



# Infinite Products Arising in Paperfolding

Leyda Almodovar  
Department of Mathematics  
University of Iowa  
Iowa City, IA 52242  
USA  
[leyda-almodovar@uiowa.edu](mailto:leyda-almodovar@uiowa.edu)

Victor H. Moll  
Department of Mathematics  
Tulane University  
New Orleans, LA 70118  
USA  
[vhm@tulane.edu](mailto:vhm@tulane.edu)

Hadrian Quan  
Department of Mathematics  
UC Santa Cruz  
Santa Cruz, CA 95064  
USA  
[hquan1@ucsc.edu](mailto:hquan1@ucsc.edu)

Fernando Roman  
Department of Mathematics  
Kansas State University  
Manhattan, KS 66506  
USA  
[yahdiel@ksu.edu](mailto:yahdiel@ksu.edu)

Eric Rowland  
Department of Mathematics  
University of Liege  
Belgium  
[rowland@lacim.edu](mailto:rowland@lacim.edu)

Michole Washington  
Department of Mathematics  
Georgia Institute of Technology  
Atlanta, GA 30332  
USA  
[mwashington9@gatech.edu](mailto:mwashington9@gatech.edu)

## Abstract

J.-P. Allouche recently examined two infinite products where the term is a rational function of the index  $n$  raised to the term of the paperfolding sequence  $\epsilon_n$ . A closed form is given only for one of them. We discuss an attempt to produce the missing closed form. We give a detailed analysis of convergence and a closed form for the analogous question, where the paperfolding sequence is replaced by a periodic one.

# 1 Introduction

The *paperfolding sequence*  $\epsilon_n$  is defined by the rules

$$\begin{aligned}\epsilon_{2n} &= (-1)^n \\ \epsilon_{2n+1} &= \epsilon_n.\end{aligned}\tag{1}$$

The first few values are  $\{1, 1, -1, 1, 1\}$ . For fixed  $a \in \mathbb{N}$ , the rules (1) determine all subsequences of the form

$$\{\epsilon_{2^a n + b} : a \in \mathbb{N}, 0 \leq b < 2^a\}\tag{2}$$

in terms of constants,  $\{\epsilon_n\}$  and  $\{(-1)^n\}$ . For example, when  $a = 2$ ,

$$\epsilon_{4n} = 1, \epsilon_{4n+1} = \epsilon_{2n} = (-1)^n, \epsilon_{4n+2} = (-1)^{2n+1} = -1, \epsilon_{4n+3} = \epsilon_{2n+1} = \epsilon_n.\tag{3}$$

The work presented here is motivated by results given by Allouche [1]. In particular, the evaluation

$$B = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{2n}{2n+1} \right)^{\epsilon_n} = \frac{1}{8\sqrt{2\pi}} \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^2\tag{4}$$

is obtained using the auxiliary product

$$A = \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{2n+1}{2n+2} \right)^{\epsilon_n}.\tag{5}$$

Indeed, the identity

$$AB = \frac{1}{2} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{n}{n+1} \right)^{\epsilon_n}\tag{6}$$

is split according to the parity of  $n$  and (1) yields

$$AB = \frac{1}{2} A \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{2n}{2n+1} \right)^{(-1)^n}.\tag{7}$$

The non-vanishing of  $A$  gives

$$B = \frac{1}{2} \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(4n+4)(4n+3)}{(4n+5)(4n+2)}.\tag{8}$$

A classical result expressing such products in terms of the gamma function gives the value of  $B$ . Observe that the value of  $A$  does not come from this formulation. A search for a closed form for  $A$  was the motivation for the results presented here.

An early evaluation of an infinite product was produced by Wallis in his representation

$$\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(2n)(2n)}{(2n-1)(2n+1)} = \frac{\pi}{2}.\tag{9}$$

The history of this discovery appears in Osler [7]. The literature contains a variety of infinite product evaluations. For instance,

$$\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(1 + \frac{1}{F_{2^{n+1}}}\right) = \frac{3}{\varphi} \quad \text{and} \quad \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(1 + \frac{1}{L_{2^{n+1}}}\right) = 3 - \varphi, \quad (10)$$

is given by Sondow [9]. Here  $F_n, L_n$  are the Fibonacci (Lucas) numbers and  $\varphi = \frac{1}{2}(\sqrt{5} + 1)$  is the golden ratio.

The value of infinite products usually involves classical constants of analysis. For instance, Borwein [3] evaluates the function

$$D(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \prod_{k=1}^{2n+1} \left(1 + \frac{x}{k}\right)^{(-1)^{k+1}k} \quad (11)$$

as a generalization of the values

$$\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(1 + \frac{2}{n}\right)^{(-1)^{n+1}n} = \frac{\pi}{2e} \quad \text{and} \quad \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(1 + \frac{2}{n}\right)^{(-1)^{n}n} = \frac{6}{\pi e} \quad (12)$$

established by Melzak [6]. Some exact evaluations are given in terms of the constant

$$A_1 = \exp\left(\frac{1}{4} - \int_0^{\infty} \frac{e^{-s}}{s^3} \left(1 - \frac{s}{2} + \frac{s^2}{12} - \frac{s}{e^s - 1}\right) ds\right) \quad (13)$$

and the Catalan constant

$$G = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{(2n+1)^2}. \quad (14)$$

Examples include

$$D(1) = \frac{A_1^6}{2^{1/6}\sqrt{\pi}} \quad \text{and} \quad D\left(\frac{1}{4}\right) = \frac{2^{1/6}\sqrt{\pi}A_1^3}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)} e^{G/\pi}. \quad (15)$$

Other types of products involving gamma factors have recently been analyzed by Chamberland and Straub [4].

The question considered here deals with the evaluation of products of the form

$$\mathfrak{P}(R, s) = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} R(n)^{s_n}. \quad (16)$$

Here  $R$  is a rational function and  $s$  is an *automatic sequence* (as studied by Allouche [1]). Examples include periodic sequences taking values in the alphabet  $\{+1, -1\}$  or *k-automatic sequences*: a sequence  $\{s_n : n \geq 0\}$  is *k-automatic* if the set of subsequences  $\{s_{k^j n + \ell} : n \geq 0\}$  with  $j \geq 0, \ell \in [0, k^j - 1]$  is finite. More information about such sequences appears in [2].

The main example discussed here is the *paperfolding sequence*  $\epsilon_n$  defined in (1). Splitting the evaluation of a product into even and odd indices leads, in the special case of a rational function of degree 1, to the identity

$$\prod_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{\alpha n + \beta}{\gamma n + \delta} \right)^{\epsilon_n} = \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{2\alpha n + \beta}{2\gamma n + \delta} \right)^{(-1)^n} \times \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{2\alpha n + \alpha + \beta}{2\gamma n + \gamma + \delta} \right)^{\epsilon_n}. \quad (17)$$

The exponent  $(-1)^n$  appearing in the first product on the right is a periodic sequence of period length 2. This motivates the evaluation of products with terms of the form  $R(n)^{M_n}$  where  $M_n$  is a periodic sequence. This is the topic of Sections 2–4.

Section 2 discusses the convergence of the product

$$\mathfrak{P}(R, 1) = \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} R(n), \quad (18)$$

where

$$R(z) = \frac{(z + a_1) \cdots (z + a_d)}{(z + b_1) \cdots (z + b_d)}. \quad (19)$$

This section reviews the elementary arguments showing that convergence in (18) is equivalent to  $R(n) \rightarrow 1$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and  $\mathfrak{S}(R) = 0$ . Here

$$\mathfrak{S}(R) = \sum_{b \in R^{-1}(\infty)} b - \sum_{a \in R^{-1}(0)} a. \quad (20)$$

The value of  $\mathfrak{P}(R, 1)$  is then given by

$$\prod_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(n + a_1) \cdots (n + a_d)}{(n + b_1) \cdots (n + b_d)} = \prod_{k=1}^d \frac{\Gamma(b_k)}{\Gamma(a_k)}. \quad (21)$$

Section 3 discusses the convergence of products  $\mathfrak{P}(R, M)$ , where  $R$  is a rational function and  $M$  is a periodic sequence of period length 2. Section 4 extends the results to any periodic sequence, with special emphasis on period lengths 3 and 4. Section 5 considers some infinite products related to the paperfolding sequence, and Section 6 considers a generalization to certain  $k$ -automatic sequences. An alternative proof of the evaluation of Allouche’s product  $B$  is presented and a new form of the product  $A$  is given. The question of existence of a closed form for  $A$  remains open.

## 2 Convergence of infinite products

This section considers the simplest type of product (16):  $R$  is a given rational function and  $s_n \equiv 1$ . The data for the rational function is a sequence of complex numbers  $\{a_k\}$  and  $\{b_k\}$  where  $a_k, b_k$  are not 0 nor a negative integer. The convergence of the partial finite products

$$\mathfrak{P}_r(R, 1) = \prod_{n=1}^r \frac{(n + a_1) \cdots (n + a_d)}{(n + b_1) \cdots (n + b_r)} \quad (22)$$

is examined first.

**Theorem 1.** *The infinite product*

$$\mathfrak{P}(R, 1) = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(n + a_1) \cdots (n + a_d)}{(n + b_1) \cdots (n + b_r)} \quad (23)$$

converges if and only if  $d = r$  and  $a_1 + \cdots + a_d = b_1 + \cdots + b_r$ ; that is,  $R(n) \rightarrow 1$  and  $\mathfrak{S}(R) = 0$ .

*Proof.* The convergence of a product  $\prod(1 + u_k)$  is equivalent to the convergence of the series  $\sum u_k$ . Therefore  $u_k \rightarrow 0$  is a necessary condition for convergence. This implies  $d = r$ . On the other hand

$$\frac{(n + a_1) \cdots (n + a_d)}{(n + b_1) \cdots (n + b_r)} = 1 + (a_1 + \cdots + a_d - b_1 - \cdots - b_r) \frac{1}{n} + O(1/n^2), \quad (24)$$

and the second condition on the parameters  $a_k, b_k$  is now clear.  $\square$

The next question is the evaluation of the limiting product. The motivation for the final result is this: consider the problem of producing a function  $h(z)$  with zeros at a prescribed sequence  $\{z_n\}$ . This is elementary if the sequence is finite: the solution is simply given as

$$P(z) = \prod_{n=1}^N \left(1 - \frac{z}{z_j}\right) \quad (25)$$

when  $z_j \neq 0$ . On the other hand, if the sequence is infinite, convergence issues might appear. For instance, if one would like to have a function that vanishes precisely at the negative integers, then the natural first attempt

$$P_1(z) = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(1 + \frac{z}{n}\right) \quad (26)$$

fails to converge. To fix this, introduce an exponential correction and form the partial products

$$\begin{aligned} P_{2,N}(z) &= e^{z\left(1+\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{3}+\cdots+\frac{1}{N}\right)} \prod_{n=1}^N \left(1 + \frac{z}{n}\right) e^{-z/n} \\ &= e^{z(E_1(N)+\ln N)} \prod_{n=1}^N \left(1 + \frac{z}{n}\right) e^{-z/n}, \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

with

$$E_1(N) = 1 + \frac{1}{2} + \cdots + \frac{1}{N} - \ln N. \quad (28)$$

The limit

$$\gamma = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} E_1(N) \quad (29)$$

is the famous *Euler constant*. Therefore, the modified product

$$\frac{P_{2,N}(z)}{N^z} := e^{zE_1(N)} \prod_{n=1}^N \left(1 + \frac{z}{n}\right) e^{-z/n} \quad (30)$$

has a limit as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ . The infinite product has zeros at the negative integers. It turns out to be convenient to write an infinite product with poles at the negative integers and also to include 0 as a pole. This yields the classical *gamma function*  $\Gamma(z)$ . The functional equation  $\Gamma(z+1) = z\Gamma(z)$  is used to simplify the result.

**Theorem 2.** *The infinite product representation of the gamma function is given by*

$$\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(1 + \frac{z}{n}\right)^{-1} e^{z/n} = e^{\gamma z} \Gamma(z+1). \quad (31)$$

It is now easy to write the value of the infinite product

$$\mathfrak{P}(R, 1) = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(n+a_1) \cdots (n+a_d)}{(n+b_1) \cdots (n+b_r)} \quad (32)$$

in Theorem 1. Start with

$$\mathfrak{P}(R, 1) = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(1+b_1/n)^{-1} e^{b_1/n} \cdots (1+b_r/n)^{-1} e^{b_r/n}}{(1+a_1/n)^{-1} e^{a_1/n} \cdots (1+a_d/n)^{-1} e^{a_d/n}} \quad (33)$$

and observe that the added exponential terms amount to 1. Passing to the limit in (33) gives

$$\mathfrak{P}(R, 1) = \prod_{k=1}^d \frac{\Gamma(b_k+1)}{\Gamma(a_k+1)}. \quad (34)$$

To simplify the form of the result, shift  $n$  to  $n+1$  in (32) to produce the following result.

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $a_k, b_k \in \mathbb{C}$  none of which are 0 or negative integers. Assume*

$$a_1 + \cdots + a_d = b_1 + \cdots + b_d. \quad (35)$$

*Then*

$$\prod_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(n+a_1) \cdots (n+a_d)}{(n+b_1) \cdots (n+b_d)} = \prod_{k=1}^d \frac{\Gamma(b_k)}{\Gamma(a_k)}. \quad (36)$$

### 3 The first example: Sequences of period length 2

This section considers products of the form

$$\mathfrak{P}(R, M) := \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} R(n)^{M_n} \quad (37)$$

where  $M_n = (-1)^n$ .

Start with the representation

$$R(z) = C \frac{(z + a_1) \cdots (z + a_d)}{(z + b_1) \cdots (z + b_r)}. \quad (38)$$

The partial products of  $\mathfrak{P}(R, s)$  are

$$\prod_{n=0}^N R(n)^{(-1)^n} = \prod_{n=0}^{\lfloor N/2 \rfloor} \frac{R(2n)}{R(2n+1)} \times \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } N \text{ is odd;} \\ R(N+1), & \text{if } N \text{ is even.} \end{cases} \quad (39)$$

The first factor on the right in (39) is connected to the product  $\mathfrak{P}(R_1, 1)$ , where

$$R_1(z) = \frac{R(2z)}{R(2z+1)}. \quad (40)$$

Its convergence is decided by Theorem 1. It is clear that the product on the left-hand side of (39) converges if and only if both factors on the right converge separately.

In particular, if  $\mathfrak{P}(R, M)$  converges, then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} R(n) = 1$  and it must be that  $C = 1$  in (38). To complete the discussion, it suffices to determine conditions under which  $\mathfrak{P}(R_1, 1)$  is finite. The rational function (40) factors as

$$R_1(z) = \frac{(2z + a_1) \cdots (2z + a_d)}{(2z + b_1) \cdots (2z + b_r)} \times \frac{(2z + 1 + b_1) \cdots (2z + 1 + b_r)}{(2z + 1 + a_1) \cdots (2z + 1 + a_d)}, \quad (41)$$

with  $d + r$  zeros at

$$-\frac{1}{2}a_1, \dots, -\frac{1}{2}a_d, -\frac{1}{2}(1 + b_1), \dots, -\frac{1}{2}(1 + b_r) \quad (42)$$

and  $d + r$  poles at

$$-\frac{1}{2}b_1, \dots, -\frac{1}{2}b_r, -\frac{1}{2}(1 + a_1), \dots, -\frac{1}{2}(1 + a_d). \quad (43)$$

Since  $R_1(z) \rightarrow 1$  as  $z \rightarrow \infty$ , convergence in (39) requires the relation

$$\sum_{k=1}^d a_k + \sum_{k=1}^r (1 + b_k) = \sum_{k=1}^r b_k + \sum_{k=1}^d (1 + a_k). \quad (44)$$

This is equivalent to the condition  $d = r$ .

The value of  $\mathfrak{P}(R, M)$  is obtained from Theorem 3 as

$$\mathfrak{P}(R, M) = \mathfrak{P}(R_1, 1) = \prod_{k=1}^d \frac{\Gamma(\frac{b_k}{2})\Gamma(\frac{1+a_k}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{1+b_k}{2})\Gamma(\frac{a_k}{2})}. \quad (45)$$

This is simplified using the duplication formula for the gamma function to obtain

$$\prod_{k=1}^d \frac{\Gamma(\frac{b_k}{2})\Gamma(\frac{1+a_k}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{1+b_k}{2})\Gamma(\frac{a_k}{2})} = 2^{(b_1-a_1)+\dots+(b_d-a_d)} \prod_{k=1}^d \frac{\Gamma^2(\frac{b_k}{2})\Gamma(a_k)}{\Gamma^2(\frac{a_k}{2})\Gamma(b_k)}. \quad (46)$$

The discussion above is summarized in the next statement.

**Theorem 4.** *Let  $R(z)$  be a rational function and  $M_n = (-1)^n$ . Then  $\mathfrak{P}(R, M)$  converges if and only if  $R(z) \rightarrow 1$  as  $z \rightarrow \infty$ . If*

$$R(z) = \prod_{k=1}^d \frac{(z + a_k)}{(z + b_k)} \text{ and } \mathfrak{S}(R) = \sum_{k=1}^d b_k - \sum_{k=1}^d a_k, \quad (47)$$

then

$$\mathfrak{P}(R, M) = 2^{\mathfrak{S}(R)} \prod_{k=1}^d \frac{\Gamma^2(\frac{b_k}{2})\Gamma(a_k)}{\Gamma^2(\frac{a_k}{2})\Gamma(b_k)}. \quad (48)$$

**Example 5.** Let  $R(z) = (20z + 5)/(20z + 4)$ . The convergence conditions are satisfied and Theorem 4 gives

$$\prod_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{20n + 5}{20n + 4} \right)^{(-1)^n} = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{10})\Gamma(\frac{5}{8})}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{8})\Gamma(\frac{3}{5})}. \quad (49)$$

Mathematica 9.0 does not evaluate the original product, but it does give the right-hand side of (49) for

$$\mathfrak{P}(R_1, 1) = \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{80n^2 + 58n + 6}{80n^2 + 58n + 5}. \quad (50)$$

**Example 6.** The infinite product

$$\mathfrak{P}(R, s) = \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{2\alpha n + \beta}{2\gamma n + \delta} \right)^{(-1)^n} \quad (51)$$

encountered in the paperfolding product (17) converges if and only if  $\alpha = \gamma$ . The product is then

$$\mathfrak{P}(R, s) = \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{n + 2v}{n + 2u} \right)^{(-1)^n} = 2^{2(u-v)} \frac{\Gamma^2(u)\Gamma(2v)}{\Gamma^2(v)\Gamma(2u)}, \quad (52)$$

with  $u = \delta/4\alpha$  and  $v = \beta/4\alpha$ .



## 4 Convergence for periodic sequences

This section discusses the issue of convergence of the product

$$\mathfrak{P}(R, M) = \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} R(n)^{M_n} \quad (53)$$

where  $\{M_n\}$  is a periodic sequence of period length  $\ell$  of elements of the alphabet  $\{+1, -1\}$ .

*Notation.* The results are expressed in terms of

$$\begin{aligned} M^+ &= \{i : M_i = +1 \text{ and } 0 \leq i \leq \ell - 1\} = \{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{|M^+|}\} \\ M^- &= \{j : M_j = -1 \text{ and } 0 \leq j \leq \ell - 1\} = \{j_1, j_2, \dots, j_{|M^-|}\}, \end{aligned} \quad (54)$$

and the period length is  $\ell = |M^+| + |M^-|$ .

The rational function is written as

$$R(n) = C \frac{(n + a_1) \cdots (n + a_d)}{(n + b_1) \cdots (n + b_r)} \quad (55)$$

with  $a_s, b_t \notin \{0, -1, 2, \dots\}$  and

$$\mathfrak{S}(R) = \sum_{t=1}^r b_t - \sum_{s=1}^d a_s. \quad (56)$$

The partial product associated with  $\mathfrak{P}(R, M)$  is

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{n=0}^N R(n)^{M_n} &= \prod_{k=0}^{\lfloor N/\ell \rfloor} \prod_{i \in M^+} R(k\ell + i)^{M_i} \prod_{j \in M^-} R(k\ell + j)^{M_j} \prod_{n=\ell \lfloor N/\ell \rfloor + 1}^N R(n)^{M_n} \\ &= \prod_{k=0}^{\lfloor N/\ell \rfloor} \frac{\prod_{i \in M^+} R(k\ell + i)}{\prod_{j \in M^-} R(k\ell + j)} \prod_{n=\ell \lfloor N/\ell \rfloor + 1}^N R(n)^{M_n}, \end{aligned} \quad (57)$$

the last product being empty if  $N$  is a multiple of the period length  $\ell$ . An elementary argument shows that the convergence of  $\mathfrak{P}(R, M)$  requires the convergence of both products in (57). The first product, which would lead to an expression of the form  $\mathfrak{P}(R_1, 1)$  for a new rational function  $R_1$  is labeled the *main term*. The second product is called the *tail product*. We analyze its convergence first.

The tail product is defined by

$$P_{N,\ell}(M) = \prod_{n=\ell \lfloor N/\ell \rfloor + 1}^N R(n)^{M_n}. \quad (58)$$

Its convergence implies  $R(n) \rightarrow 1$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Observe that  $P_{N,\ell}(M) = 1$  if  $N \equiv 0 \pmod{\ell}$ . On the other hand, in the case  $N \equiv 1 \pmod{\ell}$ , one obtains

$$P_{N,\ell}(M) = R(N)^{M_N} = R(N)^{M_1},$$

since  $M_N = M_1$  by periodicity. Therefore, the convergence of  $\mathfrak{P}(R, M)$  requires  $R(N) \rightarrow 1$  for  $N \equiv 1 \pmod{\ell}$ . Similarly, if  $N \equiv 2 \pmod{\ell}$ ,

$$P_{N,\ell}(M) = R(N-1)^{M_{N-1}} R(N)^{M_N} = R(N-1)^{M_1} R(N)^{M_2}.$$

The convergence of  $\mathfrak{P}(R, M)$  already implies  $R(N-1) \rightarrow 1$  since  $N-1 \equiv 1 \pmod{\ell}$ . This time it is required that  $R(N) \rightarrow 1$ . Iterating this argument it follows that  $R(N) \rightarrow 1$  for  $N \equiv j \pmod{\ell}$  for any residue class  $j$ . This gives the next result.

**Proposition 7.** *Assume  $\mathfrak{P}(R, M)$  converges. Then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} R(n) = 1$ .*

The limiting value of the main term is  $\mathfrak{P}(R_1, 1)$ , where

$$R_1(n) = \frac{R(\ell n + i_1) \cdots R(\ell n + i_{|M^+|})}{R(\ell n + j_1) \cdots R(\ell n + j_{|M^-|})}. \quad (59)$$

The ingredients entering into the convergence of  $\mathfrak{P}(R_1, 1)$  are discussed in the next result. We assume the condition  $R(n) \rightarrow 1$ .

**Proposition 8.** *Let  $M_* = |M^+| - |M^-|$  and assume  $\mathfrak{P}(R_1, 1)$  converges. Then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} R_1(n) = 1$  and*

$$\ell \mathfrak{S}(R_1) = M_* \mathfrak{S}(R). \quad (60)$$

*Proof.* The behavior of  $R_1(n)$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  comes directly from that of  $R$ . The identity (60) is a direct computation.  $\square$

Combining these propositions gives the following.

**Theorem 9.** *Let  $R$  be a rational function satisfying  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} R(n) = 1$  with zeros and poles of  $R$  are outside  $\{0, -1, -2, \dots\}$ . There are two cases.*

1. *Assume  $M_* \neq 0$ . Then  $\mathfrak{P}(R, M)$  converges if and only if  $\mathfrak{S}(R) = 0$ .*
2. *Assume  $M_* = 0$ . Then  $\mathfrak{P}(R, M)$  always converges.*

For a general periodic sequence, the value of the product  $\mathfrak{P}(R, M)$  is given by the following.

**Theorem 10.** Let  $R(n)$  be a rational function written in the form

$$R(n) = \frac{(n + a_1) \cdots (n + a_d)}{(n + b_1) \cdots (n + b_d)} \quad (61)$$

with  $a_i, b_j \notin \{0, -1, -2, \dots\}$ . Let  $\{M_n\}$  be a periodic sequence of  $\pm 1$  with period length  $\ell$ . Assume the product

$$\mathfrak{P}(R, M) = \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} R(n)^{M_n} \quad (62)$$

converges. Then

$$\mathfrak{P}(R, M) = \ell^{\mathfrak{S}(R)} \prod_{1 \leq s \leq d} \frac{\Gamma(a_s)}{\Gamma(b_s)} \prod_{i \in M^+} \frac{\Gamma^2\left(\frac{b_s+i}{\ell}\right)}{\Gamma^2\left(\frac{a_s+i}{\ell}\right)}. \quad (63)$$

*Proof.* Splitting the product according to its residues modulo  $\ell$  gives

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} R(n)^{M_n} &= \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} \prod_{\substack{i \in M^+ \\ j \in M^-}} \frac{R(\ell n + i)}{R(\ell n + j)} \\ &= \prod_{\substack{i \in M^+ \\ j \in M^-}} \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(n + \frac{a_1+i}{\ell}) \cdots (n + \frac{a_d+i}{\ell}) (n + \frac{b_1+j}{\ell}) \cdots (n + \frac{b_d+j}{\ell})}{(n + \frac{b_1+i}{\ell}) \cdots (n + \frac{b_d+i}{\ell}) (n + \frac{a_1+j}{\ell}) \cdots (n + \frac{a_d+j}{\ell})}. \end{aligned}$$

The products may be expressed in terms of the gamma function to obtain

$$\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} R(n)^{M_n} = \prod_{\substack{i \in M^+ \\ j \in M^-}} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{b_1+i}{\ell}\right) \cdots \Gamma\left(\frac{b_d+i}{\ell}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{a_1+j}{\ell}\right) \cdots \Gamma\left(\frac{a_d+j}{\ell}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{a_1+i}{\ell}\right) \cdots \Gamma\left(\frac{a_d+i}{\ell}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{b_1+j}{\ell}\right) \cdots \Gamma\left(\frac{b_d+j}{\ell}\right)} \quad (64)$$

and the result is simplified using Gauss' multiplication formula

$$(2\pi)^{\frac{\ell-1}{2}} \ell^{\frac{1}{2}-\ell z} \Gamma(\ell z) = \prod_{j=0}^{\ell-1} \Gamma\left(z + \frac{j}{\ell}\right). \quad (65)$$

Take  $z = a_s/\ell$  to produce

$$\begin{aligned} (2\pi)^{\frac{\ell-1}{2}} \ell^{1/2-a_s} \Gamma(a_s) &= \Gamma\left(\frac{a_s}{\ell}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{a_s+1}{\ell}\right) \cdots \Gamma\left(\frac{a_s+\ell-1}{\ell}\right) \\ &= \Gamma\left(\frac{a_s+i_1}{\ell}\right) \cdots \Gamma\left(\frac{a_s+i_{|M^+|}}{\ell}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{a_s+j_1}{\ell}\right) \cdots \Gamma\left(\frac{a_s+j_{|M^-|}}{\ell}\right) \end{aligned}$$

since every residue modulo  $\ell$  appears exactly once in the sets  $M^+$  and  $M^-$ . It follows that

$$\prod_{j \in M^-} \Gamma\left(\frac{a_s+j}{\ell}\right) = \frac{(2\pi)^{(\ell-1)/2} \ell^{1/2-a_s} \Gamma(a_s)}{\prod_{i \in M^+} \Gamma\left(\frac{a_s+i}{\ell}\right)}, \quad (66)$$

for  $1 \leq s \leq d$ . A similar result holds for  $b_s$ . Replacing in (64) concludes the proof.  $\square$

**Example 11.** Consider the sequence  $\overline{\{1, -1, -1\}}$ , where the bar indicates the fundamental period; that is,

$$M_n = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}; \\ -1, & \text{if } n \equiv 1, 2 \pmod{3}. \end{cases} \quad (67)$$

Therefore  $M^+ = \{0\}$ ,  $M^- = \{1, 2\}$  so that  $M_* = -1$ . Theorem 9 states that the convergence of  $\mathfrak{P}(R_1, 1)$  is equivalent to  $\mathfrak{S}(R) = 0$ . Take  $R(z) = \frac{(z+1)(z+3)}{(z+2)^2}$ . The conditions for convergence of  $\mathfrak{P}(R, M)$  are satisfied, and its value is

$$\prod_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{(n+1)(n+3)}{(n+2)^2} \right)^{M_n} = \frac{\Gamma(1)\Gamma^2\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)\Gamma(3)\Gamma^2\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)}{\Gamma(2)\Gamma^2\left(\frac{1}{3}\right)\Gamma(2)\Gamma^2\left(\frac{3}{3}\right)} = 2 \cdot \frac{\Gamma^4\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)}{\Gamma^2\left(\frac{1}{3}\right)} = \frac{3}{2\pi^2} \Gamma^6\left(\frac{2}{3}\right). \quad (68)$$

by Theorem 10.

**Example 12.** Let  $R(z) = \frac{(z+2)(z+3)}{(z+1)(z+4)}$  and  $M = \overline{\{1, 1, 1, -1\}}$ . Then  $M^+ = \{0, 1, 2\}$  and  $M^- = \{3\}$ . Thus  $M_* \neq 0$ . The product  $\mathfrak{P}(R, M)$  converges by Theorem 9, and Theorem 10 gives

$$\prod_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{(n+2)(n+3)}{(n+1)(n+4)} \right)^{M_n} = \frac{1}{24\pi} \Gamma^4\left(\frac{1}{4}\right). \quad (69)$$

## 5 The paperfolding sequence

The paperfolding sequence is defined by the rules

$$\epsilon_{2n} = (-1)^n \text{ and } \epsilon_{2n+1} = \epsilon_n. \quad (70)$$

Allouche [1] considered the products

$$A = \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{2n+1}{2n+2} \right)^{\epsilon_n} \text{ and } B = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{2n}{2n+1} \right)^{\epsilon_n}, \quad (71)$$

and proved

$$B = \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^2}{8\sqrt{2\pi}}. \quad (72)$$

The *closed-form* evaluation of  $A$  remains an open problem.

The goal of this section is to present a new proof of (72) and to present an alternative product expression for  $A$ . Observe that

$$\prod_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{an+b}{cn+d} \right)^{\epsilon_n} = \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{2an+b}{2cn+d} \right)^{(-1)^n} \times \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{2an+(a+b)}{2cn+(c+d)} \right)^{\epsilon_n}. \quad (73)$$

The convergence of the first product requires  $a = c$  and its value has been obtained in Theorem 4 as

$$\prod_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{2an + b}{2cn + d} \right)^{(-1)^n} = 2^{d/2c-b/2a} \frac{\Gamma^2\left(\frac{d}{4c}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{b}{2a}\right)}{\Gamma^2\left(\frac{b}{4a}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{d}{2c}\right)}. \quad (74)$$

Iterating this procedure converts the second factor in (73) into

$$\prod_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{2an + (a+b)}{2cn + (c+d)} \right)^{\epsilon_n} = \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{4an + (a+b)}{4cn + (c+d)} \right)^{(-1)^n} \times \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{4an + (3a+b)}{4cn + (3c+d)} \right)^{\epsilon_n}. \quad (75)$$

The first product on the right-hand side of (75) converges and Theorem 4 gives

$$\prod_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{4an + (a+b)b}{4cn + (c+d)} \right)^{(-1)^n} = 2^{d/4c-b/4a} \frac{\Gamma^2\left(\frac{c+d}{8c}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{a+b}{4a}\right)}{\Gamma^2\left(\frac{a+b}{8a}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{c+d}{4c}\right)}. \quad (76)$$

Now observe that

$$\frac{c+d}{8c} = \frac{1}{4} + \frac{d-c}{8c} \quad (77)$$

so (76) can be written as

$$\prod_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{4an + (a+b)b}{4cn + (c+d)} \right)^{(-1)^n} = 2^{d/4c-b/4a} \frac{\Gamma^2\left(\frac{1}{4} + \frac{d-c}{8c}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{b-a}{2a}\right)}{\Gamma^2\left(\frac{1}{4} + \frac{b-a}{8a}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{d-c}{4c}\right)}. \quad (78)$$

Repeated application of this process gives

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{an + b}{cn + d} \right)^{\epsilon_n} &= 2^{(d/c-b/a) \sum_{k=1}^N 1/2^k} \times \\ &\prod_{k=2}^N \frac{\Gamma^2\left(\frac{1}{4} + \frac{d-c}{c2^k}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{b-a}{a2^{k-1}}\right)}{\Gamma^2\left(\frac{1}{4} + \frac{b-a}{a2^k}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{d-c}{c2^{k-1}}\right)} \times \\ &\prod_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{2^N an + a(2^N - 1) + b}{2^N cn + c(2^N - 1) + d} \right)^{\epsilon_n}. \end{aligned} \quad (79)$$

A direct argument shows that the last product converges to 1 when  $N \rightarrow \infty$ . This completes the proof of the next statement.

**Theorem 13.** *The infinite product associated with the paperfolding sequence is given by*

$$\prod_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{an + b}{cn + d} \right)^{\epsilon_n} = 2^{(d/c-b/a)} \prod_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma^2\left(\frac{1}{4} + \frac{d-c}{c2^k}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{b-a}{a2^{k-1}}\right)}{\Gamma^2\left(\frac{1}{4} + \frac{b-a}{a2^k}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{d-c}{c2^{k-1}}\right)}. \quad (80)$$

The product appearing in Theorem 13 does not seem to admit a simple closed form for general choice of the parameters  $a, b, d$  (recall that  $a = c$  is required for the convergence of the product). Such a closed form is obtained in the special situation where the factors telescope. This occurs when  $2d = a + b$ . The next corollary (equivalent to a theorem of Allouche [1, Theorem 1]) gives such a closed form, with  $\alpha = d/a$ . In that situation

$$\prod_{k=2}^N \frac{\Gamma^2\left(\frac{1}{4} + \frac{d-c}{c2^k}\right)}{\Gamma^2\left(\frac{1}{4} + \frac{b-a}{a2^k}\right)} \rightarrow \frac{\Gamma^2\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)}{\Gamma^2\left(\frac{\alpha}{2} - \frac{1}{4}\right)} \quad (81)$$

and

$$\prod_{k=2}^N \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{b-a}{a2^{k-1}}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{d-c}{a2^{k-1}}\right)} \rightarrow \frac{\Gamma\left(\alpha - \frac{1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)}. \quad (82)$$

**Corollary 14.** *A special case of the paperfolding product is given by*

$$\prod_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{n+2\alpha-1}{n+\alpha}\right)^{\epsilon_n} = 2^{1-\alpha} \frac{\Gamma^2\left(\frac{1}{4}\right) \Gamma\left(\alpha - \frac{1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \Gamma^2\left(\frac{\alpha}{2} - \frac{1}{4}\right)}. \quad (83)$$

**Example 15.** Take  $\alpha = 3$  to obtain

$$\prod_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{n+5}{n+3}\right)^{\epsilon_n} = 3. \quad (84)$$

**Example 16.** The infinite product  $B$  in (71) comes by taking the limit as  $\alpha \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}$ . Indeed, write (83) as

$$\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{n+2\alpha-1}{n+\alpha}\right)^{\epsilon_n} = \frac{\alpha}{\alpha - \frac{1}{2}} \frac{\Gamma^2\left(\frac{1}{4}\right) \Gamma\left(\alpha - \frac{1}{2}\right)}{2^\alpha \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \Gamma^2\left(\frac{\alpha}{2} - \frac{1}{4}\right)}. \quad (85)$$

The limit

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{\Gamma(x)}{x\Gamma^2(x/2)} = \frac{1}{4} \quad (86)$$

gives

$$\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{2n}{2n+1}\right)^{\epsilon_n} = \frac{\Gamma^2\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)}{8\sqrt{2\pi}}, \quad (87)$$

confirming (72).

**Example 17.** The method described above does not produce a closed form for the product  $A$  in (71). A direct use of the expression in Theorem 13 gives

$$A = \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{2n+1}{2n+2}\right)^{\epsilon_n} = \sqrt{2} \prod_{k=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{2^{k+1}}\right)}\right)^2 \times \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2^k}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)}. \quad (88)$$

Iterating the duplication formula for the gamma function yields the so-called Knar formula [5, volume 1, page 6, formula 6]

$$\Gamma(1+z) = 2^{2z} \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{z}{2^k}\right) \quad (89)$$

and  $z = -\frac{1}{2}$  gives

$$\prod_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2^k}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)} = 2\sqrt{\pi}. \quad (90)$$

Then (88) becomes

$$A = \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{2n+1}{2n+2}\right)^{\epsilon_n} = 2\sqrt{2\pi} \prod_{k=3}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{2^k}\right)}\right)^2. \quad (91)$$

The authors have been unable to reduce this any further.

## 6 Generalization to certain $k$ -automatic sequences

This section extends the results on the paperfolding sequence to certain  $k$ -automatic sequences. As usual, let  $R(z)$  be a rational function written in the form

$$R(z) = \frac{(z+a_1)\cdots(z+a_d)}{(z+b_1)\cdots(z+b_d)} \quad (92)$$

and assume that  $a_i$  and  $b_j$  are not in  $\{0, -1, -2, \dots\}$ .

Consider the case in which  $M_n$  is a 3-automatic sequence defined by the rules

$$\begin{aligned} M_{3n} &= q_0(n), \\ M_{3n+1} &= q_1(n), \\ M_{3n+2} &= M_n, \end{aligned} \quad (93)$$

where  $q_j$  takes values in  $\{+1, -1\}$  and  $q_j(n)$  is periodic of period length  $\ell_j$ . Now split the product according to residues modulo 3 to produce

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} R(n)^{M_n} &= \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} R(3n)^{M_{3n}} \times \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} R(3n+1)^{M_{3n+1}} \times \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} R(3n+2)^{M_{3n+2}} \\ &= \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} R(3n)^{q_0(n)} \times \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} R(3n+1)^{q_1(n)} \times \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} R(3n+2)^{M_n}. \end{aligned}$$

The convergence and values of the first two products are provided by Theorem 9 and Theorem 10.

Assume the convergence of the product

$$\mathbb{P}_0 = \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} R(3n)^{q_0(n)}. \quad (94)$$

Theorem 9 shows that this happens if  $|q_0| = 0$ , where  $|q_0|$  is the number of  $+1$  minus the number of  $-1$  in one period. In the remaining case, it is required that  $\mathfrak{S}(R(3z)) = 0$ , where  $\mathfrak{S}(R)$  is defined in (20). The exact form of the product is obtained from Theorem 10 which yields, with  $R_0(z) = R(3z)$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}_0 = \mathfrak{P}(R_0, q_0) = \ell_0^{\mathfrak{S}(R_0)} \prod_{1 \leq s \leq d} \frac{\Gamma(a_s/3)}{\Gamma(b_s/3)} \prod_{i \in q_0^+} \frac{\Gamma^2\left(\frac{b_s+3i}{3\ell_0}\right)}{\Gamma^2\left(\frac{a_s+3i}{3\ell_0}\right)}. \quad (95)$$

A similar process gives an analytic formula for the second product. Repeating the previous process yields a decomposition of the third product as

$$\prod_{n=0}^{\infty} R(n)^{M_n} = \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} R(9n+2)^{q_0(n)} \times \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} R(9n+5)^{q_1(n)} \times \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} R(9n+8)^{M_n}.$$

As before, the first two products have an explicit analytic expression and the last one has to be split again.

This process can be iterated to obtain a formula for the original product. For simplicity, the results are given for  $R(z)$  a rational function of degree 1 and only in the case in which all the periodic pieces  $q_i(n)$  have a period length that is a power of a fixed even integer. In this situation, the final formula can be simplified.

**Theorem 18.** *Let  $R(z) = \frac{z+b}{z+d}$ , with  $b, d \in \mathbb{R}^+$  and let  $M_n$  be a  $k$ -automatic sequence satisfying the rules*

$$\begin{aligned} M_{kn} &= q_0(n) \\ M_{kn+1} &= q_1(n) \\ &\vdots \\ M_{kn+k-2} &= q_{k-2}(n) \\ M_{kn+k-1} &= M_n. \end{aligned}$$

*Assume there is an even integer  $L$  such that each sequence  $q_i(n)$  is a periodic sequence of period length  $L_i = L^{\alpha_i}$  some power of  $L$ . In addition, assume that  $|q_i^+| = |q_i^-|$  for all  $0 \leq i \leq k-2$ . Then*

$$\mathfrak{P}(R, M) = \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} R(n)^{M_n} \quad (96)$$



converges. Moreover, if  $d = \frac{b+k-1}{k}$  the product in (96) can be evaluated as

$$\prod_{n=0}^{\infty} R(n)^{M_n} = \prod_{i=0}^{k-2} \left( L_i^{\frac{1-b}{k}} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{b+i}{k})}{\Gamma(\frac{i+1}{k})} \prod_{j \in q_i^+} \frac{\Gamma^2\left(\frac{i+1}{L_i k} + \frac{j}{L_i}\right)}{\Gamma^2\left(\frac{b+i}{L_i k} + \frac{j}{L_i}\right)} \right). \quad (97)$$

Note that the paperfolding sequence satisfies the hypothesis of the theorem. In this case  $k = 2$  and  $q_0(n) = (-1)^n$ , and  $L = 2$ . The rational function is

$$R(n) = \frac{n+b}{n + \frac{b+1}{2}}$$

and (97) reduces to the result of Allouche. The idea of the proof is the argument presented in the case of the 3-automatic sequence above. Complete details may be found in [8].

## 7 Acknowledgments

The second author acknowledges the partial support of NSF-DMS 1112656. This work was carried out during the 2014 Mathematical Sciences Research Institute Undergraduate Program (MSRI-UP) which is funded by the National Science Foundation (grant No. DMS-1156499) and the National Security Agency (grant No. H-98230-13-1-0262). H. Quan, F. Roman and M. Washington were undergraduates at this program, L. Almodovar was a graduate assistant and E. Rowland was a postdoctoral advisor at the MSRI-UP program.

## References

- [1] J.-P. Allouche, Paperfolding infinite products and the gamma function, *J. Number Theory* **148** (2015), 95–111.
- [2] J.-P. Allouche and J. Shallit, *Automatic Sequences: Theory, Applications, Generalizations*, Cambridge University Press, 2003.
- [3] P. Borwein and W. Dykshoorn, An interesting infinite product, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **179** (1993), 203–207.
- [4] M. Chamberland and A. Straub, On gamma quotients and infinite products, *Adv. Appl. Math.* **51** (2013), 546–562.
- [5] A. Erdélyi, *Higher Transcendental Functions*, McGraw-Hill, 1953.
- [6] Z. A. Melzak, Infinite products for  $\pi e$  and  $\pi/e$ , *Amer. Math. Monthly* **68** (1961), 39–41.

- [7] T. J. Osler, The tables of John Wallis and the discovery of his product for  $\pi$ , *Math. Gaz.* **94** (2010), 430–437.
- [8] H. Quan, F. Roman, and M. Washington, [Infinite products and periodic sequences](#), Technical report, MSRI-UP, Berkeley, California, 2014, pp. 60–90.
- [9] J. Sondow, Evaluation of Tachiya’s algebraic infinite products involving Fibonacci and Lucas numbers, in *Diophantine Analysis and Related Fields: Proc. DARF 2011*, American Institute of Physics, 2011, pp. 97–100.

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 11B85; Secondary 33B15, 40A20.

*Keywords*: infinite product, gamma function, paperfolding sequence.

---

(Concerned with sequence [A034947](#).)

---

Received May 29 2015; revised version received April 21 2016. Published in *Journal of Integer Sequences*, May 11 2016.

---

Return to [Journal of Integer Sequences home page](#).