1$ ,  $p \in \mathbb{N}$ ) and for all  $z \in D$ , and  $\Sigma C_0^*(p, \alpha, \beta)$  be the class of functions  $f(z)$  for which  $\frac{-zf'(z)}{p} \in \Sigma S_0^*(p, \alpha, \beta)$ . The functions in  $\Sigma S_0^*(p, \alpha, \beta)$  and  $\Sigma C_0^*(p, \alpha, \beta)$  are, respectively, called meromorphic  $p$ -valent starlike and meromorphic  $p$ -valent convex functions of order  $\alpha$  and type  $\beta$  with positive coefficients in  $D$ . The class  $\Sigma S_0^*(p, \alpha, \beta)$  with  $a_{p-1} = 1$  has been studied by Aouf [2] and Mogra [9].

Using similar arguments as given in ([2] and [9]), we can prove the following result for functions in  $\Sigma S_0^*(p, \alpha, \beta)$ .

A function  $f(z) \in \Sigma S_0^*(p, \alpha, \beta)$  if and only if

$$(1.3) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \{[(n+2p-1) + \beta(n+2\alpha-1)] a_{p+n-1}\} \leq 2\beta(p-\alpha)a_{p-1}.$$

The result is sharp for the function  $f(z)$  given by

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{z^p} + \frac{2\beta(p-\alpha)a_{p-1}}{(n+2p-1) + \beta(n+2\alpha-1)} z^{p+n-1} \quad (p, n \in \mathbb{N}).$$

*Proof Outline.* Let  $f(z) \in \Sigma S_0^*(p, \alpha, \beta)$  be given by (1.1). Then, from (1.2) and (1.1), we have

$$(1.4) \quad \left| \frac{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (n+2p-1)a_{p+n-1}z^{2p+n-1}}{2(p-\alpha)a_{p-1} - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (n+2\alpha-1)a_{p+n-1}z^{2p+n-1}} \right| < \beta \quad (z \in U).$$

Since  $|\operatorname{Re}(z)| \leq |z|$  ( $z \in \mathbb{C}$ ), choosing  $z$  to be real and letting  $z \rightarrow 1^-$  through real values, (1.4) yields

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (n+2p-1)a_{p+n-1} \leq 2\beta(p-\alpha)a_{p-1} - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \beta(n+2\alpha-1)a_{p+n-1},$$

which leads us to (1.3).

In order to prove the converse, we assume that the inequality (1.3) holds true. Then, if we let  $z \in \partial U$ , we find from (1.1) and (1.3) that

$$\left| \frac{\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} + p}{\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} + 2\alpha - p} \right| \leq \frac{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (n + 2p - 1)a_{p+n-1}}{(p - \alpha)a_{p-1} - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (n + 2\alpha - 1)a_{p+n-1}} < \beta \quad (z \in \partial U = \{z : z \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } |z| = 1\}).$$

Hence, by the maximum modulus theorem, we have  $f(z) \in \Sigma S_0^*(p, \alpha, \beta)$ . This completes the proof of (1.3).  $\square$

Also we can prove that  $f(z) \in \Sigma C_0^*(p, \alpha, \beta)$  if and only if

$$(1.5) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \left( \frac{p+n-1}{p} \right) [(n+2p-1) + \beta(n+2\alpha-1)] a_{p+n-1} \right\} \leq 2\beta(p-\alpha)a_{p-1}.$$

The result is sharp for the function  $f(z)$  given by

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{z^p} + \frac{2\beta(p-\alpha)a_{p-1}}{\left(\frac{n+2p-1}{p}\right) [(n+2p-1) + \beta(n+2\alpha-1)]} z^{p+n-1} \quad (p, n \in \mathbb{N}).$$

The quasi-Hadamard product of two or more functions has recently been defined and used by Owa ([11], [12] and [13]), Kumar ([6], [7] and [8]), Aouf et al. [3], Hossen [5], Darwish [4] and Sekine [14]. Accordingly, the quasi-Hadamard product of two functions  $\varphi(z)$  and  $\Psi(z)$  is defined by

$$\varphi * \Psi(z) = c_p d_p z^p - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_{p+n} d_{p+n} z^{p+n}.$$

Let us define the Hadamard product of two functions  $f(z)$  and  $g(z)$  by

$$f * g(z) = \frac{a_{p-1} b_{p-1}}{z^p} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{p+n-1} b_{p+n-1} z^{p+n-1}.$$

Similarly, we can define the Hadamard product of more than two meromorphic  $p$ -valent functions.

We now introduce the following class of meromorphic  $p$ -valent functions in  $D$ .

A function  $f(z) \in \Sigma_k^*(p, \alpha, \beta)$  if and only if

$$(1.6) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \left( \frac{p+n-1}{p} \right)^k [(n+2p-1) + \beta(n+2\alpha-1)] a_{p+n-1} \right\} \leq 2\beta(p-\alpha)a_{p-1}.$$

where  $0 \leq \alpha < p$ ,  $0 < \beta \leq 1$ ,  $p \in \mathbb{N}$ , and  $k$  is any fixed nonnegative real number.

Evidently,  $\Sigma_0^*(p, \alpha, \beta) \equiv \Sigma S_0^*(p, \alpha, \beta)$  and  $\Sigma_1^*(p, \alpha, \beta) \equiv \Sigma C_0^*(p, \alpha, \beta)$ . Further,  $\Sigma_k^*(p, \alpha, \beta) \subset \Sigma_h^*(p, \alpha, \beta)$  if  $k > h \geq 0$ , the containment being proper. Moreover, for any positive integer  $k$ , we have the following inclusion relation

$$\Sigma_k^*(p, \alpha, \beta) \subset \Sigma_{k-1}^*(p, \alpha, \beta) \subset \cdots \subset \Sigma_2^*(p, \alpha, \beta) \subset \Sigma C_0^*(p, \alpha, \beta) \subset \Sigma S_0^*(p, \alpha, \beta).$$

We also note that for every nonnegative real number  $k$ , the class  $\Sigma_k^*(p, \alpha, \beta)$  is nonempty as the functions

$$f(z) = \frac{a_{p-1}}{z^p} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{p+n-1}{p} \right)^{-k} \left\{ \frac{2\beta(p-\alpha)}{(n+2p-1) + \beta(n+2\alpha-1)} \right\} a_{p-1} \lambda_{p+n-1} z^{p+n-1},$$

where  $a_{p-1} > 0$ ,  $0 \leq \alpha < p$ ,  $0 < \beta \leq 1$ ,  $p \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $a_{p-1} > 0$ ,  $\lambda_{p+n-1} \geq 0$  and  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{p+n-1} \leq 1$ , satisfy the inequality (1.1).

In this paper we establish certain results concerning the Hadamard product of meromorphic  $p$ -valent starlike and meromorphic  $p$ -valent convex functions of order  $\alpha$  and type  $\beta$  analogous to Kumar [7] and Mogra [10].

## 2. THE MAIN THEOREMS

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let the functions  $f_i(z)$  belong to the class  $\Sigma C_0^*(p, \alpha, \beta)$  for every  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ . Then the Hadamard product  $f_1 * f_2 * \dots * f_m(z)$  belongs to the class  $\Sigma_{2m-1}^*(p, \alpha, \beta)$ .*

*Proof.* It is sufficient to show that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \left( \frac{p+n-1}{p} \right)^{2m-1} [(n+2p-1) + \beta(n+2\alpha-1)] \prod_{i=1}^m a_{p+n-1,i} \right\} \leq 2\beta(p-\alpha) \left[ \prod_{i=1}^m a_{p-1,i} \right].$$

Since  $f_i(z) \in \Sigma C_0^*(p, \alpha, \beta)$ , we have

$$(2.1) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \left( \frac{p+n-1}{p} \right) [(n+2p-1) + \beta(n+2\alpha-1)] a_{p+n-1,i} \right\} \leq 2\beta(p-\alpha) a_{p-1,i},$$

for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ . Therefore,

$$\left( \frac{p+n-1}{p} \right) [(n+2p-1) + \beta(n+2\alpha-1)] a_{p+n-1,i} \leq 2\beta(p-\alpha) a_{p-1,i}$$

or

$$a_{p+n-1,i} \leq \left[ \frac{2\beta(p-\alpha)}{\left( \frac{p+n-1}{p} \right) [(n+2p-1) + \beta(n+2\alpha-1)]} \right] a_{p-1,i},$$

for every  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ . The right-hand expression of the last inequality is not greater than  $\left( \frac{p+n-1}{p} \right)^{-2} a_{p-1,i}$ . Hence

$$(2.2) \quad a_{p+n-1,i} \leq \left( \frac{p+n-1}{p} \right)^{-2} a_{p-1,i},$$

for every  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ .

Using (2.2) for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m-1$ , and (2.1) for  $i = m$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \left( \frac{p+n-1}{p} \right)^{2m-1} [(n+2p-1) + \beta(n+2\alpha-1)] \prod_{i=1}^m a_{p+n-1,i} \right\} \\ & \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \left( \frac{p+n-1}{p} \right)^{2m-1} [(n+2p-1) + \beta(n+2\alpha-1)] \right. \\ & \quad \left. \times \left( \left( \frac{p+n-1}{p} \right)^{-2(m-1)} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{m-1} a_{p-1,i} \right) a_{p+n-1,m} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \left[ \prod_{i=1}^{m-1} a_{p-1,i} \right] \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \left( \frac{p+n-1}{p} \right) [(n+2p-1) + \beta(n+2\alpha-1)] a_{n+p-1,m} \right\} \\
 &\leq 2\beta(p-\alpha) \left[ \prod_{i=1}^m a_{p-1,i} \right].
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $f_1 * f_2 * \dots * f_m(z) \in \Sigma_{2m-1}^*(p, \alpha, \beta)$ . □

**Theorem 2.2.** *Let the functions  $f_i(z)$  belong to the class  $\Sigma S_0^*(p, \alpha, \beta)$  for every  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ . Then the Hadamard product  $f_1 * f_2 * \dots * f_m(z)$  belongs to the class  $\Sigma_{m-1}^*(p, \alpha, \beta)$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $f_i(z) \in \Sigma S_0^*(p, \alpha, \beta)$ , we have

$$(2.3) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \{ [(n+2p-1) + \beta(n+2\alpha-1)] a_{p+n-1,i} \} \leq 2\beta(p-\alpha) a_{p-1,i},$$

for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ . Therefore,

$$a_{p+n-1,i} \leq \left\{ \frac{2\beta(p-\alpha)}{[(n+2p-1) + \beta(n+2\alpha-1)]} \right\} a_{p-1,i},$$

and hence

$$(2.4) \quad a_{p+n-1,i} \leq \left( \frac{p+n-1}{p} \right)^{-1} a_{p-1,i},$$

for every  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ .

Using (2.4) for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m-1$ , and (2.3) for  $i = m$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \left( \frac{p+n-1}{p} \right)^{m-1} [(n+2p-1) + \beta(n+2\alpha-1)] \prod_{i=1}^m a_{p+n-1,i} \right\} \\
 &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \left( \frac{p+n-1}{p} \right)^{m-1} [(n+2p-1) + \beta(n+2\alpha-1)] \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \times \left( \left( \frac{p+n-1}{p} \right)^{-(m-1)} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{m-1} a_{p-1,i} \right) a_{p+n-1,m} \right\} \\
 &= \left[ \prod_{i=1}^{m-1} a_{p-1,i} \right] \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \{ [(n+2p-1) + \beta(n+2\alpha-1)] a_{n+p-1,m} \} \\
 &\leq 2\beta(p-\alpha) \left[ \prod_{i=1}^m a_{p-1,i} \right].
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $f_1 * f_2 * \dots * f_m(z) \in \Sigma_{m-1}^*(p, \alpha, \beta)$ . □

**Theorem 2.3.** *Let the functions  $f_i(z)$  belong to the class  $\Sigma C_0^*(p, \alpha, \beta)$  for every  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ , and let the functions  $g_j(z)$  belong to the class  $\Sigma S_0^*(p, \alpha, \beta)$  for every  $j = 1, 2, \dots, q$ . Then the Hadamard product  $f_1 * f_2 * \dots * f_m * g_1 * g_2 * \dots * g_q(z)$  belongs to the class  $\Sigma_{2m+q-1}^*(p, \alpha, \beta)$ .*

*Proof.* It is sufficient to show that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \left( \frac{p+n-1}{p} \right)^{2m+q-1} [(n+2p-1) + \beta(n+2\alpha-1)] \right. \\ \left. \times \left( \prod_{i=1}^m a_{p+n-1,i} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^q b_{p+n-1,i} \right) \right\} \\ \leq 2\beta(p-\alpha) \left( \prod_{i=1}^m a_{p-1,i} \prod_{i=1}^q b_{p-1,i} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $f_i(z) \in \Sigma C_0^*(p, \alpha, \beta)$ , the inequalities (2.1) and (2.2) hold for every  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ . Further, since  $g_j(z) \in \Sigma S_0^*(p, \alpha, \beta)$ , we have

$$(2.5) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \{ [(n+2p-1) + \beta(n+2\alpha-1)] b_{p+n-1,j} \} \leq 2\beta(p-\alpha) b_{p-1,j},$$

for every  $j = 1, 2, \dots, q$ . Whence we obtain

$$(2.6) \quad b_{p+n-1,j} \leq \left( \frac{p+n-1}{p} \right)^{-1} b_{p-1,j},$$

for every  $j = 1, 2, \dots, q$ .

Using (2.2) for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ , (2.6) for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, q-1$ , and (2.5) for  $j = q$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \left( \frac{p+n-1}{p} \right)^{2m+q-1} [(n+2p-1) + \beta(n+2\alpha-1)] \right. \\ \left. \times \left( \prod_{i=1}^m a_{p+n-1,i} \cdot \prod_{j=1}^q b_{p+n-1,j} \right) \right\} \\ \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \left( \frac{p+n-1}{p} \right)^{2m+q-1} [(n+2p-1) + \beta(n+2\alpha-1)] \right. \\ \left. \times \left( \left( \frac{p+n-1}{p} \right)^{-2m} \left( \frac{p+n-1}{p} \right)^{-(q-1)} \prod_{i=1}^m a_{p-1,i} \prod_{j=1}^{q-1} b_{p-1,j} \right) b_{p+n-1,q} \right\} \\ = \left( \prod_{i=1}^m a_{p-1,i} \prod_{j=1}^{q-1} b_{p-1,j} \right) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \{ [(n+2p-1) + \beta(n+2\alpha-1)] b_{p+n-1,q} \} \\ \leq 2\beta(p-\alpha) \left( \prod_{i=1}^m a_{p-1,i} \prod_{j=1}^q b_{p-1,j} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $f_1 * f_2 * \dots * f_m * g_1 * g_2 * \dots * g_q(z) \in \Sigma_{2m+q-1}^*(p, \alpha, \beta)$ .  $\square$

We note that the required estimate can also be obtained by using (2.2) for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m-1$ , (2.6) for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, q$ , and (2.1) for  $i = m$ .

**Remark 1.** Putting  $p = 1$  in the above results, we obtain the results obtained by Mogra [10].

## REFERENCES

- [1] M.K. AOUF,  $p$ -Valent regular functions with negative coefficients of order  $\alpha$ , *Bull. Inst. Math. Acad. Sinica*, **17**(3) (1989), 255–267.
- [2] M.K. AOUF, Certain classes of meromorphic multivalent functions with positive coefficients, *Math. Comput. Modelling*, **47** (2008), 328–340.
- [3] M.K. AOUF, A. SHAMANDY AND M.F. YASSEN, Quasi-Hadamard product of  $p$ -valent functions, *Commun. Fac. Sci. Univ. Ank. Series A<sub>1</sub>*, **44** (1995), 35–40.
- [4] H.E. DARWISH, The quasi-Hadamard product of certain starlike and convex functions, *Applied Math. Letters*, **20** (2007), 692–695.
- [5] H.M. HOSSSEN, Quasi-Hadamard product of certain  $p$ -valent functions, *Demonstratio Math.*, **33**(2) (2000), 277–281.
- [6] V. KUMAR, Hadamard product of certain starlike functions, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **110** (1985), 425–428.
- [7] V. KUMAR, Hadamard product of certain starlike functions II, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **113** (1986), 230–234.
- [8] V. KUMAR, Quasi-Hadamard product of certain univalent functions, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **126** (1987), 70–77.
- [9] M.L. MOGRA, Meromorphic multivalent functions with positive coefficients. I, *Math. Japon.* **35**(1) (1990), 1–11.
- [10] M.L. MOGRA, Hadamard product of certain meromorphic starlike and convex functions, *Tamkang J. Math.*, **25**(2) (1994), 157–162.
- [11] S. OWA, On the classes of univalent functions with negative coefficients, *Math. Japon.*, **27**(4) (1982), 409–416.
- [12] S. OWA, On the starlike functions of order  $\alpha$  and type  $\beta$ , *Math. Japon.*, **27**(6) (1982), 723–735.
- [13] S. OWA, On the Hadamard products of univalent functions, *Tamkang J. Math.*, **14** (1983), 15–21.
- [14] T. SEKINE, On quasi-Hadamard products of  $p$ -valent functions with negative coefficients in: H. M. Srivastava and S. Owa (Editors), *Univalent Functions, Fractional Calculus, and Their Applications*, Halsted Press (Ellis Horwood Limited, Chichester), John Wiley and Sons, New York, Chichester, Brisbane and Toronto, 1989, 317–328.