

ON THE CONVEXITY OF HIGH ORDER OF SEQUENCES

G. Toader

Abstract. We improve some results of Lacković and Simić [2] concerning the weighted arithmetic means that preserve the convexity of high order of sequences.

In [1] and [3] a characterization is given for triangular matrices which define transformations in the set of sequences preserving convexity of order r . In the particular case of weighted arithmetic means, explicit expressions were given before in Lacković and Simić [2]. In this paper we improve the results from [2] generalizing some of the properties that we proved in [7] for the convexity of order two.

At the beginning, let us specify some notation and definitions which will be used throughout the paper.

Let $a = (a_n)(n = 0, 1, \dots)$ be a real sequence. The r -th order difference of the sequence a is defined by:

$$(1) \quad \Delta^0 a_n = a_n \quad \Delta^r a_n = \Delta^{r-1} a_{n+1} - \Delta^{r-1} a_n \quad (r = 1, 2, \dots; n = 0, 1, \dots)$$

Definition 1. A sequence $a = (a_n)$ is said to be convex of order r if $\Delta^r a_n \geq 0$ for all $n \in N$.

Let $p = (p_n)$ be a sequence of positive numbers. It defines a transformation P in the set of sequences: any sequence $a = (a_n)$ is transformed into the sequence $P(a) = A + (A_n)$ given by:

$$(2) \quad A_n = \frac{p_0 a_0 + \dots + p_n a_n}{p_0 + \dots + p_n} \quad (a = 0, 1, \dots)$$

Definition 2. The Transformation P is said to be r -convex if the sequence $A = P(a)$ is convex of order r for any sequence a convex of order r .

In [2] the following theorem is given:

THEOREM 0. *The transformation P is r -convex if and only if the sequence $p = (p_n)$ is given by:*

$$p_n \frac{(r-1)! \cdot p_{r-1}}{n! \cdot (p_0 + \dots + p_{r-2})} \prod_{k=r-2}^{n-1} (k+1)(p_0 + \dots + p_{r-2}) + (r-1)p_{r-1}$$

for $n \geq r$, with p_0, \dots, p_{r-1} arbitrary positive numbers.

Remark. For $a_0 = 0$ and $a_n = (3 + 6n - 2n^2)/3$ if $n \geq 1$, we have $\Delta^3 a_0 = 1$ and $\Delta^3 a_n = 0$ if $n \geq 1$, so that the sequence (a_n) is convex of order 3. Let us choose for $r = 3$: $p_0 = 6$, $p_1 = 1$ and $p_2 = 7/2$. From (3) we get $p_3 = 7/2$ and so from (2), we have $A_0 = 0$, $A_1 = 1/3$, $A_2 = 1$ and $A_3 = 1$, that is $\Delta^3 A_0 = -1$. Hence the result from Theorem 0 is not valid in this form. To amend it, we begin by putting (3) in a simpler shape. For this we use the following notation:

$$(4) \quad \binom{u}{o} = 1, \quad \binom{u}{n} = \frac{u(u-1)\dots(u-n+1)}{n!}, \quad \text{for } n \geq 1$$

where u is an arbitrary real number.

LEMMA 1. *If the transformation P is r -convex, then the sequence (p_n) must be given by:*

$$(5) \quad p_n = p_{r-1} \binom{u+n-1}{n-r+1} : \binom{n}{r-1}, \quad \text{for } n \geq r$$

where

$$(6) \quad u = \frac{(r-1) \cdot p_{r-1}}{p_0 + \dots + p_{r-2}}, \quad p_k > 0 \quad \text{for } k = 0, \dots, r-1,$$

Proof. Because (5) is only a transcription of (3) using (4) and (6), the result was proved in [2]. However we sketch here another proof by mathematical induction. As in [2] we use the sequence $a_n = c \cdot n \cdot (n-1) \dots (n-r+2)$ for which we have $\Delta^r a_n = 0$ for any n . Hence it is convex of order r for any real c , and so must be (A_n) too. But this happens if and only if for $c = 1$ we have $\Delta^r A_n = 0$ for any n . For $n = 0$ we get $p_r = p_{r-1}(u+r-1)/r$ which is (5) for $n = r$. Suppose (5) is valid for $n \leq m$. To obtain A_n for $r \leq n \leq m$, we must calculate:

$$\sum_{k=0}^n p_k = \sum_{k=0}^{r-2} p_k + p_{r-1} + \sum_{k=r}^n p_k = p_{r-1} \left[\frac{r-1}{u} + 1 + \sum_{i=0}^{n-r} \binom{u+r+i-1}{i+1} : \binom{r+i}{i+1} \right].$$

From this it can be shown, by mathematical induction, that:

$$(7) \quad \sum_{k=0}^n p_k = p_{r-1} \frac{n-r+2}{u} \cdot \binom{u+n}{n-r+2} : \binom{n}{n-r+1}.$$

So:

$$A_n = \frac{u \cdot (r-1)!}{u+r-1} \binom{n}{r-1}, \quad n \leq m$$

and

$$A_{m+1} = \left[p_{r-1}(r-1)! \binom{u+m}{m-r+1} + p_{m+1}(r-1)! \cdot \binom{m+1}{r-1} \right] : \\ : \left[p_{r-1} \frac{m-r+2}{u} \binom{u+m}{m-r+2} : \binom{m}{m-r+1} + p_{m+1} \right].$$

From $\Delta^r A_{m-r+1} = 0$, we obtain (5) for $m+1$, and so for every n

LEMMA 2. *If the sequence (a_n) is given by:*

$$(8) \quad a_n = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n+r-k-1}{r-1} \cdot b_k,$$

then

$$(9) \quad \Delta^r a_n = b_{n+r} \quad (n = 0, 1, \dots)$$

Remark 2. This result is connected with some relations from [1] and [6]. Because any sequence may be put in the form (8), we obtain a representation theorem simpler than that given in [6]:

COROLLARY 1. *The sequence (a_n) is convex of order r if and only if in its representation (8), it has $b_n \geq 0$ for $n \geq r$.*

LEMMA 3. *If the transformation P is r -convex, then for every $n \leq r$:*

$$(10) \quad \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} p_k = n \cdot p_n / u.$$

Proof. Let (A_n) be represented by:

$$(11) \quad A_n = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n+r-k-1}{r-1} \cdot c_k.$$

Then:

$$a_n = \left(A_n \sum_{i=0}^n p_i - A_{n-1} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} p_i \right) : p_n.$$

If

$$q_n = \frac{1}{p_n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} p_k$$

then

$$\sigma_n = A_n + q_n \cdot (A_n - A_{n-1}) = \sum_{k=0}^n \left[\binom{n+r-i-1}{r-1} + q_n \cdot \binom{n+r-i-2}{r-2} \right] c_i$$

for $n \geq 1$ and $a_0 = A_0 = c_0$. So:

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta^r a_0 &= \sum_{j=0}^r (-1)^j \binom{r}{j} a_{r-j} = \\
&= \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} \left[\binom{2r-j-i-1}{r-1} + q_{r-j} \cdot \binom{2r-j-i-2}{r-2} \right] \cdot c_i \right\} (-1)^j \binom{r}{j} + (-1)^r c_0 \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^r \left\{ \sum_{j=0}^{r-i} \left[\binom{2r-j-i-1}{r-1} + q_{r-j} \cdot \binom{2r-j-i-2}{r-2} \right] (-1)^j \binom{r}{j} \right\} c_i + \\
&+ \left\{ \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} \left[\binom{2r-j-1}{r-1} + q_{r-j} \cdot \binom{2r-j-2}{r-2} \right] \right\} (-1)^j \binom{r}{j} + (-1)^r c_0.
\end{aligned}$$

But, as it is proved in [5]:

$$\sum_{j=0}^n (-1)^j \binom{n}{j} = 0 \text{ for } p < n$$

and hence:

$$\sum_{j=0}^n (-1)^j \binom{r}{j} \cdot Q(j) = 0$$

for any polynomial Q of degree less than n . So:

$$(12) \quad \sum_{j=0}^m (-1)^j \binom{r}{j} \cdot \binom{m+r-j-1}{r-1} = 0 \text{ for } m = 1, \dots, r$$

because:

$$\sum_{j=0}^m (-1)^j \frac{r!}{j! \cdot (r-j)!} \cdot \frac{(m+r-j-1)!}{(r-1)! \cdot (m-j)!} = \frac{r}{m} \sum_{j=0}^m (-1)^j \binom{m}{j} \cdot \binom{m+r-j-1}{m-1}$$

and $\binom{m+r-j-1}{m-1}$ is a polynomial of degree $m-1$ in j . Hence:

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta^r a_0 &= c_r + \sum_{i=0}^r \left[\sum_{j=0}^{r-1} (-1)^j \binom{r}{j} \cdot \binom{2r-j-i-2}{r-2} \cdot q_{r-j} \right] c_i + \\
&+ \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} (-1)^j \binom{r}{j} \cdot \binom{2r-j-2}{r-2} \cdot q_{r-j} \cdot c_0.
\end{aligned}$$

As the coefficient of c_r is $1 + q_r > 0$, $\Delta^r a_0 \geq 0$ implies $\Delta^r A_0 = c_r \geq 0$ if and only if the coefficients of c_i are zero for $i = 0, \dots, r-1$. For $i = r-1$ we have: $(r-1) \cdot q_r - r \cdot q_{r-1} = 0$ and as (6) means $q_{r-1} = (r-1)/u$, we also have $q_r = r/u$. Assuming (10) valid for $r-j$ ($j = 0, \dots, m-1; m < r-1$) it may be deduced for $r-m$, because we have:

$$\sum_{j=0}^{r-1} (-1)^j \binom{r}{j} \cdot \binom{m+r-j-2}{r-2} \cdot (r-j) = 0, \text{ for } m < r-1$$

and

$$\sum_{j=0}^{r-1} (-1)^j \binom{r}{j} \cdot \binom{2r-j-2}{r-2} \cdot (r-j) = 0$$

which may be verified as in (12).

THEOREM 1. *The transformation P is r -convex if and only if the sequence (p_n) is given by:*

$$(13) \quad p_n = p_0 \cdot \binom{u+n-1}{n}, \text{ for } n \geq 1, \quad \text{with } u = p_1/p_0.$$

Proof. Necessity: Lemma 1 and Lemma 3 give the necessary conditions (5) and (10). From (10) we have: $u = p_1/p_0$ for $n = 1$, and $p_2 = u(p_0 + p_1)/2 = p_0 \binom{u+1}{2}$: supposing (13) valid for $n \leq m < r - 1$, (10) gives:

$$p_{m+1} = \frac{u \cdot p_0}{m+1} \sum_{k=0}^m \binom{u+k-1}{k} = p_0 \frac{u}{m+1} \binom{u+m}{m} = p_0 \binom{u+m}{m+1}$$

that, is, (13) holds for $n \leq r - 1$. Hence, from (5) we also get:

$$p_n = p_0 \cdot \binom{u+r-2}{r-1} \cdot \binom{u+n-1}{n-r+1} : \binom{n}{r-1} = p_0 \cdot \binom{u+n-1}{n}$$

for $n \geq r$.

Sufficiency: with (13), the sequence (2) becomes:

$$(14) \quad A_n \left[\sum_{k=0}^n \binom{u+k-1}{k} a_k \right] : \binom{u+n}{n}$$

and so we have the relation:

$$(15) \quad a_n = A_n + n \cdot (A_n - A_{n+1}) : u, \text{ for } n > 0.$$

Taking A_n of the form (11), from (15) we obtain:

$$(16) \quad a_n = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n+r-k-2}{r-2} \cdot \left(\frac{n+r-k-1}{r-1} + \frac{n}{u} \right) \cdot c_k.$$

Because $\Delta^r A_n = c_{n+r}$, applying to (15) the know relation (see [4]):

$$\Delta^r (a_n \cdot b_n) = \sum_{i=0}^r \binom{r}{i} \Delta^i a_n \cdot \Delta^{r-i} b_{n+i}$$

we obtain:

$$(17) \quad \Delta^r a_n = (n+r+u)u^{-1}c_{n+r} - nu^{-1}c_{n+r-1}, \quad n \geq 1.$$

From the proof of Lemma 3 we have: $\Delta^r a_0 = c_r \cdot (r + u) : u$, that is (17) is valid for $n = 0$ too. Assuming (a_n) given by (8), (9) is valid; thus:

$$(18) \quad b_r = (r + u)/u, \quad b_{n+r} = (n + r + u)/uc_{n+r} - n/u c_{n+r-1}.$$

Hence, if $b_n \geq 0$ for $n \geq r$, then also $c_n \geq 0$ for $n \geq r$; that is, if (a_n) is convex of order r , so is (A_n) too.

Remark 3. The sufficiency part of Theorem 1 was also proved in [1]. In what follows we improve also this result. Let us denote by K_r , the set of all sequences convex of order r and by K_r^u the set of all sequences (a_n) with the property that (14) gives a sequence (A_n) in K_r .

THEOREM 2. *If $0 < v < u$ then the following strict inclusions hold:*

$$K_r \subset K_r^u \subset K_r^v.$$

Proof. The first inclusion was proved in Theorem 1. Its strictness follows from (18): the positivity of $c_n (n \geq r)$ does not imply that of b_n . Now suppose (a_n) given by (16) and also by:

$$a_n = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n+r-k-2}{r-2} \cdot \left(\frac{n+r-k-1}{r-1} + \frac{n}{v} \right) \cdot d_k.$$

So (17) holds and $\Delta^r a_n = (n+r+v)v^{-1}d_{n+r} - nv^{-1}d_{n+r-1}$ that is:

$$(n+r+v)/vd_{n+r} - nv^{-1}d_{n+r-1} = (n+r+u)u^{-1}c_{n+r} - nu^{-1}c_{n+r-1}$$

Hence $d_r = \frac{v \cdot (r+u)}{u \cdot (r+v)} c_r$ and generally, by mathematical induction:

$$(19) \quad d_{r+n} = \frac{u+r+n}{v+r+n} \cdot \frac{c_{r+n}}{uv} + \frac{u-v}{uv} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{c_{r+i}}{n-i+1} \binom{n}{i} : \binom{v+r+n}{n-i+1};$$

that is, $c_n \geq 0$ for $b \geq r$ implies $d_n \geq 0$ for $b \geq r$ and so, if (a_n) is in K_r^u , it is also in K_r^v . That the inclusion $K_r^u \subset K_r^v$ is strict follows also from (19) as above.

REFERENCES

- [1] B. Kotkowski, A. Waszak, *An application of Abel's transformation*, Univ. Beograd Publ. Elektroteh. Fak. Ser. Mat. Fiz. No **602**-No **633** (1978), 203-210.
- [2] I. B. Lacković, S. K. Simić, *On weighted arithmetic means which are invariant with respect to k -th order convexity*, Ibid. No **461**-No **497** (1974), 159-166.
- [3] A. Lupas, *On convexity preserving matrix transformations*, Ibid. No **634**-No **677** (1979), 208-213.
- [4] D. S. Mitrović, I. B. Lacković, M. S. Stanković, *Addenda to the monograph "Analytic inequalities"II*, Ibid. No **634**-No **677** (1979), 3-24.
- [5] I. J. Schwatt, *An Introduction to the Operations with Series*, 2ed ed, Chelsea New York, 1924.
- [6] Gh. Toader, *The representation of n -convex sequences*, Anal. Number. Theor. Approx. **10** (1981), 113-118.
- [7] Gh. Toader, *On some properties of convex sequences*, Mat. Vesnik **38** (1986), 103-111.

Catedra de Matematici
Institutul Politehnic
Cluj-Napoca
Romania

(Received 29 05 1985)