

**Corrigendum to “Topology and arithmetic of resultants, I”, New York J. Math. **22** (2016), 801–821**

**Benson Farb and Jesse Wolfson**

ABSTRACT. This note is meant to correct a mistake in [1]. A corrected version of [1] can be found on the archive: [arXiv:1506.02713](https://arxiv.org/abs/1506.02713).

In Step 2 of Theorem 1.2 on page 808 of [1], we claimed that the map of Equation (3.3) (the map  $\Psi$  in Equation (1) below) is an isomorphism. This is not true, as pointed out to us by H. Spink and D. Tseng. However, we will see below that it is a bijective morphism. This has the effect that one needs to add the assumption that  $\text{char}(K) = 0$  in Theorem 1.2, Corollary 1.3, and Theorem 1.7 of [1]. The corresponding point counts over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  still hold.

**Step 2 of Theorem 1.2.** As to the proof of Theorem 1.2 on page 808 of [1], the entirety of Step 2 should be deleted and replaced by the following.

Let  $k \geq 0$ . Define a morphism

$$\bar{\Psi} : \mathbb{A}^{m(d-nk)} \times \mathbb{A}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^{md}$$

by

$$\bar{\Psi}(f_1, \dots, f_m, g) := (f_1 g^n, \dots, f_m g^n).$$

The restriction of  $\bar{\Psi}$  to  $\text{Poly}_n^{d-kn,m} \times \mathbb{A}^k$  gives a morphism

$$\Psi : \text{Poly}_n^{d-kn,m} \times \mathbb{A}^k \rightarrow R_{n,k}^{d,m} - R_{n,k+1}^{d,m} \tag{1}$$

where the target is the space of  $m$ -tuples of degree  $d$  polynomials with a common  $n$ -fold factor of degree equal to  $k$ , with no other common  $n$ -fold factors. We think of the map  $\Psi^{-1}$  as the (non-algebraic) map that extracts a common  $n$ -fold factor from a tuple of polynomials. We claim that:

- (i) For any field  $k$  the morphism  $\Psi$  is bijective.
- (ii) For  $k = \mathbb{C}$ , the map  $\Psi$  is a homeomorphism in the classical topology.

---

Received February 1, 2019.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary: 55R80; secondary: 14N20.

*Key words and phrases*. 0-cycles, rational maps.

B.F. is supported in part by NSF Grant Nos. DMS-1105643 and DMS-1406209. J.W. is supported in part by NSF Grant No. DMS-1400349.

These facts will allow us to analyze  $\text{Poly}_n^{d,m}$  recursively. Note that the case  $k = 0$  follows by definition:

$$\text{Poly}_n^{d,m} := R_{n,0}^{d,m} - R_{n,1}^{d,m}.$$

To see (i): It is clear from the definitions that  $\Psi$  is surjective. The map  $\Psi$  is injective because there is a unique  $n$ -fold degree  $k$  factor in each  $f_i g^n$ , so if  $f_i g^n = u_i v^n$  then this implies  $g = v$  and so  $f_i = u_i$ .

To see (ii): First note that the spaces of polynomials in the range and domain of  $\bar{\Psi}$  have Galois covers given by the corresponding spaces of (all possible orderings of) roots, with deck group the appropriate product of symmetric groups. The map  $\bar{\Psi}$  lifts to a map between these spaces of roots:

$$\Phi : \mathbb{A}^{m(d-nk)} \times \mathbb{A}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^{md}$$

given by

$$\Phi((\vec{r}_1, \dots, \vec{r}_m), \vec{s}) := ((\vec{r}_1, (\vec{s})^n), \dots, (\vec{r}_m, (\vec{s})^n))$$

where  $\vec{r}_i$  is the vector of  $d$  roots of  $f_i$ ; the vector of roots of  $g$  is denoted  $\vec{s}$ ; and where  $(\vec{s})^n$  denotes the vector  $(\vec{s}, \dots, \vec{s})$ , where  $\vec{s}$  is repeated  $n$  times. It follows that the map  $\Phi$  is closed, and hence the map  $\bar{\Psi}$  is closed, and hence the map  $\Psi$  is closed. Since  $\Psi$  is bijective, it follows that  $\Psi$  is a homeomorphism.

**Step 3 of Theorem 1.2.** In Step 3 on page 808, one should insert the following after Equation (3.6).

We now claim that, when  $\text{char}(K) = 0$  then

$$[\text{Poly}_n^{d-kn,m}] \cdot \mathbb{L}^k = [R_{n,k}^{d,m}] - [R_{n,k+1}^{d,m}] \quad (2)$$

To see this, first note that we proved in Step 2 that the map  $\Psi$  in (1) is a bijective morphism on  $K$ -points for all fields  $K$ . It is known (see, e.g., Remark 4.1 of [2]) that if  $\text{char}(K) = 0$  then a bijective morphism of  $K$ -varieties induces an equality  $[X] = [Y]$  in the Grothendieck ring of  $K$ -varieties.

The line “Plugging in the expression from Equation (3.3) into Equation (3.6)” should now read: “Plugging in the expression from Equation (2) into Equation 3.6 ”

## References

- [1] FARB, BENSON; WOLFSON, JESSE. Topology and arithmetic of resultants, I, *New York Jour. of Math.* **22** (2016), 801–821. [arXiv:1506.02713](#), [MR3548124](#), [Zbl 1379.55016](#). 195
- [2] GÖTTSCHE, LOTHAR. On the motive of the Hilbert scheme of points on a surface, *Math. Res. Lett.* **8** (2001), no. 5-6, 613–627. [arXiv:math/0007043v3](#), doi: [10.4310/MRL.2001.v8.n5.a3](#), [MR1879805](#), [Zbl 1079.14500](#). 196

CORRIGENDUM TO “TOPOLOGY AND ARITHMETIC OF RESULTANTS, I” 197

(Benson Farb) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO, 5734 S. UNIVERSITY AVENUE, CHICAGO, IL 60637, USA.

[farb@math.uchicago.edu](mailto:farb@math.uchicago.edu)

(Jesse Wolfson) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA AT IRVINE, IRVINE, CA 92697, USA.

[wolfson@uci.edu](mailto:wolfson@uci.edu)

This paper is available via <http://nyjm.albany.edu/j/2019/25-10.html>.