Journal of Inequalities in Pure and Applied Mathematics

SOME RESULTS ON THE COMPLEX OSCILLATION THEORY OF DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS WITH POLYNOMIAL COEFFICIENTS

BENHARRAT BELAÏDI AND KARIMA HAMANI

Department of Mathematics Laboratory of Pure and Applied Mathematics University of Mostaganem B. P 227 Mostaganem-(Algeria)

EMail: belaidi@univ-mosta.dz

EMail: HamaniKarima@yahoo.fr



volume 5, issue 4, article 87, 2004.

Received 08 October, 2003; accepted 20 October, 2004.

Communicated by: H.M. Srivastava



©2000 Victoria University ISSN (electronic): 1443-5756 141-03

Abstract

In this paper, we study the possible orders of transcendental solutions of the differential equation $f^{(n)}+a_{n-1}\left(z\right)f^{(n-1)}+\cdots+a_1\left(z\right)f'+a_0\left(z\right)f=0$, where $a_0\left(z\right),\ldots,a_{n-1}\left(z\right)$ are nonconstant polynomials. We also investigate the possible orders and exponents of convergence of distinct zeros of solutions of non-homogeneous differential equation $f^{(n)}+a_{n-1}\left(z\right)f^{(n-1)}+\cdots+a_1\left(z\right)f'+a_0\left(z\right)f=b\left(z\right)$, where $a_0\left(z\right),\ldots,a_{n-1}\left(z\right)$ and $b\left(z\right)$ are nonconstant polynomials. Several examples are given.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 34M10, 34M05, 30D35.

Key words: Differential equations, Order of growth, Exponent of convergence of distinct zeros, Wiman-Valiron theory.

Contents

1	Introduction	3
2	Statement and Proof of Results	6
3	Proof of Theorem 2.1	9
4	Proof of Theorem 2.2	18
Re	ferences	



Some Results on the Complex Oscillation Theory of Differential Equations with Polynomial Coefficients

> Benharrat Belaïdi and Karima Hamani

> > Title Page

Contents









Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 2 of 22

1. Introduction

Throughout this paper, we assume that the reader is familiar with the fundamental results and the standard notations of the Nevanlinna value distribution theory of meromorphic functions (see [3]). Let $\sigma(f)$ denote the order of an entire function f, that is,

(1.1)
$$\sigma(f) = \overline{\lim}_{r \to +\infty} \frac{\log T(r, f)}{\log r} = \overline{\lim}_{r \to +\infty} \frac{\log \log M(r, f)}{\log r},$$

where $T\left(r,f\right)$ is the Nevanlinna characteristic function of f (see [3]), and $M\left(r,f\right)=\max_{|z|=r}|f\left(z\right)|$.

We recall the following definition.

Definition 1.1. Let f be an entire function. Then the exponent of convergence of distinct zeros of f(z) is defined by

(1.2)
$$\overline{\lambda}(f) = \overline{\lim_{r \to +\infty}} \frac{\log \overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right)}{\log r}.$$

We define the logarithmic measure of a set $E \subset [1, +\infty[$ by

$$lm(E) = \int_{1}^{+\infty} \frac{\chi_{E}(t) dt}{t},$$

where χ_E is the characteristic function of set E.

In the study of the differential equations,

$$(1.3) f'' + a_1(z) f' + a_0(z) f = 0, f'' + a_1(z) f' + a_0(z) f = b(z),$$

where $a_0(z)$, $a_1(z)$ and b(z) are nonconstant polynomials, Z.-X. Chen and C.-



Some Results on the Complex Oscillation Theory of Differential Equations with Polynomial Coefficients

> Benharrat Belaïdi and Karima Hamani

> > Title Page

Contents









Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 3 of 22

C. Yang proved the following results:

Theorem 1.1 ([1]). Let a_0 and a_1 be nonconstant polynomials with degrees $\deg a_j = n_j \ (j=0,1)$. Let f(z) be an entire solution of the differential equation

$$(1.4) f'' + a_1(z) f' + a_0(z) f = 0.$$

Then

- (i) If $n_0 \ge 2n_1$, then any entire solution $f \not\equiv 0$ of the equation (1.4) satisfies $\sigma(f) = \frac{n_0+2}{2}$.
- (ii) If $n_0 < n_1 1$, then any entire solution $f \not\equiv 0$ of (1.4) satisfies $\sigma(f) = n_1 + 1$.
- (iii) If $n_1 1 \le n_0 < 2n_1$, then any entire solution of (1.4) satisfies either $\sigma(f) = n_1 + 1$ or $\sigma(f) = n_0 n_1 + 1$.
- (iv) In (iii), if $n_0 = n_1 1$, then the equation (1.4) possibly has polynomial solutions, and any two polynomial solutions of (1.4) are linearly dependent, all the polynomial solutions have the form $f_c(z) = cp(z)$, where p is some polynomial, c is an arbitrary constant.

Theorem 1.2 ([1]). Let a_0 , a_1 and b be nonconstant polynomials with degrees $\deg a_j = n_j \ (j = 0, 1)$. Let $f \not\equiv 0$ be an entire solution of the differential equation

(1.5)
$$f'' + a_1(z) f' + a_0(z) f = b(z).$$

Then



Some Results on the Complex Oscillation Theory of Differential Equations with Polynomial Coefficients

> Benharrat Belaïdi and Karima Hamani

> > Title Page

Contents







Close

Quit

Page 4 of 22

(i) If
$$n_0 \geq 2n_1$$
, then $\overline{\lambda}(f) = \sigma(f) = \frac{n_0 + 2}{2}$.

(ii) If
$$n_0 < n_1 - 1$$
, then $\overline{\lambda}(f) = \sigma(f) = n_1 + 1$.

- (iii) If $n_1 1 < n_0 < 2n_1$, then $\overline{\lambda}(f) = \sigma(f) = n_1 + 1$ or $\overline{\lambda}(f) = \sigma(f) = n_0 n_1 + 1$, with at most one exceptional polynomial solution f_0 for three cases above.
- (iv) If $n_0 = n_1 1$, then every transcendental entire solution f satisfies $\overline{\lambda}(f) = \sigma(f) = n_1 + 1$ (or 0).

Remark 1. If the corresponding homogeneous equation of (1.5) has a polynomial solution p(z), then (1.5) may have a family of polynomial solutions $\{cp(z) + f_0(z)\}$ (f_0 is a polynomial solution of (1.5), c is a constant). If the corresponding homogeneous equation of (1.5) has no polynomial solution, then (1.5) has at most one polynomial solution.



Some Results on the Complex Oscillation Theory of Differential Equations with Polynomial Coefficients

Benharrat Belaïdi and Karima Hamani



2. Statement and Proof of Results

For $n \geq 2$, we consider the linear differential equation

$$(2.1) f^{(n)} + a_{n-1}(z) f^{(n-1)} + \dots + a_1(z) f' + a_0(z) f = 0,$$

where $a_0(z), \ldots, a_{n-1}(z)$ are nonconstant polynomials with degrees $\deg a_j = d_j$ $(j = 0, \ldots, n-1)$. It is well-known that all solutions of equation (2.1) are entire functions of finite rational order see [7], [6, pp. 106-108], [8, pp. 65-67]. It is also known [5, p. 127], that for any solution f of (2.1), we have

(2.2)
$$\sigma(f) \le 1 + \max_{0 \le k \le n-1} \frac{d_k}{n-k}.$$

Recently G. Gundersen, M. Steinbart and S. Wang have investigated the possible orders of solutions of equation (2.1) in [2]. In the present paper, we prove two theorems which are analogous to Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2 for higher order linear differential equations.

Theorem 2.1. Let $a_0(z), \ldots, a_{n-1}(z)$ be nonconstant polynomials with degrees $\deg a_j = d_j$ $(j = 0, 1, \ldots, n-1)$. Let f(z) be an entire solution of the differential equation

$$(2.3) f^{(n)} + a_{n-1}(z) f^{(n-1)} + \dots + a_1(z) f' + a_0(z) f = 0.$$

Then

(i) If $\frac{d_0}{n} \ge \frac{d_j}{n-j}$ holds for all j = 1, ..., n-1, then any entire solution $f \not\equiv 0$ of the equation (2.3) satisfies $\sigma(f) = \frac{d_0+n}{n}$.



Some Results on the Complex Oscillation Theory of Differential Equations with Polynomial Coefficients

> Benharrat Belaïdi and Karima Hamani

> > Title Page

Contents









Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 6 of 22

- (ii) If $d_j < d_{n-1} (n-j-1)$ holds for all j = 0, ..., n-2, then any entire solution $f \not\equiv 0$ of (2.3) satisfies $\sigma(f) = 1 + d_{n-1}$.
- (iii) If $d_j 1 \le d_{j-1} < d_j + d_{n-1}$ holds for all $j = 1, \ldots, n-1$ with $d_{j-1} d_j = \max_{0 \le k < j} \frac{d_k d_j}{j k}$ and $d_{j-1} d_j > \frac{d_k d_j}{j k}$ for all $0 \le k < j 1$, then the possible orders of any solution $f \not\equiv 0$ of (2.3) are:

$$1 + d_{n-1}$$
, $1 + d_{n-2} - d_{n-1}$, ..., $1 + d_{j-1} - d_j$, ..., $1 + d_0 - d_1$.

(iv) In (iii), if $d_{j-1} = d_j - 1$ for all j = 1, ..., n-1, then the equation (2.3) possibly has polynomial solutions, and any n polynomial solutions of (2.3) are linearly dependent, all the polynomial solutions have the form $f_c(z) = cp(z)$, where p is some polynomial, c is an arbitrary constant.

Theorem 2.2. Let $a_0(z), \ldots, a_{n-1}(z)$ and b(z) be nonconstant polynomials with degrees $\deg a_j = d_j$ $(j = 0, 1, \ldots, n-1)$. Let $f \not\equiv 0$ be an entire solution of the differential equation

$$(2.4) f^{(n)} + a_{n-1}(z) f^{(n-1)} + \dots + a_1(z) f' + a_0(z) f = b(z).$$

Then

(i) If
$$\frac{d_0}{n} \ge \frac{d_j}{n-j}$$
 holds for all $j = 1, \ldots, n-1$, then $\overline{\lambda}(f) = \sigma(f) = \frac{d_0+n}{n}$.

(ii) If
$$d_j < d_{n-1} - (n-j-1)$$
 holds for all $j = 0, \ldots, n-2$, then $\overline{\lambda}(f) = \sigma(f) = 1 + d_{n-1}$.



Some Results on the Complex Oscillation Theory of Differential Equations with Polynomial Coefficients

Benharrat Belaïdi and Karima Hamani

Title Page

Contents









Close

Quit

Page 7 of 22

- $\begin{array}{l} \mbox{(iii) If $d_j-1< d_{j-1}< d_j+d_{n-1}$ holds for all $j=1,\dots,n-1$ with $d_{j-1}-d_j$ = $\max_{0\leq k< j}\frac{d_k-d_j}{j-k}$ and $d_{j-1}-d_j>\frac{d_k-d_j}{j-k}$ for all $0\leq k< j-1$, then $\overline{\lambda}\left(f\right)=\sigma\left(f\right)=1+d_{n-1}$ or $\overline{\lambda}\left(f\right)=\sigma\left(f\right)=1+d_{n-2}-d_{n-1}$ or ... or $\overline{\lambda}\left(f\right)=\sigma\left(f\right)=1+d_0-d_1$, with at most one exceptional polynomial solution f_0 for three cases above.} \end{array}$
- (iv) If $d_{j-1}=d_j-1$ for some $j=1,\ldots,n-1$, then any transcendental entire solution f of (2.4) satisfies $\overline{\lambda}(f)=\sigma(f)=1+d_{n-1}$ or $\overline{\lambda}(f)=\sigma(f)=1+d_{n-2}-d_{n-1}$ or \ldots or $\overline{\lambda}(f)=\sigma(f)=1+d_j-d_{j+1}$ or $\overline{\lambda}(f)=\sigma(f)=1+d_{j-2}-d_{j-1}$ or \ldots or $\overline{\lambda}(f)=\sigma(f)=1+d_0-d_1$ (or 0).

Remark 2. If the corresponding homogeneous equation of (2.4) has a polynomial solution p(z), then (2.4) may have a family of polynomial solutions $\{cp(z) + f_0(z)\}$ (f_0 is a polynomial solution of (2.4), c is a constant). If the corresponding homogeneous equation of (2.4) has no polynomial solution, then (2.4) has at most one polynomial solution.



Some Results on the Complex Oscillation Theory of Differential Equations with Polynomial Coefficients

Benharrat Belaïdi and Karima Hamani

Title Page

Contents

Go Back

Close

Quit
Page 8 of 22

3. Proof of Theorem 2.1

Assume that f(z) is a transcendental entire solution of (2.3). First of all from the Wiman-Valiron theory (see [4] or [6]), it follows that there exists a set E_1 that has finite logarithmic measure, such that for all $j = 1, \ldots, n$ we have

(3.1)
$$\frac{f^{(j)}(z)}{f(z)} = \left(\frac{\nu_f(r)}{z}\right)^j (1 + o(1))$$

as $r \to +\infty$, $r \notin E_1$, where |z| = r and |f(z)| = M(r, f). Here $\nu_f(r)$ denotes the central index of f. Furthermore

$$(3.2) \nu_f(r) = (1 + o(1)) \alpha r^{\sigma}$$

as $r \to +\infty$, where $\sigma = \sigma(f)$ and α is a positive constant. Now we divide equation (2.3) by f, and then substitute (3.1) and (3.2) into (2.3). This yields an equation whose right side is zero and whose left side consists of a sum of (n+1) terms whose absolute values are asymptotic as $(r \to +\infty, r \notin E_1)$ to the following (n+1) terms:

(3.3)
$$\alpha^n r^{n(\sigma-1)}, \beta_{n-1} r^{d_{n-1}+(n-1)(\sigma-1)}, \dots, \beta_j r^{d_j+j(\sigma-1)}, \dots, \beta_0 r^{d_0}$$

where $\beta_j = \alpha^j |b_j|$ and $a_j = b_j z^{d_j} (1 + o(1))$ for each $j = 0, \dots, n-1$.

(i) If
$$\frac{d_0}{n} \ge \frac{d_j}{n-j}$$
 for all $j=1,\ldots,n-1$, then

(3.4)
$$\sigma(f) \le 1 + \max_{0 \le k \le n-1} \frac{d_k}{n-k} = 1 + \frac{d_0}{n}.$$



Some Results on the Complex Oscillation Theory of Differential Equations with Polynomial Coefficients

> Benharrat Belaïdi and Karima Hamani

> > Title Page

Contents









Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 9 of 22

Suppose that $\sigma(f) < 1 + \frac{d_0}{n}$, then we have

(3.5)
$$d_j + j(\sigma - 1) < \left(\frac{n - j}{n}\right)d_0 + j\frac{d_0}{n} = d_0$$

for all $j=1,\ldots,n-1$. Then the term in (3.3) with exponent d_0 is a dominant term as $(r\to +\infty,r\notin E_1)$. This is impossible. Hence $\sigma(f)=1+\frac{d_0}{r}$.

(ii) If
$$d_j < d_{n-1} - (n - j - 1)$$
 for all $j = 0, ..., n - 2$, then we have

(3.6)
$$\frac{d_j}{n-j} < \frac{d_{n-1} - (n-j-1)}{n-j} < \frac{d_{n-1}}{n-j} < d_{n-1}$$

for all $j=0,\ldots,n-2$. Hence $\max_{0\leq j\leq n-1}\frac{d_j}{n-j}=d_{n-1}$ and $\sigma\left(f\right)\leq 1+d_{n-1}.$ Suppose that $\sigma\left(f\right)<1+d_{n-1}.$ We have for all $j=0,\ldots,n-2,$

(3.7)
$$d_{j} + j (\sigma - 1) < d_{n-1} - (n - j - 1) + j (\sigma - 1)$$

 $< d_{n-1} - (n - j - 1) + j (\sigma - 1) + (n - j - 1) \sigma$
 $\le d_{n-1} + (n - 1) (\sigma - 1)$.

Then the term in (3.3) with exponent $d_{n-1} + (n-1)(\sigma - 1)$ is a dominant term as $(r \to +\infty, r \notin E_1)$. This is impossible. Hence $\sigma(f) = 1 + d_{n-1}$.

(iii) If $d_j - 1 \le d_{j-1} < d_j + d_{n-1}$ for all $j = 1, \ldots, n-1$ with $d_{j-1} - d_j = \max_{0 \le k < j} \frac{d_k - d_j}{j - k}$ and $d_{j-1} - d_j > \frac{d_k - d_j}{j - k}$ for all $0 \le k < j - 1$, then we have in this case

(3.8)
$$\max_{0 \le j \le n-1} \frac{d_j}{n-j} = d_{n-1}.$$



Some Results on the Complex Oscillation Theory of Differential Equations with Polynomial Coefficients

Benharrat Belaïdi and Karima Hamani

Title Page

Contents









Close

Quit

Page 10 of 22

Hence $\sigma(f) \leq 1 + d_{n-1}$. Set

(3.9)
$$\sigma_j = 1 + d_{j-1} - d_j$$
 $(j = 1, ..., n-1)$

and

$$(3.10) \sigma_n = 1 + d_{n-1}.$$

First, we prove that $\sigma_1 < \sigma_2 < \cdots < \sigma_{n-1} < \sigma_n$. From the conditions, we have

(3.11)
$$d_{j-1} - d_j > \frac{d_{j-2} - d_j}{2} \qquad (j = 2, \dots, n-1),$$

which yields

$$(3.12) -(j-2)d_{j-1}-d_j>d_{j-2}-jd_{j-1}.$$

Adding $(j-1) d_{j-1}$ to both sides of (3.12) gives

$$(3.13) d_{j-1} - d_j > d_{j-2} - d_{j-1} (j = 2, ..., n-1).$$

Hence $\sigma_{j-1} < \sigma_j$ for all $j=2,\ldots,n-1$. Furthermore, from the conditions, we have $d_{j-1}-d_j < d_{n-1}$ for all $j=1,\ldots,n-1$. Hence $\sigma_j < \sigma_n$ for all $j=1,\ldots,n-1$. Finally, we obtain that $\sigma_1 < \sigma_2 < \cdots < \sigma_{n-1} < \sigma_n$. Next suppose $\sigma_j < \sigma < \sigma_{j+1}$ for some $j=1,\ldots,n-1$.

(a) First we prove that if $\sigma > \sigma_j$ for some $j = 1, \ldots, n-1$, and k is any integer satisfying $0 \le k < j$, then $d_k + k(\sigma - 1) < d_j + j(\sigma - 1)$. Since

$$(3.14) d_k + k(\sigma - 1) = d_j + j(\sigma - 1) + d_k - d_j + (k - j)(\sigma - 1),$$



Some Results on the Complex Oscillation Theory of Differential Equations with Polynomial Coefficients

Benharrat Belaïdi and Karima Hamani

Title Page

Contents









Close

Quit

Page 11 of 22

we obtain

$$(3.15) d_k + k(\sigma - 1) < d_j + j(\sigma - 1) + d_k - d_j + (k - j)(\sigma_j - 1).$$

Now from the definition of σ_j in (3.9), we obtain

(3.16)
$$d_k - d_j + (k - j) (\sigma_j - 1) = (k - j) \left[d_{j-1} - d_j - \frac{d_k - d_j}{j - k} \right].$$

Since $0 \le k < j$, it follows from the conditions that

(3.17)
$$d_{j-1} - d_j \ge \frac{d_k - d_j}{j - k}.$$

Then from (3.16) and (3.17), we obtain that

(3.18)
$$d_k - d_j + (k - j)(\sigma_j - 1) \le 0.$$

Hence $d_k + k(\sigma - 1) < d_j + j(\sigma - 1)$ for all $0 \le k < j$.

(b) Now, we prove that if $\sigma < \sigma_{j+1}$ for some $j=0,\ldots,n-1$ and k is any integer satisfying $j < k \le n-1$, then $d_k + k (\sigma - 1) < d_j + j (\sigma - 1)$. First, remark that if k = j+1, then

$$d_{j+1} + (j+1)(\sigma - 1) = d_{j+1} + (\sigma - 1) + j(\sigma - 1)$$

$$< d_{j+1} + (\sigma_{j+1} - 1) + j(\sigma - 1)$$

$$= d_{j+1} + (d_j - d_{j+1}) + j(\sigma - 1)$$

$$\le d_j + j(\sigma - 1).$$



Some Results on the Complex Oscillation Theory of Differential Equations with Polynomial Coefficients

> Benharrat Belaïdi and Karima Hamani

> > Title Page

Contents









Close

Quit

Page 12 of 22

Hence

(3.19)
$$d_{j+1} + (j+1)(\sigma - 1) < d_j + j(\sigma - 1).$$

We have,

$$(3.20) \sigma < \sigma_{j+1} < \sigma_{j+2} < \cdots < \sigma_{n-1} < \sigma_n.$$

Then

(3.21)
$$d_{j+2} + (j+2)(\sigma-1) < d_{j+1} + (j+1)(\sigma-1)(\sigma < \sigma_{j+2})$$
 ...

$$d_{n-1} + (n-1)(\sigma - 1) < d_{n-2} + (n-2)(\sigma - 1)(\sigma < \sigma_{n-1}).$$

Therefore from (3.20) and by combining the inequalities in (3.19) and (3.21), we obtain that $d_k + k(\sigma - 1) < d_j + j(\sigma - 1)$ for all $j < k \le n - 1$. Furthermore

$$n(\sigma - 1) = (n - 1)(\sigma - 1) + (\sigma - 1) < (n - 1)(\sigma - 1) + d_{n-1}$$

since $\sigma < \sigma_n$ and from (3.21) and (3.19), we deduce that $n(\sigma-1) < d_j + j (\sigma-1)$. Then from a) and b), we obtain that if $\sigma_j < \sigma < \sigma_{j+1}$ for some $j=1,\ldots,n-1$, then $n(\sigma-1) < d_j + j (\sigma-1)$ and $d_k + k (\sigma-1) < d_j + j (\sigma-1)$ for any $k \neq j$. It follows that the term in (3.3) with exponent $d_j + j (\sigma-1)$ is a dominant term (as $r \to +\infty, r \notin E_1$). This is impossible. From b), it follows that if $\sigma < \sigma_1$, then $d_k + k (\sigma-1) < d_0$ for all $0 < k \leq n-1$ and



Some Results on the Complex Oscillation Theory of Differential Equations with Polynomial Coefficients

> Benharrat Belaïdi and Karima Hamani

> > Title Page

Contents









Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 13 of 22

 $n\left(\sigma-1\right) < d_0$. Hence the term in (3.3) with exponent d_0 is a dominant term (as $r \to +\infty, r \notin E_1$). This is impossible.

Finally, we deduce that the possible orders of f are

$$1+d_{n-1}$$
, $1+d_{n-2}-d_{n-1}$, ..., $1+d_{j-1}-d_j$, ..., $1+d_0-d_1$.

(iv) If $d_{j-1} = d_j - 1$ for all j = 1, ..., n-1, it is easy to see that (2.3) has possibly polynomial solutions. Now we discuss polynomial solutions of equation (2.3), if $f_1(z), ..., f_n(z)$ are linearly independent polynomial solutions, then by the well-known identity

(3.22)
$$\begin{vmatrix} f_1 & f_2 & f_n \\ f'_1 & f'_2 & f'_n \\ & \dots & \\ f_1^{(n-1)} & f_2^{(n-1)} & f_n^{(n-1)} \end{vmatrix} = C \exp\left\{-\int_0^z a_{n-1}(s) \, ds\right\},$$

where $C \neq 0$ is some constant, we obtain a contradiction. Therefore any n polynomial solutions are linearly dependent, hence all polynomial solutions have the form $f_c(z) = cp(z)$, where p is a polynomial and c is an arbitrary constant.

Next, we give several examples that illustrate the sharpness of Theorem 2.1.

Example 3.1. Consider the differential equation

$$(3.23) f''' - (6z+1) f'' + 3z (3z+1) f' - 2 (z^3 + z^2 - 1) f = 0.$$



Some Results on the Complex Oscillation Theory of Differential Equations with Polynomial Coefficients

Benharrat Belaïdi and Karima Hamani

Title Page

Contents







Close

Quit

Page 14 of 22

Set

$$a_2(z) = -(6z+1),$$
 $d_2 = 1;$
 $a_1(z) = 3z(3z+1),$ $d_1 = 2;$
 $a_0(z) = -2(z^3 + z^2 - 1),$ $d_0 = 3.$

We have $\frac{d_0}{3} \ge \frac{d_1}{2}$ and $\frac{d_0}{3} \ge \frac{d_2}{1}$. Hence, by Theorem 2.1(i), all transcendental solutions of equation (3.23) are of order $1 + \frac{d_0}{3} = 2$. We see for example that $f(z) = e^{z^2}$ is a solution of (3.23) with $\sigma(f) = 2$.

Example 3.2. Consider the differential equation

$$(3.24) f''' + zf'' + 2(z^2 - 8z - 1) f' - 3(9z^6 + 3z^5 + 2z^4 + 2z^3 + 2) f = 0.$$

Set

$$a_{2}(z) = z,$$
 $d_{2} = 1;$
 $a_{1}(z) = 2(z^{2} - 8z - 1),$ $d_{1} = 2;$
 $a_{0}(z) = -3(9z^{6} + 3z^{5} + 2z^{4} + 2z^{3} + 2),$ $d_{0} = 6.$

We have $\frac{d_0}{3} > \frac{d_1}{2}$ and $\frac{d_0}{3} > \frac{d_2}{1}$. Hence, by Theorem 2.1(i), all transcendental solutions of equation (3.24) are of order $1 + \frac{d_0}{3} = 3$. Remark that $f(z) = e^{z^3}$ is a solution of (3.24) with $\sigma(f) = 3$.

Example 3.3. Consider the differential equation

(3.25)
$$f'''' - 2zf''' - 4(z^2 + 1)f'' + 6z^3f' + 4(z^4 - 1)f = 0.$$



Some Results on the Complex Oscillation Theory of Differential Equations with Polynomial Coefficients

Benharrat Belaïdi and Karima Hamani



Close

Quit

Page 15 of 22

Set

$$a_3(z) = -2z,$$
 $d_3 = 1;$
 $a_2(z) = -4(z^2 + 1),$ $d_2 = 2;$
 $a_1(z) = 6z^3,$ $d_1 = 3;$
 $a_0(z) = 4(z^4 - 1),$ $d_0 = 4.$

We have $\frac{d_j}{4-j} \leq \frac{d_0}{4}$ for all j=1,2,3. Hence, by Theorem 2.1(i), all transcendental solutions of equation (3.25) are of order $1+\frac{d_0}{4}=2$. Remark that $f(z)=e^{z^2}$ is a solution of (3.25) with $\sigma(f)=2$.

Example 3.4. Consider the differential equation

$$(3.26) f''' + (z^2 + z - 1) f'' + (z^3 - z^2 - z + 1) f' - (z^3 + 1) f = 0.$$

Set

$$a_{2}(z) = z^{2} + z - 1,$$
 $d_{2} = 2;$
 $a_{1}(z) = z^{3} - z^{2} - z + 1,$ $d_{1} = 3;$
 $a_{0}(z) = -(z^{3} + 1),$ $d_{0} = 3.$

We have $d_1 - 1 < d_0 < d_1 + d_2$ and $d_2 - 1 < d_1 < 2d_2$, $d_1 - d_2 > \frac{d_0 - d_2}{2}$. Hence, by Theorem 2.1(iii), all possible orders of solutions of equation (3.26) are $1 + d_2 = 3$, $1 + d_1 - d_2 = 2$, $1 + d_0 - d_1 = 1$. For example $f(z) = e^z$ is a solution of (3.26) with $\sigma(f) = 1$.



Some Results on the Complex Oscillation Theory of Differential Equations with Polynomial Coefficients

Benharrat Belaïdi and Karima Hamani

Title Page

Contents









Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 16 of 22

Example 3.5. *The equation*

$$f''' + z^3 f'' - 2z^2 f' + 2zf = 0$$

has a polynomial solution $f_c(z) = c(z^2 + 2z)$ where c is a constant.

Example 3.6. The equation

$$f'''' - z (z^3 + 3z^2 + 2z + 1) f''' - z (z^2 + 3z + 1) f'' + 2 (z^2 + z + 1) f' + 6 (z + 1) f = 0$$

has a polynomial solution $f_c(z) = c(z^3 + 3z^2)$ where c is a constant.



Some Results on the Complex Oscillation Theory of Differential Equations with Polynomial Coefficients

Benharrat Belaïdi and Karima Hamani



4. Proof of Theorem 2.2

We assume that f(z) is a transcendental entire solution of (2.4). We adopt the argument as used in the proof of Theorem 2.1, and notice that when z satisfies |f(z)| = M(r, f) and $|z| \to +\infty$, $\left|\frac{b(z)}{f(z)}\right| \to 0$, we can prove that

- 1. if $\frac{d_0}{n} \geq \frac{d_j}{n-j}$ for all $j=1,\ldots,n-1$, then $\sigma(f)=\frac{d_0+n}{n}$;
- 2. if $d_j < d_{n-1} (n-j-1)$ for all j = 0, ..., n-2, then $\sigma(f) = 1 + d_{n-1}$;
- 3. if $d_j 1 < d_{j-1} < d_j + d_{n-1}$ for all $j = 1, \ldots, n-1$ with $d_{j-1} d_j = \max_{0 \le k < j} \frac{d_k d_j}{j k}$ and $d_{j-1} d_j > \frac{d_k d_j}{j k}$ for all $0 \le k < j-1$, then $\sigma(f) = 1 + d_{n-1}$ or $\sigma(f) = 1 + d_{n-2} d_{n-1}$ or ... or $\sigma(f) = 1 + d_{j-1} d_j$ or ... or $\sigma(f) = 1 + d_1 d_2$ or $\sigma(f) = 1 + d_0 d_1$.

We know that when $\frac{d_0}{n} \geq \frac{d_j}{n-j}$ for all $j=1,\ldots,n-1$ or $d_j < d_{n-1}-(n-j-1)$ for all $j=0,\ldots,n-2$ or $d_j-1 < d_{j-1} < d_j+d_{n-1}$ for all $j=1,\ldots,n-1$ with $d_{j-1}-d_j=\max_{0\leq k< j}\frac{d_k-d_j}{j-k}$ and $d_{j-1}-d_j>\frac{d_k-d_j}{j-k}$ for all $0\leq k< j-1$, every solution $f\not\equiv 0$ of the corresponding homogeneous equation of (2.4) is transcendental, so that the equation (2.4) has at most one exceptional polynomial solution, in fact if f_1 , f_2 ($f_2\not\equiv f_1$) are polynomial solutions of (2.4), then $f_1-f_2\not\equiv 0$ is a polynomial solution of the corresponding homogeneous equation of (2.4), this is a contradiction. When $d_{j-1}=d_j-1$ for some $j=1,\ldots,n-1$, if the corresponding homogeneous equation of (2.4) has no polynomial solution, then (2.4) has clearly at most one exceptional polynomial solution, if the corresponding homogeneous equation of (2.4) has



Some Results on the Complex Oscillation Theory of Differential Equations with Polynomial Coefficients

> Benharrat Belaïdi and Karima Hamani

> > Title Page

Contents









Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 18 of 22

a polynomial solution p(z), then (2.4) may have a family of polynomial solutions $\{cp(z) + f_0(z)\}$ (f_0 is a polynomial solution of (2.4), c is a constant). Now we prove $\overline{\lambda}(f) = \sigma(f)$ for a transcendental solution f of (2.4). Since b(z) is a polynomial which has only finitely many zeros, it follows that if z_0 is a zero of f(z) and $|z_0|$ is sufficiently large, then the order of zero at z_0 is less than or equal to n from (2.4). Hence

(4.1)
$$N\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) \le n\,\overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + O\left(\ln r\right).$$

By (2.4), we have

(4.2)
$$\frac{1}{f} = \frac{1}{b} \left(\frac{f^{(n)}}{f} + a_{n-1} \frac{f^{(n-1)}}{f} + \dots + a_1 \frac{f'}{f} + a_0 \right).$$

Hence

(4.3)
$$m\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) \le \sum_{j=1}^{k} m\left(r, \frac{f^{(j)}}{f}\right) + O\left(\ln r\right).$$

By $\sigma(f) < +\infty$, we have

(4.4)
$$m\left(r, \frac{f^{(j)}}{f}\right) = O\left(\ln r\right) \left(j = 1, \dots, n\right).$$

Then we get from (4.1), (4.3) and (4.4),

(4.5)
$$T(r,f) = T\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + O(1)$$
$$\leq n \, \overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + d\left(\log r\right)$$



Some Results on the Complex Oscillation Theory of Differential Equations with Polynomial Coefficients

> Benharrat Belaïdi and Karima Hamani

> > Title Page

Contents









Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 19 of 22

where d(>0) is a constant. By (4.5), we have $\sigma(f) \leq \overline{\lambda}(f)$. On the other hand, we have

$$(4.6) \overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) \le N\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) \le N\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + m\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right)$$

since $m\left(r,\frac{1}{f}\right)$ is a positive function. Hence

(4.7)
$$\overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) \le T\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) = T\left(r, f\right) + O\left(1\right).$$

From (4.7), we obtain $\overline{\lambda}\left(f\right) \leq \sigma\left(f\right)$. Therefore, $\overline{\lambda}\left(f\right) = \sigma\left(f\right)$.

Next, we give several examples that illustrate the sharpness of Theorem 2.2.

Example 4.1. Consider the differential equation

(4.8)
$$f''' - (6z+1) f'' + 3z (3z+1) f' - 2 (z^3 + z^2 - 1) f$$
$$= z (-2z^3 - 2z^2 + 9z + 5).$$

By Theorem 2.2(i), every entire transcendental solution of equation (4.8) is of order $1 + \frac{d_0}{3} = 2$. Remark that $f(z) = z + e^{z^2}$ is a solution of (4.8) with $\sigma(f) = \overline{\lambda}(f) = 2$.

Example 4.2. Consider the differential equation

(4.9)
$$f'''' - 2zf''' - 4(z^2 + 1)f'' + 6z^3f' + 4(z^4 - 1)f$$

= $4(z^6 + 3z^4 - 3z^2 - 2)$.



Some Results on the Complex Oscillation Theory of Differential Equations with Polynomial Coefficients

> Benharrat Belaïdi and Karima Hamani

Title Page

Contents

Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 20 of 22

From Theorem 2.2(i), it follows that every entire transcendental solution of equation (4.9) is of order $1 + \frac{d_0}{4} = 2$. We have $f(z) = z^2 + e^{z^2}$ is a solution of (4.9) with $\sigma(f) = \overline{\lambda}(f) = 2$.

Example 4.3. Consider the differential equation

(4.10)
$$f''' + (z^2 + z - 1) f'' + (z^3 - z^2 - z + 1) f' - (z^3 + 1) f$$

= $z^4 - z^3 + z^2 + 2z - 1$.

If f is a solution of equation (4.10), then by Theorem 2.2(iii), it follows that $\sigma(f) = \overline{\lambda}(f) = 3$ or $\sigma(f) = \overline{\lambda}(f) = 2$ or $\sigma(f) = \overline{\lambda}(f) = 1$. We have for example $f(z) = -z + e^z$ is a solution of (4.10) with $\sigma(f) = \overline{\lambda}(f) = 1$.

Example 4.4. The equation

$$f''' + (z^3 + z^2 + z + 1) f'' - (2z^2 + 2z + 1) f' + 2(z + 1) f = 2(z + 1)$$

has a family of polynomial solutions $\{c(z^2+2z)+1\}$ (c is a constant).

Example 4.5. The equation

$$f''' + (z^3 + z^2 + z + 1) f'' - (2z^2 + 2z + 1) f' + 2(z + 1) f = 4z + 3$$

has a family of polynomial solutions $\{c(z^2+2z)+z+2\}$ (c is a constant).



Some Results on the Complex Oscillation Theory of Differential Equations with Polynomial Coefficients

Benharrat Belaïdi and Karima Hamani

Title Page

Contents









Close

Quit

Page 21 of 22

References

- [1] Z.-X. CHEN AND C.-C. YANG, Some further results on the zeros and growths of entire solutions of second order linear differential equations, *Kodai Math. J.*, **22** (1999), 273–285.
- [2] G. GUNDERSEN, M. STEINBART AND S. WANG, The possible orders of solutions of linear differential equations with polynomial coefficients, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **350** (1998), 1225–1247.
- [3] W.K. HAYMAN, *Meromorphic Functions*, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1964.
- [4] W.K. HAYMAN, The local growth of power series: a survey of the Wiman-Valiron method, *Canad. Math. Bull.*, **17** (1974), 317–358.
- [5] I. LAINE, *Nevanlinna Theory and Complex Differential Equations*, Walter de Gruyter, Berlin-New York, 1993.
- [6] G. VALIRON, *Lectures on the General Theory of Integral Functions*, translated by E. F. Collingwood, Chelsea, New York, 1949.
- [7] H. WITTICH, Über das Anwachsen der Lösungen linearer differentialgleichungen, *Math. Ann.*, **124** (1952), 277–288.
- [8] H. WITTICH, Neuere Untersuchungen über eindeutige analytische Funktionen, 2nd Edition, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1968.



Some Results on the Complex Oscillation Theory of Differential Equations with Polynomial Coefficients

> Benharrat Belaïdi and Karima Hamani

Title Page

Contents

Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 22 of 22