

ON TOTALLY REAL SUBMANIFOLDS  
IN A NEARLY KÄHLER MANIFOLD \*

ZHONG HUA HOU

**Abstract:** Let  $M^m$  be a totally real submanifold of a nearly Kähler manifold  $\bar{M}^{2m}$ . We prove an important relationship between the covariant differential of the second fundamental form of  $M^m$  and that of the almost complex structure of  $\bar{M}^{2m}$ . And we show an application to the pinching problem on the square of the length of the second fundamental form of  $M^m$ .

0 – Introduction

Let  $(\bar{M}^{2m}, g, J)$  be an almost Hermitian manifold with Riemannian metric  $g$  and almost complex structure  $J$ .  $\bar{M}^{2m}$  is called a *nearly Kähler* manifold if the almost complex structure  $J$  satisfies  $g(JX, JY) = g(X, Y)$  and  $(\bar{\nabla}_X J)(X) = 0$ , for any tangent vector fields  $X$  and  $Y$  on  $\bar{M}^{2m}$ . A Kähler manifold is a nearly Kähler manifold.

The canonical example of non-Kähler nearly Kähler manifold is the six dimensional standard unit sphere  $S^6$ . There are many other non-Kähler nearly Kähler manifolds such as  $\mathbb{R}P^7 \times \mathbb{R}P^7$ ,  $F_4/A_2 \times A_2$  and  $U(4)/U(2) \times U(1) \times U(1)$  etc. A. Gray in [5] stated that a 3-symmetric space with a naturally reductive  $G$ -invariant pseudo-Riemannian metric is a nearly Kähler manifold. Many interesting theorems about the topology and the geometry of nearly Kähler manifolds have been proved by many authors (cf. e.g. [4], [6], [8], [10], [11] and [12] etc.).

---

*Received:* June 29, 2000.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification:* Primary 53C42; Secondary 32v40.

*Keywords and Phrases:* Nearly Kähler manifold; Totally real submanifold.

\* Work done under partial support by SRF for ROCS, SEM.

\* Work done under support by Fundação da Universidade de Lisboa through CMAF, FCT and Programa PRAXIS XXI.

The geometry of submanifolds in an almost Hermitian manifold is a very active topic in the theory of submanifolds. There have been many results on geometry of submanifolds in a Kähler manifold.

The theory of submanifolds in a nearly Kähler manifold say  $\bar{M}^{2m}$  was studied by many authors. Let  $M^m$  be a totally real submanifold of  $\bar{M}^{2m}$ . Denote by  $A_\xi$  the shape operator on the tangent bundle  $TM$  of  $M$  in the direction of a unit normal vector field  $\xi$  in the normal bundle  $NM$ . When  $\bar{M}$  is a complex space form, Chen–Ogiue [1] proved that

$$A_{JX}(Y) = A_{JY}(X) ,$$

for any two vector fields  $X$  and  $Y$  tangent to  $M$ . Ejiri [3] showed that the same property holds for a 3-dimensional totally real submanifold in  $S^6$ . In section 1, we shall prove that the same property also holds for a totally real submanifold in a nearly Kähler manifold.

We define a skew-symmetric tensor field  $G$  of type  $(1, 2)$  by

$$G(X, Y) = (\bar{\nabla}_X J) Y ,$$

where  $X$  and  $Y$  are vector fields on  $\bar{M}^{2m}$  and  $\bar{\nabla}$  is the Levi–Civita connection on  $\bar{M}^{2m}$ . Ejiri [3] proved that, for a 3-dimensional totally real submanifold  $M^3$  in  $S^6$ ,  $G(X, Y)$  is orthogonal to  $M^3$  for any vector fields  $X$  and  $Y$  tangent to  $M^3$ . By applying this fact, he proved that such  $M^3$  is orientable and minimal. In section 2, we shall prove that, for any totally real submanifold  $M^m$  in  $\bar{M}^{2m}$ ,  $G(X, Y)$  is orthogonal to  $M^m$  for any vector fields  $X$  and  $Y$  tangent to  $M^m$ .

Denote by  $\sigma$  the second fundamental form of  $M^m$ . We proceed to show an important relationship between  $\sigma$  and  $G$ . Precisely, we shall prove

**Proposition 1.4.** *Let  $M^m$  be a Lagrangian submanifold of a nearly Kähler manifold  $(\bar{M}^{2m}, g, J)$ . Let  $\sigma$  be the second fundamental form of  $M^m$ . Define a skew-symmetric tensor field  $G$  of type  $(1, 2)$  by  $G(X, Y) = (\bar{\nabla}_X J) Y$  for any vector fields  $X$  and  $Y$  tangent to  $M^m$ , where  $\bar{\nabla}$  is the Levi–Civita connection with respect to  $g$ . Then*

$$\begin{aligned} g(\nabla\sigma(X, Y, Z), JW) &= g(\nabla\sigma(X, Y, W), JZ) + g(\sigma(Y, Z), G(W, X)) \\ &\quad - g(\sigma(Y, W), G(Z, X)) \end{aligned}$$

for any vector fields  $X, Y, Z$  and  $W$  tangent to  $M^m$ .

As an application, we shall prove a pinching theorem on the square of the length of the second fundamental form of a 3-dimensional totally real submanifold  $M^3$  in  $S^6$ . Precisely, we shall prove the following

**Proposition 2.1.** *Let  $M^3$  be a closed 3-dimensional totally real submanifold of  $S^6$ . Denote by  $S$  the square of the length of the second fundamental form of  $M^3$ . If  $S < 5/2$ , then  $M^3$  is totally geodesic.*

**Remark 0.1.** Simons [9] and Chern–do Carmo–Kobayashi [2] proved that, for a closed  $n$ -dimensional minimal submanifold  $M^n$  in an  $(n+p)$ -dimensional unit sphere  $S^{n+p}$ ,  $M^n$  is totally geodesic if  $S < n/(2-1/p)$ . Moreover  $S = n/(2-1/p)$  when and only when  $p = 1$  or  $n = p = 2$ . A.M. Li and J.M. Li in [7] improved this result. They proved that if  $p \geq 2$  and  $S < 2n/3$ , then  $M^n$  is totally geodesic. Moreover,  $S = 2n/3$  when and only when  $n = p = 2$ . Therefore we wonder whether or not this pinching constant could be improved when  $n \geq 3$  and  $p \geq 2$ . Proposition 2.1 give an affirmative answer to this expectation because  $5/2 > 2 = 3 \times (2/3)$ .  $\square$

**1 – Geometry of totally real submanifolds in a nearly Kähler manifold**

Let  $(\bar{M}^{2m}, g, J)$  be an almost Hermitian manifold with Riemannian metric  $g$  and almost complex structure  $J$ . Let  $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_{2m}\}$  be a local orthonormal frame field on the tangent bundle  $T\bar{M}^{2m}$ . Let  $\{\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots, \omega_{2m}\}$  be its coframe field and  $(\omega_{ab})$  be the Levi–Civita connection form associated with this coframe field. Then we have the Cartan structure equations:

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{cases} \bar{\nabla} e_a = \omega_{ab} e_b ; & \omega_{ab} + \omega_{ba} = 0 ; \\ d\omega_a = \omega_{ab} \wedge \omega_b ; & \bar{\Omega}_{ab} + \bar{\Omega}_{ba} = 0 ; \\ d\omega_{ab} = \omega_{ac} \wedge \omega_{cb} + \bar{\Omega}_{ab} , \end{cases}$$

where  $(\Omega_{ab})$  is the curvature form. The almost complex structure  $J$  of  $\bar{M}$  satisfies:

- (1)  $J: T_x\bar{M} \rightarrow T_x\bar{M}$  is linear;
- (2)  $J(JX) = -X$ ;
- (3)  $g(JX, JY) = g(X, Y)$ ;

for any  $X, Y$  in  $T_x\bar{M}$ . Under the above orthonormal frame field and associated coframe field,  $J$  can be expressed as  $J = J_{ab} \omega_a e_b$ . In this case,  $J(X) = J_{ab} X_a e_b$  for any  $X = X_a e_a \in T_x\bar{M}$ . Moreover conditions (2) and (3) can be represented by

$$(1.2) \quad J_{ac} J_{bc} = \delta_{ab} ,$$

$$(1.3) \quad J_{ac} J_{cb} = -\delta_{ab} ,$$

where  $(\delta_{ab})$  is the Kronecker symbol. From (1.2) and (1.3) we have

$$(1.4) \quad J_{ab} + J_{ba} = 0 .$$

Put  $\tilde{J} = \frac{1}{2} J_{ab} \omega_a \wedge \omega_b$ . From (1.4) it follows that  $\tilde{J}$  is a 2-form which is called the *fundamental form associated the almost complex structure  $J$* .

The covariant differential of  $(J_{ab})$ , say  $(J_{ab,c})$ , is defined to be

$$(1.5) \quad \bar{\nabla} J_{ab} := J_{ab,c} \omega_c = dJ_{ab} + J_{cb} \omega_{ca} + J_{ac} \omega_{cb} .$$

It follows from (1.3) that

$$(1.6) \quad J_{ae,c} J_{eb} + J_{ae} J_{eb,c} = 0 .$$

**Definition 1.1.**  $(\bar{M}^{2m}, g, J)$  is called a *nearly Kähler* (or *Tachibana*) *manifold* if the covariant differential  $\bar{\nabla} J$  of  $J$  satisfies  $(\bar{\nabla}_X J)(X) = 0$  for any tangent vector  $X$ .  $\square$

**Remark 1.1.** Equation  $(\bar{\nabla}_X J)(X) = 0$  for any tangent vector  $X$  is equivalent to

$$(1.7) \quad J_{ab,c} + J_{ac,b} = 0 ,$$

for all  $a, b$  and  $c$ .  $\square$

Let  $(\bar{M}^{2m}, g, J)$  be a nearly Kähler manifold. Let  $M^m$  be a totally real submanifold, or a Lagrangian submanifold, of  $\bar{M}^{2m}$ . Then it follows that the image of the tangent space  $T_x M^m$  under the mapping of the almost complex structure is the normal space  $N_x M^m$ , at every point  $x \in M^m$ . From now on, we agree on the following index ranges:

$$1 \leq a, b, c, \dots \leq 2m, \quad 1 \leq i, j, k, \dots \leq m, \quad \text{and} \quad i^* = m + i \quad \text{for} \quad 1 \leq i \leq m .$$

Choose  $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_m; e_{1^*}, e_{2^*}, \dots, e_{m^*}\}$  to be a local orthonormal frame field of the tangent bundle  $T\bar{M}^{2m}$  such that  $e_i$  lies in  $TM^m$  and  $e_{i^*} = Je_i$  lies in  $NM^m$ , for all  $1 \leq i \leq m$ . We call such a kind of frame an *adapted frame field* on  $\bar{M}^{2m}$ .

Let  $\{\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots, \omega_m; \omega_{1^*}, \omega_{2^*}, \dots, \omega_{m^*}\}$  be the associated coframe field. Denote  $(\omega_{ab})$  to be the associated Levi-Civita connection form. Then  $(J_{ab})$  can be expressed as

$$(1.8) \quad J_{ab} = \begin{cases} \delta_{ij}, & a = i, \quad b = j^* ; \\ -\delta_{ij}, & a = i^*, \quad b = j ; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} . \end{cases}$$

From (1.5) and (1.8), we infer

$$(1.9) \quad -\bar{\nabla} J_{i^*j^*} = \bar{\nabla} J_{ij} = \omega_{i^*j} + \omega_{ij^*}, \quad \bar{\nabla} J_{ij^*} = \bar{\nabla} J_{i^*j} = \omega_{i^*j^*} - \omega_{ij}.$$

Restricting (1.1) to  $M^n$ , we get  $\omega_{k^*} = 0$  for all  $k$ . The structure equations of  $M^n$  are

$$(1.10) \quad \begin{cases} d\omega_i = \omega_{ij} \wedge \omega_j; & \Omega_{ij} = \omega_{ik^*} \wedge \omega_{k^*j} + \bar{\Omega}_{ij}; \\ d\omega_{ij} = \omega_{ik} \wedge \omega_{kj} + \Omega_{ij}; & \Omega_{i^*j^*} = \omega_{i^*k} \wedge \omega_{kj^*} + \bar{\Omega}_{i^*j^*}; \\ d\omega_{i^*j^*} = \omega_{i^*k^*} \wedge \omega_{k^*j^*} + \Omega_{i^*j^*}. \end{cases}$$

From (1.1) we have the following relations:

$$\omega_{ij^*} \wedge \omega_i = 0, \quad d\omega_{ij^*} = \omega_{ik} \wedge \omega_{kj^*} + \omega_{il^*} \wedge \omega_{l^*j^*} + \bar{\Omega}_{ij^*}.$$

Denote the curvature form  $(\bar{\Omega}_{ab})$  by

$$(1.11) \quad \bar{\Omega}_{ab} = \frac{1}{2} \bar{R}_{abcd} \omega_c \wedge \omega_d.$$

By Cartan's lemma, we have

$$(1.12) \quad \omega_{ij^*} = h_{ik}^{j^*} \omega_k, \quad h_{ik}^{j^*} = h_{ki}^{j^*}, \quad h_{ijk}^{l^*} = h_{ikj}^{l^*} + \bar{R}_{l^*ijk},$$

where  $(h_{ij^*k}^{l^*})$  is the covariant differential of  $(h_{ij}^{l^*})$  defined by

$$(1.13) \quad \nabla h_{ij}^{l^*} = h_{ijk}^{l^*} \omega_k = dh_{ij}^{l^*} + h_{kj}^{l^*} \omega_{ki} + h_{ik}^{l^*} \omega_{kj} + h_{ij}^{s^*} \omega_{s^*l^*}.$$

The second fundamental form  $\sigma$  and its covariant differential  $\nabla\sigma$  are defined by

$$(1.14) \quad \sigma = h_{ij}^{k^*} \omega_i \omega_j e_{k^*}, \quad \nabla\sigma = (\nabla h_{ij}^{k^*}) \omega_i \omega_j e_{k^*}.$$

From the first equation of (1.9) and (1.12) we derive

$$(1.15) \quad J_{ij,k} = h_{ik}^{j^*} - h_{jk}^{i^*}.$$

It follows from (1.7) and (1.15) that

$$(1.16) \quad 0 = J_{ij,k} + J_{ik,j} = h_{ik}^{j^*} + h_{ij}^{k^*} - 2h_{kj}^{i^*},$$

$$(1.17) \quad 0 = J_{jk,i} + J_{ji,k} = h_{ji}^{k^*} + h_{jk}^{i^*} - 2h_{ik}^{j^*}.$$

From (1.16) and (1.17), we derive

$$(1.18) \quad h_{ik}^{j^*} = h_{jk}^{i^*} \quad \text{or} \quad J_{ij,k} = h_{ik}^{j^*} - h_{jk}^{i^*} = 0.$$

It is known that the shape operator  $A_{e_{j^*}}$  of  $M^m$  with respect to  $e_{j^*}$  can be expressed as  $A_{e_{j^*}}(e_i) = h_{ik}^{j^*} e_k$ . From (1.18) we get the following

**Proposition 1.1.** *Let  $M^m$  be a totally real submanifold of a nearly Kähler manifold  $\bar{M}^{2m}$ . Denote by  $A_\xi$  the shape operator of  $M^m$  with respect to a normal vector field  $\xi$  of  $M^m$ . Then*

$$(1.19) \quad A_{JX}(Y) = A_{JY}(X) ,$$

for any two vector fields  $X$  and  $Y$  tangent to  $M^m$ .

**Remark 1.2.** When  $\bar{M}^{2m}$  is chosen to be the 6-dimensional unit sphere  $S^6$  and  $M^n$  is a 3-dimensional totally submanifold of  $S^6$ , Ejiri [3] has proved (1.19) in different way.  $\square$

As an direct application of Proposition 1.1, we have the following

**Proposition 1.2.** *Let  $M^m$  be a totally real submanifold of a nearly Kähler manifold  $\bar{M}^{2m}$ . Let  $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_m\}$  be a local orthonormal frame field on  $M^m$ . Denote  $A_{i^*} = (h_{jk}^{i^*})$  to be the coefficient matrix of  $A_{e_{i^*}}$  for every  $i$ . Then*

$$(1.20) \quad \text{Tr} \left( \sum_i A_{i^*}^2 \right)^2 = \sum_{i,j} (\text{Tr} A_{i^*} A_{j^*})^2 .$$

**Proof:**

$$\text{Tr} \left( \sum_i A_{i^*}^2 \right)^2 = \sum_{i,j} h_{sk}^{i^*} h_{kl}^{i^*} h_{lr}^{j^*} h_{rs}^{j^*} = \sum_{l,s} h_{ik}^{s^*} h_{ki}^{l^*} h_{jr}^{l^*} h_{rj}^{s^*} = \sum_{l,s} (\text{Tr} A_{l^*} A_{s^*})^2 . \blacksquare$$

We define a skew-symmetric tensor field  $G$  of type (1,2) by

$$(1.21) \quad G(X, Y) = (\bar{\nabla}_X J) Y ,$$

for any vector fields  $X, Y$  on  $\bar{M}^{2m}$ . Then  $G(e_a, e_b) = J_{ab,c} e_c$  for any  $a$  and  $b$ . Moreover it follows from (1.18) that

$$(1.22) \quad G(e_i, e_j) = J_{ij,k^*} e_{k^*} \in NM^m ,$$

for any  $i$  and  $j$ , which implies the following

**Proposition 1.3.** *Let  $M^m$  be a totally real submanifold of a nearly Kähler manifold  $\bar{M}^{2m}$ . Define a skew-symmetric tensor field  $G$  of type  $(1, 2)$  by  $G(X, Y) = (\bar{\nabla}_X J)Y$ . Then  $G(X, Y)$  is normal to  $M$  for any two vector fields  $X$  and  $Y$  tangent to  $M^m$ .*

Let us consider the behavior of  $(h_{ijk}^{l*})$ . From (1.9), (1.13) and (1.20) we infer

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla h_{ij}^{l*} &= h_{ijk}^{l*} \omega_k \\ &= dh_{ij}^{l*} + h_{kj}^{l*} \omega_{ki} + h_{ik}^{l*} \omega_{kj} + h_{ij}^{k*} \omega_{k^*l^*} \\ &= dh_{ij}^{i*} + h_{lj}^{k*} \omega_{ki} + h_{lk}^{i*} \omega_{kj} + h_{kj}^{i*} \omega_{k^*l^*} \\ &= dh_{ij}^{i*} + h_{lk}^{i*} \omega_{kj} + h_{lj}^{k*} (\omega_{k^*i^*} - \bar{\nabla} J_{k^*i}) + h_{kj}^{i*} (\bar{\nabla} J_{k^*l} + \omega_{kl}) \\ &= (dh_{ij}^{i*} + h_{kj}^{i*} \omega_{kl} + h_{lk}^{i*} \omega_{kj} + h_{lj}^{k*} \omega_{k^*i^*}) - h_{lj}^{s*} J_{s^*i,k} \omega_k + h_{sj}^{i*} J_{s^*l,k} \omega_k \\ &= \nabla h_{ij}^{i*} + h_{sj}^{i*} J_{s^*l,k} \omega_k - h_{lj}^{s*} J_{s^*i,k} \omega_k . \end{aligned}$$

After sorting the above equality, we get

$$(1.23) \quad h_{ijk}^{l*} - h_{ljk}^{i*} = h_{ij}^{s*} J_{s^*l,k} - h_{lj}^{s*} J_{s^*i,k} .$$

It follows from (1.14), (1.22) and (1.23) that

$$(1.24) \quad \begin{aligned} g(\nabla\sigma(e_k, e_j, e_i), e_{l^*}) &= g(\nabla\sigma(e_k, e_j, e_l), e_{i^*}) + g(\sigma(e_j, e_i), G(e_l, e_k)) \\ &\quad - g(\sigma(e_j, e_l), G(e_i, e_k)) . \end{aligned}$$

From (1.24) we have, for any  $X = X_k e_k$ ,  $Y = Y_j e_j$ ,  $Z = Z_i e_i$  and  $W = W_l e_l$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} g(\nabla\sigma(X, Y, Z), JW) &= g(\nabla\sigma(X, Y, W), JZ) + g(\sigma(Y, Z), G(W, X)) \\ &\quad - g(\sigma(Y, W), G(Z, X)) . \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we get the following

**Proposition 1.4.** *Let  $M^m$  be a Lagrangian submanifold of a nearly Kähler manifold  $(\bar{M}^{2m}, g, J)$ . Let  $\sigma$  be the second fundamental form of  $M^m$ . Define a skew-symmetric tensor field  $G$  of type  $(1, 2)$  by  $G(X, Y) = (\bar{\nabla}_X J)Y$  for any vector fields  $X$  and  $Y$  tangent to  $M^m$ , where  $\bar{\nabla}$  is the Levi-Civita connection with respect to  $g$ . Then*

$$(1.25) \quad \begin{aligned} g(\nabla\sigma(X, Y, Z), JW) &= g(\nabla\sigma(X, Y, W), JZ) + g(\sigma(Y, Z), G(W, X)) \\ &\quad - g(\sigma(Y, W), G(Z, X)) , \end{aligned}$$

for any vector fields  $X, Y, Z$  and  $W$  tangent to  $M^m$ .

In the next section, we shall give an application to Proposition 1.4.

## 2 – A pinching problem on totally real submanifolds in $S^6$

In this section, we proceed to show an application of Propositions 1.1 and 1.4 to the theory of submanifold. Suppose that  $\bar{M}^{2m}$  is a nearly Kähler manifold of constant curvature 1. Then the curvature tensor of  $\bar{M}^{2m}$  can be represented by

$$(2.1) \quad \bar{R}_{abcd} = \delta_{ad} \delta_{bc} - \delta_{ac} \delta_{bd} .$$

In this case, we have from (1.12) that

$$(2.2) \quad h_{ijk}^{l*} - h_{ikj}^{l*} = \bar{R}_{l^*ijk} = 0 .$$

It is known that the Laplacian of  $h_{ij}^\alpha$  is

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \Delta h_{ij}^{l*} &= h_{ijkk}^{l*} = (\text{Tr } A_{l^*})_{,ij} + (A_{r^*} A_{l^*} A_{r^*})_{ij} - (\text{Tr } A_{l^*} A_{r^*}) h_{ij}^{r*} \\ &\quad + (\text{Tr } A_{r^*}) (A_{l^*} A_{r^*})_{ij} - (A_{l^*} A_{r^*} A_{r^*})_{ij} + (A_{r^*} A_{l^*} A_{r^*})_{ij} \\ &\quad - (A_{r^*} A_{r^*} A_{l^*})_{ij} + n h_{ij}^{l*} - (\text{Tr } A_{l^*}) \delta_{ij} . \end{aligned}$$

Multiplying  $h_{ij}^{l*}$  on both-sides of (2.3) and taking sum with  $i, j$  and  $l$ , we derive

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_{i,j,l} h_{ij}^{l*} \Delta h_{ij}^{l*} &= h_{ij}^{l*} (n H_{l^*})_{,ij} + (\text{Tr } A_{i^*}) \text{Tr}(A_{j^*} A_{j^*} A_{i^*}) + n S - (\text{Tr } A_{i^*})^2 \\ &\quad - \sum_{i,j} \left\{ N(A_{i^*} A_{j^*} - A_{j^*} A_{i^*}) + (S_{i^* j^*})^2 \right\} , \end{aligned}$$

where we denote  $S = \sum_{i,j,k} (h_{ij}^{k*})^2$  the square of the length of the second fundamental form of  $M^n$ ,  $S_{i^* j^*} = \text{Tr}(A_{i^*} A_{j^*})$  and  $N(A_{i^*} A_{j^*} - A_{j^*} A_{i^*}) = -\text{Tr}(A_{i^*} A_{j^*} - A_{j^*} A_{i^*})^2$ . Assume that  $M^m$  is minimal in  $\bar{M}^{2m}$ . Then  $\text{Tr } A_{i^*} = 0$  for all  $i$ . And (2.4) becomes

$$(2.5) \quad \sum_{i,j,k} h_{ij}^{k*} \Delta h_{ij}^{k*} = n S - \sum_{i,j} \left\{ N(A_{i^*} A_{j^*} - A_{j^*} A_{i^*}) + (S_{i^* j^*})^2 \right\} .$$

The Laplacian of  $S$  satisfies

$$(2.6) \quad \frac{1}{2} \Delta S = \sum_{i,j,k,l} (h_{ijk}^{l*})^2 + \sum_{i,j,k} h_{ij}^{k*} \Delta h_{ij}^{k*} .$$

From now on, we assume  $\bar{M}$  to be the 6-dimensional unit sphere with the standard induced metric from 7-dimensional Euclidean space  $\mathbb{R}^7$ . It is known that we can identify  $\mathbb{R}^7$  with the set of all purely imaginary Cayley numbers.



Then  $S^6$  can be equipped with an almost complex structure by the cross product of Cayley numbers. Moreover  $S^6$  is nearly Kählerian but non-Kählerian. This enables us to study the pinching problem on the square of the length of the second fundamental form of 3-dimensional totally real submanifolds in  $S^6$  in new viewpoint.

The main technique is to give a lower estimate to the right-hand side of (2.6). Up to now, the best estimation on the second part of the right-hand side of (2.5) was given by A.M. Li and J.M. Li [7].

**Lemma 2.1** (Li's [7]). *Let  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_p$  be symmetric  $n \times n$ -degree matrices, where  $p \geq 2$ . Denote  $S_{\alpha\beta} = \text{Tr}(A_\alpha^T A_\beta)$  and  $S = S_{11} + S_{22} + \dots + S_{pp}$ . Then*

$$(2.7) \quad \sum_{\alpha, \beta} \left\{ N(A_\alpha A_\beta - A_\beta A_\alpha) + (S_{\alpha\beta})^2 \right\} \leq \frac{3}{2} S^2,$$

where the equality holds if and only if one of the following conditions holds:

- (1)  $A_1 = A_2 = \dots = A_p = 0$ ;
- (2) Only two of  $A_\alpha$ 's are different from zero. If we assume  $A_1 \neq 0, A_2 \neq 0$  and  $A_3 = \dots = A_p = 0$ , then  $S_{11} = S_{22}$ . Furthermore there exists an orthogonal  $n \times n$ -degree matrix  $U$  such that

$$U A_1 U^T = \sqrt{\frac{S}{4}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad U A_2 U^T = \sqrt{\frac{S}{4}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

In the rest of this section, we shall give a lower estimate to  $|\nabla\sigma|^2 = \sum_{i,j,k,l} (h_{ijk}^*)^2$ .

It is easy to see that  $JG(e_i, e_j)$  lies in  $T_x M^3$  and is perpendicular to  $e_i$  and  $e_j$  for any  $i \neq j$ . So we can choose  $e_1, e_2$  and  $e_3$  such that (cf. Ejiri [3])

$$(2.8) \quad JG(e_1, e_2) = e_3, \quad JG(e_2, e_3) = e_1, \quad JG(e_3, e_1) = e_2.$$

It follows from (2.8) that

$$(2.9) \quad J_{12,3^*} = J_{23,1^*} = J_{31,2^*} = -1; \quad \text{and} \quad J_{ij,k^*} = 0, \quad \text{otherwise}.$$

Fixing  $e_1$  and choosing  $e_2$  and  $e_3$  suitably, we can assume  $h_{23}^{1^*} = 0$ . Denote

$$(2.10) \quad h_{12}^{1^*} = x_1, \quad h_{13}^{1^*} = x_2, \quad h_{22}^{1^*} = x_3, \quad h_{33}^{1^*} = x_4, \quad h_{23}^{2^*} = x_5, \quad h_{33}^{2^*} = x_6.$$

Then it follows from (1.18) that  $A_i^*$ 's can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} A_{1^*} &= \begin{pmatrix} -x_3 - x_4 & x_1 & x_2 \\ x_1 & x_3 & 0 \\ x_2 & 0 & x_4 \end{pmatrix}, \\ A_{2^*} &= \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & x_3 & 0 \\ x_3 & -x_1 - x_6 & x_5 \\ 0 & x_5 & x_6 \end{pmatrix}, \\ A_{3^*} &= \begin{pmatrix} x_2 & 0 & x_4 \\ 0 & x_5 & x_6 \\ x_4 & x_6 & -x_2 - x_5 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

And the square of the length of  $\sigma$ , say  $S$ , is expressed as

$$(2.12) \quad S = 4(x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 + x_4^2 + x_5^2 + x_6^2) + 2(x_3x_4 + x_1x_6 + x_2x_5).$$

Let us consider the representations of  $\{h_{ijk}^{l^*}\}$ . Put

$$(2.13) \quad \begin{aligned} h_{123}^{1^*} &= y_1, & h_{122}^{1^*} &= y_2, & h_{133}^{1^*} &= y_3, & h_{112}^{1^*} &= y_4, \\ h_{233}^{1^*} &= y_5, & h_{113}^{1^*} &= y_6, & h_{223}^{1^*} &= y_7. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $M^3$  is minimal implies  $h_{11k}^{l^*} + h_{22k}^{l^*} + h_{33k}^{l^*} = 0$  for any  $k$ , we have

$$(2.14) \quad h_{111}^{1^*} = -y_2 - y_3, \quad h_{222}^{1^*} = -y_4 - y_5, \quad h_{333}^{1^*} = -y_6 - y_7.$$

So we have

$$(2.15) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_{i,j,k} (h_{ijk}^{1^*})^2 &= \sum_i (h_{iii}^{1^*})^2 + 3 \sum_{i \neq j} (h_{ijj}^{1^*})^2 + 6(h_{123}^{1^*})^2 = \\ &= 6y_1^2 + 4(y_2^2 + y_3^2 + y_4^2 + y_5^2 + y_6^2 + y_7^2) + 2(y_2y_3 + y_4y_5 + y_6y_7). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, it follows from (1.23) that

$$(2.16) \quad \begin{aligned} h_{111}^{2^*} &= y_4 + x_2, & h_{112}^{2^*} &= y_2, & h_{113}^{2^*} &= y_1 + x_4, \\ h_{122}^{2^*} &= -y_4 - y_5 + x_5, & h_{123}^{2^*} &= y_7 + x_6, & h_{133}^{2^*} &= y_5 - x_2 - x_5. \end{aligned}$$

Putting

$$(2.17) \quad h_{233}^{2^*} = y_8, \quad h_{223}^{2^*} = y_9,$$

then we have

$$(2.18) \quad h_{222}^{2^*} = -y_2 - y_8, \quad h_{333}^{2^*} = -x_4 - y_1 - y_9.$$

Therefore we infer from (2.16), (2.17) and (2.18),

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.19) \quad \sum_{i,j,k} (h_{ijk}^{2*})^2 &= \sum_i (h_{iii}^{2*})^2 + 3 \sum_{i \neq j} (h_{iij}^{2*})^2 + 6 (h_{123}^{2*})^2 = \\
&= 4y_1^2 + 4y_2^2 + 4y_4^2 + 6y_5^2 + 6y_7^2 + 4y_8^2 + 4y_9^2 + 2y_1y_9 + 2y_2y_8 + 6y_4y_5 \\
&\quad + 8x_4y_1 + (2x_2 - 6x_5)y_4 - (6x_2 + 12x_5)y_5 + 12x_6y_7 + 2x_4y_9 \\
&\quad + 4x_2^2 + 4x_4^2 + 6x_5^2 + 6x_6^2 + 6x_2x_5.
\end{aligned}$$

By the same procedure, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.20) \quad h_{111}^{3*} &= y_6 - x_1, \quad h_{112}^{3*} = y_1 - x_3, \quad h_{113}^{3*} = y_3, \\
h_{122}^{3*} &= y_7 + x_1 + x_6, \quad h_{123}^{3*} = y_5 - x_5, \quad h_{133}^{3*} = -x_6 - y_6 - y_7, \\
h_{222}^{3*} &= y_9 + x_3, \quad h_{223}^{3*} = y_8, \quad h_{233}^{3*} = -y_1 - y_9, \quad h_{333}^{3*} = -y_3 - y_8.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore we infer from (2.20) that

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.21) \quad \sum_{i,j,k} (h_{ijk}^{3*})^2 &= \sum_i (h_{iii}^{3*})^2 + 3 \sum_{i \neq j} (h_{iij}^{3*})^2 + 6 (h_{123}^{3*})^2 = \\
&= 6y_1^2 + 4y_3^2 + 6y_5^2 + 4y_6^2 + 6y_7^2 + 4y_8^2 + 4y_9^2 + 2y_3y_8 + 6y_1y_9 + 6y_6y_7 \\
&\quad - 2x_1y_6 + 2x_3y_9 + 6x_1y_7 + 6x_6y_6 - 6x_3y_1 + 12x_6y_7 - 12x_5y_5 \\
&\quad + 4x_1^2 + 4x_3^2 + 6x_5^2 + 6x_6^2 + 6x_1x_6.
\end{aligned}$$

Denote  $F = |\nabla\sigma|^2$ . Then

$$F = \sum_{i,j,k,l} (h_{ijk}^{l*})^2 = \sum_{i,j,k} (h_{ijk}^{1*})^2 + \sum_{i,j,k} (h_{ijk}^{2*})^2 + \sum_{i,j,k} (h_{ijk}^{3*})^2.$$

It follows from (2.15), (2.19) and (2.21) that

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.22) \quad F &= 16y_1^2 + 8y_2^2 + 8y_3^2 + 8y_4^2 + 16y_5^2 + 8y_6^2 + 16y_7^2 + 8y_8^2 + 8y_9^2 \\
&\quad + 2y_2y_3 + 2y_2y_8 + 2y_3y_8 + 8y_1y_9 + 8y_4y_5 + 8y_6y_7 \\
&\quad + (8x_4 - 6x_3)y_1 + (2x_2 - 6x_5)y_4 - (6x_2 + 24x_5)y_5 \\
&\quad + (6x_6 - 2x_1)y_6 + (6x_1 + 24x_6)y_7 + (2x_4 + 2x_3)y_9 \\
&\quad + 4x_1^2 + 4x_2^2 + 4x_3^2 + 4x_4^2 + 12x_5^2 + 12x_6^2 + 6x_1x_6 + 6x_2x_5.
\end{aligned}$$

It is not difficult to check that the only critical point of  $F$  with respect to  $(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_9)$  is  $P_0 = (y_1^0, y_2^0, \dots, y_9^0)$ , where

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.23) \quad & y_1^0 = \frac{1}{4}(x_3 - x_4), \quad y_2^0 = 0, \quad y_3^0 = 0, \\
 & y_4^0 = -\frac{1}{4}x_2, \quad y_5^0 = \frac{1}{4}(x_2 + 3x_5), \quad y_6^0 = \frac{1}{4}x_1, \\
 & y_7^0 = -\frac{1}{4}(x_1 + 3x_6), \quad y_8^0 = 0, \quad y_9^0 = -\frac{1}{4}x_3.
 \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore we can see that  $P_0$  is the minimum point of  $F$ . Substituting (2.23) into (2.22) and recalling (2.12), we obtain the minimum value of  $F$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.24) \quad F_{min} &= 3x_1^2 + 3x_2^2 + 3x_3^2 + 3x_4^2 + 3x_5^2 + 3x_6^2 \\
 &\quad + \frac{3}{2}x_5x_2 + \frac{3}{2}x_6x_1 + \frac{3}{2}x_3x_4 \\
 &= \frac{3}{4}S.
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we get the following lower estimate to  $|\nabla\sigma|^2$ :

$$(2.25) \quad |\nabla\sigma|^2 = \sum_{i,j,k,l} (h_{ijk}^*)^2 \geq \frac{3}{4}S.$$

It follows from (2.5), (2.6), (2.7) and (2.25) that

$$(3.26) \quad \Delta S \geq 3S \left( \frac{5}{2} - S \right).$$

Inequality (3.26) implies the following

**Proposition 2.1.** *Let  $M^3$  be a closed 3-dimensional totally real submanifold of  $S^6$ . Denote by  $S$  the square of the length of the second fundamental form of  $M^3$ . If  $S < 5/2$ , then  $M^3$  is totally geodesic.*

*ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS* – The author is very grateful to Professor A. Machado for his kind help and good advice. He would like to express his heartfelt gratitude to faculty and staffs of CMAF/UL for their hospitality during his stay and study in Portugal. And he wish to thank referee for his (her) earnest recommendation.

## REFERENCES

- [1] CHEN, B.Y. and OGIUE, K. – On totally real submanifolds, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 193 (1974), 257–266.
- [2] CHERN, S.S.; CARMO, M.P. and KOBAYASHI, S. – *Minimal submanifolds of a sphere with second fundamental form of constant length*, in “Functional Analysis and Related Fields” (Proc. Conf. for M. Stone, Univ. Chicago, Chicago, Ill., 1968), Springer-Verlag, New York, 1970, 59–75.
- [3] EJIRI, N. – Totally real submanifolds in a 6-sphere, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 83(4) (1981), 759–763.
- [4] GRAY, A. – Nearly Kähler Manifolds, *J. Diff. Geom.*, 4 (1970), 283–309.
- [5] GRAY, A. – Riemannian manifolds with geodesic symmetries of order 3, *J. Diff. Geom.*, 7 (1972), 343–369.
- [6] GRAY, A. – The Structure of Nearly Kähler Manifolds, *Math. Ann.*, 223 (1976), 233–248.
- [7] LI, A.M. and LI, J.M. – An intrinsic rigidity theorem for minimal submanifolds in a sphere, *Arch. Math.*, 58 (1992), 582–594.
- [8] MATSUMOTO, M. – On 6-dimensional almost Tachibana space, *Tensor, N. S.*, 23 (1972), 250–252.
- [9] SIMONS, J. – Minimal varieties in riemannian manifolds, *Ann. of Math.*, 88 (1968), 62–105.
- [10] TAKAMATSU, K. – Some properties of 6-dimensional K-space, *Kodai Math. Sem. Rep.*, 23 (1971), 215–232.
- [11] WATANABE, Y. and TAKAMATSU, K. – On a  $K$ -space of constant holomorphic sectional curvature, *Kodai Math. Sem. Rep.*, 25 (1973), 297–306.
- [12] YAMAGUCHI, S.; CHŪMAN, G. and MATSUMOTO, M. – On a special almost Tachibana space, *Tensor, N. S.*, 24 (1972), 351–354.

Zhong Hua Hou,

Department of Applied Mathematics, Dalian University of Technology,  
Dalian 116024, Liaoning – PEOPLE’S REPUBLIC OF CHINA

E-mail: [zhhou@dlut.edu.cn](mailto:zhhou@dlut.edu.cn)

and

CMAF, Universidade de Lisboa,  
Av. Prof. Gama Pinto, 2, 1649-003 Lisboa – PORTUGAL

E-mail: [zhhou@lmc.fc.ul.pt](mailto:zhhou@lmc.fc.ul.pt)