## ON CLOSE-TO-CONVEX FUNCTIONS

## D. K. Thomas

**Abstract**. Well-known coefficient and length results for the class of univalent close-to-convex functions are extended to a subclass of close-to-convex functions of high order.

**1. Introduction.** In [3] Goodman introduced the class  $K(\beta)$  of normalised analytic functions which are close-to-convex of order  $\beta \geq 0$ , i.e.  $f \in K(\beta)$  if f is analytic in  $D = \{z : | z | < 1\}$  and if there exists  $\varphi \in K(0) = C$  the class of normalised convex functions, such that for  $z \in D$ ,

$$\left| \arg \frac{f'(z)}{\varphi'(z)} \right| \le \frac{\beta \pi}{2}.$$

When  $0 \le \beta \le 1$ ,  $K(\beta)$  consists of univalent functions, whilst if  $\beta > 1$  f need not even be finitely valent.

Denote by  $V_k$ ,  $(k \geq 2)$  the class of locally univalent functions with bounded boundary rotation and by  $R_k$  the class of functions with bounded radial rotation. Then  $\varphi \in V_k$  if, and only if,  $z\varphi' \in R_k$  (see e.g. [2]). In [5] Noor considered the class  $T_k$  defined as follows:

Definition. Let  $f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n$  be analytic and locally univalent in D. Then for  $k \geq 2$ ,  $f \in T_k$  if there is a function  $\varphi \in V_k$  such that for  $z \in D$ ,

$$Re\frac{f'(z)}{\varphi''(z)} > 0 \tag{1}$$

Clearly  $T_2 = K(1)$ , the class of close-to-convex functions and it is easily seen [5] that  $T_k \subset K(k/2)$  for  $k \geq 2$ 

For  $f \in K(1)$ , Clunie and Pommerenke [1] showed that for  $n \geq 2$ ,  $n \mid a_n \mid < (2+\sqrt{2})e\,M(n/(n+1))$ , where  $M(r) = \max_{\theta} \mid f(re^{i\theta}) \mid$  and the author [7] showed that  $L(r) < AM(r)\log 1/(1-r)$ , where L(r) denotes the length of the image of  $\{z : \mid z \mid = r\}$  by f(z) and where A is an absolute constant. The object of the

86 Thomas

present paper is to extend these results to the class  $T_k$ . The question of whether the results remain valid in the winder class  $K(\beta)$  for  $\beta > 1$  remains open.

**2. Results.** Theorem 1. Let  $f \in T_k (k \geq 2)$ , with  $f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ . Then for  $n \geq 2$ ,

$$n \mid a_n \mid \le 3 \operatorname{ke} M(n/(n+1)) \tag{2}$$

*Proof*. We modify the method of Clunie and Pommerenke [1]. From (1) write

$$zf'(z) = g(z)h(z), (3)$$

so that  $g \in R_k$ , h(0) = 1 and  $\Re h(z) > 0$  for  $z \in D$ .

Thus we can write  $zf'(z) = 2g(z)\Re h(z) - g(z)\overline{h(z)}$ . Now with  $z = re^{i\theta}$ ,

$$na_n = \frac{1}{2\pi r^n} \int_0^{2\pi} z f'(z) e^{-in\theta} d\theta$$
$$= \frac{1}{\pi r^n} \int_0^{2\pi} g(z) \Re[h(z)] e^{-in\theta} d\theta - \frac{1}{2\pi r^n} \int_0^{2\pi} g(z) \overline{h(z)} e^{-in\theta} d\theta.$$

Therefore

$$n \mid a_n \mid \leq \frac{1}{\pi r^n} \int_0^{2\pi} |g(z)| \Re[h(z)] d\theta + \frac{1}{2\pi r^n} \left| \int_0^{2\pi} \overline{g(z)} h(z) e^{-in\theta} d\theta. \right|$$
  
=  $I_1(r) + I_2(r)$  say

Since  $\Re h(z) > 0$  for  $z \in D$ , (3) gives

$$|g(z)| \Re[h(z)] = \Re[zf'(z)e^{-i\arg g(z)}].$$

Thus integrating by parts

$$I_1(r) = \frac{1}{\pi r^n} \Re \int_0^{2\pi} f(z) e^{-1 \arg g(z)} d_{\theta}(\arg g(z)) \le \frac{k}{r^n} M(r),$$

since

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \left| \Re \frac{zg'(z)}{g(z)} \right| d\theta \le k\pi \tag{4}$$

For  $I_2(r)$ , we have from (3)

$$I_2(r) = \frac{1}{2\pi r^{2n}} \left| \int_0^{2\pi} z^{n+1} f'(z) e^{-2i \arg g(z)} d\theta \right|.$$
 (5)

Let  $f_n(z) = \int_0^z t^n f'(t) dt$ . Then integrating by parts gives

$$\mid f_n(z) \mid \le 2r^n M(r). \tag{6}$$

Finally integrating by parts in (5) shows that

$$I_2(r) = rac{1}{\pi r^{2n}} \left| \int_0^{2\pi} f_n(z) e^{-2i \arg g(z)} \Re rac{z g'(z)}{g(z)} d heta 
ight| \leq rac{2k}{r^n} M(r)$$

on using (4) and (6).

Choosing r = n/(n+1) gives (2).

THEOREM 2. Let  $f \in T_k (k \ge 2)$ . Then for 0 < r < 1,

$$L(r) \le A(k)M(r)\log 1/(1-r),$$

where A(k) is a constant depending only upon k.

*Proof*. With  $z = re^{i\theta}$ , (3) gives

$$L(r) = \int_0^{2\pi} \left| zf'(z) \right| d\theta \le \int_0^r \int_0^{2\pi} \left| g'(\rho e^{i\theta}) h(\rho e^{i\theta}) \right| d\theta d\rho$$
$$+ \int_0^r \int_0^{2\pi} \left| g(\rho e^{i\theta}) h'(\rho e^{i\theta}) \right| d\theta d\rho = J_1(r) + J_2(r) \quad \text{say}.$$

Now  $J_1(r) = \int_0^r \int_0^{2\pi} |f'(\rho e^{i\theta}) H(\rho e^{i\theta})| d\theta d\rho$ , where  $H(z) = \frac{zg'(z)}{g(z)}$ . Thus

$$J_{1}(r) \leq \int_{0}^{r} \left( \int_{0}^{2\pi} |f'(\rho e^{i\theta}|^{2})^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_{0}^{2\pi} |H(\rho e^{i\theta})|^{2} d\theta \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} d\rho$$

$$\leq 2\pi \int_{0}^{r} \left( 1 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n^{2} |a_{n}|^{2} \rho^{2n-2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \frac{1 + (k^{2} - 1)\rho^{2}}{1 - \rho^{2}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} d\rho$$

$$(7)$$

where we have used the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality, Parseval's equality and Lemma 2 in [5].

If  $f \in K(\beta)$ ,  $0 \le \beta \le 1$ , then f is univalent in D [3]. However for  $\beta > 1$ , f need nor be finitely valent [4]. Thus to estimate the first expression in (7) we proceed as follows.

With  $\rho = n/(n+1)$ , (2) gives

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n^2 \mid a_n \mid^2 \rho^{2n-2} \le 9k^2 e^2 M(\sqrt{\rho})^2 \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \rho^{n-2}.$$
 (8)

It follows immediately from the definition of  $T_k$  that the class  $T_k$  forms a subset of a linear-invariant family of order k/2+1. Using Lemma 2.6 of [6] we deduce that  $M(\sqrt{\rho}) < 2^{k+2} M(\rho)/\sqrt{\rho}$ . Thus from (7) and (8) we have  $J_1(r) < A(k)M(r)\log 1/(1-r)$ .

To estimate  $J_2(r)$  we note that since  $\Re h(z) > 0$  for  $z \in D$ ,  $|h'(\rho e^{i\theta})| \le 2 \Re h(\rho e^{i\theta})/(1-\rho^2)$ . Thus

$$J_2(r) \leq 2 \int_0^r \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{\mid g(\rho e^{i\theta}) \mid \Re h(\rho e^{i\theta})}{1-\rho^2} d\theta d\rho \leq 2k\pi \int_0^r \frac{M(\rho)}{1-\rho^2} d\rho$$

as in the proof of Theorem 1. Combining the estimates for  $J_1(r)$  and  $J_2(r)$  gives Theorem 2.

88 Thomas

Remark. The proof of Theorem 2 shows that in fact

$$L(r) \le A(k) \int_0^r \frac{M(\rho)}{1-\rho} d\rho.$$

Thus if  $f \in T_k$  and  $M(r) < 1/(1-r)^{\alpha}$ ,  $\alpha > 0$ , then  $L(r) < A(k,\alpha)/(1-r)^{\alpha}$ , where  $A(k,\alpha)$  denotes a constant depending only upon k and  $\alpha$ .

## REFERENCES

- J. Clunie and Ch. Pommerenke, On the coefficients of close-to-convex univalent functions,
   J. London Math. Soc. 41 (1966), 161-165.
- [2] P. L. Duren, Univalent Functions, Springer-Verlag, 1983.
- [3] A. W. Goodman, On close-to-convex functions of higher order, Ann. Univ. Sci. Budapest Eötöus Sect. Math 25 (1972), 17–30.
- [4] A. W. Goodman, A note on the Nashiro-Warschawski theorem, J. Anal. 25 (1972), 401-
- [5] K. I. Noor, On a generalisation of close-convexity, Internat. J. Math. Sci. 6 (1983), 327-334.
- [6] Ch. Pommerenke, Linear-invariante analytischer Functionen I, Math. Ann. 155 (1964), 108-154.
- [7] D. K. Thomas, On starlike and close-convex univalent functions, J. Lond. Math. Soc. 42 (1967), 427-435.

Department of Mathematics and Computer Science Swansea SA2 8PP, Wales, U. K. (Received 29 09 1988)