

## ON ATOMISTIC LATTICES

ANDRZEJ WALENDZIAK

**Abstract:** Any atomistic lattice is strong whereas the converse does not hold, in general. In the present paper we prove that, if in a strong atomic  $J$ -lattice, each atom has a complement, then the lattice is atomistic. This result generalizes the theorem of [2].

### 1 – Basic notions

Let  $L$  be a lattice. If  $L$  contains a least or a greatest element, these elements will be denoted by  $0$  or  $1$ , respectively. An element  $u \in L$  is called join-irreducible iff, for all  $a, b \in L$ ,  $u = a \vee b$  implies  $u = a$  or  $u = b$ . Denote by  $J(L)$  the set of all join-irreducible elements of  $L$ . We write  $a \prec b$  ( $a, b \in L$ ) if  $a < b$  and if  $a \leq c < b$  implies  $c = a$  for all  $c$ . An element  $p \in L$  is called an atom if  $0 \prec p$ . By  $[a, b]$  ( $a \leq b$ ,  $a, b \in L$ ) we denote an interval, that is the set of all  $c \in L$  for which  $a \leq c \leq b$ .

A lattice  $L$  is called atomic, if  $L$  has a least element and the interval  $[0, a]$  contains an atom for each  $a > 0$ . If for any  $a, b \in L$  with  $a < b$  there is an element  $p \in [a, b]$  such that  $a \prec p$ , then we say that  $L$  is strongly atomic. A lattice  $L$  is called atomistic if every element of  $L$  is a join of atoms. If each element of a given lattice  $L$  is a join of elements of  $J(L)$ , then we shall call  $L$  a  $J$ -lattice. Note that any atomistic lattice is a  $J$ -lattice.

A complete lattice  $L$  is called upper continuous iff, for every  $a \in L$  and for every chain  $C \subseteq L$ ,

$$a \wedge \bigvee C = \bigvee (a \wedge c : c \in C) .$$

The dual of an upper continuous lattice is called lower continuous.

For our investigations we also need the concept of a strong lattice. For lattices of finite length the definition of strongness is given by Stern [2] by a property

$$(S) \quad u \in J(L) - \{0\}, \quad a \in L \quad \text{and} \quad u \leq a \vee u' \quad \text{imply} \quad u \leq a ,$$

where  $u'$  denotes the unique lower cover of  $u$ .

We extend the notion of strongness from lattices of finite length to arbitrary lattices. Namely, we say that a lattice  $L$  is strong if the following condition is satisfied:

$$(S') \quad u \in J(L) - \{0\}, \quad a, b \in L \quad \text{and} \quad b < u \leq a \vee b \quad \text{imply} \quad u \leq a .$$

It is easy to see that in lattices of finite length properties (S) and (S') are equivalent.

We remark that any atomistic lattice is strong. (Indeed, each nonzero join-irreducible element of an atomistic lattice is an atom.)

## 2 – Results

The first major result is

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $L$  be an atomic  $J$ -lattice. If each atom of  $L$  has a complement, then  $L$  is strong iff  $L$  is atomistic.*

**Proof:** Assume that the lattice  $L$  is strong. We show that  $L$  is atomistic. Let  $a$  be a nonzero element of  $L$ . Since  $L$  is a  $J$ -lattice,  $a = \bigvee \{u : u \in U \leq J(L)\}$ . Suppose that a join-irreducible element  $u \in U$  is not an atom. Since  $L$  is atomic, there exists an atom  $p \in L$  such that  $p < u$ . Let  $\bar{p}$  be a complement of  $p$ . This means that  $1 = p \vee \bar{p}$  and  $0 = p \wedge \bar{p}$ . Then  $p < u \leq \bar{p}$  and strongness implies  $u \leq \bar{p}$ . Thus we have  $p < \bar{p}$ , which contradicts the fact that  $p \wedge \bar{p} = 0$ . It follows that  $L$  is atomistic. The converse is clear. ■

Now we prove the following

**Theorem 2.** *A lower continuous strongly atomic lattice in which each atom has a complement is atomistic iff it is strong.*

**Proof:** Observe that if a lattice  $L$  is lower continuous and strongly atomic, then  $L$  is a  $J$ -lattice. Indeed, let  $a \in L$  and  $b := \bigvee \{u \in J(L) : u \leq a\}$ . Assume that  $b < a$ . Since  $L$  is strongly atomic there exists an element  $p \in L$  such that  $b \prec p \leq a$ . Consider the set  $T := \{t \in L : b \vee t = p\}$ .  $T$  is nonempty, since  $p \in T$ . Let  $C$  be a chain in  $T$ . The lower continuity yields

$$b \vee \bigwedge C = \bigwedge (b \vee c : c \in C) = p .$$

Thus  $\bigwedge C \in T$  and by the dual of Zorn's lemma  $T$  contains a minimal element  $v$ . Clearly,  $v \in J(L)$  and  $v \leq a$ . Consequently,  $v \leq b$ , and hence  $p = b \vee v = b$ , a contradiction. Thus  $a = \bigvee \{u \in J(L) : u \leq a\}$ , which shows that  $L$  is a  $J$ -lattice. Now the assertion follows from Theorem 1. ■

We recall that a lattice  $L$  satisfies the descending chain condition (DCC) if each nonempty subset of  $L$  contains a minimal element. It is obvious that any lattice satisfying the DCC is lower continuous and strongly atomic. Therefore, we obtain the following

**Corollary 1.** *Let a lattice  $L$  satisfy the DCC and let each atom of  $L$  have a complement. Then  $L$  is atomistic iff it is strong.*

**Remark 1.** Since every lattice of finite length satisfies the DCC, this corollary implies the theorem of [2].

We know (see [1], Theorem 4.1) that every upper continuous, semimodular, atomistic lattice is relatively complemented. This together with Corollary 1 yields

**Corollary 2.** *Let  $L$  be a semimodular, upper continuous, strong lattice with DCC. If each atom of  $L$  has a complement, then  $L$  is relatively complemented.*

**Remark 2.** Corollary 2 generalizes the corollary of [2].

## REFERENCES

- [1] CRAWLEY, P. and DILWORTH, R.P. – *Algebraic theory of lattices*, Prentice Hall, Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey, 1973.
- [2] STERN, M. – On complemented strong lattices, *Portugaliae Mathematica*, 46 (1989), 225–227.

Andrzej Walendziak,  
Department of Mathematics, Agricultural and Pedagogical University,  
PL-08110 Siedlce – POLAND