

## COHERENT STATES AND FRAMES IN THE BARGMAN SPACE OF ENTIRE FUNCTIONS

M. Dostanić and D. Milinković

**Abstract.** A conjecture was given in [3] about the possibility of decomposition of an arbitrary  $f$  in  $L^2(\mathbf{R})$  in terms of the family of functions

$$\varphi_{mn}(x) = \pi^{-1/4} \exp\{-(1/2) imnab + imxa - (1/2)(x - nb)^2\}, \quad a, b > 0; \quad ab < 2\pi.$$

We prove this conjecture for  $ab < 2\pi$  and  $b$  sufficiently large. Also, we give some applications for the Bargman space of entire functions.

**1. Preliminaries.** Coherent states are  $L^2$  functions labeled by phase space points. If we want to treat functions depending on  $n$ -dimensional Cartesian variables the associated phase space is  $\mathbf{R}^n \times \mathbf{R}^n$ . To construct a family of coherent states, one starts by choosing one vector (see [6])  $\Phi$  in  $L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)$ . For any phase space point  $(p, q) \in \mathbf{R}^n \times \mathbf{R}^n$  the associated coherent state  $\Phi_{pq}$  is defined by

$$\Phi_{pq}(x) = e^{ipx} \Phi(x - q).$$

Perhaps the most important property of the coherent states is the “resolution of identity” (see [6]) i.e. for any function  $\Phi \in L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)$  which satisfies the condition  $\int |\Phi(x)|^2 dx = 1$  and any function  $f \in L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)$  we have

$$f(x) = (2\pi)^{-n} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} dp \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} (f, \Phi_{pq}) \Phi_{pq}(x) dq \quad (1)$$

where  $(\cdot, \cdot)$  denotes the inner product in  $L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)$ .

This representation of functions has been used in quantum mechanics, quantum optics and signal theory (see [4], [5], [6], [7]). In the case when  $\Phi(x) = e^{-x^2/2}$  and  $n = 1$  the properties of associated coherent states were studied in the papers [2], [3].

Let  $F$  (see [1]) denote the vector space of entire functions of one complex variable  $z = x + iy$  such that

$$\|f\|^2 = \frac{1}{2\pi} \iint_{\mathbf{R}^2} |f(x + iy)|^2 e^{-(x^2+y^2)/2} dx dy < \infty.$$

$F$  is a Hilbert space with inner product

$$(f, g) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \iint_{\mathbf{R}^2} f(x + iy) \overline{g(x + iy)} e^{-(x^2+y^2)/2} dx dy.$$

For any  $z \in \mathbf{C}$ , the function  $e_z(\cdot)$  is defined by

$$e_z(z') = \exp\{-|z|^2/4 - \bar{z}z'/2\} \quad (\text{reproducing kernel}).$$

Then  $e_z \in F$ , and for every  $f \in F$

$$(f, e_z) = \exp\{-|z|^2/4\} f(z). \quad (2)$$

An unitary map from  $L^2(\mathbf{R})$  onto  $F$  is given by the Bargman transform  $U_B$ . It is defined by

$$(U_B \varphi)(z) = \pi^{-1/4} e^{z^2/4} \int_{\mathbf{R}} e^{-x^2/2} \varphi(x) e^{-ixz} dx \quad \text{for } \varphi \in L^2(\mathbf{R}).$$

Its inverse is given by

$$(U_B^{-1} f)(x) = \pi^{-1/4} e^{-x^2/2} \int_{\mathbf{C}} f(z) e^{z^2/4} e^{ixz} d\mu(z) \quad \text{for } f \in F$$

where  $d\mu(z) = (1/2\pi) e^{-|z|^2/2} d(\text{Re } z) d(\text{Im } z)$ .

It is well known (see [2]) that the family

$$\varphi_{mn}(x) = \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2} imnab + imxa - \frac{1}{2}(x - nb)^2\right\}$$

is not complete in  $L^2(\mathbf{R})$  if  $ab > 2\pi$ ; only if  $ab \leq 2\pi$  can  $\varphi_{mn}$  give rise to an expansion formula for arbitrary  $f \in L^2(\mathbf{R})$ .

It is important at this point to remark that for,  $ab \leq 2\pi$  the vectors  $\{\varphi_{mn}\}$  are not  $\omega$  "independent" in the sense that one vector of the family lies in the closed linear span of the other vectors.

If  $ab = 2\pi$ , then removing one  $\varphi_{mn}$  transforms the remaining family into an  $\omega$ -independent set.

If  $ab < 2\pi$  then the family  $\{\varphi_{mn}\}$  remains  $\omega$ -independent even after the removal of any finite number of  $\varphi_{mn}$ 's.

It is proved in [3] that every function  $f \in L^2(\mathbf{R})$  can be expanded into a series with respect to a system  $\{\varphi_{mn}\}$  if  $a, b > 0$ ,  $ab = 2\pi/n$  and  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ ,  $n \geq 2$ .

From that result it follows that

$$m\|f\|^2 \leq \sum_{p,q \in \mathbf{Z}} |f(z_{pq})|^2 e^{-|z_{pq}|^2/2} \leq M\|f\|^2 \quad (3)$$

where  $z_{pq} = pa + iqb$ ,  $m, M > 0$ , and does not depend on  $f$ .

In [3] an open problem is given: is it possible to expand a function  $f \in L^2(\mathbf{R})$  into a series with respect to a system  $\{\varphi_{mn}\}$  if  $a, b > 0$  and  $ab < 2\pi$ ?

In that paper the authors stated that they have proved the conjecture for  $ab < 2\pi \cdot 0.996$ .

We give a simple proof of this conjecture if  $ab < 2\pi \cdot k$  ( $k < 1$ ) and  $b$  is sufficiently large.

**2. Main result.** THEOREM 1. *If  $a, b > 0$  and*

$$2 \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} e^{-m^2 \pi^2 / a^2} < \frac{\min_{x \in \mathbf{R}} g(x)}{\max_{x \in \mathbf{R}} g(x)}, \quad \text{where } g(x) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-(x-nb)^2},$$

*then every function  $f \in L^2(\mathbf{R})$  can be expanded into a series with respect to a system of functions  $\{\varphi_{mn}\}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\varphi \in C_0^\infty$ . We define the operator  $T$  on  $C_0^\infty$  by

$$T\varphi = \sum_{m,n} (\varphi, \varphi_{mn})_{L^2(\mathbf{R})} \cdot \varphi_{mn} \quad (4)$$

The kernel operator  $T$  is

$$K(x, y) = \theta(x, y) \cdot \sum_{m \in \mathbf{Z}} e^{ima(x-y)}, \quad (5)$$

where

$$\theta(x, y) = \sum_{n \in \mathbf{Z}} e^{-(x-nb)^2/2 - (y-nb)^2/2}$$

The convergence in (5) is in the sense of distributions. Since

$$\sum_{m \in \mathbf{Z}} e^{ima(x-y)} = \frac{2\pi}{a} \sum_{m \in \mathbf{Z}} \delta\left(y - \left(x - \frac{2m\pi}{a}\right)\right),$$

(see [8]), the operator  $T$  is acting on  $C_0^\infty$  in the following way

$$(T\varphi)(x) = (K(x, \cdot), \varphi) = \frac{2\pi}{a} \sum_{m \in \mathbf{Z}} \varphi\left(x - \frac{2m\pi}{a}\right) \theta\left(x, x - \frac{2m\pi}{a}\right)$$

i.e.

$$(T\varphi)(x) = \frac{2\pi}{a} \sum_{m \in \mathbf{Z}} \theta\left(x, x + \frac{2m\pi}{a}\right) \varphi\left(x + \frac{2m\pi}{a}\right).$$

Since  $\varphi \in C_0^\infty$ , only a finite number of terms in this series is nonzero. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (T\varphi, \varphi) &= \frac{2\pi}{a} \int_{\mathbf{R}} \theta(x, x) |\varphi(x)|^2 dx \\ &+ \frac{2\pi}{a} \sum_{m \neq 0} \int_{\mathbf{R}} \theta\left(x, x + \frac{2m\pi}{a}\right) \varphi\left(x + \frac{2m\pi}{a}\right) \overline{\varphi(x)} dx. \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

Since  $\theta(x, x) = g(x)$ , it follows from (6) that

$$\begin{aligned} (T\varphi, \varphi) &\geq \frac{2\pi}{a} \min_{x \in \mathbf{R}} g(x) \|\varphi\|^2 \\ &\quad - \frac{2\pi}{a} \sum_{m \neq 0} \int_{\mathbf{R}} \theta\left(x - \frac{m\pi}{a}, x + \frac{m\pi}{a}\right) \left| \varphi\left(x + \frac{m\pi}{a}\right) \right| \left| \varphi\left(x - \frac{m\pi}{a}\right) \right| dx \\ &= \frac{2\pi}{a} \min_{x \in \mathbf{R}} g(x) \|\varphi\|^2 \\ &\quad - \frac{2\pi}{a} \sum_{m \neq 0} \int_{\mathbf{R}} g(x) e^{-m^2 \pi^2 / a^2} \left| \varphi\left(x + \frac{m\pi}{a}\right) \right| \left| \varphi\left(x - \frac{m\pi}{a}\right) \right| dx. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we have the estimate

$$(T\varphi, \varphi) \geq \frac{2\pi}{a} \|\varphi\|^2 \min_{x \in \mathbf{R}} g(x) - \frac{2\pi}{a} \sum_{m \neq 0} \max_{x \in \mathbf{R}} g(x) \cdot e^{-m^2 \pi^2 / a^2} \|\varphi\|^2$$

i.e.

$$(T\varphi, \varphi) \geq \frac{2\pi}{a} \left( \min_{x \in \mathbf{R}} g(x) - 2 \max_{x \in \mathbf{R}} g(x) \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} e^{-m^2 \pi^2 / a^2} \right) \|\varphi\|^2. \quad (7)$$

From the assumption in Theorem 1 and from (7) we get

$$(T\varphi, \varphi) \geq C \|\varphi\|^2, \quad \text{for every } \varphi \in C_0^\infty \quad (8)$$

where  $C > 0$  and  $C$  does not depend on  $\varphi$ . From (6) in a similar way we get

$$(T\varphi, \varphi) \leq D \|\varphi\|^2, \quad D = \max_{x \in \mathbf{R}} g(x) \cdot \frac{2\pi}{a} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-m^2 \pi^2 / a^2} \quad (9)$$

for every  $\varphi \in C_0^\infty$ . From (9) it follows that the operator  $T$ , defined by (4) on  $C_0^\infty$ , can be extended for all  $\varphi \in L^2(\mathbf{R})$  ( $C_0^\infty$  is dense in  $L^2(\mathbf{R})$ ).

Then (8) and (9) hold for every  $\varphi \in L^2(\mathbf{R})$ . That means the operator  $T$  is invertible and its inverse  $T^{-1}$  is bounded. Let  $f \in L^2(\mathbf{R})$  and  $g = T^{-1}f$ . Then  $Tg = \sum_{m,n} (g, \varphi_{mn}) \varphi_{mn}$ . Since  $g = T^{-1}f$ , i.e.  $f = Tg$ , we obtain  $f = \sum_{m,n} (T^{-1}f, \varphi_{mn}) \varphi_{mn}$  and the theorem is proved.

**COROLLARY 1.** *If  $ab < 2\pi \cdot k$  ( $k < 1$ ) and  $b > b_0(k) = 2k\sqrt{\pi}/\sqrt{1-k^2}$  then every function  $f \in L^2(\mathbf{R})$  can be expanded into a series with respect to a system of functions  $\{\varphi_{mn}\}$ .*

*Proof.* We consider the function  $g(x) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-(x-nb)^2}$ . Clearly  $g \in C_0^\infty$ , it is even and periodic with a period  $b$ . So it is enough to estimate  $\min_{-b/2 \leq x \leq b/2} g(x)$  and  $\max_{-b/2 \leq x \leq b/2} g(x)$ .

Since

$$\begin{aligned} g(x) &= e^{-x^2} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( e^{-(x-nb)^2} + e^{-(x+nb)^2} \right) \\ &\geq e^{-x^2} + 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-x^2 - n^2 b^2} = e^{-x^2} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-n^2 b^2}. \end{aligned}$$

We get

$$\min_{-b/2 \leq x \leq b/2} g(x) \geq e^{-b^2/4} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-n^2 b^2}.$$

Now, we prove

$$\max_{-b/2 \leq x \leq b/2} g(x) = g(0) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-n^2 b^2}.$$

Since

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbf{Z}} e^{-\pi(n+\alpha)^2/y} = \sqrt{y} \sum_{n \in \mathbf{Z}} e^{-\pi n^2 y + 2\pi i n \alpha} \quad \text{for } y > 0$$

(Poisson-formula, see [8]), we get

$$g(x) = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{b} \sum_{n \in \mathbf{Z}} e^{-n^2 \pi^2 / b^2 - 2\pi i n x / b} \leq g(0).$$

Hence

$$\left( \min_{-b/2 \leq x \leq b/2} g(x) \right) / \left( \max_{-b/2 \leq x \leq b/2} g(x) \right) \geq e^{-b^2/4}.$$

Since  $ab < 2\pi \cdot k$  ( $k < 1$ ), to complete the proof of Corollary 1 it is enough to show the inequality

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-n^2 b^2 / (4k^2)} < \frac{1}{2} e^{-b^2/4}.$$

Because of

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{b^2}{4k^2}(n^2 - k^2)} < \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{b^2}{4k^2}(1-k^2)n^2} < \int_0^{\infty} e^{-x^2 \frac{b^2(1-k^2)}{4k^2}} dx = \frac{\sqrt{\pi} k}{b\sqrt{1-k^2}} < \frac{1}{2}$$

for  $b > b_0(k) = \frac{2k\sqrt{\pi}}{\sqrt{1-k^2}},$

the corollary is proved.

*Remark 1.* The preceding corollary shows that the conjecture is true in the case  $ab < 2\pi \cdot k$  and  $1 > k > 0.996$  if  $b$  is sufficiently large.

**COROLLARY 2.** *Under the assumption of Theorem 1 we have:*

$$m \|f\|^2 \leq \sum_{p, q \in \mathbf{Z}} |f(z_{pq})|^2 e^{-|z_{pq}|^2/2} \leq M \|f\|^2,$$

for every  $f \in F$ , where  $z_{pq} = pa + iqb$ . ( $M, m$  are positive constants which do not depend on  $f \in F$ ).

*Proof.* Let  $\varphi \in L^2(\mathbf{R})$ . Then  $f(z) = (U_B \varphi)(z) \in F$ . By direct computation we get  $(U_B \varphi_{pq})(z) = e_{z_{pq}}(z)$ . Since  $U_B$  is an unitary operator then from (2) it follows that

$$f(z_{pq}) e^{-|z_{pq}|^2/2} = (f, e_{z_{pq}}) = (U_B \varphi, U_B \varphi_{pq}) = (\varphi, \varphi_{pq}). \quad (10)$$

From (8), (9) and (10) Corollary 2 follows.

Now, we consider a more general system of functions  $\psi_{mn}(x) = e^{imax} \cdot \varphi_0 \cdot (x - nb)$ . If  $\varphi_0$  is not an entire function, then the completeness of the system  $\{\psi_{mn}\}$  can not be proved by entire functions method. But under some conditions it is possible to use the method from Theorem 1.

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $\varphi_0$  be a continuous function on  $\mathbf{R}$  which satisfies the following conditions:*

- 1°  $\sup_{x \in \mathbf{R}} |x^n \varphi_0(x)| < \infty$  for every  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ .
- 2°  $|\varphi_0(x-y)\varphi_0(x+y)| \leq K_{\varphi_0} (|\varphi_0(x)| |\varphi_0(y)|)^p$  ( $K_{\varphi_0}$  does not depend on  $x$  and  $y$ ;  $p > 0$ )
- 3°  $\sum_{m \neq 0, m \in \mathbf{Z}} \left| \varphi_0\left(\frac{m\pi}{a}\right) \right|^p < \frac{1}{K_{\varphi_0}} \frac{\min_{x \in \mathbf{R}} g_2(x)}{\max_{x \in \mathbf{R}} g_1(x)}$ ,

where  $g_1(x) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} |\varphi_0(x-nb)|^p$  and  $g_2(x) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} |\varphi_0(x-nb)|^2$ . Then every function  $f \in L^2(\mathbf{R})$  can be expanded into a series with respect to a system  $\{\psi_{mn}\}_{m,n \in \mathbf{Z}}$ .

*Proof.* Using the method of the proof of Theorem 1 we define (for  $\varphi \in C_0^\infty$ ) the mapping  $T\varphi = \sum_{m,n} (\varphi, \varphi_{mn}) \varphi_{mn}$  so

$$(T\varphi, \varphi) = \frac{2\pi}{a} \int_{\mathbf{R}} |\varphi|^2 \theta_1(x, x) dx + \frac{2\pi}{a} \sum_{m \neq 0} \int_{\mathbf{R}} \varphi\left(x + \frac{m\pi}{a}\right) \overline{\varphi\left(x - \frac{m\pi}{a}\right)} \theta_1\left(x - \frac{m\pi}{a}, x + \frac{m\pi}{a}\right) dx \quad (11)$$

where  $\theta_1(x, y) = \sum_{n \in \mathbf{Z}} \varphi_0(x-nb) \overline{\varphi_0(y-nb)}$ .

From the condition 2° in Theorem 2 follows that

$$|\theta_1(x - m\pi/a, x + m\pi/a)| \leq K_{\varphi_0} g_1(x) |\varphi_0(m\pi/a)|^p. \quad (12)$$

From (11) and (12) we get (for  $\varphi \in C_0^\infty$ )

$$(T\varphi, \varphi) \geq \frac{2\pi}{a} \min_{x \in \mathbf{R}} g_2(x) \|\varphi\|^2 - \frac{2\pi}{a} \sum_{m \neq 0} K_{\varphi_0} \max_{x \in \mathbf{R}} g_1(x) \left| \varphi_0\left(\frac{m\pi}{a}\right) \right|^p \|\varphi\|^2,$$

i.e.

$$(T\varphi, \varphi) \geq \frac{2\pi}{a} \left( \min_{x \in \mathbf{R}} g_2(x) - K_{\varphi_0} \max_{x \in \mathbf{R}} g_1(x) \sum_{m \neq 0} \left| \varphi_0\left(\frac{m\pi}{a}\right) \right|^p \right) \|\varphi\|^2.$$

The proof now follows as in Theorem 1 because of 3°.

*Remark 2.* The assumptions 1° and 2° are fulfilled, for example, for  $p = 1$ ,  $\varphi_0(x) = e^{-h(x)}$  where  $h(\cdot)$  is an even, rapid growing (on  $(0, \infty)$ ), convex function.

For  $p = 2$ ,  $\varphi_0(x) = e^{-x^2/2}$ , and from Theorem 2 we get Theorem 1.

*Remark 3.* The statements given in Theorems 1 and 2 and their consequences can be generalized to the case of a function  $\varphi : \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  and to the case of the Bargman space of entire functions on  $\mathbf{C}^n$ .

#### REFERENCES

- [1] V. Bargman, *On a Hilbert space of analytic functions and an associated integral transform, part I*, Com. Pure App. Math. **14** (1961), 187-214, *Part II*, ibid. **20** (1967), 1-101.

- [2] V. Bargman, P. Butera, L. Girardello, J. R. Klauder, *On the completeness of the coherent states*, Reports Math. Physics **2** (1971), 221–228.
- [3] I. Daubechies, A. Grossmann, *Frames in the Bargman space of entire functions*, Com. Pure Applied Math. **41** (1988), 151–164.
- [4] D. Gabor, *Theory of communications*, J. Inst. Elect. Engrs. (London) **93** (1946), 429–457.
- [5] C. W. Helstrom, *An expansions of a signal in Gaussian elementary signals*, IEEE Trans. Infor. Theory, IT. **12** (1966), 81–82.
- [6] J. R. Klauder, B. S. Skagerstam, *Coherent States, Applications in Physics and Mathematical Physics*, World Scientific Press, Singapore, 1985.
- [7] J. R. Klauder, E. C. Sudarshan, *Fundamental of Quantum Optics*, Benjamin, New York, 1968.
- [8] V. S. Vladimirov, *Equations of Mathematical Physics*, Nauka, Moscow, 1981.

Institut za primenjenu matematiku i elektroniku  
Kneza Miloša 37  
11000 Beograd, Jugoslavija

(Received 08 04 1991)

Matematički fakultet  
Studentski trg 16, p.p. 550  
11001 Beograd, Jugoslavija