



**A NEW OBSTRUCTION TO MINIMAL ISOMETRIC IMMERSIONS INTO A  
REAL SPACE FORM**

TEODOR OPREA

UNIVERSITY OF BUCHAREST  
FACULTY OF MATHS. AND INFORMATICS  
STR. ACADEMIEI 14  
010014 BUCHAREST, ROMANIA.  
teodoroprea@yahoo.com

*Received 29 November, 2005; accepted 23 November, 2006*

*Communicated by S.S. Dragomir*

---

**ABSTRACT.** In the theory of minimal submanifolds, the following problem is fundamental: *when does a given Riemannian manifold admit (or does not admit) a minimal isometric immersion into an Euclidean space of arbitrary dimension?* S.S. Chern, in his monograph [6] *Minimal submanifolds in a Riemannian manifold*, remarked that the result of Takahashi (*the Ricci tensor of a minimal submanifold into a Euclidean space is negative semidefinite*) was the only known Riemannian obstruction to minimal isometric immersions in Euclidean spaces. A second obstruction was obtained by B.Y. Chen as an immediate application of his fundamental inequality [1]: *the scalar curvature and the sectional curvature of a minimal submanifold into a Euclidean space satisfies the inequality  $\tau \leq k$* . We find a new relation between the Chen invariant, the dimension of the submanifold, the length of the mean curvature vector field and a deviation parameter. This result implies a new obstruction: *the sectional curvature of a minimal submanifold into a Euclidean space also satisfies the inequality  $k \leq -\tau$* .

---

*Key words and phrases:* Constrained maximum, Chen's inequality, Minimal submanifolds.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 53C21, 53C24, 49K35.

## 1. OPTIMIZATIONS ON RIEMANNIAN MANIFOLDS

Let  $(N, \tilde{g})$  be a Riemannian manifold,  $(M, g)$  a Riemannian submanifold, and  $f \in \mathcal{F}(N)$ . To these ingredients we attach the optimum problem

$$(1.1) \quad \min_{x \in M} f(x).$$

The fundamental properties of such programs are given in the papers [7] – [9]. For the interest of this paper we recall below a result obtained in [7].

**Theorem 1.1.** *If  $x_0 \in M$  is a solution of the problem (1.1), then*

i)  $(\text{grad } f)(x_0) \in T_{x_0}^\perp M,$

ii) the bilinear form

$$\alpha : T_{x_0}M \times T_{x_0}M \rightarrow R,$$

$$\alpha(X, Y) = \text{Hess}_f(X, Y) + \tilde{g}(h(X, Y), (\text{grad } f)(x_0))$$

is positive semidefinite, where  $h$  is the second fundamental form of the submanifold  $M$  in  $N$ .

**Remark 1.2.** The bilinear form  $\alpha$  is nothing else but  $\text{Hess}_{f|M}(x_0)$ .

## 2. CHEN'S INEQUALITY

Let  $(M, g)$  be a Riemannian manifold of dimension  $n$ , and  $x$  a point in  $M$ . We consider the orthonormal frame  $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n\}$  in  $T_xM$ .

The scalar curvature at  $x$  is defined by

$$\tau = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} R(e_i, e_j, e_i, e_j).$$

We denote

$$\delta_M = \tau - \min(k),$$

where  $k$  is the sectional curvature at the point  $x$ . The invariant  $\delta_M$  is called the *Chen's invariant* of Riemannian manifold  $(M, g)$ .

The Chen's invariant was estimated as the following: " $(M, g)$  is a Riemannian submanifold in a real space form  $\tilde{M}(c)$ , varying with  $c$  and the length of the mean curvature vector field of  $M$  in  $\tilde{M}(c)$ ."

**Theorem 2.1.** Consider  $(\tilde{M}(c), \tilde{g})$  a real space form of dimension  $m$ ,  $M \subset \tilde{M}(c)$  a Riemannian submanifold of dimension  $n \geq 3$ . The Chen's invariant of  $M$  satisfies

$$\delta_M \leq \frac{n-2}{2} \left\{ \frac{n^2}{n-1} \|H\|^2 + (n+1)c \right\},$$

where  $H$  is the mean curvature vector field of submanifold  $M$  in  $\tilde{M}(c)$ . Equality is attained at a point  $x \in M$  if and only if there is an orthonormal frame  $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$  in  $T_xM$  and an orthonormal frame  $\{e_{n+1}, \dots, e_m\}$  in  $T_x^\perp M$  in which the Weingarten operators take the following form

$$A_{n+1} = \begin{pmatrix} h_{11}^{n+1} & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & h_{22}^{n+1} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & h_{33}^{n+1} & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & h_{nn}^{n+1} \end{pmatrix},$$

with  $h_{11}^{n+1} + h_{22}^{n+1} = h_{33}^{n+1} = \dots = h_{nn}^{n+1}$  and

$$A_r = \begin{pmatrix} h_{11}^r & h_{12}^r & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ h_{12}^r & -h_{11}^r & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad r \in \overline{n+2, m}.$$

**Corollary 2.2.** *If the Riemannian manifold  $(M, g)$ , of dimension  $n \geq 3$ , admits a minimal isometric immersion into a real space form  $\widetilde{M}(c)$ , then*

$$k \geq \tau - \frac{(n-2)(n+1)c}{2}.$$

The aim of this paper is threefold:

- to formulate a new theorem regarding the relation between  $\delta_M$ , the dimension  $n$ , the length of the mean curvature vector field, and a deviation parameter  $a$ ;
- to prove this new theorem using the technique of Riemannian programming;
- to obtain a new obstruction,  $k \leq -\tau + \frac{(n^2-n+2)c}{2}$ , for minimal isometric immersions in real space forms.

### 3. A NEW OBSTRUCTION TO MINIMAL ISOMETRIC IMMERSIONS INTO A REAL SPACE FORM

Let  $(M, g)$  be a Riemannian manifold of dimension  $n$ , and  $a$  a real number. We define the following invariants

$$\delta_M^a = \begin{cases} \tau - a \min k, & \text{for } a \geq 0, \\ \tau - a \max k, & \text{for } a < 0, \end{cases}$$

where  $\tau$  is the scalar curvature, and  $k$  is the sectional curvature.

With these ingredients we obtain

**Theorem 3.1.** *For any real number  $a \in [-1, 1]$ , the invariant  $\delta_M^a$  of a Riemannian submanifold  $(M, g)$ , of dimension  $n \geq 3$ , into a real space form  $\widetilde{M}(c)$ , of dimension  $m$ , verifies the inequality*

$$\delta_M^a \leq \frac{(n^2 - n - 2a)c}{2} + \frac{n(a+1) - 3a - 1}{n(a+1) - 2a} \frac{n^2 \|H\|^2}{2},$$

where  $H$  is the mean curvature vector field of submanifold  $M$  in  $\widetilde{M}(c)$ .

If  $a \in (-1, 1)$ , equality is attained at the point  $x \in M$  if and only if there is an orthonormal frame  $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$  in  $T_x M$  and an orthonormal frame  $\{e_{n+1}, \dots, e_m\}$  in  $T_x^\perp M$  in which the Weingarten operators take the form

$$A_r = \begin{pmatrix} h_{11}^r & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & h_{22}^r & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & h_{33}^r & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & h_{nn}^r \end{pmatrix},$$

with  $(a+1)h_{11}^r = (a+1)h_{22}^r = h_{33}^r = \dots = h_{nn}^r, \forall r \in \overline{n+1, m}$ .

*Proof.* Consider  $x \in M, \{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n\}$  an orthonormal frame in  $T_x M, \{e_{n+1}, e_{n+2}, \dots, e_m\}$  an orthonormal frame in  $T_x^\perp M$  and  $a \in (-1, 1)$ .

From Gauss' equation it follows

$$\begin{aligned} \tau - ak(e_1 \wedge e_2) &= \frac{(n^2 - n - 2a)c}{2} \\ &+ \sum_{r=n+1}^m \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (h_{ii}^r h_{jj}^r - (h_{ij}^r)^2) - a \sum_{r=n+1}^m (h_{11}^r h_{22}^r - (h_{12}^r)^2). \end{aligned}$$

Using the fact that  $a \in (-1, 1)$ , we obtain

$$(3.1) \quad \tau - ak(e_1 \wedge e_2) \leq \frac{(n^2 - n - 2a)c}{2} + \sum_{r=n+1}^m \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} h_{ii}^r h_{jj}^r - a \sum_{r=n+1}^m h_{11}^r h_{22}^r.$$

For  $r \in \overline{n+1, m}$ , let us consider the quadratic form

$$f_r : R^n \rightarrow R,$$

$$f_r(h_{11}^r, h_{22}^r, \dots, h_{nn}^r) = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (h_{ii}^r h_{jj}^r) - ah_{11}^r h_{22}^r$$

and the constrained extremum problem

$$\max f_r,$$

$$\text{subject to } P : h_{11}^r + h_{22}^r + \dots + h_{nn}^r = k^r,$$

where  $k^r$  is a real constant.

The first three partial derivatives of the function  $f_r$  are

$$(3.2) \quad \frac{\partial f_r}{\partial h_{11}^r} = \sum_{2 \leq j \leq n} h_{jj}^r - ah_{22}^r,$$

$$(3.3) \quad \frac{\partial f_r}{\partial h_{22}^r} = \sum_{j \in \overline{1, n} \setminus \{2\}} h_{jj}^r - ah_{11}^r,$$

$$(3.4) \quad \frac{\partial f_r}{\partial h_{33}^r} = \sum_{j \in \overline{1, n} \setminus \{3\}} h_{jj}^r.$$

As for a solution  $(h_{11}^r, h_{22}^r, \dots, h_{nn}^r)$  of the problem in question, the vector  $(\text{grad})(f_1)$  being normal at  $P$ , from (3.2) and (3.3) we obtain

$$\sum_{j=1}^n h_{jj}^r - h_{11}^r - ah_{22}^r = \sum_{j=1}^n h_{jj}^r - h_{22}^r - ah_{11}^r,$$

therefore

$$(3.5) \quad h_{11}^r = h_{22}^r = b^r.$$

From (3.2) and (3.4), it follows

$$\sum_{j=1}^n h_{jj}^r - h_{11}^r - ah_{22}^r = \sum_{j=1}^n h_{jj}^r - h_{33}^r.$$

By using (3.5) we obtain  $h_{33}^r = b^r(a+1)$ . Similarly one gets

$$(3.6) \quad h_{jj}^r = b^r(a+1), \quad \forall j \in \overline{3, n}.$$

As  $h_{11}^r + h_{22}^r + \dots + h_{nn}^r = k^r$ , from (3.5) and (3.6) we obtain

$$(3.7) \quad b^r = \frac{k^r}{n(a+1) - 2a}.$$

We fix an arbitrary point  $p \in P$ .

The 2-form  $\alpha : T_p P \times T_p P \rightarrow R$  has the expression

$$\alpha(X, Y) = \text{Hess } f_r(X, Y) + \langle h'(X, Y), (\text{grad } f_r)(p) \rangle,$$

where  $h'$  is the second fundamental form of  $P$  in  $R^n$  and  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  is the standard inner-product on  $R^n$ .

In the standard frame of  $R^n$ , the Hessian of  $f_r$  has the matrix

$$\text{Hess } f_r = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1-a & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ 1-a & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

As  $P$  is totally geodesic in  $R^n$ , considering a vector  $X$  tangent to  $P$  at the arbitrary point  $p$ , that is, verifying the relation  $\sum_{i=1}^n X^i = 0$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(X, X) &= 2 \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} X^i X^j - 2aX^1 X^2 \\ &= \left( \sum_{i=1}^n X^i \right)^2 - \sum_{i=1}^n (X^i)^2 - 2aX^1 X^2 \\ &= - \sum_{i=1}^n (X^i)^2 - a(X^1 + X^2)^2 + a(X^1)^2 + a(X^2)^2 \\ &= - \sum_{i=3}^n (X^i)^2 - a(X^1 + X^2)^2 - (1-a)(X^1)^2 - (1-a)(X^2)^2 \leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

So  $\text{Hess } f|_M$  is everywhere negative semidefinite, therefore the point  $(h_{11}^r, h_{22}^r, \dots, h_{nn}^r)$ , which satisfies (3.5), (3.6), (3.7) is a global maximum point.

From (3.5) and (3.6), it follows

$$\begin{aligned} (3.8) \quad f_r &\leq (b^r)^2 + 2b^r(n-2)b^r(a+1) + C_{n-2}^2(b^r)^2(a+1)^2 - a(b^r)^2 \\ &= \frac{(b^r)^2}{2} [n^2(a+1)^2 - n(a+1)(5a+1) + 6a^2 + 2a] \\ &= \frac{(b^r)^2}{2} [n(a+1) - 3a - 1][n(a+1) - 2a]. \end{aligned}$$

By using (3.7) and (3.8), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (3.9) \quad f_r &\leq \frac{(k^r)^2}{2[n(a+1) - 2a]} [n(a+1) - 3a - 1] \\ &= \frac{n^2(H^r)^2}{2} \cdot \frac{n(a+1) - 3a - 1}{n(a+1) - 2a}. \end{aligned}$$

The relations (3.1) and (3.9) imply

$$(3.10) \quad \tau - ak(e_1 \wedge e_2) \leq \frac{(n^2 - n - 2a)c}{2} + \frac{n(a+1) - 3a - 1}{n(a+1) - 2a} \cdot \frac{n^2 \|H\|^2}{2}.$$

In (3.10) we have equality if and only if the same thing occurs in the inequality (3.1) and, in addition, (3.5) and (3.6) occur. Therefore

$$(3.11) \quad h_{ij}^r = 0, \quad \forall r \in \overline{n+1, m}, \forall i, j \in \overline{1, n}, \quad \text{with } i \neq j$$

and

$$(3.12) \quad (a+1)h_{11}^r = (a+1)h_{22}^r = h_{33}^r = \cdots = h_{nn}^r, \forall r \in \overline{n+1, m}.$$

The relations (3.10), (3.11) and (3.12) imply the conclusion of the theorem. □

**Remark 3.2.**

- i) Making  $a$  to converge at 1 in the previous inequality, we obtain **Chen's Inequality**. The conditions for which we have equality are obtained in [1] and [7].
- ii) For  $a = 0$  we obtain the well-known inequality

$$\tau \leq \frac{n(n-1)}{2} (\|H\|^2 + c).$$

The equality is attained at the point  $x \in M$  if and only if  $x$  is a totally umbilical point.

- iii) Making  $a$  converge at  $-1$  in the previous inequality, we obtain

$$\delta_M^{-1} \leq \frac{(n^2 - n + 2)c}{2} + \frac{n^2 \|H\|^2}{2}.$$

The equality is attained at the point  $x \in M$  if and only if there is an orthonormal frame  $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$  in  $T_x M$  and an orthonormal frame  $\{e_{n+1}, \dots, e_m\}$  in  $T_x^\perp M$  in which the Weingarten operators take the following form

$$A_r = \begin{pmatrix} h_{11}^r & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & h_{22}^r & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

with  $h_{11}^r = h_{22}^r, \forall r \in \overline{n+1, m}$ .

**Corollary 3.3.** *If the Riemannian manifold  $(M, g)$ , of dimension  $n \geq 3$ , admits a minimal isometric immersion into a real space form  $\widetilde{M}(c)$ , then*

$$\tau - \frac{(n-2)(n+1)c}{2} \leq k \leq -\tau + \frac{(n^2 - n + 2)c}{2}.$$

**Corollary 3.4.** *If the Riemannian manifold  $(M, g)$ , of dimension  $n \geq 3$ , admits a minimal isometric immersion into a Euclidean space, then*

$$\tau \leq k \leq -\tau.$$

**REFERENCES**

- [1] B.Y. CHEN, Some pinching classification theorems for minimal submanifolds, *Arch. Math.*, **60** (1993), 568–578.
- [2] B.Y. CHEN, A Riemannian invariant for submanifolds in space forms and its applications, *Geom. Topology of Submanifolds*, World Scientific, Leuven, Brussel **VI** (1993), 58–81.
- [3] B.Y. CHEN, A Riemannian invariant and its applications to submanifolds theory, *Results in Mathematics*, **27** (1995), 17–26.
- [4] B.Y. CHEN, Mean curvature and shape operator of isometric immersions in real-space-forms, *Glasgow Math. J.*, **38** (1996), 87–97.
- [5] B.Y. CHEN, Some new obstructions to minimal Lagrangian isometric immersions, *Japan. J. Math.*, **26** (2000), 105–127.
- [6] S.S. CHERN, *Minimal Submanifolds in a Riemannian Manifold*, Univ. of Kansas, Lawrence, Kansas, 1968.
- [7] T. OPREA, Optimizations on Riemannian submanifolds, *An. Univ. Buc.*, **LIV**(1) (2005), 127–136.
- [8] C. UDRIȘTE, Convex functions and optimization methods on Riemannian manifolds, *Mathematics its Applications*, **297**, Kluwer Academic Publishers Group, Dordrecht, 1994.

- [9] C. UDRIȘTE, O. DOGARU AND I ȚEVY, Extrema with nonholonomic constraints, *Geometry*, Balkan Press, Bucharest, 2002.