

UNIFORM CONVERGENCE RESULTS FOR  
CERTAIN TWO-DIMENSIONAL CAUCHY  
PRINCIPAL VALUE INTEGRALS \*

E. SANTI

**Abstract:** A general uniform convergence theorem for numerical integration of certain two-dimensional Cauchy principal value integrals is proved. A special instance of this theorem is given as corollary.

1 – Introduction

In this paper we study the uniform convergence with respect to the parameters  $\vartheta$  and  $\zeta$ , of numerical methods for evaluating the Cauchy principal value (CPV) integral

$$(1.1) \quad J(f; \vartheta, \zeta) := \int_{-1}^1 \int_{-1}^1 w_1(x) w_2(\tilde{x}) \frac{f(x, \tilde{x})}{(x - \vartheta)(\tilde{x} - \zeta)} dx d\tilde{x},$$
$$\vartheta \in (-1, 1), \quad \zeta \in (-1, 1),$$

where  $w_1, w_2$  are the Jacobi weight functions

$$(1.2) \quad w_1(x) := (1 - x)^{\alpha_1} (1 + x)^{\beta_1}, \quad w_2(\tilde{x}) := (1 - \tilde{x})^{\alpha_2} (1 + \tilde{x})^{\beta_2},$$
$$\alpha_i, \beta_i > -1, \quad i = 1, 2.$$

In some recent papers [2, 3, 8], integrals of type (1.1), has been approximated by cubature rules based on quasi-uniform tensor product spline spaces.

---

*Received:* July 29, 1998; *Revised:* February 18, 1999.

*AMS Subject Classification:* 65D32, 41A15, 41A63.

*Keywords:* Cubatures; Cauchy principal value integrals; Splines.

\* Work sponsored by M.U.R.S.T. and C.N.R. of Italy.

Supposing that  $f \in H_p(\mu, \mu)$ ,  $0 < \mu \leq 1$ ,  $0 \leq p < m - 1$ , where  $m$  denotes the order of the splines, in [2, 3] the authors proved a convergence theorem for cubature rules obtained by substituting the integrand function  $f$  with quasi-interpolating or nodal spline operators; they gave besides, an upper bound for the remainder term. By subtracting out the singularities, in [8], it has been proved the uniform convergence of cubature rules based on quasi-interpolating spline-projectors for  $(\vartheta, \zeta)$  belonging to any closed region contained in the integration domain.

Since in many applications it is necessary to have at one's disposal rules uniformly converging for all  $(\vartheta, \zeta) \in (-1, 1) \times (-1, 1)$ , the main object of this paper is to give general conditions for obtaining such rules. We shall do that in Section 2, proving the general convergence theorem 1.

In Section 3 we shall apply the obtained results to a particular approximation operator and we shall give the relative convergence results as corollary of the above general convergence theorem.

## 2 – A general uniform convergence theorem

In this section we shall state and prove a general uniform convergence theorem for two-dimensional CPV integrals (1.1), that can be written in the form

$$(2.1) \quad J(f; \vartheta, \zeta) = \int_{-1}^1 \int_{-1}^1 w_1(x) w_2(\tilde{x}) \frac{f(x, \tilde{x}) - f(\vartheta, \zeta)}{(x - \vartheta)(\tilde{x} - \zeta)} dx d\tilde{x} + f(\vartheta, \zeta) W_1(\vartheta) W_2(\zeta) .$$

where

$$(2.2) \quad W_1(\vartheta) = \int_{-1}^1 \frac{w_1(x)}{x - \vartheta} dx, \quad W_2(\zeta) = \int_{-1}^1 \frac{w_2(\tilde{x})}{\tilde{x} - \zeta} d\tilde{x} .$$

We denote  $\Omega = I \times \tilde{I}$ , with  $I = [-1, 1]$ ,  $\tilde{I} = [-1, 1]$ , and we define some partitions  $X_N = \{x_i\}_{i=1}^N$ ,  $\tilde{X}_{\tilde{N}} = \{\tilde{x}_i\}_{i=1}^{\tilde{N}}$  of  $I$  and  $\tilde{I}$  respectively. Connected with the sequence of tensor product partitions  $\{X_N \times \tilde{X}_{\tilde{N}} : N = N_1, N_2, \dots; \tilde{N} = \tilde{N}_1, \tilde{N}_2, \dots\}$ , we consider a sequence of real positive numbers  $\{\Delta_{N\tilde{N}}\}$  such that

$$(2.3) \quad \lim_{\substack{N \rightarrow \infty \\ \tilde{N} \rightarrow \infty}} \Delta_{N\tilde{N}} = 0 .$$

Let  $\Phi = \{\varphi_{i\tilde{i}}(x, \tilde{x})\}_{i=1,2,\dots,N}^{\tilde{i}=1,2,\dots,\tilde{N}}$  be a set of basis functions real continuous in  $\Omega$  and let  $F_{N\tilde{N}}$  be a linear operator defined by  $\Phi$  and approximating to  $f$ .

We assume

$$(2.4) \quad r_{N\tilde{N}}(x, \tilde{x}) = f(x, \tilde{x}) - F_{N\tilde{N}}(x, \tilde{x}) .$$

Denoting by

$$(2.5) \quad S_{N\tilde{N}}(x) = \int_{-1}^1 w_2(\tilde{x}) \frac{r_{N\tilde{N}}(x, \tilde{x}) - r_{N\tilde{N}}(x, \zeta)}{\tilde{x} - \zeta} d\tilde{x} ,$$

$$(2.6) \quad T_{N\tilde{N}}(\tilde{x}) = \int_{-1}^1 w_1(x) \frac{r_{N\tilde{N}}(x, \tilde{x}) - r_{N\tilde{N}}(\vartheta, \tilde{x})}{x - \vartheta} dx ,$$

and considering the cubature rule

$$(2.7) \quad J_{N\tilde{N}}(f; \vartheta, \zeta) = \int_{-1}^1 \int_{-1}^1 w_1(x) w_2(\tilde{x}) \frac{F_{N\tilde{N}}(x, \tilde{x}) - F_{N\tilde{N}}(\vartheta, \zeta)}{(x - \vartheta)(\tilde{x} - \zeta)} dx d\tilde{x} \\ + f(\vartheta, \zeta) W_1(\vartheta) W_2(\zeta) ,$$

the error term  $E_{N\tilde{N}}(f; \vartheta, \zeta) = J(f; \vartheta, \zeta) - J_{N\tilde{N}}(f; \vartheta, \zeta)$ , given by

$$(2.8) \quad E_{N\tilde{N}}(f; \vartheta, \zeta) = \int_{-1}^1 \int_{-1}^1 w_1(x) w_2(\tilde{x}) \frac{r_{N\tilde{N}}(x, \tilde{x}) - r_{N\tilde{N}}(\vartheta, \zeta)}{(x - \vartheta)(\tilde{x} - \zeta)} dx d\tilde{x} ,$$

can be written in the form [8],

$$(2.9) \quad E_{N\tilde{N}}(f; \vartheta, \zeta) = \int_{-1}^1 w_1(x) \frac{S_{N\tilde{N}}(x) - S_{N\tilde{N}}(\vartheta)}{x - \vartheta} dx \\ + W_1(\vartheta) S_{N\tilde{N}}(\vartheta) + W_2(\zeta) T_{N\tilde{N}}(\zeta) .$$

We say that  $f \in H(\mu, \mu)$ ,  $0 < \mu \leq 1$ , if  $f$  is a continuous function in  $\Omega$  such that for all  $(x_1, \tilde{x}_1), (x_2, \tilde{x}_2) \in \Omega$  there results  $|f(x_1, \tilde{x}_1) - f(x_2, \tilde{x}_2)| \leq C[|x_1 - x_2|^\mu + |\tilde{x}_1 - \tilde{x}_2|^\mu]$ , with  $C$  real constant.

For proving theorem 1 below, we need some lemmas [6].

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $g \in H(\sigma, \sigma)$  in  $\Omega$ ,  $0 \leq \sigma < 1$ . The function*

$$\varphi(x, \tilde{x}) = \frac{g(x, \tilde{x}) - g(x, \tilde{x}_0)}{|x - \tilde{x}_0|^{\bar{\epsilon}}} , \quad \forall \bar{\epsilon}, \quad 0 < \bar{\epsilon} < \sigma ,$$

*satisfies the  $H(\sigma - \bar{\epsilon})$  condition for the variable  $x$  uniformly with respect to  $\tilde{x}$ , and  $H(\sigma - \bar{\epsilon})$  condition for the variable  $\tilde{x}$  uniformly with respect to  $x$ . ■*

If we define the functions

$$(2.10) \quad s(x) = \int_{-1}^1 w_2(\tilde{x}) \frac{g(x, \tilde{x}) - g(x, \tilde{x}_0)}{\tilde{x} - \tilde{x}_0} d\tilde{x}$$

and

$$(2.11) \quad t(\tilde{x}) = \int_{-1}^1 w_1(x) \frac{g(x, \tilde{x}) - g(x_0, \tilde{x})}{x - x_0} dx ,$$

we have:

**Lemma 2.** *Suppose  $g \in H(\sigma, \sigma)$  in  $\Omega$ ,  $0 \leq \sigma < 1$ . The functions  $s$  and  $t$ , defined in (2.10), (2.11), satisfy a Hölder condition of order  $\sigma - \bar{\epsilon}$ , where  $\bar{\epsilon}$  is an arbitrary real number such that  $0 < \bar{\epsilon} < \sigma$ , i.e.*

$$(2.12) \quad |s(x) - s(x_0)| \leq K_0 |x - x_0|^{\sigma - \bar{\epsilon}} ,$$

$$(2.13) \quad |t(\tilde{x}) - t(\tilde{x}_0)| \leq K_1 |\tilde{x} - \tilde{x}_0|^{\sigma - \bar{\epsilon}} . \blacksquare$$

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $f \in H(\mu, \mu)$  in  $\Omega$ , and assume that the approximation  $F_{N\tilde{N}}$  to  $f$  is such that*

- (i)  $r_{N\tilde{N}}(x, \pm 1) = 0, \forall x \in I, r_{N\tilde{N}}(\pm 1, \tilde{x}) = 0, \forall \tilde{x} \in \tilde{I}$ ,
- (ii)  $\|r_{N\tilde{N}}\|_\infty = O(\Delta_{N\tilde{N}}^\nu), 0 < \nu \leq \mu$ ,
- (iii)  $r_{N\tilde{N}} \in H(\sigma, \sigma), 0 < \sigma \leq \mu$ .

If

$$(2.14) \quad \rho + \gamma - \bar{\epsilon} > 0$$

where  $\rho := \min(\sigma, \nu)$ ,  $\gamma := \min(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_2, 0)$  and  $\bar{\epsilon}$  is a positive real number as small as we like, then

$$(2.15) \quad E_{N\tilde{N}}(f; \vartheta, \zeta) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } N \rightarrow \infty, \tilde{N} \rightarrow \infty ,$$

uniformly for all  $(\vartheta, \zeta) \in (-1, 1) \times (-1, 1)$  .

**Proof:** We can write:

$$\begin{aligned} E_{N\tilde{N}}(f; \vartheta, \zeta) &= \int_{-1}^1 w_1(x) \frac{S_{N\tilde{N}}(x) - S_{N\tilde{N}}(\vartheta)}{x - \vartheta} dx + W_1(\vartheta) S_{N\tilde{N}}(\vartheta) + W_2(\zeta) T_{N\tilde{N}}(\zeta) \\ &= T_1 + T_2 + T_3 . \end{aligned}$$

Taking into account the condition (iii), and assuming in lemma2  $g(x, \tilde{x}) = r_{N\tilde{N}}(x, \tilde{x})$ ,  $x_0 = \vartheta$ ,  $\tilde{x}_0 = \zeta$ , the functions  $S_{N\tilde{N}}(x)$ ,  $T_{N\tilde{N}}(\tilde{x})$  defined in (2.5), (2.6),

satisfy (2.12) and (2.13) respectively. Furthermore, for  $0 < \epsilon^* < \sigma$ , by (ii) and lemma 5 in [6], there results:

$$(2.16) \quad |S_{N\tilde{N}}(x)| \leq \bar{C} \Delta_{N\tilde{N}}^{\nu(1-\frac{\epsilon^*}{\sigma})} \quad \forall \zeta \in (-1, 1) ,$$

$$(2.17) \quad |T_{N\tilde{N}}(\tilde{x})| \leq \bar{C}_1 \Delta_{N\tilde{N}}^{\nu(1-\frac{\epsilon^*}{\sigma})} \quad \forall \vartheta \in (-1, 1) .$$

Consider first  $T_2$ . Because (i), in a neighbourhood of  $x = 1$ , we have

$$S_{N\tilde{N}}(\vartheta) = \int_{-1}^1 w_2(\tilde{x}) \frac{[r_{N\tilde{N}}(\vartheta, \tilde{x}) - r_{N\tilde{N}}(\vartheta, \zeta)] - [r_{N\tilde{N}}(1, \tilde{x}) - r_{N\tilde{N}}(1, \zeta)]}{\tilde{x} - \zeta} d\tilde{x}$$

then, by condition (iii) and lemma 1, there results

$$(2.18) \quad |S_{N\tilde{N}}(\vartheta)| \leq C |\vartheta - 1|^{\sigma-\bar{\epsilon}} \int_{-1}^1 \frac{w_2(\tilde{x})}{|\tilde{x} - \zeta|^{1-\bar{\epsilon}}} d\tilde{x} = O(1 - \vartheta)^{\sigma-\bar{\epsilon}} .$$

Besides, in a neighbourhood of  $x = 1$ , by §4.62 of [10],

$$(2.19) \quad W_1(\vartheta) = \begin{cases} O((1 - \vartheta)^{\alpha_1}) + c & \text{if } \alpha_1 \text{ is not an integer,} \\ O(|\log(1 - \vartheta)|) & \text{if } \alpha_1 \text{ is an integer .} \end{cases}$$

Hence, we can find  $\delta > 0$  sufficiently small so that for all  $\vartheta \in [1 - \delta, 1]$  and  $\forall \epsilon > 0$ ,  $T_2 = O((1 - \vartheta)^{\sigma-\bar{\epsilon}+\alpha_1} |\log(1 - \vartheta)|) < \epsilon$  uniformly in  $\vartheta$  if (2.14) holds.

Similarly, we can find  $\bar{\delta} > 0$  such that for all  $\vartheta \in [-1, -1 + \bar{\delta}]$

$$T_2 = O((1 + \vartheta)^{\sigma-\bar{\epsilon}+\beta_1} |\log(1 + \vartheta)|) < \epsilon \quad \text{uniformly in } \vartheta .$$

Finally, since  $W_1(\vartheta) = O(1)$  in  $[-1 + \bar{\delta}, 1 - \delta]$  and  $\|S_{N\tilde{N}}\|_\infty = o(1)$  as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $\tilde{N} \rightarrow \infty$ , we conclude that

$$T_2 = o(1) \quad \text{as } N \rightarrow \infty, \tilde{N} \rightarrow \infty , \\ \text{uniformly for all } (\vartheta, \zeta) \in (-1, 1) \times (-1, 1) .$$

In the same way we can prove that

$$T_3 = o(1) \quad \text{as } N \rightarrow \infty, \tilde{N} \rightarrow \infty , \\ \text{uniformly for all } (\vartheta, \zeta) \in (-1, 1) \times (-1, 1) .$$

Consider now

$$\begin{aligned}
|T_1| &= \left| \int_{-1}^1 w_1(x) \frac{S_{N\tilde{N}}(x) - S_{N\tilde{N}}(\vartheta)}{x - \vartheta} dx \right| \\
&\leq \left| \int_U w_1(x) \frac{S_{N\tilde{N}}(x) - S_{N\tilde{N}}(\vartheta)}{x - \vartheta} dx \right| \\
&\quad + \left| \int_{\substack{|x-\vartheta| \geq \Delta_{N\tilde{N}} \\ x \notin U}} w_1(x) \frac{S_{N\tilde{N}}(x) - S_{N\tilde{N}}(\vartheta)}{x - \vartheta} dx \right| \\
&\quad + \left| \int_{\substack{|x-\vartheta| \leq \Delta_{N\tilde{N}} \\ x \notin U}} w_1(x) \frac{S_{N\tilde{N}}(x) - S_{N\tilde{N}}(\vartheta)}{x - \vartheta} dx \right| \\
&= T_{11} + T_{12} + T_{13}
\end{aligned}$$

where  $U := [-1, -1+\tilde{s}] \cup [1-\bar{s}, 1]$ , for some  $\tilde{s}, \bar{s}$  to be determined below. There results

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \int_{-1}^{-1+\tilde{s}} w_1(x) \frac{S_{N\tilde{N}}(x) - S_{N\tilde{N}}(\vartheta)}{x - \vartheta} dx \right| &\leq K_0 \int_{-1}^{-1+\tilde{s}} w_1(x) |x - \vartheta|^{\sigma - \bar{\epsilon} - 1} dx \\
&= O\left( \int_{-1}^{-1+\tilde{s}} (1+x)^{\gamma + \sigma + \bar{\epsilon} - 1} dx \right) < \epsilon
\end{aligned}$$

for  $\tilde{s}$  sufficiently small. Similarly we have

$$\left| \int_{1-\bar{s}}^1 w_1(x) \frac{S_{N\tilde{N}}(x) - S_{N\tilde{N}}(\vartheta)}{x - \vartheta} dx \right| < \epsilon$$

for  $\bar{s}$  sufficiently small, and then

$$(2.20) \quad T_{11} < 2\epsilon, \quad \forall \epsilon > 0.$$

Considering the term  $T_{12}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.21) \quad T_{12} &= \left| \int_{\substack{|x-\vartheta| \geq \Delta_{N\tilde{N}} \\ x \notin U}} w_1(x) \frac{S_{N\tilde{N}}(x) - S_{N\tilde{N}}(\vartheta)}{x - \vartheta} dx \right| \\
 &= O\left(\Delta_{N\tilde{N}}^{\nu(1-\frac{\epsilon^*}{\sigma})} \ln(\Delta_{N\tilde{N}})\right) = o(1) \quad \text{as } N \rightarrow \infty, \tilde{N} \rightarrow \infty.
 \end{aligned}$$

Finally, by (2.12), (2.13),

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.22) \quad T_{13} &= \left| \int_{\substack{|x-\vartheta| \leq \Delta_{N\tilde{N}} \\ x \notin U}} w_1(x) \frac{S_{N\tilde{N}}(x) - S_{N\tilde{N}}(\vartheta)}{x - \vartheta} dx \right| \\
 &\leq C_2 \int_{\substack{|x-\vartheta| \leq \Delta_{N\tilde{N}} \\ x \notin U}} |x - \vartheta|^{\sigma-\bar{\epsilon}-1} dx = o(1)
 \end{aligned}$$

as  $N \rightarrow \infty, \tilde{N} \rightarrow \infty$  uniformly  $\forall (\vartheta, \zeta) \in (-1, 1) \times (-1, 1)$ .

The thesis follows considering that  $T_1, T_2, T_3$  can be made arbitrarily small, uniformly in  $(\vartheta, \zeta) \in (-1, 1) \times (-1, 1)$ , as  $N \rightarrow \infty, \tilde{N} \rightarrow \infty$ . ■

We remark that the theorem 1 extends to the two-dimensional case the results of theorem 1 in [7].

### 3 – Particular example of theorem 1

In this section we derive uniform convergence results for the below defined approximation  $\bar{W}_{N\tilde{N}}$  to  $f$ , which we state as corollary.

Consider the sequence of two-dimensional nodal spline operators studied in [3]:

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.1) \quad W_{N\tilde{N}}^*(f; x, \tilde{x}) &= \sum_{i=p_j}^{q_j} \sum_{\tilde{i}=\tilde{p}_j}^{\tilde{q}_j} \omega_{m\tilde{m}\tilde{i}\tilde{i}}(x, \tilde{x}) f(\tau_j, \tilde{\tau}_j), \\
 (x, \tilde{x}) &\in [\tau_j, \tau_{j+1}] \times [\tilde{\tau}_j, \tilde{\tau}_{j+1}], \quad j = 0, 1, \dots, N-1, \quad \tilde{j} = 0, 1, \dots, \tilde{N}-1.
 \end{aligned}$$

For constructing (3.1), we firstly need to consider the partitions

$$X_{mN} := \left\{ -1 \equiv x_0 < x_1 < \cdots < x_{(m-1)N} \equiv 1 \right\},$$

$$\tilde{X}_{\tilde{m}\tilde{N}} := \left\{ -1 \equiv \tilde{x}_0 < \tilde{x}_1 < \cdots < \tilde{x}_{(\tilde{m}-1)\tilde{N}} \equiv 1 \right\}$$

of  $I, \tilde{I}$  respectively. The nodes  $\tau_i, \tilde{\tau}_{\tilde{i}}$  are defined by

$$\tau_i := x_{(m-1)i}, \quad 0 \leq i \leq N, \quad \text{with } N \geq m-1,$$

$$\tilde{\tau}_{\tilde{i}} := \tilde{x}_{(\tilde{m}-1)\tilde{i}}, \quad 0 \leq \tilde{i} \leq \tilde{N}, \quad \text{with } \tilde{N} \geq \tilde{m}-1,$$

and the functions  $\omega_{\tilde{m}\tilde{m}\tilde{i}\tilde{i}}$  are such that  $\omega_{\tilde{m}\tilde{m}\tilde{i}\tilde{i}} = \omega_{mi}(x) \times \omega_{\tilde{m}\tilde{i}}(\tilde{x})$  where

$$\omega_{mi}(x) := \begin{cases} \prod_{\substack{k=0 \\ k \neq i}}^m \frac{x - \tau_k}{\tau_i - \tau_k} & x \in [-1, \tau_{i_1-1}], \quad (i \leq m-1), \\ s_i(x) & x \in [\tau_{i_1-1}, \tau_{N-i_0+1}], \quad (N \geq m), \\ \prod_{\substack{k=0 \\ k \neq N-i}}^m \frac{x - \tau_{N-k}}{\tau_i - \tau_{N-k}} & x \in [\tau_{N-i_0+1}, 1], \quad (i \geq N - (m-1)), \end{cases}$$

with  $i_0 := \lceil \frac{m}{2} \rceil + 1$ ,  $i_1 := m - \lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor$ . For the functions  $s_i$  we have

$$s_i(x) := \sum_{r=0}^m \sum_{t=-i_0}^{-i_0+m-1} \alpha_{irt} B_{(m-1)(i+t)+r}^m(x), \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, N,$$

where  $\{B_i^m(x)\}_{i=1-m}^{(m-1)N-1}$  are the normalized B-splines of order  $m$ .

We recall that

$$0 < B_i^m(x) \leq 1 \quad \text{if } x \in (x_i, x_{i+m}), \quad B_i^m(x) = 0 \quad \text{otherwise},$$

except that  $B_{1-m}^m(-1) = 1$ ,  $B_{(m-1)N-1}(1) = 1$ .

We besides assume

$$p_j := \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } j = 0, 1, \dots, i_1-2, \\ j - i_1 + 1 & \text{if } j = i_1-1, \dots, N-i_0, \\ N - m + 1 & \text{if } j = N-i_0+1, \dots, N-1, \end{cases}$$

$$q_j := \begin{cases} m-1 & \text{if } j = 0, 1, \dots, i_1-2, \\ j + i_0 & \text{if } j = i_1-1, \dots, N-i_0, \\ N & \text{if } j = N-i_0+1, \dots, N-1. \end{cases}$$



Likewise we define  $\tilde{\omega}_{\tilde{m}\tilde{i}}(\tilde{x})$ ,  $\tilde{p}_{\tilde{j}}$ ,  $\tilde{q}_{\tilde{j}}$ . Without loss of generality, we can assume  $m = \tilde{m}$ , i.e. we use splines of the same order on both axes.

We remark that  $W_{N\tilde{N}}^*$  is a spline operator with the following properties:

- (a)  $W_{N\tilde{N}}^*$  is local, in the sense that  $W_{N\tilde{N}}^*(f; x, \tilde{x})$  depends only on the values of  $f$  in a small neighborhood of  $(x, \tilde{x})$ ;
- (b)  $W_{N\tilde{N}}^*$  satisfies the relations:  $W_{N\tilde{N}}^*(f; \tau_i, \tilde{\tau}_i) = f(\tau_i, \tilde{\tau}_i)$ ;
- (c)  $W_{N\tilde{N}}^*$  has the optimal order polynomial reproduction property, that means  $W_{N\tilde{N}}^*p = p$  for all  $p \in \mathcal{P}_m^2$ , where  $\mathcal{P}_m^2$  is the set of bivariate polynomials of total order  $m$ .

We say that the collection of product partitions

$$\left\{ X_{mN} \times \tilde{X}_{\tilde{m}\tilde{N}}, \quad N = N_1, N_2, \dots, \quad \tilde{N} = \tilde{N}_1, \tilde{N}_2, \dots \right\}$$

of  $\Omega = I \times \tilde{I}$ , is quasi-uniform (q.u.) if there exists a positive constant  $A$  such that  $\Delta_i/\delta_j \leq A$  for  $i$  and  $j$  equal to  $N$  or  $\tilde{N}$ , where

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_N &= \max_{0 \leq i \leq (m-1)N-1} (x_{i+1} - x_i), & \Delta_{\tilde{N}} &= \max_{0 \leq i \leq (m-1)\tilde{N}-1} (\tilde{x}_{i+1} - \tilde{x}_i), \\ \delta_N &= \min_{0 \leq i \leq (m-1)N-1} (x_{i+1} - x_i), & \delta_{\tilde{N}} &= \min_{0 \leq i \leq (m-1)\tilde{N}-1} (\tilde{x}_{i+1} - \tilde{x}_i), \end{aligned}$$

and we shall call a sequence of spline spaces quasi-uniform if they are based on a sequence of q.u. partitions.

We define  $\Delta_{N\tilde{N}} = \Delta_N + \Delta_{\tilde{N}}$ , and suppose that

$$(3.2) \quad \Delta_N \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } N \rightarrow \infty, \quad \Delta_{\tilde{N}} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } \tilde{N} \rightarrow \infty.$$

We shall use the results in [3] for deducing the following

**Proposition 1.** *Suppose  $f \in C(\Omega)$ , for any sequence of q.u. nodal spline spaces  $\{W_{N\tilde{N}}^*\}$ , there results:*

$$(3.3) \quad \|f - W_{N\tilde{N}}^*\|_\infty \leq K \omega(f; \Delta_{N\tilde{N}}; \Omega)$$

where  $K$  is a constant depending only on  $m$  and  $A$ , and

$$(3.4) \quad \omega(W_{N\tilde{N}}^*; \Delta_{N\tilde{N}}; \Omega) = O\left(\omega(f; \Delta_{N\tilde{N}}; \Omega)\right)$$

with

$$\omega(\phi; \Delta; \Theta) = \max_{\substack{|h|, |\tilde{h}| \leq \Delta \\ (x, \tilde{x}), (x+h, \tilde{x}+\tilde{h}) \in \Theta}} \left| \phi(x+h, \tilde{x}+\tilde{h}) - \phi(x, \tilde{x}) \right|. \blacksquare$$

Using the method introduced in [5], we modify the operator (3.1) as

$$\begin{aligned}
 \bar{W}_{N\tilde{N}}(f; x, \tilde{x}) &= W_{N\tilde{N}}^*(f; x, \tilde{x}) \\
 &+ \left[ f(-1, \tilde{x}) - W_{N\tilde{N}}^*(f; -1, \tilde{x}) \right] B_{1-m}^m(x) \\
 (3.5) \quad &+ \left[ f(1, \tilde{x}) - W_{N\tilde{N}}^*(f; 1, \tilde{x}) \right] B_{(m-1)N-1}^m(x) \\
 &+ \left[ f(x, -1) - W_{N\tilde{N}}^*(f; x, -1) \right] \tilde{B}_{1-m}^m(\tilde{x}) \\
 &+ \left[ f(x, 1) - W_{N\tilde{N}}^*(f; x, 1) \right] \tilde{B}_{(m-1)\tilde{N}-1}^m(\tilde{x}),
 \end{aligned}$$

and we prove the following

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $f \in H(\mu, \mu)$  in  $\Omega$ , and let  $\{\bar{W}_{N\tilde{N}}\}$  be a sequence of q.u. spline operators defined in (3.5). There results:*

- (1)  $r_{N\tilde{N}}(\mp 1, \tilde{x}) = 0, \forall \tilde{x} \in \tilde{I}, r_{N\tilde{N}}(x, \mp 1) = 0, \forall x \in I;$
- (2)  $\|r_{N\tilde{N}}\|_\infty = O(\Delta_{N\tilde{N}}^\mu);$
- (3)  $r_{N\tilde{N}} \in H(\mu, \mu)$  in  $\Omega$

with  $r_{N\tilde{N}}(x, \tilde{x}) = f(x, \tilde{x}) - \bar{W}_{N\tilde{N}}(x, \tilde{x}).$

**Proof:** Property (1) derives by the definition (3.5) and by the interpolating property of  $W_{N\tilde{N}}^*$ . From the definition (3.5) we have  $\|r_{N\tilde{N}}\|_\infty \leq 3\|f - W_{N\tilde{N}}^*\|$  and by (3.3) property (2) holds. Property (3) follows considering that for  $(x_1, \tilde{x}_1), (x_2, \tilde{x}_2) \in \Omega$  we can write

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.6) \quad & \left| r_{N\tilde{N}}(x_1, \tilde{x}_1) - r_{N\tilde{N}}(x_2, \tilde{x}_2) \right| \leq \\
 & \leq \left| f_{N\tilde{N}}(x_1, \tilde{x}_1) - f_{N\tilde{N}}(x_2, \tilde{x}_2) \right| + \left| \bar{W}_{N\tilde{N}}(x_1, \tilde{x}_1) - \bar{W}_{N\tilde{N}}(x_2, \tilde{x}_2) \right|.
 \end{aligned}$$

Then, by the definition of  $\bar{W}_{N\tilde{N}}$ , using (3.3) and (3.4), it is possible to prove that in each of the different cases, according to the positions of the points  $(x_1, \tilde{x}_1), (x_2, \tilde{x}_2)$  in  $\Omega$ , there results [9]:

$$\left| \bar{W}_{N\tilde{N}}(f; x_1, \tilde{x}_1) - \bar{W}_{N\tilde{N}}(f; x_2, \tilde{x}_2) \right| \leq \bar{C} \omega(f; \Delta_{N\tilde{N}}; \Omega).$$

Therefore the claim follows by (3.6) and the hypothesis on  $f$ . ■

**Corollary 1.** *Let  $f \in H(\mu, \mu)$  in  $\Omega$  and let  $\{F_{N\tilde{N}}\}$  be a sequence of q.u. spline spaces  $\{\bar{W}_{N\tilde{N}}\}$  defined in (3.5). Assume that (3.2) holds, then*

$$E_{N\tilde{N}}(f; \vartheta, \zeta) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } N, \tilde{N} \rightarrow \infty \text{ uniformly in } (\vartheta, \zeta) \in (-1, 1) \times (-1, 1).$$

**Proof:** Condition (i) of theorem 1 follows by (1) of lemma 3. By conditions (2) and (3) of the same lemma, condition (ii) (with  $\Delta_{N\tilde{N}} = \Delta_N + \tilde{\Delta}_{\tilde{N}}$ ,  $\nu = \mu$ ) and condition (iii) (with  $\sigma = \mu$ ) hold. ■

*ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS* – The author wishes to thank the referee for his insightful comments.

### REFERENCES

- [1] DAGNINO, C. and SANTI, E. – Numerical evaluation of Cauchy principal value integrals by means of nodal spline approximation, *Revue Anal. Numér. Theor. Approx.*, 27 (1998), 59–69.
- [2] DAGNINO, C.; PEROTTO, S. and SANTI, E. – Product formulas based on spline approximation for the numerical evaluation of certain 2D CPV integrals, *Approx. Optim.* (D.D. Stancu, G. Coman, W. Breckner and P. Blaga, Eds.), vol. I (1996), 241–250.
- [3] DAGNINO, C.; PEROTTO, S. and SANTI, E. – Convergence of rules based on nodal splines for the numerical evaluation of certain 2D Cauchy principal value integrals, *J. Comp. Appl. Math.*, 89 (1998), 225–235.
- [4] DAGNINO, C. and RABINOWITZ, P. – Product integration of singular integrands using quasi-interpolatory splines, *Comp. Math. Appl.*, 33 (1997), 59–67.
- [5] DEMICHELIS, V. – Uniform convergence for Cauchy principal value integrals of modified quasi-interpolatory splines, *Intern. J. Computer Math.*, 53 (1994), 189–196.
- [6] MONEGATO, G. – Convergence of product formulas for the numerical evaluation of certain two-dimensional Cauchy principal value integrals, *Numer. Math.*, 43 (1984), 161–173.
- [7] RABINOWITZ, P. – Uniform convergence results for Cauchy principal value integrals, *Math. Comp.*, 56 (1991), 731–740.
- [8] SANTI, E. and CIMORONI, M.G. – On the convergence of projector-splines for the numerical evaluation of certain two-dimensional CPV integrals, submitted for publication.
- [9] SANTI, E. – On the properties of the modified two-dimensional nodal spline operator, *Int. Report Dip. di Energetica, Università dell’Aquila*, 1998.
- [10] SZEGÖ, G. – *Orthogonal polynomials*, in “Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Publ.”, vol. 23, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1975.

Elisabetta Santi,  
 Dipartimento di Energetica – Università dell’Aquila,  
 Località Monteluco, 67040 Roio Poggio (AQ) – ITALY  
 E-mail: [esanti@dsiaq1.ing.univaq.it](mailto:esanti@dsiaq1.ing.univaq.it)