

NOTE ON A LOVÁSZ'S RESULT

DĂNUȚ MARCU, Bucharest

(Received June 3, 1996)

Abstract. In this paper, we give a generalization of a result of Lovász from [2].

Keywords: hypergraphs, cycles, connected components

MSC 1991: 05C40

The terminology and notation used in this paper are those of [1]. So, let $\mathbf{H} = (X, \mathcal{E})$ be a hypergraph with X the set of vertices and $\mathcal{E} = \{E_i\}_{i \in I}$ the set of edges.

Theorem 1. *If $\mathbf{H} = (X, \mathcal{E})$ is a hypergraph without cycles of length greater than two then there exists a vertex belonging to a single edge, or there exist two edges E_i and E_j such that $E_i \subset E_j$.*

Proof. Suppose that no edge is contained in another one and that every vertex belongs to at least two edges. Let

$$(x_1, E_{i_1}, x_2, E_{i_2}, \dots, x_p, E_{i_p}, x_{p+1})$$

be a chain of maximum length. We may suppose that $x_1 \in E_{i_1} - E_{i_2}$, since otherwise x_1 could be replaced by a vertex x such that $x \in E_{i_1} - E_{i_2}$ (such a vertex x exists and $x \neq x_k$, $k = 2, 3$, since $x_2, x_3 \in E_{i_2}$ and $x \neq x_k$, $4 \leq k \leq p+1$, since, by hypothesis, \mathbf{H} does not contain cycles of length greater than or equal to three). Obviously, there exists an edge E_i such that $i \neq i_1$ and $x_1 \in E_i$. Since $x_1 \notin E_{i_2}$ we have $i \neq i_2$. Moreover, if $i = i_k$, $3 \leq k \leq p$, then there exists a cycle

$$(x_1, E_{i_1}, x_2, \dots, x_k, E_{i_k}, x_1)$$

of length greater than or equal to three, a contradiction. Thus, since the chain $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{p+1})$ is maximal, we have $E_i \subset \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{p+1}\}$ and, since $i \neq i_1$, we

have $E_i - E_{i1} \neq \emptyset$. Let k be the smallest index for which $x_k \in E_i - E_{i1}$. Obviously, since $x_k \notin E_{i1}$, we have $k \neq 1, 2$. On the other hand, $k < 3$, since otherwise there exists a cycle

$$(x_1, E_{i1}, x_2, \dots, x_k, E_i, x_1)$$

of length greater than or equal to three, a contradiction. The theorem is proved. \square

Theorem 2. *If $\mathbf{H} = (X, \mathcal{E})$ is a hypergraph without cycles of length greater than two and with p connected components such that $|E_i \cap E_j| \leq q$ for every $E_i \neq E_j$, then*

$$(1) \quad \sum_{i \in I} (|E_i| - q) \leq |X| - pq.$$

Proof. We shall prove this theorem by induction. Obviously, the theorem is true for $\sum_{i \in I} |E_i| = 1$. So, suppose that it is true for hypergraphs \mathbf{H}^* for which $\sum_{i \in I^*} |E_i^*| < \sum_{i \in I} |E_i|$.

Obviously, by Theorem 1, only two situations are possible.

(a) There exists a vertex x_1 which belongs to a single edge, say E_1 . By induction hypothesis, the theorem is true for the subhypergraph \mathbf{H}^* induced by $X^* = X - \{x_1\}$. Thus, we have

$$\sum_{i \in I^*} (|E_i^*| - q) \leq |X^*| - p^*q.$$

If $E_1 \neq \{x_1\}$, then $I^* = I$, $p^* = p$, $|E_1^*| = |E_1| - 1$ and (1) is verified.

If $E_1 = \{x_1\}$, then $I^* = I - \{1\}$, $p^* = p - 1$ and (1) is also verified.

(b) There is no vertex belonging to single edge, but there exist two edges E_{i_0} and E_{j_0} such that $E_{j_0} \subset E_{i_0}$. Since, by induction hypothesis, the theorem is true for the partial hypergraph $\mathbf{H}^* = (X, \mathcal{E} - \{E_{j_0}\})$, it follows that

$$\sum_{i \in I - \{j_0\}} (|E_i| - q) \leq |X| - pq$$

(obviously, $p^* = p$). Moreover,

$$|E_{j_0}| - q = |E_{i_0} \cap E_{j_0}| - q \leq 0$$

and (1) is verified. The theorem is proved. \square

Obviously, Theorem 2 for $q = 2$ yields

$$\sum_{i \in I} (|E_i| - 2) \leq |X| - 2p < |X| - p,$$

that is, the result of Lovász from [2].

References

- [1] *C. Berge*: Graphes et Hypergraphes. Dunod, Paris, 1970.
- [2] *L. Lovász*: Graphs and set-systems. Beitrage zur Graphentheorie (H. Sachs, H. S. Voss and H. Walther, eds.). Teubner, 1968, pp. 99–106.

Author's address: Dănuț Marcu, Str. Pasului 3, Sect. 2, 70241-Bucharest, Romania.