A MULTINOMIAL EXTENSION OF AN INEQUALITY OF HABER

HACÈNE BELBACHIR

USTHB/ Faculté de Mathématiques BP 32, El Alia, 16111 Bab Ezzouar

Alger, Algeria.

EMail: hbelbachir@usthb.dz

Received: 10 July, 2008

Accepted: 17 September, 2008

Communicated by: L. Tóth

2000 AMS Sub. Class.: 05A20, 05E05.

Key words: Haber inequality, multinomial coefficient, symmetric functions.

Abstract: In this paper, we establish the following: Let a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_m be non negative real

numbers, then for all $n \geq 0$, we have

$$\frac{1}{\binom{n+m-1}{m-1}} \sum_{i_1+i_2+\dots+i_m=n} a_1^{i_1} a_2^{i_2} \cdots a_m^{i_m} \ge \left(\frac{a_1+a_2+\dots+a_m}{m}\right)^n.$$

The case m=2 gives the Haber inequality. We apply the result to find lower bounds for the sum of reciprocals of multinomial coefficients and for symmetric functions

Acknowledgements: Special thanks to A. Chabour, S. Y. Raffed, and R. Souam for useful discussions.

The proof given in the remark is due to A. Chabour.




Multinomial Inequality of Haber

Hacène Belbachir

vol. 9, iss. 4, art. 104, 2008

Title Page

Contents



Page 1 of 12

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

journal of inequalities in pure and applied mathematics

issn: 1443-5756

Contents

1	Introduction	3

- 2 Main Result 5
- 3 Applications 9



Multinomial Inequality of Haber
Hacène Belbachir

vol. 9, iss. 4, art. 104, 2008

Full Screen

Go Back

Close

journal of inequalities in pure and applied mathematics

issn: 1443-5756

1. Introduction

In 1978, S. Haber [3] proved the following inequality: Let a and b be non negative real numbers, then for every $n \ge 0$, we have

(1.1)
$$\frac{1}{n+1} \left(a^n + a^{n-1}b + \dots + ab^{n-1} + b^n \right) \ge \left(\frac{a+b}{2} \right)^n.$$

Another formulation of (1.1) is

$$f\left(x,y\right)\geq f\left(\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}\right)$$
 for all non negative numbers x,y satisfying $x+y=1$,

where

$$f(x,y) = \sum_{i+j=n} x^i y^j$$
 with $x = \frac{a}{a+b}$ and $y = \frac{b}{a+b}$.

In 1983 [5], A. Mc.D. Mercer, using an analogous technique, gave an extension of Haber's inequality for convex sequences.

Let $(u_k)_{0 \le k \le n}$ be a convex sequence, then the following inequality holds

(1.2)
$$\frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^{n} u_k \ge \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} u_k.$$

In 1994 [1], using also the same tools, H. Alzer and J. Pečarić obtained a more general result than the relation (1.2).

In 2004 [6], A. Mc.D. Mercer extended the result using an equivalent inequality of (1.1) as a polynomial in $x = \frac{a}{h}$, and deduced relations satisfying (1.2), see [1].

Let $P(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} a_k x^k$ satisfy $P(x) = (x-1)^2 Q(x)$, where the coefficients of Q(x) are real and non negative. Then if $(u_k)_{0 \le k \le n}$ is a convex sequence, we have



Multinomial Inequality of Haber

Hacène Belbachir

vol. 9, iss. 4, art. 104, 2008

Title Page

Contents

44 >>

Page 3 of 12

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

journal of inequalities in pure and applied mathematics

issn: 1443-5756

$$(1.3) \sum_{k=0}^{n} a_k u_k \ge 0.$$

Our proposal is to establish an extension of the relation (1.1) to n real numbers.



Multinomial Inequality of Haber

Hacène Belbachir

vol. 9, iss. 4, art. 104, 2008

Title Page

Contents

Page 4 of 12

Go Back

journal of inequalities in pure and applied mathematics

Full Screen

Close

issn: 1443-5756

2. Main Result

In this section, we give an extension of the inequality given by the relation (1.1) for several variables.

Theorem 2.1 (Generalized Haber inequality). Let a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_m be non negative real numbers, then for all $n \geq 0$, one has

(2.1)
$$\frac{1}{\binom{n+m-1}{m-1}} \sum_{i_1+i_2+\cdots+i_m=n} a_1^{i_1} a_2^{i_2} \cdots a_m^{i_m} \ge \left(\frac{a_1+a_2+\cdots+a_m}{m}\right)^n.$$

For another formulation of (2.1), let us consider the following homogeneous polynomial of degree n

$$f_m(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) = \sum_{i_1 + i_2 + \dots + i_m = n} x_1^{i_1} x_2^{i_2} \cdots x_m^{i_m}$$

where x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_m are non negative real numbers satisfying the constraint $x_1 + x_2 + \cdots + x_m = 1$. By setting for all $i = 1, \ldots, m$; $x_i = \frac{a_i}{a_1 + a_2 + \cdots + a_m}$, the inequality given by (2.1) becomes

(2.2)
$$f_m(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) \ge f_m(\frac{1}{m}, \frac{1}{m}, \dots, \frac{1}{m})$$

Proof. Let (y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_m) be the values for which f_m is minimal. It is well known that the gradient of f_m at (y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_m) is parallel to that of the constraint which is $(1, 1, \ldots, 1)$, one then deduces

$$\left. \frac{\partial f_m}{\partial x_{\alpha}} \left(x_1, \dots, x_m \right) \right|_{x_{\alpha} = y_{\alpha}} = \left. \frac{\partial f_m}{\partial x_{\beta}} \left(x_1, \dots, x_m \right) \right|_{x_{\beta} = y_{\beta}},$$



Multinomial Inequality of Haber

Hacène Belbachir

vol. 9, iss. 4, art. 104, 2008

Title Page

Contents

44 >>>

Page 5 of 12

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

journal of inequalities in pure and applied mathematics

issn: 1443-5756

for all $\alpha, \beta, 1 \le \alpha \ne \beta \le m$, which is equivalent to

$$\sum_{i_1+\dots+i_m=n} i_\alpha \left(\prod_{\substack{j=1\\j\neq\alpha,\beta}}^m x_j^{i_j} \right) y_\alpha^{i_\alpha-1} y_\beta^{i_\beta} = \sum_{i_1+\dots+i_m=n} i_\beta \left(\prod_{\substack{j=1\\j\neq\alpha,\beta}}^m x_j^{i_j} \right) y_\alpha^{i_\alpha} y_\beta^{i_\beta-1},$$

i.e.

$$\sum_{i_1+\dots+i_m=n} \left(\prod_{\substack{j=1\\j\neq\alpha,\beta}}^m x_j^{i_j} \right) y_\alpha^{i_\alpha-1} y_\beta^{i_\beta-1} \left(i_\alpha y_\beta - i_\beta y_\alpha \right) = 0,$$

which one can write as

$$\sum_{r=0}^{n} \left[\sum_{i_{\alpha}+i_{\beta}=r} y_{\alpha}^{i_{\alpha}-1} y_{\beta}^{i_{\beta}-1} \left(i_{\alpha} y_{\beta} - i_{\beta} y_{\alpha} \right) \right] \left[\sum_{\substack{i_{1}+\cdots+i_{m}=n-r\\i_{k}\neq i_{\alpha} \& i_{k}\neq i_{\beta}}} \left(\prod_{j=1\atop j\neq \alpha,\beta}^{m} x_{j}^{i_{j}} \right) \right] = 0.$$

This last expression is a polynomial of several variables $x_1, \ldots, x_j, \ldots, x_m$ $(j \neq \alpha, \beta)$ which is null if all coefficients are zero. Then for $y_{\alpha} = a$ and $y_{\beta} = b$, one obtains for every $r = 0, \ldots, n$

$$\sum_{i+j=r} a^{i-1}b^{j-1} (ib - ja) = 0.$$

By developing the sum and gathering the terms of the same power, one obtains

$$\sum_{i=0}^{r-1} (2i+1-r) a^i b^{r-1-i} = 0.$$



Multinomial Inequality of Haber

Hacène Belbachir

vol. 9, iss. 4, art. 104, 2008

Title Page

Contents



Page 6 of 12

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

journal of inequalities in pure and applied mathematics

issn: 1443-5756

By gathering successively the extreme terms of the sum, we have

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\left\lfloor \frac{r+1}{2} \right\rfloor} (r-2i-1) \left(a^{r-2i-1} - b^{r-2i-1} \right) a^{2i} b^{2i} = 0$$

which is equivalent to

$$(a-b)\sum_{i=0}^{\left\lfloor \frac{r+1}{2}\right\rfloor}\sum_{k=0}^{r-2i-2}(r-2i-1)\,a^{k+2i}b^{r-k-2}=0.$$

The double summation is positive, then one deduces that

$$a = b \iff y_{\alpha} = y_{\beta}.$$

The symmetric group S_m acts naturally by permutations over $\mathbb{R}[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m]$ and leaves invariant $f_m(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m)$ and $x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_m = 1$. Finally, one concludes that

$$y_1 = y_2 = \dots = y_m = \frac{1}{m}.$$

Remark 1. We can prove the above inequality using:

1. induction over m exploiting Haber's inequality and the well known relation

$$\binom{n}{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_m} = \binom{n - i_m}{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{m-1}} \binom{n}{i_m}.$$



Multinomial Inequality of Haber

Hacène Belbachir

vol. 9, iss. 4, art. 104, 2008

Title Page

Contents

44

Page 7 of 12

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

journal of inequalities in pure and applied mathematics

issn: 1443-5756

2. the sectional method for the function

$$f_m(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) = \sum_{|i|=n} x_1^{i_1} x_2^{i_2} \cdots x_m^{i_m}$$

with the constraint $x_1 + x_2 + \cdots + x_m = 1$;

Let a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_m and b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_m be real numbers such that $\sum_i a_i = 0$ and $\sum_i b_i = 1, b_i > 0$, and consider the curve

$$\Phi(t) = \sum_{|i|=n} (a_1t + b_1)^{i_1} (a_2t + b_2)^{i_2} \cdots (a_mt + b_m)^{i_m}.$$

We prove that $b=(b_1,b_2,\ldots,b_m)$ is a local minima for f_m if and only if $b_1=b_2=\cdots=b_m\left(=\frac{1}{m}\right)$.

Indeed, one has

$$\Phi(t) - \Phi(0) \cong \sum_{|i|=n} b_1^{i_1} b_2^{i_2} \cdots b_m^{i_m} \left(\frac{i_1 a_1}{b_1} + \frac{i_2 a_2}{b_2} + \dots + \frac{i_m a_m}{b_m} \right) t + \dots$$

$$\cong \binom{n+m-1}{m-1} (b_1 \cdots b_m)^{\binom{n+m-1}{m-1}} \left(\frac{i_1 a_1}{b_1} + \dots + \frac{i_m a_m}{b_m} \right) t + \dots$$

If
$$b_1 = b_2 = \cdots = b_m \left(= \frac{1}{m} \right)$$
 then $\Phi(t) - \Phi(0) \cong ct^2 + \cdots, c > 0, \ldots$

If not, we can choose a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_m such that $\sum_i \frac{a_i}{b_i} \neq 0, \ldots$

N.B. The possible nullity of some b_i 's is not a problem.

3. the Popoviciu's Theorem given in [7].



Multinomial Inequality of Haber

Hacène Belbachir

vol. 9, iss. 4, art. 104, 2008

Title Page

Contents







>>

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

journal of inequalities in pure and applied mathematics

issn: 1443-5756

3. Applications

In this section we apply the previous result to find lower bounds for the sum of reciprocals of multinomial coefficient and for two symmetric functions.

1. Sum of reciprocals of multinomial coefficient.

Theorem 3.1. The following inequality holds

$$\sum_{i_1+i_2+\dots+i_m=n} \frac{1}{\binom{n}{i_1,\dots,i_m}} \ge \frac{\binom{n+m-1}{m-1}}{m! \cdot m^n}.$$

Proof. It suffices to integrate each side of the inequality given by the relation (2.2):

$$f_m(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{m-1}, 1 - x_1 - \dots - x_{m-1}) \ge f_m(\frac{1}{m}, \frac{1}{m}, \dots, \frac{1}{m})$$

over the simplex

$$D = \left\{ x_i, \ i = 1, \dots, m - 1 : x_i \ge 0, \ \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} x_i \le 1 \right\}.$$

The left hand side gives under the sum the Dirichlet function (or the generalized beta function) and is equal to the reciprocal of a multinomial coefficient. For the right hand side we are led to compute the volume of the simplex D which is equal to $\frac{1}{m!}$.

2. An identity due to Sylvester in the 19th century, see [2, Thm 5], states that



Multinomial Inequality of Haber

Hacène Belbachir

vol. 9, iss. 4, art. 104, 2008

Title Page

Contents

44

)

Page 9 of 12

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

journal of inequalities in pure and applied mathematics

issn: 1443-5756

Theorem 3.2. Let x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_m be independent variables. Then, one has in $\mathbb{R}[x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_m]$

$$\sum_{k_1 + \dots + k_m = n} x_1^{k_1} x_2^{k_2} \dots x_m^{k_m} = \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{x_i^{n+m-1}}{\prod_{j \neq i} (x_i - x_j)}.$$

As corollary of this theorem and Theorem 2.1, one obtains the following lower bound.

Corollary 3.3. *Using the hypothesis of the above theorem, one has*

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{x_i^{n+m-1}}{\prod_{j \neq i} (x_i - x_j)} \ge \left(\frac{x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_m}{m}\right)^n \binom{n+m-1}{m-1}.$$

3. The third application is about the symmetric polynomials. We need the following result:

Theorem 3.4 ([2, Cor. 5] and [4, Th. 1]). Let x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_m be elements of unitary commutative ring A with

$$\mathbf{S}_k = \sum_{1 < i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k < m} x_{i_1} x_{i_2} \cdots x_{i_k}, \quad \text{for } 1 \le k \le m.$$

Then, for each positive integer n, one has

$$\sum x_1^{k_1} \dots x_m^{k_m} = \sum \binom{k_1 + \dots + k_m}{k_1, \dots, k_m} (-1)^{n-k_1 - \dots - k_m} S_1^{k_1} \dots S_m^{k_m},$$

where the summations are taken over all m-tuples $(k_1, k_2, ..., k_m)$ of integers $k_j \geq 0$ satisfying the relations $k_1 + k_2 + \cdots + k_m = n$ for the left hand side and $k_1 + 2k_2 + \cdots + mk_m = n$ for the right hand side.



Multinomial Inequality of Haber

Hacène Belbachir

vol. 9, iss. 4, art. 104, 2008

Title Page

Contents



Page 10 of 12

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

journal of inequalities in pure and applied mathematics

issn: 1443-5756

This theorem and Theorem 2.1, give:

Corollary 3.5. *Using the hypothesis of the last theorem, one has*

$$\frac{1}{\binom{n+m-1}{m-1}} \sum \binom{k_1 + \dots + k_m}{k_1, \dots, k_m} (-1)^{n-k_1 - \dots - k_m} S_1^{k_1} \dots S_m^{k_m} \ge \left(\frac{S_1}{m}\right)^n.$$

where the summation is being taken over all m-tuples $(k_1, k_2, ..., k_m)$ of integers $k_j \ge 0$ satisfying the relation $k_1 + 2k_2 + \cdots + mk_m = n$.



Multinomial Inequality of Haber

Hacène Belbachir

vol. 9, iss. 4, art. 104, 2008

Title Page

Contents

44

>>

Page 11 of 12

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

journal of inequalities in pure and applied mathematics

issn: 1443-5756

References

- [1] H. ALZER AND J. PEČARIĆ, On an inequality of A.M. Mercer, *Rad Hrvatske Akad. Znan. Umj. Mat.* [467], **11** (1994), 27–30.
- [2] H. BELBACHIR AND F. BENCHERIF, Linear recurrent sequences and powers of a square matrix, *Electronic Journal of Combinatorial Number Theory*, A12, **6** (2006).
- [3] H. HABER, An elementary inequality, *Internat. J. Math. and Math. Sci.*, **2**(3) (1979), 531–535.
- [4] J. MCLAUGHLIN AND B. SURY, Powers of matrix and combinatorial identities, *Electronic Journal of Combinatorial Number Theory*, **A13**(5) (2005).
- [5] A. McD. MERCER, A note on a paper by S. Haber, *Internat. J. Math. and Math. Sci.*, **6**(3) (1983), 609–611.
- [6] A.McD. MERCER, Polynomials and convex sequence inequalities, *J. Ineq. Pure Appl. Math.*, **6**(1) (2005), Art. 8. [ONLINE: http://jipam.vu.edu.au/article.php?sid=477].
- [7] T. POPOVICIU, Notes sur les functions convexes d'ordre superieure (V), *Bull. de la sci. Acad. Roumaine*, **22** (1940), 351–356.



Multinomial Inequality of Haber

Hacène Belbachir

vol. 9, iss. 4, art. 104, 2008

Title Page

Contents





Page 12 of 12

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

journal of inequalities in pure and applied mathematics

issn: 1443-5756