TOEPLITZ OPERATORS ON M-HARMONIC HARDY SPACE $H^p_m(S)$ WITH 0

Miroljub Jevtić

Communicated by Stevan Pilipović

Abstract. Let B^n be the unit ball in C^n , S is the boundary of B^n . Let $L^p(S)$ denote the usual Lebesgue spaces over S with respect to the normalized surface measure, $H^p_m(B^n)$ is the Hardy space of M-harmonic functions and $H^p_{at}(S)$ denotes the atomic Hardy spaces defined in [4]. Let $P:L^2(S) \to H^2_m(B^n)$ denote the Poisson–Szëgo projection. We use $M_f:L^p(S) \to L^p(S)$ to denote the multiplication operator, and we define the Toeplitz operator $T_f = PM_f$. The paper gives characterization theorems on f such that the Toeplitz operator T_f is bounded from $H^p_{at}(S) \to H^p_m(B^n)$ with 0 .

1. Introduction

Let B^n denote the unit ball in C^n . Set S as the boundary of B^n . Let σ be the normalized surface measure over S. Here $L^p(S)$ denotes the usual Lebesgue space over S with respect to σ for $0 , <math>H^p_a(B^n)$ denotes the usual Hardy space of holomorphic functions, $H^p_m(B^n)$ denotes the Hardy space of M-harmonic functions and $H^p_{at}(S)$ denotes the atom Hardy space of complex valued functions on S defined in [4] $(H^p_{at}(S) = L^p(S)$, for 1). Also we let <math>BMO(S) denote the usual bounded mean oscillation function space with norm $\|\cdot\|_*$ and BMOA be its holomorphic subspace. We define another function space LMO(S). We say a function $f \in LMO(S)$ if $f \in L^1(S)$ and $\|f\|_{LMO} < \infty$ where

$$||f||_{\text{LMO}} = ||f||_{L^1(S)} + \sup_{\xi \in S, \delta > 0} \left\{ \frac{\log(\frac{2}{\sigma(B(\xi,\delta))})}{\sigma(B(\xi,\delta))} \int_{B(\xi,\delta)} |f - f_B| d\sigma \right\}.$$

and

$$f_B = \frac{1}{\sigma(B(\xi,\delta))} \int_{B(\xi,\delta)} f(\eta) d\sigma(\eta).$$

AMS Subject Classification (1991): Primary 47G10, 47B35

Supported by Ministry of Science and Technology of Serbia, grant number 04M03/E

Here $B = B(\xi, \delta) = B_{\delta}(\xi) = \{ \eta \in S : |1 - \langle \eta, \xi \rangle| < \delta \}$ is nonisotropic ball on S. Let $C : L^{2}(S) \to H_{a}^{2}(B^{n})$ denote the orthogonal projection, i.e.

$$C[f](z) = \int_{S} f(\xi)C(z,\xi)d\sigma(\xi), z \in B^{n}, f \in L^{2}(S),$$

where $C(z,\xi) = (1 - \langle z,\xi \rangle)^{-n}$ is the Cauchy kernel for the unit ball B^n .

The Poisson-Szegö projection is an integral operator

$$P[f](z) = \int_{S} f(\xi)P(z,\xi)d\sigma(\xi), z \in B^{n}, f \in L^{2}(S).$$

The integral kernel $P(z,\xi) = \frac{(1-|z|^2)^n}{|1-\langle z,\xi\rangle|^{2n}}$ is called the Poisson–Szegő kernel of B^n .

We use ${\cal M}_f$ to denote the multiplication operator, and we define the Toeplitz operators as

$$T_f^C = CM_f$$
 and $T_f = PM_f$.

We begin with a characterization theorem on f such that the Toeplitz operator T_f^C is bounded from $H_{at}^1(S)$ to $H_a^1(B^n)$.

Theorem 0. Let $f \in L^2(S)$. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (i) $f \in L^{\infty}(S) \cap LMO(S)$,
- (ii) The Toeplitz operators T_f^C and $T_{\bar{f}}^C$ are bounded from $H_{at}^1(S)$ to $H_a^1(B^n)$,
- (iii) $M_f : BMO(S) \to BMO(S)$ is bounded,
- (iv) $M_f: BMOA \to BMO(S)$ is bounded,
- (v) The Toeplitz operator T_f^C is bounded from $H_{at}^1(S)$ to $H_a^1(B^n)$.

This is Theorem 1 in [7]. We note that in the proof of the implication (iv) \Rightarrow (i) it is not shown that $M_f: BMOA \to BMO(S)$ implies $f \in L^{\infty}$. Here is a simple proof.

For $\xi_0 \in S$ any Lebesgue point of f, we consider $g_{\xi_0}(z) = \log(1 - \langle z, \xi_0 \rangle) \in BMOA$ with $||g_{\xi_0}||_{\star} \leq C$, where C is a constant depending only on n. By assumption we have $||fg_{\xi_0}||_{\star} \leq C$ (In this paper constants are denoted by C which may indicate a different constant from one occurrence to the next). Therefore, for any nonisotropic ball $B_{\delta}(\xi_1) \subset S$ we have

$$\frac{1}{\sigma(B_{\delta}(\xi_1))} \int_{B_{\delta}(\xi_1)} |f(\eta)g_{\xi_0}(\eta)| \quad d\sigma(\eta) \le C \log \frac{1}{\sigma(B_{\delta}(\xi_1))}$$

(see [7, Lemma 1]).

In particular, if we let $\xi_1 = \xi_0$ and $0 < \delta < 1/4$, we have

$$C|g_{\xi_0}(\eta)| \ge \log \frac{1}{\sigma(B_{\delta}(\xi_0))}, \quad \eta \in B_{\delta}(\xi_0)$$

78 Jevtić

Thus

$$\begin{split} C\log\frac{1}{\sigma(B_{\delta}(\xi_{0}))} &\geq \frac{1}{\sigma(B_{\delta}(\xi_{0}))} \int_{B_{\delta}(\xi_{0})} |f(\eta)g_{\xi_{0}}(\eta)| \, d\sigma(\eta) \\ &\geq C^{-1} \left(\log\frac{1}{\sigma(B_{\delta}(\xi_{0}))}\right) \frac{1}{\sigma(B_{\delta}(\xi_{0}))} \int_{B_{\delta}(\xi_{0}))} |f(\eta)| \, d\sigma(\eta) \end{split}$$

Therefore

$$\frac{1}{\sigma(B_\delta(\xi_0))}\,\int_{B_\delta(\xi_0)}|f(\eta)|\,d\sigma(\eta)\leq C^2$$

for all $\delta > 0$. Since ξ_0 is Lebesgue point, we have $|f(\xi_0)| \leq C^2$. By Lebesgue theorem, we have $|f(\xi)| \leq C^2$ for a.e. $\xi \in S$. This completes the proof of Theorem 0

The main purpose of this paper is to give characterization theorems on f such that the Toeplitz operator T_f is bounded from $H^p_{at}(S) \to H^p_m(B^n)$ with 0 . More precisely we prove the following:

Theorem 1. Let $f \in L^2(S)$. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (i) $f \in L^{\infty}(S) \cap LMO(S)$
- (ii) The Toeplitz operator T_f is bounded from $H^1_{at}(S)$ to $H^1_m(B^n)$.
- (iii) $M_f : BMO(S) \to BMO(S)$ is bounded.

THEOREM 2. Let $f \in L^2(S)$, and 0 . Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (i) $f \in (H_{at}^p(S))^*$
- (ii) $T_f: H^p_{at}(S) \to H^p_m(B^n)$ is bounded.

2. Some basic notations and known results

Let $\tilde{\Delta} = (1 - |z|^2) \sum_{j,k} (\delta_{jk} - z_j \overline{z}_k) D_j \overline{D}_k$ be the invariant or Bergman laplacian. The functions anihilated by $\tilde{\Delta}$ are called *M*-harmonic functions, $f \in M$, (see [8, Chapter 4], for general properties of these functions).

We will also use the following expressions, defined for a smooth function u in B^n :

(a) The radial maximal function

$$u^+(\xi) = \sup\{|u(r\xi)|; 0 \le r < 1\}.$$

(b) The admissible maximal function

$$M_{\delta}[u](\xi) = M[u](\xi) = \sup \{|u(z)| : z \in D_{\delta}(\xi)\}.$$

(c) The admissible area function

$$S[u](\xi) = \Big\{ \int_{D_{\delta}(\xi)} \|\nabla_{B^n} u(z)\|_{B^n}^2 d\tau(z) \Big\}^{1/2}.$$

Here, in (b) and (c), $D_{\delta}(\xi) = D(\xi)$ is the admissible approach region given by

$$D_{\delta}(\xi) = \{ z \in B^{n} : |1 - \langle z, \xi \rangle| < \delta(1 - |z|^{2}) \},$$
$$d\tau(z) = \frac{1}{(1 - |z|^{2})^{n+1}} dV(z),$$

dV denoting Lebesgue measure, and $\|\nabla_{B^n}u\|_{B^n}$ is the Bergman length of the Bergman gradient given in coordinates by

 $\|\nabla_{B^n} u\|_{B^n}^2 =$

$$(1 - |z|^2) \Big\{ \sum_{i=1}^n |D_i u|^2 - \Big| \sum_{i=1}^n z_i D_i u \Big|^2 + \sum_{i=1}^n |\overline{D}_i u|^2 - \Big| \sum_{i=1}^n \overline{z}_i \overline{D}_i u \Big|^2 \Big\}.$$

A function $f \in M$ is said to belong $H_m^p(B^n)$, $0 , if <math>M_{\delta}[f] \in L^p(S)$.

For the proof of Theorem 1 and Theorem 2 the following two lemmas will be needed.

Lemma 2.1. [1] Let $u \in M$. Then the following are equivalent:

- (i) $u \in H^1_m(B^n)$
- (ii) The radial maximal function $u^+ \in L^1(S)$.
- (iii) The area function $S[u] \in L^1(S)$.
- (iv) There exists $f \in H^1_{at}(S)$ such that u = P[f].

LEMMA 2.2. [1], [4], [5], [8] The following statements hold:

- (i) $P: H_{at}^p(S) \to H_m^p(B^n)$, 0 is bounded and onto.
- (ii) The dual space of $H^p_{at}(S)$, $0 , is <math>\mathcal{L}^{\gamma}(S)$, $\gamma = n(1/p 1)$.
- (iii) The dual space of $H^1_{at}(S)$ is BMO(S).

See [4] and [7] for the definition of $\mathcal{L}^{\gamma}(S)$ spaces.

3. Proof of Theorem 1

First, we prove (ii) \Rightarrow (iii).

By Lemma 2.1 every function in the space $H^1_m(B^n)$ is the Poisson integral of a function in the space $H^1_{at}(S)$ and so the hypotheses that T_f is bounded from $H^1_{at}(S)$ to $H^1_m(B^n)$ is equivalent to the hypotheses that M_f is bounded from $H^1_{at}(S)$

80 Jevtić

to itself. But then by duality $(H_{at}^1(S))^* = BMO(S)$ (Lemma 2.2) M_f is bounded from BMO(S) to itself.

By Theorem 0 we have that (iii) \Leftrightarrow (i).

The proof of the implication (i) \Rightarrow (ii).

By the atomic decomposition theorem [4], [7], it suffices to show that for every atom a on S with support $B_0 = B(\xi_0, \delta)$, we have

$$||T_f(a)||_{H_m^1(B^n)} \le C(||f||_{LMO} + ||f||_{\infty}).$$

Now we let $B_1 = 2B_0 = B(\xi_0, 2\delta)$. Since $f \in L^{\infty}(S)$, $T_f : L^2(S) \to H_m^2(B^n)$ is bounded (Lemma 2.2). So we have that

$$\begin{split} & \int_{B_{1}} \left(\sup_{z \in D(\eta)} \int_{B_{0}} |a(\xi)| |f(\xi)| P(z,\xi) \, d\sigma(\xi) \right) d\sigma(\eta) \\ & \leq \|f\|_{\infty} \left(\int_{B_{1}} \left(\sup_{z \in D(\eta)} \int_{B_{0}} |a(\xi)| P(z,\xi) d\sigma(\xi) \right)^{2} d\sigma(\eta) \right)^{1/2} (\sigma(B_{1}))^{1/2} \\ & \leq \|f\|_{\infty} \|a\|_{L^{2}(S)} (\sigma(B_{1}))^{1/2} \leq C \|f\|_{\infty} \end{split}$$

(Here we used Lemma 2.2 and the estimate $|a(\xi)| \leq (\sigma(B_0))^{-1}$, $\xi \in B_0$). Let $\eta \in S \setminus B_1$ and $z \in D_{\delta}(\eta)$. Then

$$T_f(a)(z) = \int_{B_0} a(\xi) f(\xi) P(z, \xi) d\sigma(\xi)$$

$$= \int_{B_0} a(\xi) (f(\xi) - f_{B_0}) P(z, \xi) d\sigma(\xi) + f_{B_0} \int_{B_0} a(\xi) P(z, \xi) d\sigma(\xi)$$

$$= I_1(z) + I_2(z)$$

Now

$$\begin{split} \int_{S\backslash B_1} \sup_{z\in D_\delta(\eta)} |I_2(z)| \, d\sigma(\eta) &\leq |f_{B_0}| \int_S \Big(\sup_{z\in D_\delta(\eta)} \int_S |a(\xi)| P(z,\xi) \, d\sigma(\xi) \Big) d\sigma(\eta) \\ &\leq C |f_{B_0}| \, \|a\|_{H^1_{a,t}(S)} \leq C \|f\|_\infty, \quad \text{by Lemma 2.1 (or Lemma 2.2)}. \end{split}$$

We have

$$\begin{split} I_1(z) &= \int_{B_0} (f(\xi) - f_{B_0}) a(\xi) P(z, \xi) \, d\sigma(\xi) \\ &= \int_{B_0} (f(\xi) - f_{B_0}) a(\xi) [P(z, \xi) - P(z, \xi_0)] \, d\sigma(\xi) \\ &+ \int_{B_0} (f(\xi) - f_{B_0}) a(\xi) P(z, \xi_0) \, d\sigma(\xi) = I_{11}(z) + I_{12}(z) \end{split}$$

So

$$\begin{split} \int_{S\backslash B_1} \left(\sup_{z\in D_\delta(\eta)} |I_{12}(z)|\right) d\sigma(\eta) \\ &\leq \int_{S\backslash B_1} \left(\sup_{z\in D_\delta(\eta)} \int_{B_0} |f(\xi)-f_{B_0}| \, |a(\xi)| P(z,\xi_0) d\sigma(\xi)\right) d\sigma(\eta) \\ &\leq C \frac{\|f\|_{\text{LMO}}}{\log \frac{2}{\sigma(B_0)}} \int_{S\backslash B_1} \left(\sup_{z\in D_\delta(\eta)} P(z,\xi_0)\right) d\sigma(\eta) \\ &\leq C \frac{\|f\|_{\text{LMO}}}{\log \frac{1}{\delta}} \int_{S\backslash B_1} \frac{d\sigma(\eta)}{|1-\langle \eta,\xi_0\rangle|^n} \leq C \|f\|_{\text{LMO}} \end{split}$$

Now we turn to estimate

$$\begin{split} |I_{11}(z)| &\leq \frac{C}{\sigma(B_0)} \int_{B_0} |f(\xi) - f_{B_0}| |P(z,\xi) - P(z,\xi_0)| \, d\sigma(\xi) \\ &\leq \frac{C}{\sigma(B_0)} \int_{B_0} |f(\xi) - f_{B_0}| \frac{\delta^{1/2}}{|1 - \langle z, \xi_0 \rangle|^{n+1/2}} \, d\sigma(\xi) \\ &\leq C \|f\|_* \frac{\delta^{1/2}}{|1 - \langle \eta, \xi_0 \rangle|^{n+1/2}}. \end{split}$$

(See [8]) (Note that $z \in D_{\delta}(\eta)$ and $\eta \in S \backslash B_1$).

Therefore

$$\int_{S\backslash B_1} \sup_{z\in D_\delta(\eta)} |I_{11}(z)| \, d\sigma(\eta) \le C \|f\|_* \le C \|f\|_\infty.$$

4. Proof of Theorem 2

First, we prove that (ii) \Rightarrow (i). Let $g \in H^p_{at}(S) \cap L^2(S)$. Then

$$\left| \int_{S} f(\xi)g(\xi) \, d\sigma(\xi) \right| = |P[fg](0)| = |T_{f}(g)(0)|$$

$$\leq ||T_{f}g||_{H_{m}^{p}(B^{n})} \leq ||T_{f}|| \, ||g||_{H_{+}^{p}(S)}$$

Since $H_{at}^p(S) \cap L^2(S)$ is dense in $H_{at}^p(S)$, then we have that

$$\left| \int_{S} f(\xi)g(\xi) \, d\sigma(\xi) \right| \leq \|T_f\| \, \|g\|_{H^p_{at}(S)}$$

for all $g \in H^p_{at}(S)$. Therefore $f \in (H^p_{at}(S))^*$, i.e. (i) holds.

Now we prove that (i) \Rightarrow (ii). By the atom decomposition theorem, we need only to show that

$$||T_f(a)||_{H_m^p(B^n)} \le C(f),$$

for all p-atom a will support $B(\xi_0, \delta) \subset S$ for some $\xi_0 \in S$ and $0 < \delta < 1$.

82 Jevtić

Now we proceed as in the proof of the implication (i) \Rightarrow (iv), Theorem 2 [7]. The contributions of $B(\xi_0,2\delta)$ to the integral $\int_S (\sup_{z\in D(\eta)} |T_f(a)(z)|)^p \, d\sigma(\eta)$ is estimated using Hölder's inequality and the boundedness of the map $P:L^2(S)\to H^2_m(B^n)$.

For points $\eta \notin B(\xi_0, 2\delta)$ one uses the cancellation of the atom and boundedness $P: H^p_{at}(S) \to H^p_m(B^n)$ (Lemma 2.2) to obtain the desired estimate.

REFERENCES

- P. Ahern, J. Bruna and C. Cascante, H^p-theory for generalized M-harmonic functions in the unit ball, Indiana Univ. Math J. 45 (1996), 103-135.
- R. Coifman, Y. Meyer and E. Stein, Some new function spaces and their applications to harmonic analysis, J. Funct. Anal. 62 (1985), 304-335.
- 3. C. Fefferman, E. Stein, H^p spaces of several variables, Acta Math. 129 (1972), 137–193.
- J. Garnett and R. Latter, Hardy spaces in several complex variables, Duke Math. J. 45 (1978), 27-42.
- D. Geller, Some results in H^p theory for the Heisenberg group, Duke Math. J. 47 (1980), 365-391.
- M. Jevtić, On the Carleson measure characterization of BMO functions on the unit sphere, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 123 (1995), 3371-3378.
- 7. Song-Ying Li, Toeplitiz Operators on Hardy Space $H^p(S)$ with 0 , Integral Equat. Oper. Theory 15 (1992), 807–823.
- 8. W. Rudin, Function Theory in the Unit Ball, Springer-Verlag, 1980.

Matematički fakultet Studentski trg 16 11001 Beograd, p.p. 550 Yugoslavia (Received 08 11 1996) (Revised 14 07 1997)