

## A KRASNOSELSKIĀ CONE COMPRESSION RESULT FOR MULTIMAPS IN THE S-KKM CLASS

Donal O'Regan, Naseer Shahzad, and Ravi P. Agarwal

*Communicated by Stevan Pilipović*

ABSTRACT. The aim of this article is obtain a KrasnoselskiĀ cone compression theorem for multimaps in the class S-KKM.

### 1. Introduction

This article discusses various KrasnoselskiĀ cone compression theorems for compact as well as  $k - \Phi$ -contractive multimaps in the S-KKM class. The class of S-KKM maps was introduced and studied by Chang et al. [5] and further investigated by Chang et al. [4] and Shahzad [12]. The KrasnoselskiĀ cone compression theorem is well known for  $\mathcal{U}_c^k$  maps [9] and other classes [1, 10]. We mention that S-KKM class contains the  $\mathcal{U}_c^k$  maps. The ideas presented in this paper follow closely those in [9].

### 2. Preliminaries

Let  $E$  be a Hausdorff locally convex space. For a nonempty set  $Y \subseteq E$ ,  $2^Y$  denotes the family of nonempty subsets of  $Y$ . If  $L$  is a lattice with a minimal element 0, a mapping  $\Phi : 2^E \rightarrow L$  is called a generalized measure of noncompactness provided that the following conditions hold:

- (a)  $\Phi(A) = 0$  if and only if  $\bar{A}$  is compact.
- (b)  $\Phi(\bar{\text{co}}(A)) = \Phi(A)$ ; here  $\bar{\text{co}}(A)$  denotes the closed convex hull of  $A$ .
- (c)  $\Phi(A \cup B) = \max\{\Phi(A), \Phi(B)\}$ .

It follows that if  $A \subseteq B$ , then  $\Phi(A) \leq \Phi(B)$ . Let  $C$  be a nonempty subset of a Banach space  $X$ . The Kuratowski measure of noncompactness is the map  $\alpha : 2^X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_+$  defined by

$$\alpha(A) = \inf\{\epsilon > 0 : A \text{ can be covered by a finite number} \\ \text{of sets each of diameter less than } \epsilon\}$$

for  $A \in 2^X$ . The Hausdorff measure of noncompactness is the map  $\chi : 2^X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_+$  defined by

$$\chi(A) = \inf\{\epsilon > 0 : A \text{ can be covered by a finite number of balls with radius less than } \epsilon\}$$

for  $A \in 2^X$ . Examples of the generalized measure of noncompactness are the Kuratowski measure and the Hausdorff measure of noncompactness (see [11]).

Let  $C$  be a nonempty subset of a Hausdorff locally convex space  $E$  and  $F : C \rightarrow 2^E$ . Then  $F$  is called  $\Phi$ -condensing provided that  $\Phi(A) = 0$  for any  $A \subseteq C$  with  $\Phi(F(A)) \geq \Phi(A)$ . It is clear that a compact mapping is  $\Phi$ -condensing and also every mapping defined on a compact set is necessarily  $\Phi$ -condensing. Suppose that  $L$  is a lattice with a minimal element 0 and that for each  $l \in L$  and  $\lambda \in \mathbf{R}$ , with  $\lambda > 0$ , there is defined an element  $\lambda l \in L$ . A mapping  $F : C \rightarrow 2^E$  is called a  $k$ - $\Phi$ -contractive map ( $k \in \mathbf{R}$  with  $k > 0$ ) provided that  $\Phi(F(A)) \leq k\Phi(A)$  for each  $A \subseteq C$  and  $F(C)$  is bounded. Obviously, if  $C$  is complete,  $F$  is  $k$ - $\Phi$ -contractive, with  $0 < k < 1$ , and  $\Phi = \alpha$  or  $\chi$ , then  $F$  is  $\Phi$ -condensing.

Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be subsets of Hausdorff topological vector spaces  $E_1$  and  $E_2$  respectively. Let  $F : X \rightarrow K(Y)$ ; here  $K(Y)$  denotes the family of nonempty compact subsets of  $Y$ . We say  $F$  is *Kakutani* if  $F$  is upper semicontinuous with convex values. A nonempty topological space is said to be acyclic if all its reduced Čech homology groups over the rationals are trivial. Now  $F$  is *acyclic* if  $F$  is upper semicontinuous with acyclic values. The map  $F$  is said to be an *O'Neill* map if  $F$  is continuous and if the values of  $F$  consist of one or  $m$  acyclic components (here  $m$  is fixed).

Given two open neighborhoods  $U$  and  $V$  of the origins in  $E_1$  and  $E_2$  respectively, a  $(U, V)$ -approximate continuous selection of  $F : X \rightarrow K(Y)$  is a continuous function  $s : X \rightarrow Y$  satisfying

$$s(x) \in (F[(x + U) \cap X] + V) \cap Y, \quad \text{for every } x \in X.$$

We say  $F$  is *approximable* if it is a closed map and if its restriction  $F|_K$  to any compact subset  $K$  of  $X$  admits a  $(U, V)$ -approximate continuous selection for every open neighborhood  $U$  and  $V$  of the origins in  $E_1$  and  $E_2$  respectively.

For our next definition let  $X$  and  $Y$  be metric spaces. A continuous single valued map  $p : Y \rightarrow X$  is called a Vietoris map if the following two conditions hold:

- (i) for each  $x \in X$ , the set  $p^{-1}(x)$  is acyclic
- (ii)  $p$  is a proper map i.e., for every compact  $A \subseteq X$  we have that  $p^{-1}(A)$  is compact.

DEFINITION 2.1. A multifunction  $\phi : X \rightarrow K(Y)$  is *admissible* (strongly) in the sense of Gorniewicz, if  $\phi : X \rightarrow K(Y)$  is upper semicontinuous, and if there exists a metric space  $Z$  and two continuous maps  $p : Z \rightarrow X$  and  $q : Z \rightarrow Y$  such that

- (i)  $p$  is a Vietoris map, and
- (ii)  $\phi(x) = q(p^{-1}(x))$  for any  $x \in X$ .

REMARK 2.1. It should be noted [8, p. 179] that  $\phi$  upper semicontinuous is superfluous in Definition 2.1.

Suppose  $X$  and  $Y$  are Hausdorff topological spaces. Given a class  $\mathcal{X}$  of maps,  $\mathcal{X}(X, Y)$  denotes the set of maps  $F : X \rightarrow 2^Y$  belonging to  $\mathcal{X}$ , and  $\mathcal{X}_c$  the set of finite compositions of maps in  $\mathcal{X}$ . A class  $\mathcal{U}$  of maps is defined by the following properties:

- (i)  $\mathcal{U}$  contains the class  $\mathcal{C}$  of single valued continuous functions;
- (ii) each  $F \in \mathcal{U}_c$  is upper semicontinuous and compact valued; and
- (iii) for any polytope  $P$ ,  $F \in \mathcal{U}_c(P, P)$  has a fixed point, where the intermediate spaces of composites are suitably chosen for each  $\mathcal{U}$ .

DEFINITION 2.2.  $F \in \mathcal{U}_c^k(X, Y)$  if for any compact subset  $K$  of  $X$ , there is a  $G \in \mathcal{U}_c(K, Y)$  with  $G(x) \subseteq F(x)$  for each  $x \in K$ .

Examples of  $\mathcal{U}_c^k$  maps are the Kakutani maps, the acyclic maps, the O'Neill maps, and the maps admissible in the sense of Gorniewicz.

DEFINITION 2.3. Let  $X$  be a convex subset of a Hausdorff topological vector space and  $Y$  a topological space. If  $S, T : X \rightarrow 2^Y$  are two set-valued maps such that  $T(\text{co}(A)) \subseteq S(A)$  for each finite subset  $A$  of  $X$ , then we say that  $S$  is a generalized KKM map w.r.t.  $T$ . The map  $T : X \rightarrow 2^Y$  is said to have the KKM property if for any generalized KKM w.r.t.  $T$  map  $S$ , the family  $\{\bar{S}(x) : x \in X\}$  has the finite intersection property. We let  $\text{KKM}(X, Y) = \{T : X \rightarrow 2^Y : T \text{ has the KKM property}\}$ .

REMARK 2.2. If  $X$  is a convex space, then  $\mathcal{U}_c^k(X, Y) \subset \text{KKM}(X, Y)$  (see [5]).

DEFINITION 2.4. Let  $X$  be a nonempty set,  $Y$  a nonempty convex subset of a Hausdorff topological vector space and  $Z$  a topological space. If  $S : X \rightarrow 2^Y$ ,  $T : Y \rightarrow 2^Z$ ,  $F : X \rightarrow 2^Z$  are three set-valued maps such that  $T(\text{co}(S(A))) \subseteq F(A)$  for each nonempty finite subset  $A$  of  $X$ , then  $F$  is called a generalized S-KKM map w.r.t.  $T$ . If the map  $T : X \rightarrow 2^Z$  is such that for any generalized S-KKM w.r.t.  $T$  map  $F$ , the family  $\{\bar{F}(x) : x \in X\}$  has the finite intersection property, then  $T$  is said to have the S-KKM property. The class  $\text{S-KKM}(X, Y, Z) = \{T : Y \rightarrow 2^Z : T \text{ has the S-KKM property}\}$ .

REMARK 2.3. If  $X = Y$  and  $S$  is the identity mapping  $\mathbf{1}_X$ , then  $\text{S-KKM}(X, Y, Z) = \text{KKM}(X, Z)$ . Also  $\text{KKM}(Y, Z)$  is a proper subset of  $\text{S-KKM}(X, Y, Z)$  for any  $S : X \rightarrow 2^Y$  and so  $\text{S-KKM}(X, Y, Z)$  is a very large class of maps which includes other important classes of multimaps (see [4, 5] for examples).

REMARK 2.4. Let  $X$  be a convex space,  $Y$  a convex subset of a Hausdorff locally convex space, and  $Z$  a normal space. Suppose  $s : Y \rightarrow Y$  is surjective,  $F \in \text{s-KKM}(Y, Y, Z)$  is closed, and  $f \in \mathcal{C}(X, Y)$ . Then  $F \circ f \in \mathbf{1}_X - \text{KKM}(X, X, Z)$  (see [5]).

The following result [4] will be needed in the sequel.

LEMMA 2.1. *Let  $C$  be a nonempty, closed, convex subset of a Hausdorff locally convex space  $E$ . Suppose  $s : C \rightarrow C$  is surjective and  $F \in \text{s-KKM}(C, C, C)$  is a closed  $\Phi$ -condensing map. Then  $F$  has a fixed point in  $C$ .*

### 3. Main Results

Let  $C$  be a cone in a normed space  $E = (E, \|\cdot\|)$ . For  $\rho > 0$  let

$$B_\rho = \{x \in C : \|x\| < \rho\}, \quad \bar{B}_\rho = \{x \in C : \|x\| \leq \rho\}, \\ S_\rho = \{x \in C : \|x\| = \rho\}, \quad EB_\rho = \{x \in C : \|x\| \geq \rho\}.$$

THEOREM 3.1. *Let  $C$  be a closed convex cone in a normed space  $E = (E, \|\cdot\|)$  and let  $r, R$  be constants with  $0 < r < R$ . Suppose  $s : \bar{B}_R \rightarrow \bar{B}_R$  is surjective and  $F \in \text{s-KKM}(\bar{B}_R, \bar{B}_R, C)$  is a closed and compact map with*

$$(3.1) \quad F(S_r) \subseteq EB_r \quad \text{and} \quad F(S_R) \subseteq \bar{B}_R.$$

*Then  $F$  has a fixed point in  $B_{r,R} = \{x \in C : r \leq \|x\| \leq R\}$ .*

PROOF. Define  $g : C \rightarrow \bar{B}_R$  as follows

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} r_0(x), & \text{if } x \in \bar{B}_r \\ x, & \text{if } x \in B_{r,R} \\ Rx/\|x\|, & \text{if } x \in EB_R, \end{cases}$$

where  $r_0 : \bar{B}_r \rightarrow S_r$  is a continuous retraction (which exists in our case, indeed if we fix  $x_0 \in S_r$ , then we may take

$$r_0(x) = \frac{r\{(r - \|x\|)x_0 + x\}}{\|(r - \|x\|)x_0 + x}}.$$

Note  $(r - \|x\|)x_0 + x \neq 0$  since  $C \cap (-C) = \{0\}$ . Then  $g$  is continuous. Since  $F \in \text{s-KKM}(\bar{B}_R, \bar{B}_R, C)$ , by Remark 2.4  $G = F \circ g \in \mathbf{1}_C\text{-KKM}(C, C, C)$ . Furthermore,  $G$  is closed and compact. Now Lemma 2.1 guarantees that  $G$  has a fixed point  $x \in C$ , i.e.,  $x \in G(x)$ . If  $\|x\| < r$ , then

$$x \in Fr_0(x) \subseteq F(S_r) \subseteq EB_r.$$

This is a contradiction. If  $\|x\| > R$ , then

$$x \in F(Rx/\|x\|) \subseteq F(S_R) \subseteq \bar{B}_R.$$

This is a contradiction. Hence  $x \in B_{r,R}$  and  $x \in G(x) = F(x)$ .  $\square$

REMARK 3.1. The condition in (3.1) that  $F(S_R) \subseteq \bar{B}_R$  may be replaced by

$$(3.2) \quad x \notin \lambda Fx \quad \text{for } x \in S_R \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda \in (0, 1).$$

To see this, let  $x$  be as in Theorem 3.1. If  $\|x\| > R$ , then  $x \in F(Rx/\|x\|)$ . This implies that  $y \in \lambda F(y)$  with  $y = Rx/\|x\|$  and  $\lambda = R/\|x\|$ .

Next let  $E = (E, \|\cdot\|)$  be an infinite dimensional normed space. For  $\rho > 0$  let

$$\begin{aligned} B_\rho &= \{x \in E : \|x\| < \rho\}, & \bar{B}_\rho &= \{x \in E : \|x\| \leq \rho\}, \\ S_\rho &= \{x \in E : \|x\| = \rho\}, & EB_\rho &= \{x \in E : \|x\| \geq \rho\} \end{aligned}$$

**THEOREM 3.2.** *Let  $E = (E, \|\cdot\|)$  be an infinite dimensional normed space and let  $r, R$  be constants with  $0 < r < R$ . Suppose  $s : \bar{B}_R \rightarrow \bar{B}_R$  is surjective and  $F \in s\text{-KKM}(\bar{B}_R, \bar{B}_R, C)$  is a closed and compact map with*

$$(3.3) \quad (S_r) \subseteq EB_r \text{ and } F(S_R) \subseteq \bar{B}_R$$

*Then  $F$  has a fixed point in  $B_{r,R} = \{x \in E : r \leq \|x\| \leq R\}$ .*

**PROOF.** It is known [3] that there exists a continuous retraction  $r_0 : \bar{B}_R \rightarrow S_r$ . Essentially the same reasoning as in Theorem 3.1 gives the result.  $\square$

We now establish a general version of the above result.

**THEOREM 3.3.** *Let  $E = (E, \|\cdot\|)$  be an infinite dimensional normed space and let  $U_1$  and  $U_2$  be open convex subsets of  $E$  with  $0 \in U_1$  with  $\bar{U}_1 \subset U_2$ . Suppose  $s : \bar{U}_2 \rightarrow \bar{U}_2$  is surjective and  $F \in s\text{-KKM}(\bar{U}_2, \bar{U}_2, E)$  is a closed and compact map with*

$$(3.4) \quad F(\partial U_1) \subseteq E \setminus U_1 \text{ and } F(\partial U_2) \subseteq \bar{U}_2.$$

*Then  $F$  has a fixed point in  $\bar{U}_2 \setminus U_1$ .*

**PROOF.** Define  $g : E \rightarrow \partial U_2$  by

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} r_1(x), & \text{if } x \in \bar{U}_1 \\ x, & \text{if } x \in \bar{U}_2 \setminus U_1 \\ x/p(x) & \text{if } x \in E \setminus U_2. \end{cases}$$

where  $p$  is the Minkowski functional on  $\bar{U}_2$  and  $r_1 : \bar{U}_1 \rightarrow \partial U_1$  is a continuous retraction (which exists [2]). Then  $g$  is continuous. Since  $F \in s\text{-KKM}(\bar{U}_2, \bar{U}_2, E)$ , by Remark 2.4  $G = F \circ g \in \mathbf{1}_E - \text{KKM}(E, E, E)$ . Furthermore,  $G$  is closed and compact. Now as in Theorem 3.1  $G$  has a fixed point  $x \in E$ , i.e.,  $x \in G(x)$ . If  $x \in U_1$ , then

$$x \in Fr_1(x) \subseteq F(\partial U_1) \subseteq E \setminus U_1.$$

This is a contradiction. If  $x \in E \setminus \bar{U}_2$ , then

$$x \in F(x/p(x)) \subseteq F(\partial U_2) \subseteq \bar{U}_2.$$

This is a contraction. Hence  $x \in \bar{U}_2 \setminus U_1$  and  $x \in G(x) = F(x)$ .  $\square$

It is known [3, 7] that if  $E$  is an infinite dimensional normed space, then there exists a Lipschitzian retraction  $r_0 : \bar{B}_R \rightarrow S_r$  with Lipschitz constant  $k_0 > 1$ . We are now in a position to improve Theorem 3.2.

**THEOREM 3.4.** *Let  $E = (E, \|\cdot\|)$  be an infinite dimensional normed space and let  $r, R$  be constants with  $0 < r < R$ . Suppose  $s : \bar{B}_R \rightarrow \bar{B}_R$  is surjective and  $F \in s\text{-KKM}(\bar{B}_R, \bar{B}_R, C)$  is a closed  $k - \Phi$ -contractive map,  $0 \leq k < 1/k_0$ , with*

$$(3.5) \quad F(S_r) \subseteq EB_r \text{ and } F(S_R) \subseteq \bar{B}_R.$$

Then  $F$  has a fixed point in  $B_{r,R} = \{x \in E : r \leq \|x\| \leq R\}$ .

PROOF. Let  $r_0 : \bar{B}_r \rightarrow S_r$  be the retraction with Lipschitz constant  $k_0$ . Define  $g : C \rightarrow \bar{B}_R$  as follows

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} r_0(x), & \text{if } x \in \bar{B}_r \\ x, & \text{if } x \in B_{r,R} \\ Rx/\|x\|, & \text{if } x \in EB_R. \end{cases}$$

Then  $g$  is continuous. Since  $F \in \text{s-KKM}(\bar{B}_R, \bar{B}_R, C)$ , by Remark 2.4  $G = F \circ g \in \mathbf{1}_E - \text{KKM}(E, E, E)$ . Furthermore,  $g$  is  $k_0 - \Phi$ -contractive; indeed if  $\Omega$  is bounded subset of  $E$ , then  $\Omega = \Omega_1 \cup \Omega_2 \cup \Omega_3$ , where  $\Omega_1 = \Omega \cap B_r$ ,  $\Omega_2 = \Omega \cap B_{r,R}$ ,  $\Omega_3 = \Omega \cap \{x \in E : \|x\| > R\}$  and

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(g(\Omega)) &\leq \max\{\Phi(g(\Omega_1)), \Phi(g(\Omega_2)), \Phi(g(\Omega_3))\} \\ &\leq \max\{k_0\Phi(\Omega_1), k_0\Phi(\Omega_2), k_0\Phi(\Omega_3)\} \\ &\leq k_0\Phi(\Omega) \end{aligned}$$

since  $g(\Omega_3) \subseteq \text{co}(\Omega_3 \cup \{0\})$ . Consequently,  $G$  is  $kk_0 - \Phi$ -contractive and also closed. Now Lemma 2.1 guarantees that  $G$  has a fixed point  $x \in E$ , i.e.,  $x \in G(x)$ . As before,  $x \in B_{r,R}$  and  $x \in G(x) = F(x)$ .  $\square$

## References

- [1] R. P. Agarwal and D. O'Regan, *A generalization of the Krasnoselskiĭ–Petryshyn compression and expansion theorem: an essential map approach*, J. Korean Math. Soc. **38** (2001), 669–681.
- [2] R. P. Agarwal and D. O'Regan, *A note on the topological tranversality theorem for acyclic maps*, Applied Math. Letters, to appear.
- [3] Y. Benyamini and Y. Sternfeld, *Spheres in infinite dimensional normed spaces and Lipschitz contractibility*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **88** (1983), 439–445.
- [4] T. H. Chang, Y. Y. Huang and J. C. Jeng, *Fixed point theorems for multifunctions in S-KKM class*, Nonlinear Anal. **44** (2001), 1007–1017.
- [5] T. H. Chang, Y. Y. Huang, J. C. Jeng and K. H. Kuo, *On S-KKM property and related topics*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **229** (1999), 212–227.
- [6] T. H. Chang and C. L. Yen, *KKM property and fixed point theorems*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **203** (1996), 224–235.
- [7] K. Goebel and W. Kirk, *Topics in Metric Fixed Point Theory*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990.
- [8] L. Gorniewicz and M. Slosarski, *Topological essentiality and differential inclusions*, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. **45** (1992), 177–193.
- [9] D. O'Regan, *A Krasnoselskiĭ cone compression theorem for  $\mathcal{U}_c^k$  maps*, Math. Proc. Royal Irish Acad. **103A** (2003), 55–99.
- [10] W. V. Petryshyn, *Existence of fixed points of positive  $k$ -set-contractive maps as consequences of suitable boundary conditions*, J. London Math. Soc. **38** (1988), 503–512.

- [11] W. V. Petryshyn and P. M. Fitzpatrick, *Fixed point theorems for multivalued noncompact inward maps*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **46** (1974), 756–767.
- [12] N. Shahzad, *Fixed point and approximation results for multimaps in S-KKM class*, Nonlinear Anal. (to appear).

Department of Mathematics  
National University of Ireland  
Galway, Ireland  
[donal.oregan@nuigalway.ie](mailto:donal.oregan@nuigalway.ie)

(Received 19 01 2004)  
(Revised 03 03 2005)

Department of Mathematics  
King Abdul Aziz University  
P.O. Box 80203, Jeddah 21589  
Saudi Arabia  
[nshahzad@kaau.edu.sa](mailto:nshahzad@kaau.edu.sa)

Department of Mathematical Sciences  
Florida Institute of Technology  
Melbourne, Florida 32901  
USA  
[agarwal@fit.edu](mailto:agarwal@fit.edu)