

On the structure of derivations on certain nonamenable nuclear Banach algebras

Ana L. Barrenechea and Carlos C. Peña

ABSTRACT. We develop the structure of general bounded derivations on the algebra of \mathfrak{X}^* -nuclear operators on an infinite-dimensional Banach space \mathfrak{X} that admits a shrinking basis.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	199
2. An isomorphism theorem	201
3. Shrinking basis and tensor products	202
4. Some structure theorems	203
References	208

1. Introduction

Let $(\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{Y}, \langle \circ, \circ \rangle)$ be a *dual pair of Banach spaces*, i.e., a pair of Banach spaces $(\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{Y})$ with a nondegenerate, bounded, bilinear map $\langle \circ, \circ \rangle : \mathfrak{X} \times \mathfrak{Y} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. By $\mathcal{N}_{\mathfrak{Y}}(\mathfrak{X})$ we denote the class of so-called *\mathfrak{Y} -nuclear operators* $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{X})$ which can be written as $Tx = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \langle x, y_n \rangle x_n$ if $x \in \mathfrak{X}$, with $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subseteq \mathfrak{X}$, $\{y_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subseteq \mathfrak{Y}$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|x_n\| \|y_n\| < \infty$. The infimum of these series taking over all such representations of T furnish a norm $\|T\|$ of T so that $(\mathcal{N}_{\mathfrak{Y}}(\mathfrak{X}), \|\circ\|)$ becomes a Banach space. By the universal property of the tensor product there is a unique linear function $\tau : \mathfrak{X} \otimes \mathfrak{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_{\mathfrak{Y}}(\mathfrak{X})$ so that $\tau(x \otimes y) = x \odot y$ if $x \in \mathfrak{X}, y \in \mathfrak{Y}$, with $(x \odot y)(z) = \langle z, y \rangle x$ if

Received May 22, 2007; revised March 26, 2009.

Mathematics Subject Classification. 46H20 46H25.

Key words and phrases. Amenable, superamenable, biprojective and biflat Banach algebras. Bounded approximate identities. Multipliers of a Banach space on a fixed basis. Injective and projective tensor product of Banach spaces.

$x, z \in \mathfrak{X}$, $y \in \mathfrak{Y}$. Since $\mathfrak{X} \otimes \mathfrak{Y}$ is dense in the *projective tensor product* then τ admits a unique extension Λ to $\mathfrak{X} \widehat{\otimes} \mathfrak{Y}$. If

$$\mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{Y}) = \left\{ \mathfrak{q} \in \mathfrak{X} \widehat{\otimes} \mathfrak{Y} : \mathfrak{q} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n \otimes y_n, \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|x_n\| \|y_n\| < \infty \right\}$$

then $\mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{Y})$ is a linear subspace of $\mathfrak{X} \widehat{\otimes} \mathfrak{Y}$. Given $\mathfrak{q} \in \mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{Y})$ it is readily seen that

$$\|\mathfrak{q}\|_{\pi} = \inf \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|x_n\| \|y_n\| : \mathfrak{q} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n \otimes y_n \right\}$$

and that $\mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{Y})$ is closed in $\mathfrak{X} \widehat{\otimes} \mathfrak{Y}$. As $\mathfrak{X} \otimes \mathfrak{Y} \subseteq \mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{Y})$ then $\mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{Y}) = \mathfrak{X} \widehat{\otimes} \mathfrak{Y}$ and Λ becomes surjective. Indeed, by the same reasoning it is easy to see that $c_0(\mathfrak{X}) \otimes l^1(\mathfrak{Y}) \approx \mathfrak{X} \widehat{\otimes} \mathfrak{Y}$, where \approx denotes an isomorphism of Banach spaces. Now, by the open mapping theorem we deduce that $\mathcal{N}_{\mathfrak{Y}}(\mathfrak{X}) \approx \mathfrak{X} \widehat{\otimes} \mathfrak{Y} / \ker \Lambda$.

The projective tensor product has a Banach algebra structure given by the multiplication $(x_1 \otimes y_1)(x_2 \otimes y_2) = \langle x_2, y_1 \rangle (x_1 \otimes y_2)$ if $x_1, x_2 \in \mathfrak{X}$, $y_1, y_2 \in \mathfrak{Y}$. So, $\mathfrak{X} \widehat{\otimes} \mathfrak{Y}$ becomes *biprojective* and hence *biflat*. Thus $\mathfrak{X} \widehat{\otimes} \mathfrak{Y}$ is *amenable* if and only if it has a *bounded approximate identity* (cf. [8], Theorem 2.21). In the case of Banach pairings this is indeed the case, so that $\mathfrak{X} \widehat{\otimes} \mathfrak{Y}$ is amenable if and only if $\dim(\mathfrak{X}) < \infty$ (cf. [6]). The amenability and *superamenability* of $\mathfrak{X} \widehat{\otimes} \mathfrak{Y}$ impose finite dimensionality of \mathfrak{X} and \mathfrak{Y} . Moreover,

Theorem 1 (cf. [10], Theorem 4.3.5, p. 98). *For a dual Banach pair*

$$(\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{Y}, \langle \circ, \circ \rangle),$$

the following assertions are equivalent:

- (a) $\mathfrak{X} \widehat{\otimes} \mathfrak{Y}$ is superamenable.
- (b) $\mathfrak{X} \widehat{\otimes} \mathfrak{Y}$ is amenable.
- (c) $\mathfrak{X} \widehat{\otimes} \mathfrak{Y}$ has a bounded approximate identity.
- (d) $\mathfrak{X} \widehat{\otimes} \mathfrak{Y}$ has a bounded left approximate identity.
- (e) $\mathcal{N}_{\mathfrak{Y}}(\mathfrak{X})$ has a bounded left approximate identity.
- (f) $\dim(\mathfrak{X}) = \dim(\mathfrak{Y}) < \infty$.

Throughout this article by \mathfrak{X} we will denote an infinite dimensional Banach space having a *shrinking basis* (see Section 3). The sets of all bounded finite rank operators on \mathfrak{X} and of all bounded derivations on a Banach algebra \mathfrak{U} will be denoted as $\mathfrak{F}(\mathfrak{X})$ and $\mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{U})$ respectively. As usual, the closure in $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{X})$ of $\mathfrak{F}(\mathfrak{X})$, the set of approximable operators on \mathfrak{X} , will be denoted as $\mathcal{A}(\mathfrak{X})$. We will be concerned about structure theorems of derivations on $\mathcal{N}_{\mathfrak{X}^*}(\mathfrak{X})$. For previous related studies on which the underlying space \mathfrak{X} consists of nuclear or Hilbert–Schmidt operators on a separable Hilbert space the reader can see [1] or [2]. In Section 2 we will assume that \mathfrak{X} satisfies the *approximation property* in the sense of A. Grothendieck, i.e., there is a net $\{S_a\}_{a \in A}$ in $\mathcal{F}(\mathfrak{X})$ such that $\lim_{a \in A} S_a = \text{Id}_{\mathfrak{X}}$ uniformly on compact

subsets of \mathfrak{X} (cf. [7], p. 165). Then there is an isometric isomorphism of Banach algebras between $\mathcal{N}_{\mathfrak{X}^*}(\mathfrak{X})$ and $\mathfrak{X}\widehat{\otimes}\mathfrak{X}^*$ (cf. [10], Theorem C.1.5). This will allow us to transfer our investigation to the frame of the projective Banach algebra $\mathfrak{X}\widehat{\otimes}\mathfrak{X}^*$ (see Remark 5). By Theorem 1 the determination of structure theorems of derivations on $\mathfrak{X}\widehat{\otimes}\mathfrak{X}^*$ has its own interest when \mathfrak{X} is infinite-dimensional. To this end we will focus on the case when \mathfrak{X} admits a shrinking basis. So, in Section 3 we will consider an infinite-dimensional Banach space \mathfrak{X} endowed with a shrinking basis and we will show briefly how the basis may be used to construct a basis for $\mathfrak{X}\widehat{\otimes}\mathfrak{X}^*$. Our main results rely on Theorem 7 in Section 4. In this theorem we will develop the precise structure of general bounded derivations on $\mathfrak{X}\widehat{\otimes}\mathfrak{X}^*$. Later, we will introduce the notion of Hadamard derivations and we will prove in Theorem 10 that they constitute a Banach complementary subspace of $\mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{X}\widehat{\otimes}\mathfrak{X}^*)$. Finally, in Proposition 12 and Theorem 13 we will investigate how bounded operators on \mathfrak{X} induce bounded derivations on $\mathfrak{X}\widehat{\otimes}\mathfrak{X}^*$.

2. An isomorphism theorem

Proposition 2. *Let $\tau : \mathfrak{X}\widehat{\otimes}\mathfrak{X}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_{\mathfrak{X}^*}(\mathfrak{X})$ be the unique bounded operator so that $\tau(x \otimes x^*) = x \odot x^*$ for all basic tensors. If \mathfrak{X} satisfies the approximation property then τ is a Banach algebra isometric isomorphism.*

Proof. Let $u \in \mathfrak{X}\widehat{\otimes}\mathfrak{X}^* - \{0\}$, say $u = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n \otimes x_n^*$ with $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|x_n\| \|x_n^*\| < \infty$. Indeed, we can assume that $x_n \rightarrow 0$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|x_n^*\| < \infty$. Since $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \cup \{0\}$ is compact and \mathfrak{X} has the approximation property, we may find $S \in \mathcal{F}(\mathfrak{X})$ so that

$$\|Sx_n - x_n\| < \frac{\|u\|_{\pi}}{2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|x_n^*\|}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. If $v = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} Sx_n \otimes x_n^*$ then

$$\|u - v\|_{\pi} \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|Sx_n - x_n\| \|x_n^*\| < \|u\|_{\pi}/2,$$

and so $v \neq 0$. Let $S = \sum_{m=1}^p y_m \odot y_m^*$ with $y_m \in \mathfrak{X}$, $y_m^* \in \mathfrak{X}^*$. Since

$$v = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{m=1}^p \langle x_n, y_m^* \rangle y_m \right) \otimes x_n^* = \sum_{m=1}^p y_m \otimes \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \langle x_n, y_m^* \rangle x_n^* \neq 0$$

there is $y^* \in \mathfrak{X}^*$ so that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \langle x_n, y^* \rangle x_n^* \neq 0$ in \mathfrak{X}^* . Consequently, there exists $y^{**} \in \mathfrak{X}^{**}$ so that

$$0 \neq \left\langle \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \langle x_n, y^* \rangle x_n^*, y^{**} \right\rangle = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \langle x_n, y^* \rangle \langle x_n^*, y^{**} \rangle = (y^* \otimes y^{**})(u),$$

i.e., $u \neq 0$ and the canonical map $\iota : \mathfrak{X} \widehat{\otimes} \mathfrak{X}^* \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{X} \overset{\vee}{\otimes} \mathfrak{X}^*$ is injective. But, if $w = \sum_{j=1}^q z_j \otimes z_j^*$ in $\mathfrak{X} \otimes \mathfrak{X}^*$ then

$$\begin{aligned} \|\tau(w)\| &= \|\tau(w)^{**}\| \\ &= \sup_{\|x^{**}\|_{\mathfrak{X}^{**}}=1} \|x^{**} \circ \tau(w)^*\| \\ &= \sup_{\|x^{**}\|_{\mathfrak{X}^{**}}=1} \sup_{\|x^*\|_{\mathfrak{X}^*}=1} |\langle x^*, x^{**} \circ \tau(w)^* \rangle| \\ &= \sup_{\|x^{**}\|_{\mathfrak{X}^{**}}=1} \sup_{\|x^*\|_{\mathfrak{X}^*}=1} |\langle x^* \circ \tau(w), x^{**} \rangle| \\ &= \sup_{\|x^{**}\|_{\mathfrak{X}^{**}}=1} \sup_{\|x^*\|_{\mathfrak{X}^*}=1} \left| \sum_{j=1}^q \langle z_j, x^* \rangle \langle z_j^*, x^{**} \rangle \right| \\ &= \sup_{\|x^{**}\|_{\mathfrak{X}^{**}}=1} \sup_{\|x^*\|_{\mathfrak{X}^*}=1} |(x^* \otimes x^{**})(w)| = \|w\|_\epsilon, \end{aligned}$$

i.e., $\tau|_{\mathfrak{X} \otimes \mathfrak{X}^*} : \mathfrak{X} \otimes \mathfrak{X}^* \hookrightarrow \mathcal{F}(\mathfrak{X})$ is an isometry. Therefore τ extends to an isometric isomorphism $\tilde{\tau}$ between $\mathfrak{X} \overset{\vee}{\otimes} \mathfrak{X}^*$ and $\mathcal{A}(\mathfrak{X})$. Certainly, $\tau = \tilde{\tau} \circ \iota$ becomes isometric. Indeed, we already know that τ is onto and by definition of the nuclear norm it is an isometric isomorphism. Finally, since

$$\begin{aligned} \tau((x_1 \otimes x_1^*)(x_2 \otimes x_2^*)) &= \langle x_2, x_1^* \rangle \tau(x_1 \otimes x_2^*) \\ &= \langle x_2, x_1^* \rangle x_1 \odot x_2^* \\ &= (x_1 \odot x_1^*) \circ (x_2 \odot x_2^*) \\ &= \tau(x_1 \otimes x_1^*) \circ \tau(x_2 \otimes x_2^*) \end{aligned}$$

if $x_1, x_2 \in \mathfrak{X}, x_1^*, x_2^* \in \mathfrak{X}^*$ the assertion follows. \square

3. Shrinking basis and tensor products

If $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is a basis of \mathfrak{X} there is $\{x_n^*\}_{n=1}^\infty \subseteq \mathfrak{X}^*$ so that $\langle x_n, x_m^* \rangle = \delta_{n,m}$ with $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$, i.e., $\{x_n^*\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is the associated sequence of coefficient functionals (a.s.c.f.) of $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ (see [12], Theorem 3.1, p. 20). Certainly, $\{x_n^*\}_{n=1}^\infty$ need not be a basis of \mathfrak{X}^* since \mathfrak{X}^* may be nonseparable and so it may have no basis at all. However, $\{x_n^*\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is a basis if \mathfrak{X} is a reflexive Banach space (cf. [9]). In the sequel, we will assume that $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is a shrinking basis. This means, by definition, that the a.s.c.f., $\{x_n^*\}_{n=1}^\infty$, is a basis for \mathfrak{X}^* (cf. [3], [5]).

Proposition 3 (cf. [11], [12]). *Let \mathfrak{X} be a Banach space, let $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ be a shrinking basis of \mathfrak{X} and let $\{x_n^*\}_{n=1}^\infty$ be the a.s.c.f. Then the system of all basic tensor products $x_n \otimes x_m^*$ is a basis of $\mathfrak{X} \widehat{\otimes} \mathfrak{X}^*$, when arranged into a single sequence as follows: if $m \in \mathbb{N}$ let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ so that $(n-1)^2 < m \leq n^2$ and then write*

$$z_m = x_{\sigma_1(m)} \otimes x_{\sigma_2(m)},$$

with

$$\sigma(m) = \begin{cases} (m - (n-1)^2, n) & \text{if } (n-1)^2 + 1 \leq m \leq (n-1)^2 + n, \\ (n, n^2 - m + 1) & \text{if } (n-1)^2 + n \leq m \leq n^2. \end{cases}$$

Remark 4. In particular, $\sigma : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ becomes a bijective function. Since $\mathfrak{X}^* \widehat{\otimes} \mathfrak{X} \hookrightarrow (\mathfrak{X} \widehat{\otimes} \mathfrak{X}^*)^*$ we will also write $z_m^* = x_{\sigma_1(m)}^* \otimes x_{\sigma_2(m)}$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus $\{z_m^*\}_{m=1}^\infty$ becomes the a.s.c.f. of $\{z_m\}_{m=1}^\infty$.

Remark 5. A Banach space \mathfrak{X} with a shrinking basis $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ satisfies the approximation property. For, it suffices to observe that if $\{x_n^*\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is the corresponding a.s.c.f. the sequence $\{\sum_{n=1}^m x_n \odot x_n^*\}_{m=1}^\infty$ in $\mathcal{F}(\mathfrak{X})$ converges uniformly on compact subsets of \mathfrak{X} to $\text{Id}_{\mathfrak{X}}$ (cf. [10], p. 255).

The proof of the following is straightforward.

Proposition 6. *With the above notation, for $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ the following assertions hold:*

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_1^{-1}(\{n\}) &= \{n^2 - k + 1\}_{1 \leq k \leq n} \cup \{k^2 + n\}_{k \geq n}, \\ \sigma_2^{-1}(\{m\}) &= \{(m-1)^2 + k\}_{1 \leq k \leq m} \cup \{k^2 - m + 1\}_{k > m}. \end{aligned}$$

In particular

$$(1) \quad \sigma^{-1}(n, m) = \begin{cases} n^2 - m + 1 & \text{if } 1 \leq m \leq n, \\ (m-1)^2 + n & \text{if } m > n. \end{cases}$$

4. Some structure theorems

Theorem 7. *Let \mathfrak{X} be an infinite-dimensional Banach space with a shrinking basis $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$. Given $\delta \in \mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{X} \widehat{\otimes} \mathfrak{X}^*)$ there are unique sequences $\{\mathfrak{h}_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $\{\mathfrak{y}_u^v\}_{u, v \in \mathbb{N}}$ so that if $u, v \in \mathbb{N}$ then*

$$(2) \quad \delta(z_{\sigma^{-1}(u, v)}) = (\mathfrak{h}_u - \mathfrak{h}_v) z_{\sigma^{-1}(u, v)} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\mathfrak{y}_u^n \cdot z_{\sigma^{-1}(n, v)} - \mathfrak{y}_v^n \cdot z_{\sigma^{-1}(u, n)}).$$

In the sequel we will say that they are the \mathfrak{h} and \mathfrak{y} sequences of δ .

Proof. Let $\{\mathfrak{h}_{u,v}^n\}_{u,v,n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ so that $\delta(z_{\sigma^{-1}(u, v)}) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathfrak{h}_{u,v}^n z_n$ if $u, v \in \mathbb{N}$. If $u, v, t \in \mathbb{N}$ then $x_u \otimes x_v^* = (x_u \otimes x_t^*)(x_t \otimes x_v^*)$ and so

$$\begin{aligned}
(3) \quad \delta(x_u \otimes x_v^*) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathfrak{h}_{u,v}^n \left(x_{\sigma_1(n)} \otimes x_{\sigma_2(n)}^* \right) \\
&= \delta(x_u \otimes x_t^*) (x_t \otimes x_v^*) + (x_u \otimes x_t^*) \delta(x_t \otimes x_v^*) \\
&= \sum_{n \in \sigma_1^{-1}(\{t\})} \mathfrak{h}_{t,v}^n \left(x_u \otimes x_{\sigma_2(n)}^* \right) \\
&\quad + \sum_{n \in \sigma_2^{-1}(\{t\})} \mathfrak{h}_{u,t}^n \left(x_{\sigma_1(n)} \otimes x_v^* \right) \\
&= \sum_{n=1}^t \left\{ \mathfrak{h}_{u,t}^{(t-1)^2+n} z_{\sigma^{-1}(n,v)} + \mathfrak{h}_{t,v}^{t^2-n+1} z_{\sigma^{-1}(u,n)} \right\} \\
&\quad + \sum_{n=t}^{\infty} \left\{ \mathfrak{h}_{u,t}^{n^2-t+1} z_{\sigma^{-1}(n,v)} + \mathfrak{h}_{t,v}^{n^2+t} z_{\sigma^{-1}(u,n+1)} \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore by (3) we get $\mathfrak{h}_{u,v}^n = 0$ if $n \notin \sigma_1^{-1}(\{u\}) \cup \sigma_2^{-1}(\{v\})$ for all $u, v, n \in \mathbb{N}$. Now, by evaluating $\mathfrak{h}_{u,v}^{\sigma^{-1}(u,v)}$ from (3) the following assertions hold:

$$\begin{aligned}
(4) \quad t \leq u < v &\Rightarrow \mathfrak{h}_{u,v}^{(v-1)^2+u} = \mathfrak{h}_{t,v}^{(v-1)^2+t} + \mathfrak{h}_{u,t}^{u^2-t+1}, \\
u < t < v &\Rightarrow \mathfrak{h}_{u,v}^{(v-1)^2+u} = \mathfrak{h}_{t,v}^{(v-1)^2+t} + \mathfrak{h}_{u,t}^{(t-1)^2+u}, \\
u < v \leq t &\Rightarrow \mathfrak{h}_{u,v}^{(v-1)^2+u} = \mathfrak{h}_{t,v}^{t^2-v+1} + \mathfrak{h}_{u,t}^{(t-1)^2+u}.
\end{aligned}$$

In particular, $\mathfrak{h}_{n,n}^{\sigma^{-1}(n,n)} = 0$ if $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Likewise,

$$\begin{aligned}
(5) \quad t < u = v &\Rightarrow \mathfrak{h}_{t,u}^{(u-1)^2+t} + \mathfrak{h}_{u,t}^{u^2-t+1} = 0, \\
t = u = v &\Rightarrow \mathfrak{h}_{t,t}^{t^2-t+1} = 0, \\
u = v < t &\Rightarrow \mathfrak{h}_{t,u}^{t^2-u+1} + \mathfrak{h}_{u,t}^{(t-1)^2+u} = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Finally,

$$\begin{aligned}
(6) \quad t \leq v < u &\Rightarrow \mathfrak{h}_{u,v}^{u^2-v+1} = \mathfrak{h}_{t,v}^{(v-1)^2+t} + \mathfrak{h}_{u,t}^{u^2-t+1}, \\
v < t \leq u &\Rightarrow \mathfrak{h}_{u,v}^{u^2-v+1} = \mathfrak{h}_{t,v}^{t^2-v+1} + \mathfrak{h}_{u,t}^{u^2-t+1}, \\
v < u < t &\Rightarrow \mathfrak{h}_{u,v}^{u^2-v+1} = \mathfrak{h}_{t,v}^{t^2-v+1} + \mathfrak{h}_{u,t}^{(t-1)^2+u}.
\end{aligned}$$

Consequently, from (4), (5) and (6) we deduce that

$$(7) \quad \mathfrak{h}_{u,v}^{\sigma^{-1}(u,v)} = \mathfrak{h}_{u,t}^{\sigma^{-1}(u,t)} + \mathfrak{h}_{t,v}^{\sigma^{-1}(t,v)} \text{ if } u, v \in \mathbb{N}.$$

By (7) we can write

$$(8) \quad \mathfrak{h}_{u,v}^{\sigma^{-1}(u,v)} = \mathfrak{h}_{u,1}^{\sigma^{-1}(u,1)} + \mathfrak{h}_{1,v}^{\sigma^{-1}(1,v)} = \mathfrak{h}_{u,1}^{\sigma^{-1}(u,1)} - \mathfrak{h}_{v,1}^{\sigma^{-1}(v,1)}.$$

Let us write

$$(9) \quad \mathfrak{h}_n = \mathfrak{h}_{n,1}^{\sigma^{-1}(n,1)}, n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Now, let $n, u, v \in \mathbb{N}$, $n < u$. By (3) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{if } n \leq t &\Rightarrow \mathfrak{h}_{u,v}^{\sigma^{-1}(n,v)} = \mathfrak{h}_{u,t}^{(t-1)^2+n}, \\ \text{if } t < n &\Rightarrow \mathfrak{h}_{u,v}^{\sigma^{-1}(n,v)} = \mathfrak{h}_{u,t}^{n^2-t+1}, \end{aligned}$$

i.e., $\mathfrak{h}_{u,v}^{\sigma^{-1}(n,v)} = \mathfrak{h}_{u,t}^{\sigma^{-1}(n,t)}$. The same conclusion holds if $u < n$. Therefore we deduce the existence of doubly indexed sequences $\{\mathfrak{y}_u^p\}_{u,p \in \mathbb{N}}$, $\{\mathfrak{z}_v^q\}_{v,q \in \mathbb{N}}$ so that $\mathfrak{y}_u^p = \mathfrak{h}_{u,n}^{\sigma^{-1}(p,n)}$ and $\mathfrak{z}_v^q = \mathfrak{h}_{n,v}^{\sigma^{-1}(n,q)}$ if $u, v, p, q, n \in \mathbb{N}$. In particular, we already know that $\mathfrak{y}_n^n = \mathfrak{z}_n^n = 0$ if $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus

$$(10) \quad \delta(x_u \otimes x_v^*) = \mathfrak{h}_{u,v}^{\sigma^{-1}(u,v)} z_{\sigma^{-1}(u,v)} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\mathfrak{y}_u^n \cdot z_{\sigma^{-1}(n,v)} + \mathfrak{z}_v^n \cdot z_{\sigma^{-1}(u,n)})$$

and

$$\delta_{u,v} \cdot \delta(x_u \otimes x_v^*) = \delta(x_u \otimes x_v^*) (x_u \otimes x_v^*) + (x_u \otimes x_v^*) \delta(x_u \otimes x_v^*).$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} &\delta_{u,v} \cdot \delta(x_u \otimes x_v^*) \\ &= \delta_{u,v} \left[2\mathfrak{h}_{u,v}^{\sigma^{-1}(u,v)} z_{\sigma^{-1}(u,v)} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\mathfrak{y}_u^n \cdot z_{\sigma^{-1}(n,v)} + \mathfrak{z}_v^n \cdot z_{\sigma^{-1}(u,n)}) \right] \\ &\quad + (\mathfrak{y}_u^v + \mathfrak{z}_v^u) \cdot (x_u \otimes x_v^*), \end{aligned}$$

i.e., $\mathfrak{y}_u^v + \mathfrak{z}_v^u = 0$ if $u \neq v$ in \mathbb{N} . Finally, (2) follows from (8), (9) and (10). \square

Remark 8. If $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ then

$$\langle \delta(z_m), z_n^* \rangle = \begin{cases} \mathfrak{h}_{\sigma_1(m)} - \mathfrak{h}_{\sigma_2(m)} & \text{if } m = n, \\ \mathfrak{y}_{\sigma_1(n)}^{\sigma_1(m)} & \text{if } \sigma_1(n) \neq \sigma_1(m) \text{ and } \sigma_2(n) = \sigma_2(m), \\ -\mathfrak{y}_{\sigma_2(n)}^{\sigma_2(m)} & \text{if } \sigma_1(n) = \sigma_1(m) \text{ and } \sigma_2(n) \neq \sigma_2(m), \\ 0 & \text{if } \sigma_1(n) \neq \sigma_1(m) \text{ and } \sigma_2(n) \neq \sigma_2(m) \end{cases}$$

and

$$\delta(\mathfrak{q}) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \langle \delta(z_m), z_n^* \rangle \langle \mathfrak{q}, z_m^* \rangle \right) z_n \text{ if } \mathfrak{q} \in \mathfrak{X} \widehat{\otimes} \mathfrak{X}^*.$$

Definition 9. With the notation of Theorem 7 and if $\mathcal{X} = \{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a fixed basis of a Banach space \mathfrak{X} , a derivation $\delta \in \mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{X} \widehat{\otimes} \mathfrak{X}^*)$ is called an \mathcal{X} -Hadamard derivation if its \mathfrak{y} -sequence is the null sequence. We will denote the set of all those derivations by $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathfrak{X} \widehat{\otimes} \mathfrak{X}^*)$.

Theorem 10. Let \mathfrak{X} be a Banach space with a shrinking basis $\mathcal{X} = \{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ and let $\{z_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be the induced basis of $\mathfrak{X} \widehat{\otimes} \mathfrak{X}^*$ as in Proposition 3. Then

- (a) $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathfrak{X} \widehat{\otimes} \mathfrak{X}^*)$ is a Banach subspace of $\mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{X} \widehat{\otimes} \mathfrak{X}^*)$.
- (b) $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathfrak{X} \widehat{\otimes} \mathfrak{X}^*) \hookrightarrow M(\mathfrak{X} \widehat{\otimes} \mathfrak{X}^*, \{z_n\}_{n=1}^\infty)$, i.e., there is an isometric isomorphism from the space of \mathcal{X} -Hadamard derivations into the multiplier Banach space of $\mathfrak{X} \widehat{\otimes} \mathfrak{X}^*$ on the basis $\{z_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$.
- (c) The Banach space $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathfrak{X} \widehat{\otimes} \mathfrak{X}^*)$ is complementable.

Proof. (a) Observe that

$$\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathfrak{X} \widehat{\otimes} \mathfrak{X}^*) = \bigcap_{n=1}^\infty \left\{ \delta \in \mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{X} \widehat{\otimes} \mathfrak{X}^*) : \delta(z_{\sigma^{-1}(n,n)}) = 0 \right\}.$$

(b) By Theorem 7 given $\delta \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathfrak{X} \widehat{\otimes} \mathfrak{X}^*)$ we can write

$$(11) \quad \delta(\mathfrak{q}) = \sum_{n=1}^\infty (\mathfrak{h}_{\sigma_1(n)} - \mathfrak{h}_{\sigma_2(n)}) \langle \mathfrak{q}, z_m^* \rangle \cdot z_n, \quad \mathfrak{q} \in \mathfrak{X} \widehat{\otimes} \mathfrak{X}^*.$$

So, the sequence $\mathfrak{h}_\delta = \{\mathfrak{h}_{\sigma_1(n)} - \mathfrak{h}_{\sigma_2(n)}\}_{n=1}^\infty$ becomes a multiplier of $\mathfrak{X} \widehat{\otimes} \mathfrak{X}^*$. Indeed, by (11) we have $\delta = M_{\mathfrak{h}_\delta}$, where M is the usual isometric algebraic isomorphism (cf. [13]) of $M(\mathfrak{X} \widehat{\otimes} \mathfrak{X}^*, \{z_n\}_{n=1}^\infty)$ into $B(\mathfrak{X} \widehat{\otimes} \mathfrak{X}^*)$ given by

$$M(\{c_n\}_{n=1}^\infty)(\mathfrak{q}) = \sum_{n=1}^\infty c_n \langle \mathfrak{q}, z_n^* \rangle \cdot z_n \text{ if } \mathfrak{q} \in \mathfrak{X} \widehat{\otimes} \mathfrak{X}^*.$$

(c) Let $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{X}}^\perp(\mathfrak{X} \widehat{\otimes} \mathfrak{X}^*)$ be the set of bounded derivations on $\mathfrak{X} \widehat{\otimes} \mathfrak{X}^*$ with null \mathfrak{h} -sequences. Since

$$\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{X}}^\perp(\mathfrak{X} \widehat{\otimes} \mathfrak{X}^*) = \bigcap_{n=1}^\infty \left\{ \delta \in \mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{X} \widehat{\otimes} \mathfrak{X}^*) : \langle \delta(z_{n^2}), z_{n^2}^* \rangle = 0 \right\}$$

we deduce that $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{X}}^\perp(\mathfrak{X} \widehat{\otimes} \mathfrak{X}^*)$ is a Banach space and by Theorem 7 we have

$$\mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{X} \widehat{\otimes} \mathfrak{X}^*) = \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathfrak{X} \widehat{\otimes} \mathfrak{X}^*) + \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{X}}^\perp(\mathfrak{X} \widehat{\otimes} \mathfrak{X}^*).$$

Finally, it is immediate that $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathfrak{X} \widehat{\otimes} \mathfrak{X}^*) \cap \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{X}}^\perp(\mathfrak{X} \widehat{\otimes} \mathfrak{X}^*) = \{0\}$. \square

Proposition 11. *Let \mathfrak{X} be an infinite-dimensional Banach space with a shrinking basis $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$. Given $\delta \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{X}}^\perp(\mathfrak{X} \widehat{\otimes} \mathfrak{X}^*)$ there are unique subsets $\{y_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ and $\{y_n^*\}_{n=1}^\infty$ of \mathfrak{X} and \mathfrak{X}^* respectively so that*

$$(12) \quad \delta(z_{\sigma^{-1}(u,v)}) = y_u \otimes x_v^* - x_u \otimes y_v^* \text{ if } u, v \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Proof. By Theorem 7 each series in (2) converges. Since

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\lim}_{p,q \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \sum_{n=p}^{p+q} \mathfrak{y}_u^n \cdot x_n \right\| &= \frac{1}{\|x_v^*\|} \overline{\lim}_{p,q \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \left(\sum_{n=p}^{p+q} \mathfrak{y}_u^n \cdot x_n \right) \otimes x_v^* \right\|_\pi \\ &= \frac{1}{\|x_v^*\|} \overline{\lim}_{p,q \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \sum_{n=p}^{p+q} \mathfrak{y}_u^n \cdot (x_n \otimes x_v^*) \right\|_\pi = 0 \end{aligned}$$

the series $\sum_{n=1}^\infty \mathfrak{y}_u^n \cdot x_n$ converges to an element $y_u \in \mathfrak{X}$ if $u \in \mathbb{N}$. Analogously, let $y_v^* = \sum_{n=1}^\infty \mathfrak{y}_v^n \cdot x_n^*$, $v \in \mathbb{N}$. Now (12) holds and our claim follows. \square

The proof of the following is straightforward.

Proposition 12. *Let \mathfrak{X} be a Banach space, $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{X})$. There exists a unique $\delta_T \in \mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{X} \widehat{\otimes} \mathfrak{X}^*)$ so that*

$$\delta_T(x \otimes x^*) = T(x) \otimes x^* - x \otimes T^*(x^*) \text{ if } x \in \mathfrak{X} \text{ and } x^* \in \mathfrak{X}^*.$$

Theorem 13. *Let \mathfrak{X} be an infinite-dimensional Banach space with a shrinking basis $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$. Let $\delta \in \mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{X} \widehat{\otimes} \mathfrak{X}^*)$ whose associated \mathfrak{h} -sequence established in Theorem 7 is zero and let $\{y_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$, $\{y_n^*\}_{n=1}^\infty$ be the unique sequences determinated by δ in Proposition 11. Then, if*

$$(13) \quad \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n y_i \otimes x_i^* \right\|_\pi < \infty$$

there is a unique $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{X})$ so that $\delta = \delta_T$.

Proof. Write $T(x_u) = y_u$, $u \in \mathbb{N}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{\|x\|_{\mathfrak{X}} \leq 1} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n y_i \cdot \langle x, x_i^* \rangle \right\|_{\mathfrak{X}} &= \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n y_i \odot x_i^* \right\|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{X})} \\ &= \left\| \tau \left(\sum_{i=1}^n y_i \otimes x_i^* \right) \right\|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{X})} \\ &= \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n y_i \otimes x_i^* \right\|_\pi \\ &\leq \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n y_i \otimes x_i^* \right\|_\pi < \infty \end{aligned}$$

and by linearity T becomes a bounded linear operator on \mathfrak{X} (cf. [4]). So, if $x \in \mathfrak{X}$ and $v \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle x, T^*(x_v^*) \rangle &= \langle T(x), x_v^* \rangle \\ &= \sum_{u=1}^{\infty} \langle x, x_u^* \rangle \langle T(x_u), x_v^* \rangle \\ &= \sum_{u=1}^{\infty} \langle x, x_u^* \rangle \left\langle \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathfrak{y}_u^n \cdot x_n, x_v^* \right\rangle \\ &= \sum_{u=1}^{\infty} \langle x, x_u^* \rangle \cdot \mathfrak{y}_u^v = \langle x, y_v^* \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

i.e., $T^*(x_v^*) = y_v^*$. Thus by (12) $T_\delta(z_n) = \delta(z_n)$ if $n \in \mathbb{N}$, i.e., $T = T_\delta$. \square

Example 14. Assume that $\{\mathfrak{y}_n^m\}_{n,m \in \mathbb{N}} \in l^1(\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N})$ and that $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is a bounded shrinking basis of \mathfrak{X} , i.e., assume that

$$0 < \inf_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|x_n\| \triangleq \iota < \sigma \triangleq \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|x_n\| < \infty.$$

Then (13) holds. For, there exists $M > 0$ so that $1 \leq \|x_n\| \|x_n^*\| \leq M$ if $n \in \mathbb{N}$ (cf. [12], Theorem 3.1, p. 20). Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n y_i \otimes x_i^* \right\|_\pi &\leq \frac{M}{\iota} \sum_{i=1}^n \|y_i\| \leq \frac{M}{\iota} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^\infty |\mathfrak{y}_i^j| \|x_j\| \\ &\leq \frac{M\sigma}{\iota} \sum_{i=1}^\infty \sum_{j=1}^\infty |\mathfrak{y}_i^j| \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}. \end{aligned}$$

Example 15. For $\mathfrak{q} \in \mathfrak{X} \widehat{\otimes} \mathfrak{X}^*$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_{x_1 \odot x_2^*}(\mathfrak{q}) &= \langle \mathfrak{q}, z_4^* \rangle z_1 - \langle \mathfrak{q}, z_1^* \rangle z_2 \\ &\quad + \sum_{n=2}^\infty \left[\langle \mathfrak{q}, z_{(n-1)^2+2}^* \rangle z_{(n-1)^2+1} - \langle \mathfrak{q}, z_{n^2}^* \rangle z_{n^2-1} \right] \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^\infty \left[\langle \mathfrak{q}, z_{\sigma^{-1}(2,n)} \rangle x_1 \otimes x_n^* - \langle \mathfrak{q}, z_{\sigma^{-1}(n,1)} \rangle x_n \otimes x_2^* \right]. \end{aligned}$$

With the notation of Theorem 7, since $\mathfrak{y}_2^1 = 1$ then

$$\delta_{x_1 \odot x_2^*} \in \mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{X} \widehat{\otimes} \mathfrak{X}^*) - \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathfrak{X} \widehat{\otimes} \mathfrak{X}^*).$$

Indeed, it is easy to see that $\mathfrak{h}_n = 0$ if $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Acknowledgements. The authors express our gratitude to the referees for their helpful suggestions and their advice for the writing of the final form of this article.

References

- [1] BARRENECHEA, ANA L.; PEÑA, CARLOS C. Some remarks about bounded derivations on the Hilbert space of square summable matrices. *Matematicki Vesnik* **57** (2005) 79–85. [MR2194595](#) (2006g:47045), [Zbl](#).
- [2] BARRENECHEA, ANA L.; PEÑA, CARLOS C. On innerness of derivations on $S(H)$. *Lobachevskii J. Math.* **18** (2005) 21–32. [MR2169078](#) (2006k:47073), [Zbl 1107.46003](#).
- [3] DAY, MAHNON M. Normed linear spaces. Third edition. *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete*, 21. *Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg*, 1973. viii+211 pp. [MR0344849](#) (49 #9588), [Zbl 0268.46013](#).
- [4] EZROHI, I. A. The general form of linear operations in spaces with a countable basis. *Doklady Akad. Nauk SSSR* **59** (1948) 1537–1540. [MR0024071](#) (9,448d).
- [5] GRUNBLUM, M. M.; GOUREVITCH, L. A. Sur une propriété de la base dans l'espace de Hilbert. *Doklady Akad. Nauk SSSR* **30** (1941) 289–291. [MR0004065](#) (2,313c), [Zbl 0027.11005](#), [JFM 67.0405.02](#).
- [6] GRØNBAEK, NIELS. Amenability and weak amenability of tensor algebras and algebras of nuclear operators. *J. Austral. Math. Soc. Series A*, **51** (1991) 483–488. [MR1125449](#) (92f:46062), [Zbl 0758.46040](#).

- [7] GROTHENDIECK, ALEXANDRE. Produits tensoriels topologiques et espaces nucléaires. *Memoirs Amer. Math. Soc.* **1955** (1955), no. 16, 190 pp. and 140 pp. [MR0075539](#) (17,763c), [Zbl 0064.35501](#).
- [8] KHELEMISKII, A. YA. Flat Banach modules and amenable algebras. (Russian) *Trudy Moskov. Mat. Obshch.* **47** (1984) 179–218, 247. [MR0774950](#) (86g:46108), [Zbl 0569.46027](#). (English) *Trans. Moscow Math. Soc.* 1985 (1985) 199–244. [Zbl 0602.46052](#).
- [9] KARLIN, S. Bases in Banach spaces. *Duke Math. J.* **15** (1948) 971–985. [MR0029103](#) (10,548c), [Zbl 0032.03102](#).
- [10] RUNDE, VOLKER. Lectures on amenability. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1774. *Springer-Verlag, Berlin*, 2002. xiv+296 pp. ISBN: 3-540-42852-6. [MR1874893](#) (2003h:46001), [Zbl 0999.46022](#).
- [11] SCHATTEN, ROBERT. A theory of cross spaces. Ann. of Math. Studies, 26. *Princeton University Press, Princeton*, 1950. vii+153 pp. [MR0036935](#) (12,186e), [Zbl 0041.43502](#).
- [12] SINGER, IVAN. Bases in Banach spaces. I. Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, 154. *Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin*, 1970. viii+668 pp. [MR0298399](#) (45 #7451), [Zbl 0198.16601](#).
- [13] YAMAZAKI, SABURO. Normed rings and bases in Banach spaces. *Sci. Papers College Gen. Ed. Univ. Tokyo* **15** (1965) 1–13. [MR0178344](#) (31 #2602), [Zbl 0138.37702](#).

UNCPBA — DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICAS — NUCOMP-A-ARGENTINA
analucia@exa.unicen.edu.ar ccpenia@exa.unicen.edu.ar

This paper is available via <http://nyjm.albany.edu/j/2009/15-10.html>.