

# SQUARE-FREE VALUES OF THE CARMICHAEL FUNCTION

Imre Kátai

*Eötvös Loránd University, Department of Computer Algebra, and Research Group of Applied Number Theory of the Hungarian Academy of Sciences, Pázmány Péter sétány 1/C, H-1117 Budapest, Hungary*

*Received:* February 2005

*MSC 2000:* 11 N 25, 11 N 36

*Keywords:* Square-free integers, Carmichael function, Levin–Fainleib theorem.

*Abstract:* Asymptotic expansion for the mean-value of square-free values of the Carmichael function is given.

## § 1. Introduction

This paper contains a short remark to the result of F. Pappalardi, F. Saidak and J. E. Shparlinski in [1].

Let  $\lambda(n)$  be the Carmichael function, defined for prime power  $p^\nu$  as follows:

$$(1.1) \quad \lambda(p^\nu) = \begin{cases} p^{\nu-1}(p-1) & \text{if } p \geq 3 \text{ or } \nu \leq 2, \\ 2^{\nu-2} & \text{if } p = 2 \text{ and } \nu \geq 3, \end{cases}$$

furthermore, for  $n \geq 2$ ,

---

*E-mail address:* katai@compalg.inf.elte.hu

Research supported by the Applied Number Theory Research Group of the Hungarian Academy of Sciences and by a grant from OTKA T46993.

$$(1.2) \quad \lambda(n) = \text{LCM} [\lambda(p_1^{\nu_1}), \dots, \lambda(p_s^{\nu_s})],$$

where  $n = p_1^{\alpha_1} \dots p_s^{\nu_s}$  is the prime factorization of  $n$ . Furthermore let  $\lambda(1) = 1$ .

Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be the set of square-free numbers,  $p, q$  with or without suffixes denote prime numbers. Let  $x_1 = \log x, x_2 = \log x_1, \dots$

Let  $L(x)$  be the numbers of those  $n \leq x$  for which  $\lambda(n) \in \mathcal{M}$ . In [1], by using the well-known theorem of E. Wirsing [2] it was proved that

$$L(x) = (\kappa + o(1)) \frac{x}{x_1^{1-\alpha}},$$

where  $\kappa$  is a positive constant, and

$$(1.3) \quad \alpha := \prod_p \left(1 - \frac{1}{p(p-1)}\right) = 0.37395 \dots$$

By using a result of B. V. Levin and A. S. Fainleib [3] (Lemma 1) we can deduce almost immediately the following

**Theorem.** *Let  $N$  be an arbitrary positive integer. There exists suitable real numbers  $E_0, E_1, \dots$ , such that*

$$(1.4) \quad L(x) = x \sum_{l=0}^N E_l x_1^{-l-1-\alpha} + O(x \cdot x_1^{-N-2+\alpha}),$$

$$E_0 = \kappa.$$

The coefficients  $E_\nu$  can be computed by using Lemma 1.

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $f(n)$  be a multiplicative function,  $\lambda_f(n)$  be defined from the equation*

$$(1.5) \quad f(n) \log n = \sum_{d|n} f(d) \lambda_f \left(\frac{n}{d}\right).$$

Assume that

$$(1.6) \quad \sum_{n \leq x} \frac{\lambda_f(n)}{n} = \tau x_1 + B + h(x),$$

$$h(x) = O(x_1^{-N-1}),$$

$$(1.7) \quad \prod_{p \leq x} \left(1 + \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \frac{|f(p^r)|}{p^r}\right) = O(x_1^A),$$

and

$$(1.8) \quad \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \frac{|f(p^r)|}{p^r} = 0.$$

(1.9) Then

$$(m(x)) := \sum_{n \leq x} f(n) = \sum_{1 \leq \nu < \operatorname{Re} \tau + N + 1 - A} \tau(\tau - 1) \dots (\tau - \nu + 1) c_{\nu} x_1^{\tau - \nu} + \\ + O(x \cdot x_1^{A - N - 1 - \varepsilon}),$$

where

$$(1.10) \quad c_{\nu} = \sum_{\lambda + \mu = \nu - 1} (-1)^{\lambda} a_{\mu},$$

and

$$(1.11) \quad \begin{aligned} \nu a_{\nu} &= - \sum_{\lambda + \mu = \nu - 1} a_{\lambda} b_{\mu}, \quad b_0 = B, \\ b_{\mu} &= \frac{(-1)^{\mu - 1}}{(\mu - 1)!} \int_1^{\infty} \frac{h(u)(\log u)^{\mu - 1}}{u} du, \end{aligned}$$

$(\mu \geq 1)$ .

## § 2. Proof of the theorem

Let  $f$  be a multiplicative function,  $f(2) = f(2^2) = f(2^3) = 1$ ,  $f(2^j) = 0$  if  $j \geq 4$ .

If  $p$  is an odd prime, then let

$$f(p^l) = 0 \quad (l = 1, 2, \dots) \quad \text{if } p - 1 \notin \mathcal{M}$$

and

$$1 = f(p) = f(p^2), \quad f(p^l) = 0 \quad (l = 3, 4, \dots) \quad \text{if } p - 1 \in \mathcal{M}.$$

In [1] it was proved that  $\lambda(n) \in \mathcal{M}$  if and only if  $f(n) = 1$ . Thus  $L(x) = \sum_{n \leq x} f(n)$ .

We shall count  $\lambda_f(n)$ .

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.1) \quad F(s) &:= \sum \frac{f(n)}{n^s} = \left(1 + \frac{1}{2^s} + \frac{1}{2^{2s}} + \frac{1}{2^{3s}}\right) \prod_{\substack{p-1 \in \mathcal{M} \\ p > 2}} \left(1 + \frac{1}{p^s} + \frac{1}{p^{2s}}\right), \\
-\frac{F'}{F}(s) &= -\frac{\frac{-\log 2}{2^s} - \frac{2\log 2}{2^{2s}} - \frac{3\log 2}{2^{3s}}}{1 + \frac{1}{2^s} + \frac{1}{2^{2s}} + \frac{1}{2^{3s}}} - \sum_{\substack{p-1 \in \mathcal{M} \\ p > 2}} \frac{\frac{\log p}{p^s} + \frac{2\log p}{p^{2s}}}{1 + \frac{1}{p^s} + \frac{1}{p^{2s}}} = \\
&= -\sum \frac{\Lambda_f(n)}{n^s}.
\end{aligned}$$

It is clear that  $\Lambda_f(n) = 0$ , if  $n$  is not a prime, or prime power. Furthermore  $\Lambda_f(p^\alpha) = 0$  for every  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$  if  $p > 2$ ,  $p-1 \notin \mathcal{M}$ ;  $\Lambda_f(p) = \log p$ , if  $p-1 \in \mathcal{M}$ .

From (2.1) we can compute the values  $\Lambda_f(p^\alpha)$  for every  $p$  and  $\alpha$ .

Let  $p-1 \in \mathcal{M}$ . Then

$$\Lambda_f(p^\alpha) = \begin{cases} \log p & \text{if } \alpha \equiv 1, 2 \pmod{3}, \\ -2\log p & \text{if } \alpha \equiv 0 \pmod{3}. \end{cases}$$

Furthermore

$$\Lambda_f(2^\alpha) = \begin{cases} \log 2 & \text{if } \alpha \equiv 1, 2, 3 \pmod{4}, \\ -3\log 2 & \text{if } \alpha \equiv 0 \pmod{4}. \end{cases}$$

Let us observe that the conditions of Lemma 1 are satisfied. (1.7) holds with  $A = 1$ . The fulfilment of (1.8) is obvious.

Let  $E(x) = \sum_{n \leq x} \Lambda_f(n)$ ,  $E_1(x) = \sum_{p-1 \in \mathcal{M}} \log p$ . Then  $E(x) - E_1(x) = O(\sqrt{x})$ . Furthermore

$$(2.2) \quad E_1(x) = \sum_{2 < p \leq x} (\log p) \sum_{d^2 | p-1} \mu(d) = \sum_{d^2 \leq x} \mu(d) \vartheta(x, d^2, 1),$$

where

$$(2.3) \quad \vartheta(x, k, l) := \sum_{\substack{q \leq x \\ q \equiv l \pmod{k}}} \log q.$$

By using the Siegel–Walfisz theorem:

$$(2.4) \quad \vartheta(x, d^2, 1) = \frac{x}{\varphi(d^2)} \left(1 + O\left(e^{-c\sqrt{x_1}}\right)\right)$$

uniformly as  $d^2 \leq x_1^M$ ,  $M$  is an arbitrary constant. Using this, and the trivial  $\vartheta(x, d^2, 1) \leq x_1 \cdot \frac{x}{d^2}$  estimation, we obtain immediately that

$$E_1(x) = x \sum_{d^2 \leq x_1^M} \frac{\mu(d)}{\varphi(d^2)} + O\left(xe^{-c\sqrt{x_1}}\right) + O\left(xx_1 \sum_{d \geq x_1^{M/2}} \frac{1}{d^2}\right).$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{d^2 \leq x_1^M} \frac{\mu(d)}{\varphi(d^2)} &= \alpha - \sum_{d^2 \geq x_1^M} \frac{\mu(d)}{\varphi(d^2)} = \alpha + O\left(x_1^{-M/2}\right) \\ &\quad \sum_{d \geq x_1^{M/2}} 1/d^2 \ll x_1^{-M/2}, \end{aligned}$$

we obtain that

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{aligned} E_1(x) &= \alpha x + O\left(x \cdot x_1^{1-M/2}\right), \quad \text{and so} \\ E(x) &= \alpha x + O\left(x \cdot x_1^{1-M/2}\right). \end{aligned}$$

By using partial integration, we obtain (1.6) immediately, and so we can apply Lemma 1.

Our theorem is straightforward.  $\diamond$

## References

- [1] PAPPALARDI, F., SAIDAK, F. and SHPARLINSKI, I. E.: Square-free values of the Carmichael function, *J. Number Theory* **103** (2003), 122–131.
- [2] WIRSING, E.: Das asymptotische Verhalten von Summen über multiplikative Funktionen, *Math. Ann.* **143** (1961), 75–102.
- [3] LEVIN, B. V. and FAINLEIB, A. S.: Applications of some integral equations to problems in number theory, *Uspekhi Mat. Nauk* **22** (3) 135 (1967), 119–198 (in Russian), *Russian Math. Surveys* **22** (3) (1967), 119–204 (in English).