# MIXED NORM SPACES OF ANALYTIC AND HARMONIC FUNCTIONS, II

#### M. Pavlović

**Abstract.** In this paper we continue the study of the spaces  $h(p,q,\varphi)$  and  $H(p,q,\varphi)$ . We apply the main results of Part I to obtain new information on the coefficient multipliers of these spaces. For example, we find the multipliers from  $h(p,q,\varphi)$  to  $h(\infty,q_0,\varphi)$  for any  $p\geq 1$ ,  $q,p_0>0$  and any quasi-normal function  $\varphi$ , and this improves and generalizes a result of Shields and Williams [16]. We also describe the multipliers from  $H(p,q,\alpha)$ ,  $p\leq 1$ , to  $H(p_0,q_0,\alpha)$ ,  $p_0\geq p$ , and  $l^s$ , s>0.

### 0. Introduction

Let  $h(U_R)$  be the class of all complex-valued harmonic functions in the disc  $U_R = \{z : |s| < R\}, \ R > 0$ . For a set E of integers let  $h_E(UR) = \{f \in h(UR) : \sup_{\zeta \in \mathcal{F}} (f) \subset E\}$ . An A-space X is a quasi-normed space satisfying the following conditions: 1. There exists a set E such that  $h_E(UR) \subset X \subset h_E(U)$  for all  $E > 1(U = U_1)$ ; 2. If  $E \in X$  and  $E \in U$ , then  $||f_{\mathcal{F}}|| \le ||f||$  where  $E \in U$  is defined by  $E \in U$ ; 3. Let  $E \in U$ ; 3. Let  $E \in U$ ; 4 for all  $E \in U$ ; 5 defines a topology on  $E \in U$ ; 6 which coincides with the topology of uniform convergence on compact subsets of  $E \in U$ .

If X is complete, then the third condition may be replaced by the requirement that X is continuously embedded into  $h_E(U)$ . (This can be proved by using the closed graph theorem.) In Part I, A-spaces are defined in a different way, but it is easily shown that the two definitions are equivalent.

A function  $\varphi:(0,1)\to (0,\infty)$  is said to be quasi-normal if it is increasing, absolutely continuous,  $\varphi(0+)=0$  and  $\varphi(2t)/\varphi(t)\leq C<\infty$  for 0< t<1/2. If, in addition,  $\varphi(at)\leq \varphi(t)/2,\ t>0$ , for some a>0 then  $\varphi$  is said to be normal. In Part I we defined the scale of spaces  $X(q,\varphi)$  in the following way:

 $X(q,\varphi)$  consists of all  $f \in h_E(U)$  for which the function  $F(r) := \varphi(1-r) ||f_r||_X$ , 0 < r < 1, belongs to the Lebesgue space  $L^q(m_\varphi)$ , where  $\dim_\varphi(r) = \varphi'(1-r) \mathrm{d} r/\varphi'(1-r)$ .

It was shown that  $X(q,\varphi)$  is a complete A-space with the quasinorm

$$||f||_{X(q,\varphi)} = ||F||_{L^q(m\,\varphi)}.$$

Throughout the paper we shall suppose that  $\varphi$  is extended to the interval  $(0,\infty)$  so that the following holds: 1.  $\varphi(t)\varphi(1/t)\sim 1$ , t>0, i.e.  $0< c\leq \varphi(t)\varphi(1/t)\leq C<\infty$ ; 2.  $1/\varphi$  is convex on  $(1,\infty)$  and  $\varphi(1+)=\varphi(1)$ . Such an extension is possible; for example

$$\varphi(1)/\varphi(t) = \int_0^1 r^{t-1} \varphi'(1-r) dr, \ t > 1.$$

In this part we consider coefficient multipliers from  $X(g,\varphi)$  to  $Y(g_0,\psi)$ . Multiplier problems for various spaces of analytic and harmonic functions have been considered by many authors. See, for example, [1, 2, 3, 4, 12, 15, 16]. Mainly, these results concern the spaces  $H(p,q,\varphi) := H^p(q,\varphi)$  and  $h(p,g,\varphi) := h^p(q,\varphi)$  with  $\varphi(t) = t^{\alpha}$ , where  $H^p$  and  $h^p$  are Hardy and harmonic Hardy spaces, respectively. (In this case we write a instead of  $\varphi$ .) The following result of Hardy and Littlewood [8] and Flett [5, 6] is one of the most important results in this area.

THEOREM HLF. If  $0 < p, q \le \infty$  and  $0 < \alpha, \beta < \infty$  then the operator  $D^{\beta-\alpha}$  acts as an isomorphism from  $H(p,q,\alpha)$  onto  $H(p,q,\beta)$ .

The operator  $D^s: h(U) \to h(U)(-\infty < s < \infty)$  is defined by

$$(D^s f)^{\hat{}}(k) = (|k| + 1)^s \hat{F}(k), \qquad -\infty < k < \infty.$$

In Section 1 we give some extensions of Theorem HLF. For example, if  $\varphi$  is a normal function and  $\alpha > 0$ , then  $H(p,q,\varphi)$  and  $H(p,q,\alpha)$  are isomorphic via a multiplier transform. However, this transform is more complicated than in the case of Theorem HLF, and is not indepedent of p.

The multipliers from  $h(\infty, \infty, \varphi)$  into itself, where  $\varphi$  satisfies additional restrictions on regularity of growth, were described by Shields and Williams [16]. In Section 3 we describe the multipliers from  $h(p, q, \varphi)$  to  $h(\infty, q_0, \varphi)$ ,  $p \leq 1$ , for any quasi-normal function  $\varphi$ . Using this we solve Problem B of [16].

It was shown by Duren and Shields [4] that g is a multiplier from  $H(1,1,\alpha)$  into itself if and only if  $M_1(r,g') \leq C/(1-r)$ , 0 < r < 1. We generalize this by finding the multipliers from  $H(p,q,\alpha)$  to  $H(p_0,q_0,\alpha)$ , where  $p \leq \min(1,p_0)$ .

In Section 5 we briefly discuss the multipliers from  $H(p,q,\alpha)$  to the sequence space  $l^s$ . Some partial solutions to this problem are given by Ahern and Jevtić [1], Mateljević and Pavlović [11, 12] and others. (See [1, 12] for information and references). Here we consider the case  $p \leq 1$  and find the multipliersfor any q > 0 and s > 0. In the case  $p \geq 2$  a stronger is known [2, 11].

Our method is based on the main result of Part I, which enables us to reduce the multipliers from  $X(q,\varphi)$  to  $Y(q_0,\varphi)$  to those from X to Y. For our purposes it is convenient to introduce the spaces X[q,W] in the following way.

Let N be a non-negative integer, and let  $\Lambda := \{\lambda_n\}_0^{\infty}$  be an increasing sequence of positive integers. For a sequence  $W := \{w_n\}_0^{\infty}$  or harmonic polynomials we write  $W \in (N, \Lambda)$  if the following conditions are satisfied:

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} w_n * f(z), \quad f \in h(U), \quad z \in U,$$

where the series is uniformly convergent on compact subsets of U;

$$\hat{w}_n(k) = 0 \text{ if } |k| \notin [\lambda_{n-1}, \lambda_{n+N}) \ge 0,$$

where  $\lambda_{-1} = 0$ .

We define  $X[q, W] = \{ f \in h_E(U) : ||f||_{X[q, W]} < \infty \}$ , where

$$||f||_{X[q,W]} = \sum_{0}^{\infty} ||w_n * f||_X^q, \quad q < \infty,$$
$$||f||_{X[\infty,W]} = \sup_{n} ||w_n * f||_X.$$

These spaces are generalizations of the sequence spaces l(p,q) introduced by Kellogg [10]. One can prove that X[q,W] are A-spaces. Their main properties will be given in Sections 1 and 2.

# 1. Isomorphisms between $X(q,\varphi)$ and X[q,W]

By Proposition 3.2, Part I, there exists a lacunary sequence  $\bigwedge = \{\lambda_n\}_0^{\infty}$  of positive integers such that  $\varphi(\bigwedge) := \{\varphi(\lambda_n)\}_0^{\infty}$  is normal, i.e.

$$c_1(1+c)^j \varphi(\lambda_n) \le \varphi(\lambda_{n+j}) \le C^j \varphi(\lambda_n), \quad j, n \ge 0.$$

where  $c_1, c, C$  are positive constants.

For an A-space X let  $s(X) = h_E(U)$ , where E is the unique set of integers such that  $h_E(UR) \subset X \subset h_E(U)$ , R > 1. Let  $\mathcal{B}_N$  be the class consisting of all normed A-spaces and all  $H^p$  with  $p \geq l/N$ .

THEOREM 1. Let  $N \geq 1$ , let  $\bigwedge$  be a lacunary sequence, and let the sequence  $\varphi(\bigwedge)$  be normal. Then there exists a sequence  $W \in (N, \bigwedge)$  and a function  $g \in h(U)$  such that for all  $X \in \mathcal{B}_N$  the following assertions hold:

- a) The operator  $g^*$  defined by  $g^*(f) = f * g$  is an isomorphism of  $X(q,\varphi)$  onto Xq,[W].
  - b)  $||w_n * f||_X \le K||f||_X$  for  $n \le 0, f \in X$ , where K is independent of  $X \in \mathcal{B}_N$ .
- c)  $\hat{g}(n) = \hat{g}(-n) \sim 1/\varphi(n+1)$ ,  $n \geq 0$ . If N = 1, then one can take  $\hat{g}(n) = 1/\varphi(|n|+1)$ .

Define the operators  $D^{\psi}$  and  $D_{\varphi}:h(U)\to h(U)$  by

$$(D_{\varphi}f)(n) = \hat{f}(n)/\varphi(|n|+1), \ (D^{\psi}f)(n) = \psi(|n|+1)\hat{f}(n).$$

The following theorem generalizes the case  $\geq 1$  of Theorem HLF. It is an immediate consequence of Theorem 1.1.

Theorem 1.2. Let n be a lacunary sequence such that both  $\varphi(\bigwedge)$  and  $\psi(\bigwedge)$  are normal. Then  $D_{\varphi}D^{\varphi}$  acts as an isomorphism from  $h(p,q,\varphi), \ p \geq 1$ , onto  $h(p,q,\psi)$ .

*Remark.* The function  $\psi$  is extended to  $(0,\infty)$  in the same way as  $\varphi$ .

Theorem 1.3. (with the hypotheses of Theorem 1.2.). For every p > 0 there exists an analytic function g such that  $\hat{g}(n) \sim \psi(n+1)/\varphi(n+1)$ ,  $n \geq 0$ , and the operator  $g^*$  is an isomorphism from  $H(p,q,\varphi)$  onto  $H(p,q,\psi)$ .

If  $\varphi$  is normal, then the sequence  $\{\varphi(2^n)\}_0^\infty$  is normal. Thus we have

Corollary 1.1. If  $\varphi$  is a normal function and  $\alpha$  is a positive number, then  $H(p,q,\varphi)$  and  $H(p,q,\alpha)$  (p>0) are isomorphic via a multiplier transform.

*Proof of Theorem* 1.1. By Theorems 2.1. and 4.1. (Part I) and their proofs, there is  $W \in (N, \Lambda)$  such that (b) holds,  $\hat{w}_n(-k) = \hat{w}_n(k)$  and

$$||f||_{X(q,\varphi)} \sim \left\{ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} [\varphi(1/\lambda_n)||w_n * f||_X] \right\}^{1/q}, \quad f \in s(X).$$

Thus it suffices to find a function g independent of  $X \in \mathcal{B}_N$  and satisfying (c) and

$$(1.1) ||w_n * g * f|| \sim \varphi(1/\lambda_n) ||w_n * f||, \quad f \in s(X), \quad n \ge 0$$

Let  $B_n = \varphi(1/\lambda_n)^{1/m}$ ,  $n \geq 0$ , where m is a positive integer which will be chosen later on. Define the functions  $g_1, \ldots, g_m$  in the following way:

$$g_1(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_n w_n(z), \quad g_j = g_1 * g_{j-1}, \quad 2 \le 1 \le m.$$

We have

$$w_n * g_1 = B_n \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} w_n * w_k + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (B_k - B_n) w_n * w_k = B_n w_n + \sum_{k=n-N}^{n+N} (B_k - B_n) w_n * w_k,$$

where  $B_k = B_o$  and  $w_k = 0$  for k < 0, Using the triangle inequality for  $\|\cdot\|_X^s$ , where s = 1/N, we obtain

$$\|w_n*g_1*f\|^s \ge B_n^s \|w_n*f\|^s - \sum k = n - N^{n+N} |B_k - B_n|^s \|w_n*w_k*f\|^s, \quad f \in s(X).$$
 Hence, by  $(b)$ ,

$$||w_n * g_1 * f||^s \ge B_n^s ||w_n * f||^s - K^s ||w_n * f||^s \sum_{k=n-N}^{n+N} |B_k - B_n|^s.$$

Since  $\varphi(\bigwedge)$  is normal, there exists  $b \in (0,1)$  such that  $\varphi(1/\lambda_n + N) \ge b\varphi(1/\lambda_n)$  for all  $n \ge 0$ . Using this we get

$$\sum_{k=n-N} 6n + N|B_k - B_n|^s \le (B_n - N_{n+N})^s N + (B_{n=N} - B_n)^s N$$

$$< NB_n^s (1 - b^{1/m}) + NB_n^s (b^{-1/m} - 1)^s.$$

Choose m so that

$$(1.2) NK^{s}(1-b^{1}/m)^{s} + (b^{-1/m}-1)^{s} \le 2^{-s}.$$

Then  $||w_n * g_1 * f|| \ge 2^{-1}B_n||w_n * f||$  and, by induction,

$$(1.3) ||w_n * g_m * f|| \ge 2^{-m} \varphi(1/\lambda_n) ||w_n * f||.$$

In the other direction, from the identity

$$w_n * g_1 * f = \sum_{k=n-N}^{n+N} B_k w_n * w_k * f$$

we obtain

$$||w_n * g_1 * f||^s \le K^s ||w_n * f||^s \sum_{k=n-N}^{n+N} B_k^s \le K_s ||w_n * f||^s (2N+1) B_{n-N}^s.$$

This implies

$$(1.4) ||w_n * g_m * f|| \le C||w_n * f||, \quad f \in s(X), \quad n \ge 0,$$

where C is a positive real constant.

In order to estimate the coefficients of  $g_m$  observe that  $\hat{g}_m(k) = \hat{g}_1(k)^m$ . Thus we have to prove  $\hat{g}_1(k) \sim \varphi(k+1)^{-1/m}$ ,  $k \geq 0$ . It is easily verified that  $\hat{g}_1(k) = B_0$  for  $k < \lambda_0$ . If  $k \geq \lambda_0$  then we choose  $n \geq 0$  so that  $\lambda_n \leq k < \lambda_{n+1}$ . Then

$$\hat{g}_1(k) = B_{n+1} + \sum_{j=n-N}^{n} (B_j - B_{n+1}) \hat{w}_j(k).$$

Taking  $X = H^{\infty}$  and  $f(z) = z^k$  in (b) we see that  $|w_j(k)| \leq K$  for all  $j, k \geq 0$ , where K is the same as in (1.2). Hence

$$|\hat{g}(k)| \ge B_{n+1} + \sum_{j=n-N}^{n} (B_j - B_{n+1})$$

$$\le B_{n+1} - K(B_{n-N} - B_{n+1})N$$

$$\le B_{n+1} - KB_{n+1}(B_{n-N}/B_{n+N} - 1)N$$

$$\le B_{n+l} - KB_{n+1}(b^{-2/m} - 1)N \ge 2^{-1}B_{n+1},$$

where m is chosen so that the inequalities (1.2) and  $K(b^{-2/m}-1)N \leq 1/2$  hold. This proves that  $\hat{g}_m(k) \geq c\varphi(k+1)^{-1}$ . The proof of the inequality  $\hat{g}_m(k) \leq C\varphi(k+1)^{-1}$  is simple. Taking  $g = g_m$  we see that the condition (1.1) is satisfied.

In the case of normed spaces the result follows from Lemma 5.3 of Part I.

# 2. Multipliers from X[q,W] to Y[q,W]

Let X,Y be A-spaces,  $s(X) \cap s(Y) \neq \emptyset$ . A function  $g \in h(U)$  is a multiplier from X to Y if the map  $f \mapsto f * g$  is a bounded linear operator from X to Y. If the spaces are complete then this is equivalent with the requirement that  $f * g \in Y$  for all  $f \in X$ . In Part I we have defined the space

$$(X \to Y) = \{g \subset s(X) \cap s(Y) : g \text{ is a multiplier from } X \text{ to } Y\},\$$

with the quasi-norm

$$||g||_{X,Y} = \sup\{||f * g||_Y : f \in X, ||f||_X \le 1\}.$$

We shall prove that there is a simple connection between the spaces  $(X[q,W] \to Y[q_0,W])$  and  $(X \to Y)$  provided that  $||w_n * f||_X \le C||f||_X$ , i.e.,  $X \subset X[\infty,W]$ . One can prove that all these spaces are A-spaces.

Throughout this section we suppose  $O < q, q_0 \le \infty$  and

$$q_1 = \begin{cases} \infty & \text{if } q \leq q_0, \\ qq_0/(q - q_0) & \text{if } q > q_0 \end{cases}$$

Theorem 2.1. Let  $X \subset X[\infty, W]$  where the inclusion is continuous. Then

$$(2.1) (X[q, W] \to Y[q_0, W]) = (X \to Y)[q_1, W].$$

*Proof.* Since  $\hat{w}_n(k) = 0$  for  $|k| \notin [\lambda_{n-1}, \lambda_{n+N})$  we have

$$(2.2) w_n * w_j = 0 \text{ for } |j - n| \ge N + 1.$$

Let

$$P_n = \sum_{j=n-N}^{n+N} w_j, \quad n \ge 0,$$

where  $w_j = 0$  for j < 0. From (2.2) and the identity  $f = \sum w_n * f$  it follows that

$$(2.3) P_n * w_n = w_n, \quad n \ge 0,$$

(2.4) 
$$w_n * P_j = 0 \text{ if } |j - n| \ge 2N + 1.$$

Let  $g \in Z[q_1] = Z[q_1, W], Z = (X \to Y)$ . In view of (2.3) and the definition of  $(X \to Y)$  we have

$$||w_n * f * g||_Y = ||P_n * f * w_n * g||_Y \le ||P_n * f||_X ||w_n * g||_Z.$$

By Hölder's inequality

$$||f * g||_{Y[q_0]} \le ||\{A_n\}||_{l^q} ||g||_{Z[q_1]},$$

where  $A_n = ||P_n * f||_X$ ,  $n \ge 0$ . Using the inequality

$$||P_n * f|| \le C \sum_{j=n-N}^{n+N} ||w_j * f||$$

and Lemma 5.2 of Part I we find  $\|\{A_n\}\|_{l^q} \leq C\|f\|_{X[q]}$ . This concludes the proof of the inclusion  $Z[q_1] \subset (X[q] \to Y[q_0])$ .

Let 
$$g \in (X[q] \to Y[q_0])$$
. Then

$$||f * g||_{Y[q_0]} \le C||f||_{X[q]}, \quad f \in X[q].$$

Let  $l^q(X)$  be the space of those sequences  $F = \{f_n\}_0^\infty$  for which  $f_n \in X$ ,  $n \ge 0$  and

$$||F||_{l^q(X)} := ||\{||f_n||_X\}||_{l^q} \le \infty.$$

Let  $\overline{l^q}(X)$  be the subspace of  $l^q(X)$  consisting of  $\{f_n\}$  such that  $f_n=0$  for n large enough. Define the operators  $V_m$ ,  $0 \le m \le 2N$ , on  $\overline{l^q}(X)$  by

$$V_m F = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P_{n,m} * f_n,$$

where  $P_{n,m} = P_{(2N+1)n+m}$ . Using the hypothesis  $X \subset X[\infty]$  and the relation (2.4) one shows that  $V'_m s$  are bounded linear operators from  $\overline{l^q}(X)$  to X[q]. (See Lemma 5.1, Part I.) It follows that

$$\|(V_m F) * g\|_{Y[q_0]} \le C \|F\|_{l^q(x)}, \quad F \in \overline{l^q}(X), \quad 0 \le m \le 2N,$$

where C is independent of F, m. This implies

$$\left\{ \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \|w_{k,m} * (V_m F) * g\|_Y^{q_0} \right\}^{1/q_0} \le C \|F\|_{l^q(X)},$$

where  $w_{k,m}=w_{(2N+1)k+m}$ . If  $k\neq n$  then  $|(2N+1)k+m-(2N+1)n-m|=(2N+1)k-n\geq 2N+1$ , and this implies  $w_{k,m}*P_{k,m}=0$ , by (2.4). Hence

$$w_{k,m} * (V_m F) = w_{k,m} * P_{k,m} * f_k = w_{k,m} * f_k.$$

(In the last step we have used (2.3).) Now we have

(2.5) 
$$\left\{ \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \|w_{k,m} * g * f_k\|_Y^{q_0} \right\}^{1/q_0} \le C \|F\|_{l^q(X)}.$$

Fix  $m, 0 \le m \le 2N$ , and  $\varepsilon < 1$ , and for every  $k \ge 0$  choose  $h_k \in X$  so that  $||h_k||_X = 1$  and

$$(2.6) ||w_{k,m} * g * h_k||_Y \ge \varepsilon ||w_{k,m} * g||_Z.$$

Putting  $f_k = a_k h_k$ , where  $\{a_k\}_0^{\infty} \in \overline{l^q} = (R)$  (R is the scalar field) we get from (2.5) and (2.6)

$$\varepsilon \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} [|a_k| ||w_{k,m} * g||_Z]^{q_0} \right\}^{1/q_0} \le C \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |a_k|^q \right\}^{1/q},$$

where C is independent of  $\varepsilon$ , m and  $\{a_k\}$ . This gives

$$\left\{ \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \|w_{k,m} * g\|_{Z}^{q_{1}} \right\}^{1/q_{1}} < \infty$$

for all  $m, 0 \le m \le 2N$ . If  $q_1 < \infty$  then

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \|w_n * g\|^{q_1} = \sum_{m=0}^{2N} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \|w_{k,m} * g\|^{q_1} < \infty$$

whence  $g \in Z[q_1]$ ; similarly for  $q_1 = \infty$ . This completes the proof of Theorem 2.1.

As a consequence of Theorems 1.1 and 2.1 we have

Theorem 2.2. If  $Z = (X \to Y)$ , where X, Y are normed spaces, then

$$(X(q,\varphi) \to Y(q_0,\varphi)) = \{g \in s(X) \cap s(Y : D^{\varphi}g \in Z(q_1,\varphi))\}.$$

*Proof.* It follows from Theorem 1.1 that  $(X(q,\varphi) \to Y(q_0,\varphi)) = (X[q,W] \to Y[q_0,W])$  for a suitable  $W \in (1,\wedge)$ . Now the desired result is obtained by using Theorem 2.1 and then Theorem 1.1 and the fact that Z is a normed space.

# 3. Multipliers of $h(p, g, \varphi)$

In this section we apply the preceding results to the case of the spaces  $h(p,q,\varphi)=h^p(q,\varphi)$ . Note that if  $p\geq 1$  then  $\|f_r\|_{h_p}=M_p(r,f),\ O< r< 1$ , so that  $f\in h(p,q,\varphi)$  if and only if

$$\int_0^1 [\varphi(1-r)M_p(r,f)]^q dm_{\varphi}(r) < \infty.$$

Theorem 3.1. Let q,  $q_0$ ,  $q_1$ , be as in Section 2, let  $p \ge 1$  and 1/p + 1/p' = 1. For a function g the following are equivalent.

- (i) g is a multiplier from  $h(1,q,\varphi)$  to  $h(p,q_0,\varphi)$ ;
- (ii) g is a multiplier from  $h(p', q, \varphi)$  to  $h(\infty, q_0, \varphi)$ ;
- (iii)  $D^{\varphi}g \in h(p, q_1, \varphi)$ .

*Proof.* By Theorem 2.2.  $(h(1,q,\varphi) \to h(p,q_0,\varphi))$  is the set of all  $g \in h(U)$  such that  $D^{\varphi}g \in (h^1 \to h^p)(q_1,\varphi)$ . Since  $(h^1 \to h^p) = (h^{p'} \to h^{\infty}) = h^p$  we see that (i)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (iii). The proof. of (ii)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (iii) is the same.

Corollary 3.1. If  $p \ge 1$  then the set

$$M(p,\varphi) := (h(p,q,\varphi) \to h(p,q,\varphi))$$

is independent of q. Furthermore

$$(3.1) M(\infty,\varphi) = M(1,\varphi) = \{g \in h(U) : D^{\varphi}g \in h(1,\infty,\varphi)\}.$$

Shields and Williams [16] proved that (3.1) holds provided that varphi satisfies some regularity conditions.

The set  $M(p,\varphi)$  is an algebra with unit. It follows from Theorem 2.2 and the equality  $(h^p \to h^p) = (h^{p'} \to h^{p'})$  that  $M(p,\varphi) = M(p',\varphi)$ . It is clear that  $M(2,\varphi) = \{g \in h(U) : \hat{g} \text{ is bounded}\}$ . Concerning the set  $M(p,\varphi)$  we can only prove that it increases with  $p \in [1,2]$ .

Proposition 3.1. If  $1 \le p \le s \le 2$  then  $M(p, \varphi) \subset M(s, \varphi)$ .

*Proof.* It is trivial to check that  $(h^p \to h^p) \subset (h^2 \to h^2)$ . By the Riesz-Thorin theorem,  $(h^p \to h^p) \subset (h^s \to h^s)$  if  $p \leq s \leq 2$ . Now if g is in  $M(p,\varphi)$  then by Theorem 2.2,  $D^{\varphi}g \in (h^p \to h^p)(\infty,\varphi) \subset (h^s \to h^s)(\infty,\varphi)$ , and this concludes the proof.

In [16] Shields and Williams posed the question: If the spaces  $h(\infty, \infty, \varphi)$  and  $h(\infty, \infty, \psi)$  have the same set of multipliers are they isomorphic via a multiplier transform? The answer is yes, as the following theorem shows.

THEOREM 3.2. If  $M(\infty, \varphi) = M(\infty, \psi)$  then the operator  $D_{\varphi}D^{\psi}$  acts as an isomorphism from  $h(\infty, q, \varphi)$  onto  $h(\infty, q, \psi)$ .

*Proof.* By Theorem 1.2, it is enough to find a lacunary sequence  $\{\lambda_n\}$  such that both  $\{\varphi(\lambda_n)\}$  and  $\{\psi(\lambda_n)\}$  are normal. Let

$$g_1(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} z^{t_n} \text{ and } g_2(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} z^{s_n}, \quad z \in U,$$

where  $\{t_n\}$  and  $\{s_n\}$  are lacunary sequences of integers such that  $\{\varphi(t_n)\}$  and  $\{\psi(s_n)\}$  are normal. By the well-known fact on lacunary trigonometric series,

$$M_1(r, D^{\psi}g_2) \sim \left\{ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \psi(s_n)^2 r^{2s_n} \right\}^{1/2}, \quad 0 < r < 1.$$

Hence, by Lemma 3.1 of Part I,  $M_1(r, D^{\psi}g_2) \leq C/\psi(1-r)$ , i.e.,  $D^{\psi}g_2 \in h(1, \infty, \psi)$ . Therefore  $g_2 \in M(\infty, \psi)$ , by (3.1). Using this and the hypothesis  $M(\infty, \varphi) = M(\infty, \varphi)$  we conclude that  $g_2 \in M(\infty, \varphi)$ . Hence, by (3.1),  $D^{\psi}g_2 \in h(1, \infty, \varphi)$ , i.e.,

$$\left\{\sum_{0}^{\infty} \varphi(s_n)^2 r^{2s_n}\right\}^{1/2} \le C/\varphi(1-r).$$

This implies

$$\sum_{T_n} \varphi(s_k)^2 \le C\varphi(t_n)^2, \quad n \ge 0,$$

where  $T_n = \{k : t_n \leq s_k < t_{n+1}\}$ . Since  $\varphi(t_n) leq \varphi(s_k) \leq \varphi(t_{n+1}) \leq C \varphi(t_n)$  for  $k \in T_n$ , we conclude that card  $(T_n) \leq C < \infty$ ,  $n \geq 0$ . Using this and the analogous fact for the sets  $\{k : s_n \leq t_k < s_{n+1}\}$  we find a positive integer m such that for all  $n \geq 0, j \geq 1$ 

(i) 
$$\operatorname{card}\left\{k : t_n \le s_k < t_{n+j}\right\} \le mj,$$

(ii) 
$$\operatorname{card}\left\{k : s_n \le t_k < s_{n+j}\right\} \le mj.$$

Put  $\lambda_k = t_{km}$ ,  $k \geq 0$ . It is easy to see that the sequence  $\{\varphi(\lambda_k)\}$  is normal. Let  $k_0$  be such that  $t_{k_0 m} \geq s_0$ . If  $k > k_0$  choose n so that  $s_n \leq t_{km} < s_{n+1}$ . Then, by (ii),  $\lambda_{k+j} = t_{km+jm} \geq s_{n+j}$  and, consequently,

$$\psi(\lambda_{k+j})/\psi(\lambda_k) \ge \psi(s_{n+j})/\psi(s_{n+1}) \ge ca^j,$$

where a>1 and c>0 are constants. (Here we have used the hypothesis that  $\{\psi(s_n)\}$  is normal.) On the other hand, it follows from (i) that  $s_{n+m+1} \geq t_{km+m} = \lambda_{k+1}$ . Hence

$$\psi(\lambda_{k+1})/\psi(\lambda_k) < \psi(s_{n+m+1})/\psi(s_n) < C.$$

Thus the sequence  $\{\psi(\lambda_k)\}$  is normal, what was to be proved.

As a further application of the equality (3.1) we have the following characterization of self-conjugate spaces. The space  $h(p,q,\varphi)$  is said to be self-conjugate if

$$f \in h(p, q, \varphi)$$
 implies  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \hat{f}(n)z^n \in h(p, q, \varphi).$ 

If  $1 then <math>h(p, q, \varphi)$  is self-conjugate because of the Riesz theorem. Hardy and Littlewood [7, 9] proved that  $h(p, \infty, \alpha)$  is self-conjugate for any p > 0. For further information see [5, 6].

THEOREM 3.3. For every  $q \in (0, \infty]$  the following statements are equivalent.

- (i)  $h(1,q,\varphi)$  is self-conjugate;
- (ii)  $h(\infty, q, \varphi)$  is self-conjugate;
- (iii)  $\varphi$  is a normal function.

*Proof.* Observe that  $h(p,q,\varphi)$  is self-conjugate if and only if the function  $\sum_0^\infty z^n$  belongs to  $M(p,\varphi)$ . Since  $M(1,\varphi)=M(\infty,\varphi)$  we see that (i)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (ii). Assuming (iii) we have to prove that  $D^\varphi h\in H(1,\infty,\varphi)$ , where  $h(z)=1/(1-z)=-\sum_0^\infty z^n$ . By Theorem 1.2, this is equivalent with  $D^1h\in H(1,\infty,1)$ . Since  $D^1h(z)=(1-z)^{-2}$  we have  $M_1(r,D^1h)=(1-r^2)^{-1}$ , and this gives the desired result.

To prove that (i) implies (iii) we use the inequality

$$||f||_1 \ge \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n+1)^{-1} |\hat{f}(n)|, \quad f \in H^1,$$

[3, Theorem 6.1]. In particular,

$$M_1(r, D^{\varphi}h) \ge \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n+1)^{-1} \varphi(n+1) r^n, 0 < r < 1.$$

Thus if (i) holds then  $\sum_{0}^{\infty} (n+1)^{-1} \varphi(n+1) r^n \leq c/\varphi(1-r)$ . This implies

$$\varphi(\lambda_k) \sum_{n=\lambda_k}^{\lambda_{k+1}} (n+1)^{-1} \le C/\varphi(1/\lambda_{k+1}), \quad k \ge 0,$$

where  $\{\lambda_k\}$  is a lacunary sequence of integers such that  $\varphi(\lambda_k) \sim 2^k$ , i.e.  $\varphi(1/\lambda_k) \sim 2^{-k}$ . (See Proposition 3.2 Part I.) It follows that  $\lambda_{k+1}/\lambda_k \leq C$ ,  $k \geq 0$ . By using this one shows that  $\varphi^-(2t) \leq C\varphi^-(t)$ , t > 0, where  $\varphi^-$  is the inverse function. Hence  $\varphi^-(t/C) \leq \varphi(t)/2$ , and this concludes the proof of the theorem.

# 4. Multipliers from $H(p,q,\alpha)$ to $H(p_0,q_0,\alpha)$

Let  $\alpha$  be a positive real number. A function  $f \in H(U)$  (= the class of analytic functions) belongs to  $H(p,q,\alpha) = H^p(q,\alpha)$  if and only if

$$\left\{ \int_0^1 (1-r)^{q\alpha-1} M_p^q(r,f) dr \right\}^{1/q} < \infty.$$

If  $q = \infty$  this should be read as

$$\sup_{0 < r < 1} (1 - r)^{\alpha} M_p(r, f) < \infty.$$

The main results of this section is the following.

THEOREM 4.1. Let  $p \le 1$ ,  $p_0 \ge p$  and 0 < q,  $q_0 \le \infty$ . A function  $g \in H(U)$  is a multiplier from  $H(p,q,\alpha)$  to  $H(p_0,q_0,\alpha)$  if and only if  $D^{1/p}g \in H(p_0,q_1,1)$ .

Here, as before,  $q_1=\infty\,(q\leq q_0);\;q_1=q_0\,(q=\infty);\;q_1=qq_0/(q-q_0)$  if  $q_0< q<\infty.$ 

Note that  $D^{1/p}g \in H(p_0, q_1, 1)$  if and only if

$$\left\{ \int_0^1 (1-r)^{q_1-1} M_{p_0}^{q_1}(r,d^{1/P}g) dr \right\}^{1/q_1} < \infty.$$

COROLLARY 4.1. Let  $p \leq 1$ . Then g is a multiplier from  $H(p, q, \alpha)$  to itself if and only if  $M_p(r, D^{1/p}g) \leq C/(l-r)$ , 0 < r < 1.

This generalizes a result of Duren and Shields [4] (p = q = 1).

Let N be a positive integer and choose a sequence  $W=\{w_n\}_0^\infty$  of harmonic polynomials such that  $W\in (N,\{2^n\}_1^\infty)$  (see Introduction) and for all p>1/(N+1) and q>0

$$||f||_{H(p,q,\alpha)} \sim \left\{ \sum_{0}^{\infty} [2^{-n\alpha} ||w_p * f||_p]^q \right\}^{1/q} \quad f \in H(U),$$

where  $\|\cdot\|_p$  stands for the norm of  $H^p$ . Since

$$w_n * f(z) = \sum_{j=2^{n-1}}^{2^{n+N}} \hat{w}_n(j) \hat{f}(j) z^j$$

we have, by Lemma 3.1 [11],

$$||x^{2n+N}||w_n * f||_p \le M_p(r, w_n * f) \le r^{2n-1} ||w_n * f||_p$$

 $(n \ge 1, 0 < r < 1)$ . After elementary calculations it follows that

$$2^{-n\alpha} \|w_n * f\|_p \sim \|w_n * f\|_{H(p,s,\alpha)}, \quad f \in H(U), \quad n \ge 0,$$

where s is an arbitrary positive number or  $\infty$ . Thus we have the following.

Theorem 4.2. Let 
$$p > 1/(N+1), \ 0 < q \le \infty$$
 and  $0 < s \le \infty$ . Then 
$$H(p,q,\alpha) = H(p,s,\alpha)[q,W].$$

Observe that the polynomials  $w_n$  are independent of p, q, s. Combining Theorems 4.2 and 2.1 we get the identity

$$(4.1) (H(p,q,\alpha) \to H(p_0,q_0,\beta)) = (H(p,\infty,\alpha) \to H(p_0,\infty,\beta))[q_1,W),$$

which shows that the general case of Theorem 4.1 follows from the special case  $q_0 = q = \infty$ .

*Proof of Theorem* 4.1. Let g be a multiplier from  $H(p, \infty, \alpha)$  to  $H(p_0, \infty, \alpha)$ . Then

$$||g * f_r||_{H(p_0,\infty,\alpha)} \le C||f_r||_H(p,\infty,\alpha), \quad 0 < r < 1,$$

where  $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n+1)^{m-1} z^n$ , and m is an integer such that  $\alpha + 1/p - m < 0$ . It is easily verified that  $f(z)(1-z)^m$  is a polynomial. Therefore

$$M_p^p(\rho, f) \le C \int_{|z|=1}^{\infty} |1 - \rho z|^{-pm} |dz|, \quad 0 < \rho < 1.$$

If we put  $z = (\zeta + \rho)/(1 + \rho \zeta)$  we see that the last integral equals

$$(1-\rho^2)^{1-pm} \int_{|\zeta|=1} |1+\rho\zeta|^{pm-2} |d\zeta|.$$

Since pm-2>-1 we find  $M_p(\rho,f)\leq C(1-\rho)^{1/pm},\,0<\rho<1,$  whence

$$||f_r||_{H(p,\infty,\alpha)} \le C \sup_{\rho} (1-\rho)^{\alpha} (1-\rho r)^{1/p-m}$$

$$\le C \sup_{\rho} -\rho (1-\rho r)^{\alpha} (1-\rho r)^{1/p-m}$$

$$< C(1-r)^{\alpha+1/p-m}, \quad 0 < r < 1.$$

It follows that

$$(1-r)^{\alpha} M_{p_0}(r^2, f * g) \le ||g * f_r||_{H(p_0, \infty, \alpha)} \le C(1-r)^{\alpha+1/p-m},$$

i.e.  $D^{m-1}g = f * g \in H(p_0, \infty, m-1/p)$ . Applying Theorem HLF, quoted in Introduction) we conclude that  $D^{1/p}g \in H(p_0, \infty, 1)$ .

To continue the proof we need the following lemma,

Lemma 4.1 [13]. Let  $f \in H^p$ ,  $0 , and <math>g \in H_q$ ,  $q \ge p$ . Then

$$M_q(r, f * g) \le (1 - r)^{1 - 1/p} ||f||_p ||g||_q, \quad 0 < r < 1.$$

We return to the proof of Theorem 4.1. Let  $D^{1/p}g \in H(p_0, \infty, 1)$  and  $f \in H(p, \infty, \alpha), p \leq 1, p_0 \geq p$ . We have to prove that h := f \* g belongs to  $H(p_0, \infty, \alpha)$ . We have, by the lemma,

$$M_{p_0}(r^3,D^{1/p}h) = M_{p_0}(r,f_r*D^{1/p}g_r) \leq (1-r)^{1-1/p}\|f_r\|_p\|D^{1/p}g_r\|_{p_0}.$$

It follows from the hypotheses that  $||f_r||_p \leq C(1-r)^{-\alpha}$  and  $||D^{1/p}g_r||_{p_0} \leq C(1-r)^{-1}$ , so that  $M_{p_0}(r^3, D^{1/p}h) \leq C(1-r)^{-\alpha-1/p}$ , i.e.  $D^{1/p}h \in H(p_0, \infty, a+1/p)$ . Hence  $h \in H(p_0, \infty, 1)$ , by Theorem HLF.

The preceding discussion shows that  $D^{1/p}$  is an isomorphism of the space  $(H(p, \infty, \alpha) \to H(p_0, \infty, \alpha))$  onto  $H(p, \infty, 1)$ . By using (4.1) we conclude that, if  $p, p_0 > 1/(N+1)$ , then  $D^{1/p}$  is an isomorphism of  $(H(p, q, \alpha) \to H(p_0, q_0, \alpha))$  onto  $H(p_0, \infty, 1)[q_1, W]$ . But the last space is equal to  $H(p_0, q_1, 1)$ , by Theorem 4.2. This completes the proof of Theorem 4.1.

## 5. Multipliers into $l^p$ spaces

A complex sequence  $\{a_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  is of class l(p,q)  $(0 < p,q \le \infty)$  if

$$\left\{ \left( \sum_{J_n} |a_j|^p \right)^{1/o} \right\}_{n=0}^{\infty} \in l^q,$$

where  $J_0 = \{0\}$  and  $J_n = \{j : 2^{n-1} \le j < 2^n\}$ ,  $n \ge 1$ . It is easily checked that if  $\{a_n\}$  is in l(p,q) then the function  $f(z) = \sum_{0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$  in analytic in the unit disc. Therefore l(p,q) may be treated as a space of analytic functions. Furthermore, l(p,q) is an A-space (with the obvious quasi-norm). Note that  $l^p = l(p,p)$ .

Let N and W be as in Section 4. Then we have  $||w_n * f||_x \le C||f||_x$ , where  $X = H(\infty, q, \alpha)$  and C is independent of f, n. In particular, taking  $f(z) = z^j$  we see that  $\hat{w}_n(j)| \le C$ ,  $j, n \ge 0$ . Using this one can easily prove the following.

LEMMA 5.1.  $l(p,q) = l^p[q, W]$  for all p, q > 0.

Now we can. use Theorem 2.1 and 4.2 to obtain

$$(H(p, q, \alpha) \to l(p_0, q_0)) = (H(p, \infty, \alpha) \to l^{p_0})[q_1, W],$$

where p > 1/(N+1). If  $p \le 1$  then the space  $H(p, \infty, \alpha) \to l^{p_0}$  is easily determined and is isomorphic to  $l^{p_0}$ , via the operator  $D^{\alpha+1/p-1}$ . See [12]. In the special case  $p_0 = q_0 = s$  we have the following result.

Theorem 5.1. Let  $p \le 1$  and  $0 < s \le \infty$ . A function  $g \in H(U)$  is a multiplier from  $H(p,q,\alpha)$  to  $l^s$  if and only if

$$\{(n+1)^{\alpha+1/p-1}\hat{g}(n)\}_{n=0}^{\infty} \in l(s,q_1),$$

where  $q_1 = \infty$  if  $s \ge q$ ;  $q_1 = qs/(q-s)$  if s < q.

Some special cases of this theorem were proved by Ahern and Jevtić [1] (p = 1, s > 1) and Mateljević and Pavlović [12]  $(p < 1, s \ge q)$ .

#### 6. Problems

Let  $\varphi$  be a normal function and  $\alpha > 0$ .

*Problem* 1. Find a function  $g \in H(U)$  (if it exists) such that, for all p, q, the map  $f \mapsto f * g$  is an isomorphism from  $H(p, q, \varphi)$  onto  $H(p, q, \alpha)$ .

Note that the form of g should be independent of p > 0.

By using the complex maximal theorem and our results this problem is easily reduced to the following.

*Problem* 2. Does there exist an equivalent function  $\psi$  such that

$$\psi(1/t) = \int_0^1 r^t d\eta(r)$$
 and  $t^{-m}/\psi(1/t) = \int_0^1 r^t d\mu(r)$ ,  $t > 1$ ,

for some positive Borel measures  $\eta$ ,  $\mu$  and some integer m > 0?

Added in proof: The author solved Problem 1 above.

#### REFERENCES

- P. Ahern and M. Jevtić, Duality and multipliers for mixed norm spaces, Michigan Math. J. 30 (1983), 53-64.
- [2] G. Bennet, D.A. Stegenga and R.M. Timoney, Coefficients of Bloch and Lipschitz functions, Illinois J. Math. 25 (1981), 520-531.
- [3] P.L. Duren, Theory of Hp spaces, Academic Press, 1970.
- [4] P.L. Duren and A.L. Shields, Coefficient multipliers of H<sup>p</sup> and B<sup>p</sup> spaces, Pacific J. Math. 32 (1970), 69–78.
- [5] T.M. Flett, Lipschitz spaces of functions on the circle and the disc, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 39 (1970) 125-158.
- [6] T.M. Flett, The dual of an inequality of Hardy and Littlewood and some related inequalities, ibid. 38 (1972), 746-765.
- [7] G.H. Hardy and J.E. Littlewood, Some properties of conjugate functions, J. für Math. 167 (1931) 405-423.

- [8] G.H. Hardy and J.E. Littlewood, Some properties of fractional integrals. II, Math. Z. 34 (1932), 403-439.
- [9] G.H. Hardy and J.E. Littlewood, Theorems concerning mean values of analytic and harmonic functions, Quart. J. Math. 12 (1941), 221-256.
- [10] C.N. Kellogg, An extension of the Hausdorff-Yong theorem, Mich. Math. J. 18 (1971), 121-127.
- [11] M. Mateljević and M. Pavlović,  $L^p$ -behaviour of the integral means of analytic functions, Studia Math. 77 (1984), 219–237.
- [12] M. Mateljević and M. Pavlović, Duality and multipliers in Lipschitz spaces, Proc. Internat Conf. Complex Anal. Appl. '83 (Sofia, 1985).
- [13] M. Pavlović. An inequality for the integral means of an Hadamard product (to appear).
- [14] M. Pavlović, Mixed norm spaces of analytic and harmonic functions, I, Publ. Inst. Math. (Beograd) 40 (54) (1986).
- [15] A.L. Shields and D.L. Williams, Bounded projections duality and multipliers in spaces of analytic functions, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 162 (1971), 287-302.
- [16] A.L. Shields and D.L. Williams, Bounded projections duality and multipliers in spaces of harmonic functions, J. Reine Angew. Math. 299/300 (1978), 256-279.

Odsek za matematiku Prirodno-matematički fakultet 34000 Kragujevac Jugoslavija (Received 19 05 1986)