

## ON THE CLASSES OF RAPIDLY VARYING FUNCTIONS

Slavko Simić

*Communicated by Stevan Pilipović*

ABSTRACT. The classes  $KR_\infty$ ,  $MR_\infty$ ,  $R_\infty$  of rapidly varying functions are natural extensions of Karamata's concept of regular variation. In [2] we introduced a new class  $K$  of perfect Karamata's kernels and its subclasses  $\Theta$  and  $\Sigma$ . In this paper we study inclusion properties of these classes and, among other results, we prove  $KR_\infty \subset MR_\infty \subset \Sigma \subset \Theta \subset K$ .

### Introduction

We begin with some definitions from Karamata's theory. A positive measurable function  $\ell$  is *slowly varying in Karamata's sense* if  $\ell(\lambda x) \sim \ell(x)$  ( $x \rightarrow \infty$ ), for each  $\lambda > 0$ . Functions of the form  $x^\rho \ell(x)$ ,  $\rho \in \mathbb{R}$  are *regularly varying* with index  $\rho$  [1]. For a positive measurable function  $f$ , define  $\tilde{f}$  by  $\tilde{f}(x) := \frac{f(x)}{\int_1^x f(t)/t dt}$ . It is well known [1], that  $\tilde{f}(x) \rightarrow \rho$ ,  $0 < \rho < \infty$  ( $x \rightarrow \infty$ ), if and only if  $f$  is regularly varying function in Karamata's sense with index  $\rho$ .

From there it follows an extension to the class  $\Theta$  of rapidly varying functions. In [2] we gave the following definition.

DEFINITION 1. A positive measurable function  $p$  belongs to the class  $\Theta$  if and only if  $\tilde{p}(x) \rightarrow \infty$  ( $x \rightarrow \infty$ ).

There is no representation form for the class  $\Theta$  since its structure is ambiguous. For example, we showed in [2] that it is not closed under multiplication.

DEFINITION 2. Let  $\Sigma$  denote the maximal subclass of  $\Theta$  which is closed under multiplication. Then  $\Sigma$  consists of all positive measurable functions  $s$  such that  $s^2 \in \Theta$  [2, Theorem 1].

We also introduced the class  $K$  of *perfect Karamata's kernels*.

DEFINITION 3. A positive measurable kernel  $C(\cdot)$  belongs to the class  $K$  if the asymptotic relation  $\int_1^x f(t)C(t) dt \sim f(x) \int_1^x C(t) dt$  ( $x \rightarrow \infty$ ), takes place for every regularly varying function  $f(\cdot)$  of arbitrary index.

It is proved in [2] that a necessary and sufficient condition for  $C \in K$  is

$$(1) \quad \int_1^x C(t) dt \in \Theta.$$

Strict inclusion [2],

$$(2) \quad \Sigma \subset \Theta \subset K,$$

takes place in the sense that  $\Theta/\Sigma$  and  $K/\Theta$  are not empty.

From the property of regularly varying function  $f$  with index  $\rho$ ,  $\forall \lambda > 0$ ,  $f(\lambda x)/f(x) \rightarrow \lambda^\rho$  ( $x \rightarrow \infty$ ), a natural extension to the class  $R_\infty$  arises.

DEFINITION 4. [1, p. 83] A positive measurable function  $f$  belongs to the class  $R_\infty$  if  $f(\lambda x)/f(x) \rightarrow \infty$  ( $x \rightarrow \infty$ ), for each  $\lambda > 1$ .

Subclasses of  $R_\infty$  are  $KR_\infty$  and  $MR_\infty$ .

DEFINITION 5. [1, p. 85] Let  $f$  be positive and measurable. Then

$$(i) \quad f \in KR_\infty \text{ if and only if } \liminf_{x \rightarrow \infty} \inf_{\lambda \geq 1} \frac{f(\lambda x)}{\lambda^c f(x)} = 1 \text{ for every } c \in R,$$

$$(ii) \quad f \in MR_\infty \text{ if and only if } \liminf_{x \rightarrow \infty} \inf_{\lambda \geq 1} \frac{f(\lambda x)}{\lambda^d f(x)} > 0 \text{ for every } d \in R,$$

There is strict inclusion [1, p. 83]

$$(3) \quad KR_\infty \subset MR_\infty \subset R_\infty.$$

We shall investigate intermediate inclusion properties of the classes  $KR_\infty$ ,  $MR_\infty$ ,  $R_\infty$  and  $\Sigma$ ,  $\Theta$ ,  $K$  apart from (2) and (3).

### Results

In all cases there is a strict inclusion property between the classes of rapidly varying functions mentioned above, except in the following one.

PROPOSITION 1. *The classes  $R_\infty$  and  $\Theta$  are incomparable i.e., they have not an inclusion property.*

Because of the assertion above, there are two inclusion chains. The first one is

PROPOSITION 2. *An extension of (3) is the following*

$$KR_\infty \subset MR_\infty \subset R_\infty \subset K.$$

The second one is

PROPOSITION 3. *An extension of (2) is the following*

$$KR_\infty \subset MR_\infty \subset \Sigma \subset \Theta \subset K.$$

Therefore the class  $K$  includes all known classes of rapidly varying functions in Karamata's sense.

### Proofs

PROOF OF PROPOSITION 1. In order to prove that the classes  $R_\infty$  and  $\Theta$  are incomparable, we have to find some positive measurable functions  $f$  and  $g$  such that  $f \in R_\infty$  but  $f \notin \Theta$  and  $g \in \Theta$  but  $g \notin R_\infty$ .  $\square$

An example of  $f$  is the next one. Let  $f(x) := xe^x$  except at the points  $x = e^n$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , where we put  $f(e^n) := e^{e^n - n}$ . Now, using Definition 4, it is easy to verify that  $f \in R_\infty$ . But

$$\tilde{f}(e^n) = e^{e^n - n} / \int_1^{e^n} e^t dt \rightarrow 0 \quad (n \rightarrow \infty).$$

Hence  $\liminf_{x \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{f}(x) = 0$ , and  $f \notin \Theta$ .

An example of  $g$  is the following: denote by  $(p_n)$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  the sequence of primes and let  $g(x) := xe^x$  except at the points  $x = p_n$  where  $g(p_n) := p_n e^{2p_n}$ . Since  $g(x) \geq xe^x$  for  $x \geq 1$ , we get

$$\tilde{g}(x) \geq xe^x / \int_1^x e^t dt \rightarrow \infty \quad (x \rightarrow \infty);$$

hence  $g \in \Theta$ . But  $\liminf_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g(2x)}{g(x)} = 2$ , i.e.,  $g \notin R_\infty$ .

In order to prove Proposition 2, taking into account (3), we just have to prove that then  $f \in K$  whenever  $f \in R_\infty$ . For this we need the following two lemmas.

LEMMA 1. *If  $f \in R_\infty$ , then  $\int_1^x f(t) dt \in KR_\infty$ .*

PROOF. Denote by  $F(x) := \int_1^x f(t) dt$ , and let  $f \in R_\infty$ . Since, for fixed  $\lambda > 1$ ,  $f(\lambda t)/f(t) \rightarrow \infty$  ( $t \rightarrow \infty$ ) (Definition 4), for any  $A > 0$  we can find  $t_0$  such that  $f(\lambda t) > Af(t)$  for  $t > t_0 > 1$ . Now, for sufficiently large  $x$ , we get

$$\frac{F(\lambda x)}{F(x)} = \frac{F(t_0) + \int_{t_0}^{\lambda x} f(t) dt}{F(t_0) + \int_{t_0}^x f(t) dt} > \frac{F(t_0) + \lambda \int_{t_0}^x f(\lambda t) dt}{F(t_0) + \int_{t_0}^x f(t) dt} > \frac{F(t_0) + \lambda A \int_{t_0}^x f(t) dt}{F(t_0) + \int_{t_0}^x f(t) dt} > A,$$

since  $f(t) \rightarrow \infty$  ( $t \rightarrow \infty$ ). Since  $A$  can be arbitrary large, we conclude that  $F(x) \in R_\infty$ . But  $F(x)$  is also monotone increasing, hence [1, p. 85]  $F \in KR_\infty$ .  $\square$

LEMMA 2. *If  $g \in MR_\infty$  then  $g \in \Theta$ . Hence  $MR_\infty \subset \Theta$ .*

This lemma is proved in [1, p. 104].

PROOF OF PROPOSITION 2. Since  $KR_\infty \subset MR_\infty$  (3), from the above lemmas we get  $F(x) = \int_1^x f(t) dt \in \Theta$ . Applying (1), we obtain  $f \in K$ . Hence  $R_\infty \subseteq K$ .

To prove strict inclusion we shall consider a function  $f_1$  defined as:  $f_1(x) := e^x$  except at the points  $x = 2^n$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  where we put  $f_1(2^n) := 2^n$ . Then, clearly  $\int_1^x f_1(t) dt \in \Theta$ ; hence by (1),  $f_1 \in K$ . Yet

$$\liminf_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f_1(2x)}{f_1(x)} = 2,$$

hence  $f_1 \notin R_\infty$ .  $\square$

PROOF OF PROPOSITION 3. From (2) and (3) follows that we have to prove that  $MR_\infty$  is a proper subclass of  $\Sigma$ . Applying Lemma 2 we obtain  $KR_\infty \subset MR_\infty \subset \Theta$ . But from Definition 5 evidently follows that if  $f \in MR_\infty$  then also  $f^2 \in MR_\infty \subset \Theta$ . Hence, according to Definition 2,  $MR_\infty \subseteq \Sigma$ .

To prove that the class  $MR_\infty$  is a proper subclass of  $\Sigma$ , we shall consider the following example. Let  $f(x) := \sqrt{\log x} \exp(\log^2 x)$ ,  $x \geq 1$  except on intervals of the form  $(\exp(n - 1/n), \exp n]$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , where we put  $f(x) := \sqrt{\log x} \exp(\log^2 x) / \sqrt[4]{n}$ . We have to prove that  $f \in \Sigma$ , i.e.,  $f^2 \in \Theta$ . In order to make calculations simpler, let us change the scale:  $x \rightarrow \exp x$ . In terms of  $h(x) := f(e^x)$ , we obtain

$$\tilde{f}^2(e^x) = \frac{f^2(e^x)}{\int_1^{e^x} f^2(t)/t dt} = \frac{h^2(x)}{\int_0^x h^2(t) dt}.$$

Then for  $x > 0$ ,

$$\int_0^x h^2(t) dt < \int_0^x t e^{2t^2} dt < e^{2x^2}.$$

Hence for  $x \notin \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (n - 1/n, n]$ ,

$$\tilde{f}^2(e^x) = \frac{h^2(x)}{\int_0^x h^2(t) dt} > \frac{x e^{2x^2}}{e^{2x^2}} \rightarrow \infty \quad (x \rightarrow \infty).$$

If  $x \in (n - 1/n, n]$  we obtain

$$\int_0^x h^2(t) dt = \int_0^{n-1/n} h^2(t) dt + \int_{n-1/n}^x h^2(t) dt < \exp(2(n - 1/n)^2) + \frac{e^{2x^2}}{\sqrt{n}}.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{f}^2(e^x) &> \frac{x e^{2x^2} / \sqrt{n}}{\exp(2(n - 1/n)^2) + e^{2x^2} / \sqrt{n}} = \frac{x}{1 + \sqrt{n} \exp(2(n - 1/n)^2 - 2x^2)} \\ &> \frac{n - 1/n}{\sqrt{n} + 1} \rightarrow \infty \quad (x \rightarrow \infty). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we proved that  $f^2 \in \Theta$ . By Definition 2 this means that  $f \in \Sigma$ . Yet

$$\inf_{t \geq 0} \frac{h(n - 1/n + t)}{h(n - 1/n)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt[4]{n}}.$$

Hence

$$\liminf_{x \rightarrow \infty} \inf_{\lambda \geq 1} \frac{f(\lambda x)}{f(x)} = 0,$$

i.e., by Definition 5(i),  $f \notin MR_\infty$ . This yields the strict inclusion  $MR_\infty \subset \Sigma$ . Therefore Proposition 3 is proved.  $\square$

REMARK 1. From Definition 3, it follows that if a function  $f$  is in the class  $K$ , it is still in  $K$  if changed in a denumerable number of points.

This remark is e.g., useful if one wants to verify that  $\Theta \neq K$ . Suppose  $f_1 \in K$  is arbitrary. Define  $f_0(n) = \int_1^n f_1(s) s^{-1} ds$  for  $n = 1, 2, \dots$  and  $f_0 = f_1$  elsewhere. Then  $f_0 \in K$ ,  $f_0 \notin \Theta$ .

A similar remark applies to the proof of Proposition 2. The definition of  $f_1 := e^x$  is irrelevant. Take  $f_1 \in K$  arbitrary. Then define  $f_0(2^n) = 2^n$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $f_0 = f_1$  elsewhere. Then  $f_0 \notin R_\infty$  and  $F_0 \in K$ .

Since there is no representation (except for  $KR_\infty$ ) of rapidly varying functions, any information about it is welcomed. We can provide here such a one.

**COROLLARY 1.** *If  $f \in R_\infty$ , then*

$$\int_1^x f(t) dt = \exp \left( y(x) + z(x) + \int_1^x \frac{u(t)}{t} dt \right),$$

where  $y(x)$  is non-decreasing and  $z(x) \rightarrow 0$ ,  $u(x) \rightarrow \infty$  ( $x \rightarrow \infty$ ).

This result is a combination of Lemma 1 and well-known representation for the class  $KR_\infty$  [1, p. 86].

### References

- [1] N. H. Bingham, C. M. Goldie, J. I. Teugels *Regular Variation*, Cambridge University Press, 1987.
- [2] S. Simić, *Integral kernels with regular variation property*, Publ. Inst. Math. Nouv. Sér. 72(86) (2002), 55–61.

Matematički institut SANU  
Kneza Mihaila 35  
11001 Beograd, p.p. 367  
Serbia  
[ssimic@mi.sanu.ac.yu](mailto:ssimic@mi.sanu.ac.yu)

(Received 06 12 2002)  
(Revised 25 04 2003)