

UNISERIAL GROUPS

SHALOM FEIGELSTOCK

Abstract: An abelian group G is E -cyclic (uniserial) if G is a cyclic (uniserial) module over its endomorphism ring $E(G)$. In this note, abelian groups G which are uniserial R -modules, for R a subring of $E(G)$, are studied. Results of J. Hausen on E -uniserial groups are generalized to R -uniserial groups. It will be shown that if G is a finite rank reduced torsion free group, R is a commutative subring of $E(G)$, satisfying $RG = G$, and G is R -uniserial, then R is a domain whose lattice of ideals is totally ordered, and G is the additive group of a ring isomorphic to R .

Notation.

G an abelian group.

$E = E(G)$ the ring of endomorphisms of G .

R a subring of E .

1_G the identity map on G .

$I(G)$ the subgroup of E^+ generated by $\{\phi(g) \mid \phi \in \text{Hom}(G, E^+)\}$. \square

Definitions. G is R -cyclic if there exists $e \in G$ such that $G = Re$. If the lattice of R -submodules of G is totally ordered with respect to inclusion, then G is said to be R -uniserial. A ring is a *TOLL-ring* if its lattice of ideals is totally ordered with respect to inclusion. A torsion free group G is said to be *strongly R -irreducible* if G/H is a bounded group for every R -submodule $H \neq 0$ of G . If G is strongly E -irreducible, then G is called a *strongly irreducible* group. A torsion free group G is p -local, p a prime, if $pG \neq G$, but $qG = G$ for every prime $q \neq p$. \square

Notation and terminology will follow [1, 4].

If R is “small” then there may be very few R -cyclic or R -uniserial groups. For example, if R is the subring of E generated by 1_G , then the R -submodules of G are precisely the subgroups of G . It is readily seen that in this case, G is R -cyclic if and only if G is cyclic, and G is R -uniserial if and only if $G = Z(p^k)$, $0 \leq k \leq \infty$. On the other end of the scale, $Z \oplus G$ is E -cyclic for every abelian group G . There are also many E -uniserial groups.

The following two results concerning torsion free uniserial groups are due to Jutta Hausen, [5].

Proposition 1. *Let G be a reduced torsion free E -uniserial group. Then*

- (i) G is p -local for some prime p , and
- (ii) G is strongly irreducible.

If G has finite rank then

- (iii) G is E -cyclic.

Proof: [5, Theorem 3.1]. ■

Proposition 2. *Let G be a strongly indecomposable finite rank torsion free group. Then G is E -uniserial if and only if G is the additive group of a TOLI-ring.*

Proof: [5, Corollary 4.2]. ■

The following observation is obvious.

Observation 3. *Let $R \leq S$ be subrings of E . If G is R -cyclic (uniserial) then G is S -cyclic (uniserial). □*

Essentially the same arguments used in [5] to prove Proposition 1 yield the following:

Theorem 4. *Let G be a reduced torsion free R -uniserial group. Then*

- (i) G is p -local for some prime p , and
- (ii) G is strongly R -irreducible.

If G has finite rank, and $RG = G$ then

- (iii) G is R -cyclic.

Proof: G is E -cyclic by Observation 3, so (i) follows from Proposition 1. Let $H \neq 0$ be an R -submodule of G . Since G is reduced and p -local, there exists a positive integer n such that $H \not\subseteq p^n G$. Since both H and $p^n G$ are R -submodules of G , it follows that $p^n G \subset H$, and so G/H is bounded.

Suppose that G has finite rank, that $RG = G$, and let $e \in G$ such that $Re \not\subseteq pG$. Then $pG \subset Re$, and so G/Re is a finite p -group. Choose e so that $|G/Re|$ is minimal. If there exists $x \in G$ such that $Rx \not\subseteq Re$ then $Re \subset Rx$, and so $|G/Rx| < |G/Re|$, a contradiction. ■

Corollary 5. *Let G be a reduced torsion free group, and let R be commutative. If G is R -uniserial then every $\alpha \in R$, $\alpha \neq 0$ is a monomorphism.*

Proof: Since $\ker \alpha$ is a pure subgroup of G , and $\ker \alpha$ is an R -submodule of G , Theorem 4 (ii) yields that $\ker \alpha = 0$. ■

Theorem 6. *Let G be a reduced finite rank torsion free group, let R be commutative, and let $RG = G$. If G is R -uniserial then G is the additive group of a ring $S \simeq R$, and the ring R satisfies the following properties:*

- (i) R is a maximal commutative subring of E ,
- (ii) R is an integral domain,
- (iii) R is a TOLI-ring.

Proof: By Theorem 4 (iii), there exists $e \in G$ such that $G = Re$. For $g \in G$, there exists, by Corollary 5, a unique $\alpha \in R$ such that $g = \alpha e$. For $g_1, g_2 \in G$ define $g_1 \cdot g_2 = \alpha_1 \alpha_2 e$, where $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in R$ satisfy $\alpha_1 e = g_1$ and $\alpha_2 e = g_2$. These products induce a ring structure S on G . The map $\phi: R \rightarrow S$ defined by $\phi(\alpha) = \alpha e$ for all $\alpha \in R$ is well defined, and is an isomorphism. Suppose that R' is a commutative subring of E satisfying $R' \supseteq R$. Then G is R' -uniserial, and R' -cyclic by Observation 3. Let $\alpha' \in R'$. Since $R'e = Re$, there exists $\alpha \in R$ such that $\alpha' e = \alpha e$, and so $\alpha' = \alpha$ by Corollary 5. Therefore R satisfies condition (i). Corollary 5 clearly implies that R has no zero-divisors. The subring of E generated by R and 1_G is commutative, so $1_G \in R$ by (i), i.e., (ii) is satisfied. Let $A \trianglelefteq S$, let $a \in A$, and let $\alpha \in R$. It is readily seen that $\alpha a = \alpha(e) \cdot a \in A$. Therefore every ideal in S is an R -submodule of G . Since G is R -uniserial it follows that S and R are TOLI-rings. ■

Question. The following question was suggested by the referee:

If either or both of the conditions, 1) R is commutative, 2) $RG = G$, are removed from the statement of Theorem 6, does some weakened version of the theorem remain valid? □

Fried, [3], studied subgroups of G which are ideals in every ring S with $S^+ = G$. He proved the following:

Proposition 7.

- (i) $I(G) \trianglelefteq E$.
- (ii) A subgroup $H \leq G$ is an ideal in every ring S satisfying $S^+ = G$ if and only if H is an $I(G)$ -submodule of G .

Proof: [2, Lemma 5.1.1 and Theorem 5.1.2]. ■

Clearly, if G is the additive group of a TOLI-ring, then G is $I(G)$ -uniserial. This combined with Proposition 2 and Observation 3 yields:

Corollary 8. *Let G be a strongly indecomposable finite rank torsion free group. The following are equivalent:*

- (i) G is $I(G)$ -uniserial.
- (ii) G is E -uniserial.
- (iii) G is the additive group of a TOLI-ring. ■

REFERENCES

- [1] FEIGELSTOCK, S. – *Additive Groups of Rings*, Pitman Research Notes in Mathematics 83, Pitman, Boston–London, 1983.
- [2] FEIGELSTOCK, S. – *Additive Groups of Rings*, vol. II, Pitman Research Notes in Mathematics 169, Longman, Harlow, 1988.
- [3] FRIED, E. – On the subgroups of an abelian group that are ideals in every ring, *Proc. Colloq. Abelian Groups*, 51–54, Budapest (1964).
- [4] FUCHS, L. – *Infinite Abelian Groups*, Academic Press, New York–London, vol. 1, 1970; vol. 2, 1973.
- [5] HAUSEN, J. – Abelian groups which are uniserial as modules over their endomorphism rings, *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, 1006, Springer, Berlin (1983), 204–208.
- [6] NIEDZWECKI, G.P. and REID, J.D. – Abelian groups projective over their endomorphism rings, *Jl. of Algebra*, 159 (1993), 139–149.

Shalom Feigelstock,
Bar-Ilan University,
Ramat Gan – ISRAEL