

ON A SUBCLASS OF MULTIVALENT CLOSE TO CONVEX FUNCTIONS

Muhammad Arif, Muhammad Ayaz, and Janusz Sokół

ABSTRACT. We introduce a new subclass of multivalent close to convex functions related with Janowski functions and study some of their properties: coefficient estimates, inclusion and inverse inclusion, distortion problems and sufficiency criteria to be in these subclasses.

1. Introduction

Let $\mathcal{A}(p)$ denote the class of functions $f(z)$ which are analytic and p -valent in the region $\mathbb{U} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$ and normalized by the condition

$$(1.1) \quad f(z) = z^p + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{k+p} z^{k+p}, \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

We write $\mathcal{A}(1) = \mathcal{A}$. Robertson introduced in [9] the class $\mathcal{S}^*(\alpha)$ of starlike functions of order $\alpha \leq 1$, which are defined by

$$\mathcal{S}^*(\alpha) := \left\{ f \in \mathcal{A} : \operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right) > \alpha, \quad z \in \mathbb{U} \right\}.$$

By $\mathcal{S}^* = \mathcal{S}^*(0)$ we denote the subclasses of \mathcal{A} which consist of univalent starlike functions. An important subclass of analytic functions is the class \mathcal{K} of close-to-convex functions

$$\mathcal{K} = \left\{ f \in \mathcal{A} : \exists \beta \in \mathbb{R}, \exists g \in \mathcal{S}^* : \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{zf'(z)}{e^{i\beta}g(z)} \right\} > 0, \quad z \in \mathbb{U} \right\}.$$

Each close-to-convex function is univalent in the unit disc. For two functions $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ analytic in \mathbb{U} , we say that $f(z)$ is subordinate to $g(z)$, denoted by $f(z) \prec g(z)$, if there is an analytic function $w(z)$ with $|w(z)| \leq |z|$ such that $f(z) = g(w(z))$. If $g(z)$ is univalent, then $f(z) \prec g(z)$ if and only if $f(0) = g(0)$ and $f(\mathbb{U}) \subset g(\mathbb{U})$.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 30C45; Secondary 30C10, 47B38.

Key words and phrases: close-to-convex functions, Janowski functions, multivalent functions, subordination, starlike functions.

Communicated by Stevan Pilipović.

In [11] Sakaguchi introduced the class \mathcal{S}_s^* of starlike functions with respect to symmetric points; a function $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}$ belongs to the class \mathcal{S}_s^* , if and only if

$$\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z) - f(-z)} \prec \frac{1+z}{1-z}, \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

One can easily obtain that the function $(f(z) - f(-z))/2$ is starlike in \mathbb{U} and therefore the functions in \mathcal{S}_s^* are close-to-convex. Motivated from Sakaguchi's work, Gao and Zhou [3] introduced a class \mathcal{K}_s . A function $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}$ belongs to the class \mathcal{K}_s if it satisfies the subordination

$$-\frac{z^2 f'(z)}{g(z)g(-z)} \prec \frac{1+z}{1-z}, \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}),$$

for some $g(z) \in \mathcal{S}^*(1/2)$.

In [6] it was introduced the class $\mathcal{K}_s(\gamma)$ of functions satisfying

$$(1.2) \quad \frac{-z^2 f'(z)}{g(z)g(-z)} \prec q_\gamma(z) = \frac{1 - (1 - 2\gamma)z}{1 + z}.$$

The class $\mathcal{K}_s(\gamma)$ has been generalized in several directions, see the references in [7]. Recently, Xu, Srivastava and Li considered in [15] the class $\mathcal{K}_s(h)$ of functions satisfying (1.2) with a convex function h instead of q_γ . Şeker introduced in [12] the class $\mathcal{K}_s^k(\gamma)$, $k > 1$, of functions defined by (1.2) with

$$-z^{2-k} \prod_{\nu=0}^{k-1} \varepsilon^{-\nu} g(\varepsilon^\nu z), \quad g \in \mathcal{S}^*((k-1)/k),$$

instead of $g(z)g(-z)$. Moreover, Wang, Sun and Xu introduced in [14] the class \mathcal{MK} of meromorphic functions satisfying (1.2) with $\gamma = 1$. See also the references in [14] for the other papers in this topic.

If $f \in \mathcal{A}(p)$, $\alpha < 1$ and $\operatorname{Re} \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} > p\alpha$, $z \in \mathbb{U}$, then we say that f is in the class $\mathcal{S}_p^*(\alpha)$ of p -valent starlike functions of order α . Using the techniques of subordination, we now introduce a subclass of p -valent analytic functions as follows.

DEFINITION 1.1. A function $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}(p)$ is said to be in the class $\mathcal{W}_p(t, \lambda, A, B)$, ($0 < |t| \leq 1$, $-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$ and $\lambda \in (0, 1]$), if it satisfies

$$(1.3) \quad \frac{t^p z^{p+1} F'_\lambda(z)}{pg(z)g(tz)} \prec q(z) := \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz}$$

for some $g(z) \in \mathcal{S}_p^*(1/2)$ and $F_\lambda(z)$ is defined by $F_\lambda(z) = (1 - \lambda)f(z) + \frac{\lambda}{p}zf'(z)$.

In the literature, various interesting subclasses of this class have been studied from a number of different view points. For example: if we set $t = -1$, $p = 1$ and $\xi = 0$ in Definition 1.1, we get the class $\mathcal{W}_1(-1, \lambda, A, B) \equiv \mathcal{K}_s(\lambda, A, B)$ which was studied recently by Wang and Chen [13] and further for $\lambda = 0$, $A = 1 - 2\gamma$ and $B = -1$, we obtain the class $\mathcal{K}_s(\gamma)$ introduced in [6]. For more details of the related work see [1, 2, 4, 8, 12, 15].

The main object of the present paper is to introduce a subclass of p -valent analytic functions and then investigate some useful results including the coefficient

estimate, sufficiency criteria to be in a class, distortion problem, radius of convexity and inclusion relationship for the new defined class.

To avoid repetition, we shall assume, unless otherwise stated, that $\lambda \in (0, 1]$, $-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$, and $0 < |t| \leq 1$.

2. Some properties of the class $\mathcal{W}_p(t, \lambda, A, B)$

THEOREM 2.1. *Let $g_i(z) \in \mathcal{S}_p^*(\alpha_i)$ with $\alpha_i < 1$. Then*

$$(2.1) \quad G(z) = \frac{g_1(t_1z)g_2(t_2z)}{t_1^p t_2^p z^p} \in \mathcal{S}_p^*(\gamma),$$

where $\gamma = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 - 1$ and $0 < |t_i| \leq 1, i = 1, 2$.

PROOF. Let $g_i(z) \in \mathcal{S}_p^*(\alpha_i)$. Then by definition we have

$$\operatorname{Re} \frac{t_1 z g_1'(t_1 z)}{g_1(t_1 z)} > p\alpha_1, \quad \operatorname{Re} \frac{t_2 z g_2'(t_2 z)}{g_2(t_2 z)} > p\alpha_2, \quad |z| < 1, 0 < |t_i| \leq 1.$$

By logarithmic differentiating (2.1), we obtain that

$$\frac{zG'(z)}{G(z)} = \frac{t_1 z g_1'(t_1 z)}{g_1(t_1 z)} + \frac{t_2 z g_2'(t_2 z)}{g_2(t_2 z)} - 1.$$

It follows that

$$\operatorname{Re} \frac{zG'(z)}{G(z)} = \operatorname{Re} \frac{t_1 z g_1'(t_1 z)}{g_1(t_1 z)} + \operatorname{Re} \frac{t_2 z g_2'(t_2 z)}{g_2(t_2 z)} - 1 > p\alpha_1 + p\alpha_2 - p = p\gamma.$$

It implies that $G(z) \in \mathcal{S}_p^*(\gamma)$ and it completes the proof of the theorem. □

COROLLARY 2.1. *If $g(z) \in \mathcal{S}_p^*(1/2)$ and $0 < |t| < 1$, then*

$$\frac{g(z)g(tz)}{t^p z^p} \in \mathcal{S}_p^*(0) := \mathcal{S}_p^*.$$

THEOREM 2.2. *If $-1 < D$, then $\mathcal{W}_p(t, \lambda, A, B) \subset \mathcal{W}_p(t, \lambda, C, D)$. if and only if*

$$\left| \frac{1 - CD}{1 - D^2} - \frac{1 - AB}{1 - B^2} \right| \leq \frac{C - D}{1 - D^2} - \frac{A - B}{1 - B^2}.$$

If $-1 = D$, then $\mathcal{W}_p(t, \lambda, A, B) \subset \mathcal{W}_p(t, \lambda, C, D)$ if and only if

$$(2.2) \quad C \geq 1 - \frac{2(1 - A)}{1 - B}.$$

PROOF. Condition (1.3) means that for $z \in \mathbb{U}$ the values of the function

$$H(z) := \frac{t^p z^{p+1} F'_\lambda(z)}{p g(z) g(tz)}$$

lie in $q(\mathbb{U})$ because $q(z) = (1 + Az)/(1 + Bz)$ is univalent in \mathbb{U} . In the case $B \neq -1$, $q(\mathbb{U})$ is a disc $D(A, B)$, with a center $S(A, B)$ and a radius $R(A, B)$

$$(2.3) \quad S(A, B) = \frac{1 - AB}{1 - B^2}, \quad R(A, B) = \frac{A - B}{1 - B^2},$$

while it is a half-plane for $B = -1$. By simple computation, we can easily obtain that (1.3) is equivalent to

$$\left| \frac{t^p z^{p+1} F'_\lambda(z)}{pg(z)g(tz)} - \frac{1-AB}{1-B^2} \right| < \frac{A-B}{1-B^2}, \quad B \neq -1,$$

or

$$(2.4) \quad \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{t^p z^{p+1} F'_\lambda(z)}{pg(z)g(tz)} \right\} > \frac{1-A}{2}, \quad B = -1.$$

Therefore, for the case $B \neq -1$ $D \neq -1$, the inclusion relation $\mathcal{W}_p(t, \lambda, A, B) \subset \mathcal{W}_p(t, \lambda, C, D)$ holds when

$$R(A, B) \leq R(C, D), \quad \text{and} \quad |S(C, D) - S(A, B)| \leq R(C, D) - R(A, B).$$

This is equivalent to

$$\left| \frac{1-CD}{1-D^2} - \frac{1-AB}{1-B^2} \right| \leq \frac{C-D}{1-D^2} - \frac{A-B}{1-B^2}.$$

If $D = -1$, then by (2.4), the inclusion relation $\mathcal{W}_p(t, \lambda, A, B) \subset \mathcal{W}_p(t, \lambda, C, D)$ holds when $\frac{1-C}{2} \leq \frac{1-A}{1-B}$. This is equivalent to (2.2). \square

LEMMA 2.1. *If $g(z) \in \mathcal{S}_p^*(1/2)$ and it has the form (1.1), then*

$$|a_{n+p}t^n + a_{n+p-1}a_{p+1}t^{n-1} + \dots + a_{p+1}a_{n+p-1}t + a_{n+p}| \leq \frac{2p}{n} \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \left(1 + \frac{2p}{i}\right).$$

PROOF. By virtue of Corollary 2.1, we have $\frac{g(z)g(tz)}{t^p z^p} \in \mathcal{S}_p^*(0)$, and if

$$(2.5) \quad G(z) = \frac{g(z)g(tz)}{t^p z^p} = z^p + \sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} c_k z^k,$$

then it is well known that

$$(2.6) \quad |c_{p+n}| \leq \frac{2p}{n} \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \left(1 + \frac{2p}{i}\right).$$

Substituting the series expansions of $G(z)$ and $g(z)$ in (2.5), we get

$$\frac{(z^p + \sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} a_k z^k)((tz)^p + \sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} a_k (tz)^k)}{t^p z^p} = z^p + \sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} c_k z^k,$$

Comparing the coefficients of z^{n+p} , we have

$$(2.7) \quad a_{p+n}t^n + a_{p+1}a_{p+n-1}t^{n-1} + a_{p+2}a_{p+n-2}t^{n-2} + \dots + a_{p+n} = c_{p+n}.$$

Putting the value from (2.6) in (2.7), we get the required result. \square

THEOREM 2.3. *Let $f(z) \in \mathcal{W}_p(t, \lambda, A, B)$ be of the form (1.1). Then*

$$|a_{p+n}| \leq (A-B) \left[1 + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \left(\frac{2p}{i}\right) \prod_{j=1}^{i-1} \left(1 + \frac{2p}{j}\right) \right] + 1 + \frac{2p}{n} \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \left(\frac{2p}{i}\right).$$

PROOF. Let $f(z) \in \mathcal{W}_p(t, \lambda, A, B)$. Then we have

$$(2.8) \quad \frac{zF'_\lambda(z)}{pG(z)} \prec \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz},$$

where $G(z)$ is given by (2.5). If we put

$$(2.9) \quad q(z) = \frac{zF'_\lambda(z)}{pG(z)},$$

it follows from (2.8) that

$$q(z) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} q_n z^n \prec \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz} = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n z^n, \quad A_n = (A - B)(-B)^{n-1}.$$

The function $(1 + Az)/(1 + Bz)$ is convex univalent, hence applying the well known Rogosinski result [10], we obtain

$$(2.10) \quad |q_n| \leq A_1 = A - B, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

Now by putting the series expansions of $f(z)$, $G(z)$ and $q(z)$ in (2.9) and then comparing the coefficients of z^{n+p} , we obtain

$$\frac{1}{p}(p + n\lambda)(p + n)a_{p+n} = c_{p+n} + q_{p+1}c_{p+n-1} + \dots + q_{p+n-1}c_{p+1} + q_{p+n}.$$

By using (2.10) and (2.6) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{p}(p + n\lambda)(p + n)|a_{p+n}| &\leq (A - B)(|c_{p+n-1}| + \dots + |c_{p+1}| + 1) + |c_{p+n}| \\ &\leq (A - B) \left[1 + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} |c_{p+i}| \right] + \left(\frac{2p}{n} \right) \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \left(1 + \frac{2p}{i} \right) \\ &\leq (A - B) \left[1 + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \left(\frac{2p}{i} \right) \prod_{j=1}^{i-1} \left(1 + \frac{2p}{j} \right) \right] + \left(\frac{2p}{n} \right) \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \left(1 + \frac{2p}{i} \right). \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. □

THEOREM 2.4. *If $g(z) \in \mathcal{S}_p^*(1/2)$ and $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}(p)$ is of the form (1.1), and if it satisfies the condition*

$$(2.11) \quad \frac{1 + A}{2} - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(1 + n\lambda/p)(p + n)}{p} |a_{p+n}| - \frac{1 - A}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |c_{p+n}| > 0,$$

where c_{p+n} is given by

$$G(z) = \frac{g(z)g(tz)}{t^p z^p} = z^p + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_{p+n} z^{p+n},$$

then $f(z) \in \mathcal{W}_p(t, \lambda, A, -1)$. Moreover, if it satisfies the condition

$$(2.12) \quad \frac{A - B}{1 + B} - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{(1 + n\lambda/p)(p + n)}{p} |a_{p+n}| + \left(\frac{A - B}{1 - B^2} + \frac{1 - AB}{1 - B^2} \right) |c_{p+n}| \right\} > 0,$$

then $f(z) \in \mathcal{W}_p(t, \lambda, A, B)$, $B > -1$.

PROOF. To prove that $f(z) \in \mathcal{W}_p(t, \lambda, A, -1)$, it is enough to show that

$$\left| \frac{zF'_\lambda(z)}{pG(z)} \right| > \frac{1-A}{2}, \quad z \in \mathbb{U}$$

or equivalently to show that

$$\left| \frac{F'_\lambda(z)}{pz^{p-1}} \right| - \left| \frac{(1-A)G(z)}{2z^p} \right| > 0, \quad z \in \mathbb{U}.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{F'_\lambda(z)}{pz^{p-1}} \right| - \left| \frac{(1-A)G(z)}{2z^p} \right| \\ &= \left| 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(1+n\lambda/p)(p+n)}{p} a_{p+n} z^n \right| - \left| \frac{(1-A)}{2} \left(1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_{p+n} z^n \right) \right| \\ &\geq 1 - \left| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(1+n\lambda/p)(p+n)}{p} a_{p+n} z^n \right| - \left| \frac{(1-A)}{2} \left(1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_{p+n} z^n \right) \right| \\ &\geq 1 - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(1+n\lambda/p)(p+n)}{p} |a_{p+n}| - \frac{(1-A)}{2} - \frac{(1-A)}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |c_{p+n}| \\ &= \frac{1+A}{2} - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(1+n\lambda/p)(p+n)}{p} |a_{p+n}| - \frac{1-A}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |c_{p+n}| \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

by (2.11). For the case $B > -1$ it suffices to show that

$$\left| z \frac{F'_\lambda(z)}{pG(z)} - S(A, B) \right| < R(A, B),$$

where $S(A, B)$ and $R(A, B)$ are given in (2.3). This is equivalent to

$$\left| \frac{F'_\lambda(z)}{pz^{p-1}} - \frac{G(z)S(A, B)}{z^p} \right| < \left| \frac{G(z)R(A, B)}{z^p} \right|, \quad z \in \mathbb{U}.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{G(z)R(A, B)}{z^p} \right| - \left| \frac{F'_\lambda(z)}{pz^{p-1}} - \frac{G(z)S(A, B)}{z^p} \right| \\ &= R(A, B) \left| 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_{p+n} z^n \right| \\ &\quad - \left| 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(1+n\lambda/p)(p+n)}{p} a_{p+n} z^n - S(A, B) \left(1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_{p+n} z^n \right) \right| \\ &= R(A, B) \left| 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_{p+n} z^n \right| \\ &\quad - \left| \frac{B(A-B)}{1-B^2} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{(1+n\lambda/p)(p+n)}{p} a_{p+n} - S(A, B)c_k \right\} z^n \right| \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\geq R(A, B) - R(A, B) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |c_{p+n}| \\ &\quad - \frac{B(A-B)}{1-B^2} - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{(1+n\lambda/p)(p+n)}{p} |a_{p+n}| + S(A, B) |c_{p+n}| \right\} \\ &= \frac{A-B}{1+B} - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{(1+n\lambda/p)(p+n)}{p} |a_{p+n}| + (R(A, B) + S(A, B)) |c_{p+n}| \right\} > 0 \end{aligned}$$

by (2.12). □

THEOREM 2.5. *Let $f(z) \in \mathcal{W}_p(t, 0, A, B)$. Then*

$$\frac{1 - Ar}{1 - Br} \frac{(1-r)^{2p} p}{r^{p+1}} \leq |f'(z)| \leq \frac{1 + Ar}{1 + Br} \frac{(1+r)^{2p} p}{r^{p+1}}.$$

PROOF. Suppose that $f(z) \in \mathcal{W}_p(t, 0, A, B)$. Then by using definition of subordination between analytic functions, we can write

$$(2.13) \quad \frac{1 - Ar}{1 - Br} \leq \frac{1 - A|w(z)|}{1 - B|w(z)|} \leq \left| \frac{zf'(z)}{pG(z)} \right| \leq \frac{1 + A|w(z)|}{1 + B|w(z)|} \leq \frac{1 + Ar}{1 + Br},$$

where $w(z)$ is the Schwarz function with $w(0) = 0$, $|w(z)| < |z| = r$. Now it is shown in Corollary 2.1 that $G(z) = \frac{g(z)g(tz)}{t^p z^p} \in \mathcal{S}_p^*(0)$, thus we have

$$(2.14) \quad \frac{r^p}{(1+r)^{2p}} \leq |G(z)| \leq \frac{r^p}{(1-r)^{2p}}.$$

Now by using (2.14) in (2.13), we obtain the required result. □

THEOREM 2.6. *Let $f(z) \in \mathcal{W}_p(t, 0, 1, B)$. Then*

$$1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} > 0, \quad |z| < r_0,$$

where r_0 is the smallest positive root of the equation

$$(2.15) \quad p(1-r)^2(1-Br) - r(1+r)(1-B) = 0.$$

PROOF. Suppose $f(z) \in \mathcal{W}_p(t, 0, 1, B)$ and let

$$\frac{zf'(z)}{pG(z)} = q(w(z)) := s(z), \quad |w(z)| \leq |z|.$$

where $q(z)$ is given in (1.3). Logarithmic differentiation gives us

$$(2.16) \quad 1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} = \frac{zG'(z)}{G(z)} + \frac{zs'(z)}{s(z)}.$$

Applying [5, Theorem 3] we have

$$(2.17) \quad \operatorname{Re} \frac{zs'(z)}{s(z)} > -\frac{(1-B)r}{(1-r)(1-Br)}, \quad |z| < r.$$

Moreover, $G(z) \in \mathcal{S}_p^*(0)$, so

$$(2.18) \quad \operatorname{Re} \frac{zG'(z)}{pG(z)} > \frac{1-r^p}{1+r^p}, \quad |z| < r.$$

Applying (2.17) and (2.18) in (2.16), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re} \left\{ 1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right\} &> p \frac{1-r^p}{1+r^p} - \frac{(1-B)r}{(1-r)(1-Br)} > p \frac{1-r}{1+r} - \frac{(1-B)r}{(1-r)(1-Br)} \\ &= \frac{p(1-r)^2(1-Br) - r(1+r)(1-B)}{(1-r^2)(1-Br)} \end{aligned}$$

and this is positive for $r < r_0$, where r_0 is described in (2.15). \square

References

1. I. B. Bapana, S. Nandwana, *Coefficient, distortion and growth inequalities for certain p -valent close to convex functions*, J. Appl. Math. Stat. Inform. **9**(2) (2013), 57–62.
2. N. E. Cho, O. S. Kwon, V. Ravichandran, *Coefficient, distortion and growth inequalities for certain close to convex functions*, J. Inequal. Appl. **2011** (2011), Article ID 100, 7 pp.
3. C. Y. Gao, S. Q. Zhou, *On a class of analytic functions related to the starlike functions*, Kyungpook Math. J. **45** (2005), 123–130.
4. S. P. Goyal, P. Goswami, *On certain properties for a subclass of close to convex functions*, J. Class. Anal. **1**(2) (2012), 103–112.
5. W. Janowski, *Some extremal problems for certain families of analytic functions*, Ann. Pol. Math. **28** (1973) 297–326.
6. J. Kowalczyk, E. Leś-Bomba, *On a subclass of close-to-convex functions*, Appl. Math. Lett. **23** (2010), 1147–1151.
7. J. Kowalczyk, E. Leś, J. Sokół, *Radius problems in a certain subclass of close-to-convex functions*, Houston J. Math. **40**(4) (2014), 1061–1072.
8. R. K. Raina, H. M. Srivastava, *A certain subclass of analytic functions associated with operators of fractional calculus*, Comput. Math. Appl. **32** (1996), 13–19.
9. M. S. Robertson, *Certain classes of starlike functions*, Mich. Math. J. **76**(1) (1954), 755–758.
10. W. Rogosinski, *On the coefficients of subordinate functions*, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. **48** (1943), 48–82.
11. K. Sakaguchi, *On a certain univalent mapping*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **11** (1959), 72–75.
12. B. Şeker, *On certain new subclass of close-to-convex functions*, Appl. Math. Comput. **218** (2012), 1041–1045.
13. Z. G. Wang, D. Z. Chen, *On a subclass of close to convex functions*, Hacet. J. Math. Stat. **38**(2) (2009), 95–101.
14. Z. G. Wang, Y. Sun, N. Xu *Some properties of certain meromorphic close-to-convex functions*, Appl. Math. Lett. **25** (2012), 454–460.
15. Q. H. Xu, H. M. Srivastava, Z. Li, *A certain subclass of analytic and close-to-convex functions*, Appl. Math. Lett. **24** (2011), 396–401.

Department of Mathematics
Abdul Wali Khan University Mardan
Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Pakistan
marifmaths@hotmail.com

(Received 06 06 2015)

Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences, University of Rzeszów
Rzeszów
Poland
jsokol@prz.edu.pl