



## AN APPLICATION OF SUBORDINATION ON HARMONIC FUNCTION

H.A. AL-KHARSANI

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
GIRLS COLLEGE  
P.O. BOX 838, DAMMAM, SAUDI ARABIA  
hakh73@hotmail.com

*Received 28 June, 2006; accepted 15 May, 2007*

*Communicated by A. Sofo*

---

**ABSTRACT.** The purpose of this paper is to obtain sufficient bound estimates for harmonic functions belonging to the classes  $S_H^*[A, B]$ ,  $K_H[A, B]$  defined by subordination, and we give some convolution conditions. Finally, we examine the closure properties of the operator  $D^n$  on these classes under the generalized Bernardi integral operator.

---

*Key words and phrases:* Harmonic, Univalent, Subordination, Convex, Starlike, Close-to-convex.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 30C45; Secondary 58E20.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

A continuous function  $f = u + iv$  is a complex-valued harmonic function in a complex domain  $C$  if both  $u$  and  $v$  are real harmonic in  $C$ . In any simply connected domain  $D \subset C$ , we can write  $f = h + \bar{g}$ , where  $h$  and  $g$  are analytic in  $D$ . We call  $h$  the analytic part and  $g$  the co-analytic part of  $f$ . A necessary and sufficient condition for  $f$  to be locally univalent and orientation-preserving in  $D$  is that  $|g'(z)| < |h'(z)|$  in  $D$  [2].

We denote by  $S_H$  the family of functions  $f = h + \bar{g}$  which are harmonic univalent and orientation-preserving in the open disk  $U = \{z : |z| < 1\}$  so that  $f = h + \bar{g}$  is normalized by  $f(0) = h(0) = f_z(0) - 1 = 0$ . Therefore, for  $f = h + \bar{g} \in S_H$ , we can express the analytic functions  $h$  and  $g$  by the following power series expansion:

$$(1.1) \quad h(z) = z + \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} a_m z^m, \quad g(z) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} b_m z^m.$$

Note that the family  $S_H$  of orientation-preserving, normalized harmonic univalent functions reduces to the class  $S$  of normalized analytic univalent functions if the co-analytic part of  $f = h + \bar{g}$  is identically zero.

Let  $K, S^*, C, K_H, S_H^*$  and  $C_H$  denote the respective subclasses of  $S$  and  $S_H$  where the images of  $f(u)$  are convex, starlike and close-to-convex.

A function  $f(z)$  is subordinate to  $F(z)$  in the disk  $U$  if there exists an analytic function  $w(z)$  with  $w(0) = 0$  and  $|w(z)| < 1$  such that  $f(z) = F(w(z))$  for  $|z| < 1$ . This is written as  $f(z) \prec F(z)$ .

Let  $K[A, B], S^*[A, B]$  denote the subclasses of  $S$  defined as follows:

$$S^*[A, B] = \left\{ f \in S, \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \prec \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz}, \quad -1 \leq B < A \leq 1 \right\},$$

$$K[A, B] = \left\{ f \in S, \frac{(zf'(z))'}{f'(z)} \prec \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz}, \quad -1 \leq B < A \leq 1 \right\}.$$

We now introduce the following subclasses of harmonic functions in terms of subordination.

Let  $f = h + \bar{g} \in S_H$  such that

$$(1.2) \quad \varphi(z) = \frac{h(z) - g(z)}{1 - b_1},$$

$$(1.3) \quad \psi(z) = \frac{h(z) - e^{i\theta}g(z)}{1 - e^{i\theta}b_1}, \quad 0 \leq \theta < 2\pi,$$

and let  $-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$ , then we can construct the classes  $K_H[A, B], S_H^*[A, B]$  using subordination as follows:

$$K_H[A, B] = \left\{ f \in S_H, \frac{(z\psi'(z))'}{\psi'(z)} \prec \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz} \right\},$$

$$S_H^*[A, B] = \left\{ f \in S_H, \frac{z\varphi'(z)}{\varphi(z)} \prec \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz} \right\}.$$

Let  $D^n$  denote the  $n$ -th Ruscheweh derivative of a power series  $t(z) = z + \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} t_m z^m$  which is given by

$$D^n t = \frac{z}{(1-z)^{n+1}} * t(z)$$

$$= z + \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} C(n, m) t_m z^m,$$

where

$$C(n, m) = \frac{(n+1)_{m-1}}{(m-1)!} = \frac{(n+1)(n+2)\cdots(n+m-1)}{(m-1)!}.$$

In [5], the operator  $D^n$  was defined on the class of harmonic functions  $S_H$  as follows:

$$D^n f = D^n h + \overline{D^n g}.$$

The purpose of this paper is to obtain sufficient bound estimates for harmonic functions belonging to the classes  $S_H^*[A, B], K_H[A, B]$ , and we give some convolution conditions. Finally, we examine the closure properties of the operator  $D^n$  on the above classes under the generalized Bernardi integral operator.

## 2. PRELIMINARY RESULTS

Cluni and Sheil-Small [2] proved the following results:

**Lemma 2.1.** *If  $h, g$  are analytic in  $U$  with  $|h'(0)| > |g'(0)|$  and  $h + \epsilon g$  is close-to-convex for each  $\epsilon, |\epsilon| = 1$ , then  $f = h + \bar{g}$  is harmonic close-to-convex.*

**Lemma 2.2.** *If  $f = h + \bar{g}$  is locally univalent in  $U$  and  $h + \epsilon g$  is convex for some  $\epsilon, |\epsilon| \leq 1$ , then  $f$  is univalent close-to-convex.*

A domain  $D$  is called convex in the direction  $\gamma$  ( $0 \leq \gamma < \pi$ ) if every line parallel to the line through 0 and  $e^{i\gamma}$  has a connected intersection with  $D$ . Such a domain is close-to-convex. The convex domains are those that are convex in every direction.

We will make use of the following result which may be found in [2]:

**Lemma 2.3.** *A function  $f = h + \bar{g}$  is harmonic convex if and only if the analytic functions  $h(z) - e^{i\gamma}g(z)$ ,  $0 \leq \gamma < 2\pi$ , are convex in the direction  $\frac{\gamma}{2}$  and  $f$  is suitably normalized.*

Necessary and sufficient conditions were found in [2, 1] and [4] for functions to be in  $K_H$ ,  $S_H^*$  and  $C_H$ . We now give some sufficient conditions for functions in the classes  $S_H^*[A, B]$  and  $K_H[A, B]$ , but first we need the following results:

**Lemma 2.4** ([7]). *If  $q(z) = z + \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} C_m z^m$  is analytic in  $U$ , then  $q$  maps onto a starlike domain if  $\sum_{m=2}^{\infty} m|C_m| \leq 1$  and onto convex domains if  $\sum_{m=2}^{\infty} m^2|C_m| \leq 1$ .*

**Lemma 2.5** ([4]). *If  $f = h + \bar{g}$  with*

$$\sum_{m=2}^{\infty} m|a_m| + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m|b_m| \leq 1,$$

*then  $f \in C_H$ . The result is sharp.*

**Lemma 2.6** ([4]). *If  $f = h + \bar{g}$  with*

$$\sum_{m=2}^{\infty} m^2|a_m| + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m^2|b_m| \leq 1,$$

*then  $f \in K_H$ . The result is sharp.*

**Lemma 2.7** ([6]). *A function  $f(z) \in S$  is in  $S^*[A, B]$  if*

$$\sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \{m(1+A) - (1+B)\} |a_m| \leq A - B,$$

*where  $-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$ .*

**Lemma 2.8** ([6]). *A function  $f(z) \in S$  is in  $K[A, B]$  if*

$$\sum_{m=2}^{\infty} m \{m(1+A) - (1+B)\} |a_m| \leq A - B,$$

*where  $-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$ .*

**Lemma 2.9** ([3]). *Let  $h$  be convex univalent in  $U$  with  $h(0) = 1$  and  $\operatorname{Re}(\lambda h(z) + \mu) > 0$  ( $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{C}$ ). If  $p$  is analytic in  $U$  with  $p(0) = 1$ , then*

$$p(z) + \frac{zp'(z)}{\lambda p(z) + \mu} \prec h(z) \quad (z \in U)$$

*implies*

$$p(z) \prec h(z) \quad (z \in U).$$

### 3. MAIN RESULTS

**Theorem 3.1.** *If*

$$(3.1) \quad \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \{m(1+A) - (1+B)\} |a_m| + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \{m(1+A) - (1+B)\} |b_m| \leq A - B,$$

*then*  $f \in S_H^*[A, B]$ . *The result is sharp.*

*Proof.* From the definition of  $S_H^*[A, B]$ , we need only to prove that  $\phi(z) \in S^*[A, B]$ , where  $\phi(z)$  is given by (1.2) such that

$$\phi(z) = z + \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \left( \frac{a_m - b_m}{1 - b_1} \right) z^m.$$

Using Lemma 2.7, we have

$$\sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \frac{\{m(1+A) - (1+B)\}}{A - B} \left| \frac{a_m - b_m}{1 - b_1} \right| \leq \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \frac{\{m(1+A) - (1+B)\}}{A - B} \left( \frac{|a_m| + |b_m|}{1 - |b_1|} \right) \leq 1$$

if and only if (3.1) holds and hence we have the result.

The harmonic function

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(A - B)\{m(1+A) - (1+B)\}} x_m z^m + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(A - B)\{m(1+A) - (1+B)\}} \bar{y}_m \bar{z}^m \left( \text{where } \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} |x_m| + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} |y_m| = A - B - 1 \right)$$

shows that the coefficient bound given by (3.1) is sharp.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.2.** *If*  $A = 1$ ,  $B = -1$ , *then we have the coefficient bound given in [1] with a different approach.*

**Theorem 3.3.** *If*  $f = h + \bar{g}$  *with*

$$\sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \{m(1+A) - (1+B)\} |a_m| C(n, m) + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \{m(1+A) - (1+B)\} |b_m| C(n, m) \leq A - B,$$

*then*  $D^n f = H + \bar{G} \in S_H^*[A, B]$ . *The function*

$$f(z) = z + \frac{(1 + \delta)(A - B)}{\{m(1+A) - (1+B)\} C(n, m)} \bar{z}^m, \quad \delta > 0$$

*shows that the result is sharp.*

**Corollary 3.4.** *If*  $A = 1$ ,  $B = -1$ , *then we have the coefficient bound given in Theorem 3.1,  $\alpha = 0$  [5] with a different approach.*

**Theorem 3.5.** *If*

$$(3.2) \quad \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} m\{m(1+A) - (1+B)\}|a_m| + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m\{m(1+A) - (1+B)\}|b_m| \leq A - B,$$

then  $f \in K_H[A, B]$ . *The result is sharp.*

*Proof.* From the definition of the class  $K_H[A, B]$  and the coefficient bound of  $K[A, B]$  given in Lemma 2.8, we have the result. The function

$$f(z) = z + \frac{(1+\delta)(A-B)}{m\{m(1+A) - (1+B)\}} \bar{z}^m, \quad \delta > 0$$

shows that the upper bound in (3.2) cannot be improved.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.6.** *If  $f = h + \bar{g}$  with*

$$\sum_{m=2}^{\infty} m\{m(1+A) - (1+B)\}C(n, m)|a_m| + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m\{m(1+A) - (1+B)\}C(n, m)|b_m| \leq A - B,$$

then  $D^n f \in K_H[A, B]$ . *The function*

$$f = z + \frac{(1+\delta)(A-B)}{m\{m(1+A) - (1+B)\}C(n, m)} \bar{z}^m, \quad \delta > 0$$

shows that the result is sharp.

**Corollary 3.7.** *If  $n = 0$ ,  $A = 1$ ,  $B = -1$ , we have Theorem 3 in [4] and if  $A = 1$ ,  $B = -1$ , we have Theorem 2 in [5].*

In the next two theorems, we give necessary and sufficient convolution conditions for functions in  $S_H^*[A, B]$  and  $K_H[A, B]$ .

**Theorem 3.8.** *Let  $f = h + \bar{g} \in S_H$ . Then  $f \in S_H^*[A, B]$  if*

$$h(z) * \left( \frac{z + \frac{(\xi-A)}{A-B} z^2}{(1-z)^2} \right) + \epsilon B \overline{g(z)} \left( \frac{\xi \bar{z} - \frac{(-1-A\xi)}{A-B} \bar{z}^2}{(1-\bar{z})^2} \right) \neq 0, \quad |\xi| = 1, \quad 0 < |z| < 1.$$

*Proof.* Let  $S(z) = \frac{h(z)-g(z)}{1-b_1}$ , then  $S \in S^*[A, B]$  if and only if

$$\frac{zS'}{S} \prec \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz}$$

or

$$\frac{zS'(z)}{S(z)} \neq \frac{1+Ae^{i\theta}}{1+Be^{i\theta}}, \quad 0 \leq \theta < 2\pi, \quad z \in U.$$

It follows that

$$\left[ zS'(z) - S(z) \frac{1+Ae^{i\theta}}{1+Be^{i\theta}} \right] \neq 0.$$

Since  $zS'(z) = S(z) * \frac{z}{(1-z)^2}$ , the above inequality is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.3) \quad 0 &\neq S(z) * \left[ \frac{z}{(1-z)^2} - \frac{1 + Ae^{i\theta}}{1 + Be^{i\theta}} \frac{z}{1-z} \right] \\
 &= \frac{1}{\lambda e^{it}} \left\{ S(z) * \left[ \frac{z + \frac{(-e^{-i\theta} - A)}{A-B} z^2}{(-e^{-i\theta} - B)(1-z)^2} \right] \right\}, \quad 1 - b_1 = \lambda e^{it} \\
 &= \frac{1}{\lambda e^{it}} \left\{ h(z) * \left( \frac{z + \frac{(-e^{-i\theta} - A)}{A-B} z^2}{(-e^{-i\theta} - B)(1-z)^2} \right) - g(z) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. * \left( \frac{\left\{ \frac{z + (-e^{-i\theta} - A)z^2}{(A-B)(e^{-i\theta}/B)} \right\}}{(1-z)^2(-B - e^{i\theta})} \right) \right\} \\
 &= \frac{1}{\lambda} \left\{ h(z) * \left( \frac{z + \frac{(-e^{-i\theta} - A)}{A-B} z^2}{(1-z)^2 e^{it}} \right) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - g(z) * \left( \frac{Be^{i\theta}z + \frac{B(-e^{-i\theta} - A)e^{i\theta}}{A-B} z^2}{e^{it}(-B - e^{i\theta})(1-z)^2} \right) \right\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Now, if  $z_1 - z_2 \neq 0$  and  $|z_1| \neq |z_2|$ , then  $z_1 - \epsilon \bar{z}_2 \neq 0$ ,  $|\epsilon| = 1$ , i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \frac{1}{\lambda(-B - e^{-i\theta})} \left[ h(z) * \left( \frac{z + \frac{(-e^{-i\theta} - A)}{A-B} z^2}{(1-z)^2 e^{it}} \right) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - \epsilon \overline{g(z)} * \left( \frac{Be^{+i\theta}z + \frac{(-1 - Ae^{i\theta})B}{A-B} z^2}{e^{it}(-B - e^{i\theta})(1-z)^2} \right) \right] \\
 &= \frac{1}{\lambda(-B - e^{-i\theta})} \left[ h(z) * \left( \frac{z + \frac{(-e^{-i\theta} - A)}{A-B} z^2}{(1-z)^2 e^{it}} \right) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - \epsilon \overline{g(z)} * \left( \frac{(-B)(-e^{-i\theta}\bar{z} + \frac{B(-1 - Ae^{-i\theta})}{A-B}\bar{z}^2)}{(1-\bar{z})^2 e^{-it}} \right) \right].
 \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\arg(1 - b_1) = t \neq \pi$ , we obtain the result and the proof is thus completed.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.9.** *If  $A = 1$ ,  $B = 1$  and  $\epsilon = 1$ , then we have Theorem 2.6 in [1] with a different approach.*

**Theorem 3.10.** *Let  $f = h + \bar{g} \in S_H$ . Then  $f \in K_H[A, B]$  if and only if*

$$\begin{aligned}
 h(z) * \left[ \frac{z + \frac{2\xi - A - B}{A-B} z^2}{(1-z)^3} \right] + \epsilon \overline{g(z)} * \left[ \frac{\xi \bar{z} - \frac{-2 + (A+B)\xi}{A-B} \bar{z}^2}{(1-\bar{z})^3} \right] &\neq 0 \\
 |\epsilon| = 1, |\xi| = 1, \quad 0 < |z| < 1
 \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Let  $\psi(z) = \frac{h(z) - e^{i\gamma} g(z)}{1 - e^{i\gamma} b_1}$ ,  $0 \leq \gamma < 2\pi$  and  $1 - e^{i\gamma} b_1 = \lambda e^{it}$ , then from (1.3) and (3.3),  $z\psi'(z) \in S_H^*[A, B]$  if and only if

$$z\psi'(z) * \left[ \frac{z + \frac{(-e^{-i\theta} - A)}{A-B} z^2}{(-e^{-i\theta} - B)(1-z)^2} \right] \neq 0$$

i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned}
 0 &\neq \frac{1}{\lambda e^{it}} \left[ zh' * \left\{ \frac{z + \frac{(-e^{-i\theta}-A)}{A-B} z^2}{(-e^{-i\theta}-B)(1-z)^2} \right\} - \epsilon z g' * \left\{ \frac{z + \frac{(-e^{-i\theta}-A)}{A-B} z^2}{(-e^{-i\theta}-B)(1-z)^2} \right\} \right] \\
 &= \frac{1}{\lambda e^{it}} \left[ h(z) * \left\{ \frac{z + \frac{(-e^{-i\theta}-A)}{A-B} z^2}{(1-z)^2(-e^{-i\theta}-B)} \right\}' - \epsilon g(z) * \left\{ \frac{z + \frac{(-e^{-i\theta}-A)}{A-B} z^2}{(1-z)^2(-e^{-i\theta}-B)} \right\} \right] \\
 &= \frac{1}{\lambda e^{it}} \left[ h(z) * \left( \frac{z + \frac{-2e^{-i\theta}-A-B}{A-B} z^2}{(1-z)^3(-e^{-i\theta}-B)} \right) - \epsilon g(z) * \left( \frac{z + \frac{-2e^{-i\theta}-A-B}{A-B} z^2}{(1-z)^3(-e^{-i\theta}-B)} \right) \right] \\
 &= \frac{1}{\lambda} \left[ h(z) * \left( \frac{z + \frac{-2e^{-i\theta}-A-B}{A-B} z^2}{e^{it}(1-z)^3(-e^{-i\theta}-B)} \right) - \epsilon g(z) * \left( \frac{z + \frac{-2e^{-i\theta}-A-B}{A-B} z^2}{e^{it}(1-z)^3(-B-e^{-i\theta})\frac{e^{-i\theta}}{B}} \right) \right] \\
 &= \frac{1}{\lambda} \left[ h(z) * \frac{z + \frac{-2e^{-i\theta}-A-B}{A-B} z^2}{e^{it}(1-z)^3(-e^{-i\theta}-B)} - \overline{\epsilon g(z)} * \left( \frac{Be^{i\theta}z + \frac{-2B-(A+B)Be^{i\theta}}{A-B} z^2}{e^{it}(1-z)^3(-B-e^{i\theta})} \right) \right] \\
 &= \frac{1}{\lambda} \left[ h(z) * \frac{z + \frac{-2e^{-i\theta}-A-B}{A-B}}{e^{it}(1-z)^3(-e^{-i\theta}-B)} - \overline{\epsilon g(z)} * \left( \frac{(-B)(-e^{-i\theta})\bar{z} + \frac{-2B-(A+B)Be^{-i\theta}}{A-B} \bar{z}^2}{e^{-it}(-B-e^{-i\theta})(1-\bar{z})^3} \right) \right] \\
 &= \frac{1}{\lambda} \left[ h(z) * \frac{z + \frac{-2e^{-i\theta}-A-B}{A-B}}{e^{it}(1-z)^3(e^{-i\theta}-B)} + \epsilon B \overline{g(z)} * \left( \frac{(-e^{-i\theta})\bar{z} - \frac{-2+(A+B)(-e^{-i\theta})}{A-B} \bar{z}^2}{e^{-it}(-B-e^{-i\theta})(1-\bar{z})^3} \right) \right],
 \end{aligned}$$

and we have the result. □

**Corollary 3.11.** *If  $A = 1, B = -1, \epsilon = -1$ , then we have Theorem 2.7 of [1].*

**Theorem 3.12.** *If  $f = h + \bar{g} \in S_H$  with*

$$(3.4) \quad \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} mC(n, m)|a_m| + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} mC(n, m)|b_m| \leq 1,$$

*then  $D^n f = H + \bar{G} \in C_H$ . The result is sharp.*

*Proof.* The result follows immediately. Using Lemma 2.5, the function

$$f(z) = z + \frac{1 + \delta}{mC(n, m)} \bar{z}^m, \quad \delta > 0$$

shows that the upper bound in (3.4) cannot be improved. □

**Theorem 3.13.** *If  $f = h + \bar{g}$  is locally univalent with  $\sum_{m=2}^{\infty} m^2 C(n, m)|a_m| \leq 1$ , then  $D^n f \in C_H$ .*

*Proof.* Take  $\epsilon = 0$  in Lemma 2.2 and apply Lemma 2.4. □

**Corollary 3.14.**  *$D^n f = H + \bar{G} \in C_H$  if  $|G'(z)| \leq \frac{1}{2}$  and  $\sum_{m=2}^{\infty} m^2 C(n, m)|a_m| \leq 1$ .*

*Proof.* The function  $D^n f$  is locally univalent if  $|H'(z)| > |G'(z)|$  for  $z \in U$ . Since

$$2 \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} mC(n, m)|a_m| \leq \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} m^2 C(n, m)|a_m| \leq 1,$$

we have

$$|H'(z)| > 1 - \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} m|a_m|C(n, m) \geq \frac{1}{2}.$$

□

**Corollary 3.15.** *If  $h(z) \in K$  and  $w(z)$  is analytic with  $|w(z)| < 1$ , then*

$$f(z) = D^n h(z) + \int_0^z w(t)(D^n h(t))' dt \in C_H.$$

**Theorem 3.16.** *Let  $f = h + \bar{g} \in S_H$ . If  $D^{n+1}f \in R$ , then  $D^n f \in R$ , where  $R$  can be  $S_H^*[A, B]$  or  $K_H[A, B]$  or  $C_H$ .*

*Proof.* We can prove the result when  $R \equiv S_H^*[A, B]$ . If  $D^{n+1}f \in S_H^*[A, B]$ , then  $D^{n+1} \left[ \frac{h-g}{1-b_1} \right] \in S^*[A, B]$  and  $|D^{n+1}h| > |D^{n+1}g|$ . Using Lemma 2.9, we have

$$D^n \left[ \frac{h-g}{1-b_1} \right] \in S^*[A, B].$$

Since

$$|D^{n+1}h| = \left| z \left( \frac{z}{(1-z)^{n+1}} * h \right)' \right| = \left| z \left\{ \frac{1}{z} \frac{z}{(1-z)^{n+1}} * h' \right\} \right|,$$

this implies  $|D^n h| > |D^n g|$ , or  $D^n(h) + \overline{D^n g} \in S_H^*[A, B]$  and we have the result. □

**Theorem 3.17.** *Let  $f = h + \bar{g} \in S_H$  and let  $F_c(f) = \frac{1+c}{z^c} \int_0^z t^{c-1} f(t) dt$ . If  $D^n f \in R$ , then  $D^n F_c(f) \in R$ , where  $R$  can be  $S_H^*[A, B]$  or  $K_H[A, B]$  or  $C_H$ .*

*Proof.* If  $D^n f \in S_H^*[A, B]$ , then  $D^n \left( \frac{h-g}{1-b_1} \right) \in S^*[A, B]$ . Using Lemma 2.9, we have  $D^n F_c(f) \in S^*[A, B]$ . That is,  $D^n F_c \left( \frac{h-g}{1-b_1} \right) \in S^*[A, B]$  or  $D^n F_c(h) - D^n F_c(g) \in S^*[A, B]$ . Since  $|D^n F_c(h)| > |D^n F_c(g)|$ , then  $D^n F_c(f) \in S_H^*[A, B]$ . □

## REFERENCES

- [1] O.P. AHUJA, J.M. JAHANGIRI AND H. SILVERMAN, Convolutions for special classes of harmonic univalent functions, *Appl. Math. Lett.*, **16** (2003), 905–909.
- [2] J. CLUNI AND T. SHEIL-SMALL, Harmonic univalent functions, *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A.I. Math.*, **9** (1984), 3–25.
- [3] P. ENIGENBERG, S.S. MILLER, P.T. MOCANU AND M.O. READE, On a Briot-Bouquet differential subordination, *General Inequalities*, Birkhäuser, Basel, 3 (1983), 339–348.
- [4] J. JAHANGIRI AND H. SILVERMAN, Harmonic close-to-convex mappings, *J. of Applied Mathematics and Stochastic Analysis*, **15**(1) (2002), 23–28.
- [5] G. MURUGUSUNDARAMOORTHY, A class of Ruscheweyh-type harmonic univalent functions with varying arguments, *South West J. of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, **2** (2003), 90–95.
- [6] H. SILVERMAN AND E.M. SILVIA, Subclasses of starlike functions subordinate to convex functions, *Canad. J. Math.*, **37** (1985), 48–61.
- [7] H. SILVERMAN, Univalent functions with negative coefficients, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **51** (1975), 109–116.