

J. A. Vargas\*

## RESTRICTION OF HOLOMORPHIC DISCRETE SERIES TO REAL FORMS

**Abstract.** Let  $G$  be a connected linear semisimple Lie group having a Holomorphic Discrete Series representation  $\pi$ . Let  $H$  be a connected reductive subgroup of  $G$  so that the global symmetric space attached to  $H$  is a real form of the Hermitian symmetric space associated to  $G$ . Fix a maximal compact subgroup  $K$  of  $G$  so that  $H \cap K$  is a maximal compact subgroup for  $H$ . Let  $\tau$  be the lowest  $K$ -type for  $\pi$  and let  $\tau_*$  denote the restriction of  $\tau$  to  $H \cap K$ . In this note we prove that the restriction of  $\pi$  to  $H$  is unitarily equivalent to the unitary representation of  $H$  induced by  $\tau_*$ .

### 1. Introduction

For any Lie group, we denote its Lie algebra by the corresponding German lower case letter. In order to denote complexification of either a real Lie group or a real Lie algebra we add the subindex  $c$ . Let  $G$  be a connected matrix semisimple Liegroup. Henceforth, we assume that the homogeneous space  $G/K$  is Hermitian symmetric. Let  $H$  be a connected semisimple subgroup of  $G$  and fix a maximal compact subgroup  $K$  of  $G$  such that  $K_1 := H \cap K$  is a maximal compact subgroup of  $H$ . From now on we assume that  $H/K_1$  is a real form of the complex manifold  $G/K$ . Let  $(\pi, V)$  be a Holomorphic Discrete Series representation for  $G$ . Let  $(\tau, W)$  be the lowest  $K$ -type for  $(\pi, V)$ . For the definition and properties of lowest  $K$ -type of a Discrete Series representation we refer to [7]. Let  $(\tau_*, W)$  denote the restriction of  $\tau$  to  $K_1$ . We then have:

**THEOREM 1.** *The restriction of  $(\pi, V)$  to  $H$  is unitarily equivalent to the unitary representation of  $H$  induced by  $(\tau_*, W)$ .*

Thus, after the work of Harish-Chandra and Camporesi [1] we have that the restriction of  $\pi$  to  $H$  is unitarily equivalent to

$$\sum_{j=1}^r \int_{v \in \mathfrak{a}^*} \text{Ind}_{MAN}^H(\sigma_j \otimes e^{iv} \otimes 1) dv.$$

Here,  $MAN$  is a minimal parabolic subgroup of  $H$  so that  $M \subset K_1$ , and  $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_r$  are the irreducible factors of  $\tau$  restricted to  $M$ . Whenever,  $\tau$  is a one dimensional

\*Partially supported by CONICET, FONCYT (Pict 03-03950), Agencia Cordoba Ciencia, SECYTUNC (Argentina), PICS 340, SECYT-ECOS A98E05 (France), ICTP (Trieste), CONICYT (Chile).

representation, the sum is unitarily equivalent to

$$\int_{\nu \in \mathfrak{a}^*/W(H,A)} \text{Ind}_{MAN}^H(1 \otimes e^{i\nu} \otimes 1) d\nu$$

as it follows from the computation in [13], and, hence, our result agrees with the one obtained by Olafsson and Orsted in [13].

The symmetric pairs  $(G, H)$  that satisfy the above hypothesis have been classified by A. Jaffee in [4, 5], A very good source about the subject is by Olafsson in [11], they are:

$(su(p, q), so(p, q)); (su(n, n), sl(n, \mathbb{C}) + \mathbb{R});$   
 $(su(2p, 2q), sp(p, q)); (so^*(2n), so(n, \mathbb{C})); (so^*(4n), su^*(2n) + \mathbb{R});$   
 $(so(2, p+q), so(p, 1) + so(p, 1)); (sp(n, \mathbb{R}), sl(n, \mathbb{R}) + \mathbb{R}); (sp(2n, \mathbb{R}), sp(n, \mathbb{C}));$   
 $(e_{6(-14)}, sp(2, 2)); (e_{6(-14)}, f_{4(-20)}); (e_{7(-25)}, e_{6(-26)} + \mathbb{R}); (e_{7(-25)}, su^*(8));$   
 $(su(p, q) \times su(p, q), sl(p+q, \mathbb{C})); (so^*(2n) \times so^*(2n), so(2n, \mathbb{C}));$   
 $(so(2, n) \times so(2, n), so(n+2, \mathbb{C})); (sp(n, \mathbb{R}) \times sp(2n, \mathbb{R}), sp(n, \mathbb{C}));$   
 $(e_{6(-14)} \times e_{6(-14)}, e_6); (e_{7(-25)} \times e_{7(-25)}, e_7).$

For classical groups we can compute specific examples of the decomposition of  $\tau$  restricted to  $M$  by means of the results of Koike and other authors as stated in [9].

For an update of results on restriction of unitary irreducible representations we refer to the excellent announcement, survey of T. Kobayashi [8] and references therein.

## 2. Proof of the Theorem

In order to prove the Theorem we need to recall some Theorems and prove a few Lemmas. For this end, we fix compatible Iwasawa decompositions  $G = KAN$ ,  $H = K_1A_1N_1$  with  $K_1 = H \cap K$ ,  $A_1 \subset A$ ,  $N_1 \subset N$ . We denote by  $\|X\| = \sqrt{-B(X, \theta X)}$  the norm of  $\mathfrak{g}$  determined by the Killing form  $B$  and the Cartan involution  $\theta$ .

LEMMA 1. *The restriction to  $H$  of any  $K$ -finite matrix coefficient of  $(\pi, V)$  is in  $L^2(H)$ .*

*Proof.* We first consider the case that the real rank of  $H$  is equal to the real rank of  $G$ . Let  $f$  be a  $K$ -finite matrix coefficient of  $(\pi, V)$ . For  $X \in \mathfrak{a}$ , we set  $\rho_H(X) = \frac{1}{2} \text{trace}(ad_H(X)|_{\mathfrak{n}_1})$ . For an  $ad(\mathfrak{a})$ -invariant subspace  $R$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$ , let  $\Psi(\mathfrak{a}, R)$  denote the roots of  $\mathfrak{a}$  in  $R$ . Let  $A_G^+$ ,  $A_H^+$  be the positive closed Weyl chambers for  $\Psi(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{n})$ ,  $\Psi(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{n}_1)$  respectively. Then  $A_G^+ \subset A_H^+$ . Let  $\Psi_1 := \Psi(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{n}), \dots, \Psi_s$  be the positive root systems in  $\Psi(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{g})$  such that  $\Psi_i \supset \Psi(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{n}_1)$ . Let  $A_i^+$  denote the positive closed Weyl chamber associated to  $\Psi_i$ . Thus,  $A_H^+ = A_1^+ \cup \dots \cup A_s^+$ . For each  $i$ , let  $\rho_i(X) = \frac{1}{2} \text{trace}(ad(X)|_{\sum_{\alpha \in \Psi_i} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha})$ . For  $X \in A_i^+$  we have that  $\rho_i(X) \geq \rho_H(X)$ . Indeed, for  $\alpha \in \Psi_i$ , if  $\alpha \in \Psi_i \cap \Psi(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{n}_1) = \Psi(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{n}_1)$ , then the multiplicity of  $\alpha$  as a  $\mathfrak{g}$ -root is equal to or bigger than the multiplicity of  $\alpha$  as a  $\mathfrak{h}$ -root, if  $\alpha \in \Psi_i - \Psi(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{n}_1)$ , then  $\alpha_i(X) \geq 0$ . Thus,

$$\rho_i(X) \geq \rho_H(X) \text{ for every } X \in A_i^+.$$

We now recall the  $\Xi$  and  $\sigma$  functions for  $G$  and  $H$  and the usual estimates for  $\Xi$ . (cf. [7] page 188). For  $Y \in \mathfrak{a}$ ,  $x \in G$  put  $\rho_G(Y) = \frac{1}{2}\text{trace}(\text{ad}_{\mathfrak{n}}(Y))$ , and

$$\Xi_G(x) = \int_K e^{-\rho_G(H(xk))} dk.$$

Here,  $H(x)$  is uniquely defined by the equation  $x = k \exp(H(x))n$ , ( $k \in K$ ,  $H(x) \in \mathfrak{a}$ ,  $n \in N$ ). If  $x = k \exp(X)$ , ( $k \in K$ ,  $X \in \mathfrak{s}$ ,  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{s}$ , Cartan decomposition for  $\mathfrak{g}$ ), we put  $\sigma_G(x) = \|X\|$ . Since the group  $H$  might be reductive we follow [3] page 106, 129 in order to define  $\sigma_H$ . Now, all the norms in a finite dimensional vector space are equivalent. Thus, have that  $\sigma_G \ll \sigma_H \ll \sigma_G$ . The estimates are:

$$\begin{aligned} \Xi_G(\exp(X)) &\leq c_G e^{-\rho_i(X)} (1 + \sigma_G(\exp(X)))^r \\ &\text{with } r > 0, 0 < c_G < \infty, X \in A_i^+, i = 1, \dots, s, \text{ and} \\ e^{-\rho_H(X)} &\leq \Xi_H(\exp(X)) \leq c_H e^{-\rho_H(X)} (1 + \sigma_H(\exp(X)))^{r_1} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, for  $X \in A_i^+$  we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \Xi_G(\exp X) &\leq c_G (1 + \sigma_G(\exp X))^r e^{-\rho_i(X)} \\ &= e^{-\rho_H(X)} c_G (1 + \sigma_G(\exp X))^r e^{\rho_H(X) - \rho_i(X)} \\ &\leq \Xi_H(\exp X) c_G (1 + \sigma_G(\exp X))^r e^{\rho_H(X) - \rho_i(X)}. \end{aligned}$$

Since on  $A_i^+$  we have the inequality  $\rho_H(X) - \rho_i(X) \leq 0$ , and  $i$  is arbitrary from  $1, \dots, s$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \Xi_G(k_1 a k_2) &= \Xi_G(a) \leq \Xi_H(a) c_G (1 + \sigma_G(a))^r \\ &\text{for } a \in \exp(A_H^+), k_1, k_2 \in K_1. \end{aligned}$$

Now, Trombi and Varadarajan [16], have proven that for any  $K$ -finite matrix coefficient of a Discrete Series representation of the group  $G$  the following estimate holds,

$$\begin{aligned} |f(x)| &\leq c_f \Xi_G^{1+\gamma}(x) (1 + \sigma_G(x))^q \\ \forall x \in G, &\text{ with } 0 < c_f < \infty, \gamma > 0, q \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, for  $a \in \exp(A_H^+)$ ,  $k_1, k_2 \in K_1$ , we have:

$$\begin{aligned} |f(k_1 a k_2)|^2 &\leq C \Xi_H(a)^{2+2\gamma} (1 + \sigma_G(a))^{2(q+r(\gamma+1))} \\ &\leq C e^{(-2-2\gamma)\rho_H(\log a)} (1 + \sigma_G(a))^{2(q+\gamma r+r)} (1 + \sigma_H(a))^{r_1(1+\gamma)}. \end{aligned}$$

We set  $R = 2(q + \gamma r + r) + 2r_1(1 + \gamma)$ , since  $\sigma_G(\exp Y) = \sigma_H(\exp Y)$ . The integration formula for the decomposition  $H = K_1 \exp(A_H^+) K_1$  yields:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_H |f(x)|^2 dx &= \int_{A_H^+} \Delta(Y) \int_{K_1 \times K_1} |f(k_1 \exp(Y) k_2)|^2 dk_1 dk_2 dY \\ &\leq C \int_{A_H^+} \Delta(Y) e^{(-2-2\gamma)\rho_H(Y)} (1 + \sigma_G(\exp Y))^R dY \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\Delta(Y) \leq C_H e^{2\rho_H(Y)}$  on  $A_H^+$ , ( $C_H < \infty$ ) and  $\sigma_G(\exp Y)$  is of polynomial growth on  $Y$ . We may conclude that the restriction to  $H$  of  $f$  is square integrable in  $H$ , proving Lemma 1 for the equal rank case.

For the nonequal rank case let  $A_H^+$  be the closed Weyl chamber in  $\mathfrak{a}_1$  corresponding to  $N_1$ . Let  $C_1, \dots, C_s$  be the closed Weyl chambers in  $\mathfrak{a}$  so that  $\text{interior}(A_H^+) \cap C_j \neq \emptyset$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, s$ . Thus,  $A_H^+ = \cup_j (A_H^+ \cap C_j)$  and

$$\int_{A_H^+} |f(\exp Y)|^2 \Delta(Y) dY \leq \sum_j \int_{C_j \cap A_H^+} |f(\exp Y)|^2 \Delta(Y) dY.$$

Let  $\rho_j(Y) = \frac{1}{2} \text{trace}(ad(Y)|_{\sum_{\alpha \in (C_j) > 0} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha})$ . Then, as before, on  $C_j \cap A_H^+$  we have

$$|f(\exp Y)|^2 \ll e^{2(\rho_H(Y) - \rho_j(Y))} (1 + \|Y\|^2)^R e^{-2\gamma\rho_j(Y)}.$$

If  $\alpha \in \Phi(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{n}(C_j))$ , the restriction  $\beta$  of  $\alpha$  to  $\mathfrak{a}_H$  is either zero, or a restricted root for  $(\mathfrak{a}_H, \mathfrak{n}_1)$ , or a nonzero linear functional on  $\mathfrak{a}_H$ . In the last two cases we have that  $\beta(C_j \cap A_H^+) \geq 0$ , and if  $\beta$  is a restricted root, the multiplicity of  $\beta$  is less or equal than the multiplicity of  $\alpha$ . Finally, we recall that any  $\beta \in \Psi(\mathfrak{a}_H, \mathfrak{n}_1)$  is the restriction of a positive root for  $C_j$ . Thus,  $e^{2(\rho_H(Y) - \rho_j(Y))} \leq 1$ , and  $\rho_j(Y) \geq 0$  for every  $Y \in A_H^+$ . Hence,  $|f(\exp(Y))|^2 \Delta(Y)$  is dominated by an exponential whose integral is convergent. This concludes the proof of Lemma 1.  $\square$

REMARK 1. Under our hypothesis we have the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \Xi_G(k_1 a k_2) &= \Xi_G(a) \leq \Xi_H(a) c_G (1 + \sigma_G(a))^r \\ &\text{for } a \in \exp(A_H^+), k_1, k_2 \in K_1. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $(\pi, V)$  be a Holomorphic Discrete Series representation for  $G$  and let  $(\tau, W)$  denote the lowest  $K$ -type for  $\pi$ . Let  $E$  be the homogeneous vector bundle over  $G/K$  attached to  $(\tau, W)$ .  $G$  acts on the sections of  $E$  by left translation. We fix a  $G$ -invariant inner product on sections of  $E$ . The corresponding space of square integrable sections is denoted by  $L^2(E)$ . Since  $(\pi, V)$  is a holomorphic representation we may choose a  $G$ -invariant holomorphic structure on  $G/K$  such that the  $L^2$ -kernel of  $\bar{\partial}$  is a realization of  $(\pi, V)$ . That is,  $V := \text{Ker}(\bar{\partial} : L^2(E) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^\infty(E \otimes T^*(G/K)^{0,1}))$ . (cf. [7], [10], [14]). Since  $H \subset G$  and  $K_1 = H \cap K$  we have that  $H/K_1 \subset G/K$  and the  $H$ -homogeneous vector bundle  $E_\star$  over  $H/K_1$ , determined by  $\tau_\star$  is contained in  $E$ . Thus, we may restrict smooth sections of  $E$  to  $E_\star$ . From now on, we think of  $(\pi, V)$  as the  $L^2$ -kernel of the  $\bar{\partial}$  operator.

LEMMA 2. *Let  $f$  be a holomorphic square integrable section of  $E$  and assume that  $f$  is left  $K$ -finite. Then the restriction of  $f$  to  $H/K_1$  is also square integrable.*

*Proof.* Since the  $\bar{\partial}$  operator is elliptic, the  $L^2$ -topology on its kernel  $V$  is stronger than the topology of uniform convergence on compact subsets. Therefore, the evaluation

map at a point in  $G/K$  is a continuous map from  $V$  to  $W$  in the  $L^2$ -topology on  $V$ . We denote by  $\lambda$  evaluation at the coset  $eK$ . Fix an orthonormal basis  $v_1, \dots, v_m$  for  $W$ . Thus  $\lambda = \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i v_i$  where the  $\lambda_i$  are in the topological dual to  $V$ . We claim that the  $\lambda_i$  are  $K$ -finite. In fact: if  $k \in K$ ,  $v \in V$ ,  $(L_k \lambda)(f) = \sum_i [(L_k \lambda_i)(f)] \otimes v_i = f(k^{-1}) = \tau(k) f(e) = \sum_i \lambda_i(f) \tau(k) v_i = \sum_i \sum_j c_{ij}(k) \lambda_i(f) v_j = \sum_i [\sum_j c_{ji} \lambda_j(f)] \otimes v_i$ . Thus  $L_k(\lambda_i)$  belongs to the subspace spanned by  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m$ . Now,  $f(x) = \lambda(L_x f) = \sum_i \lambda_i(L_x f) v_i = \sum_i \langle L_x f, \lambda_i \rangle v_i$ . Here,  $\langle, \rangle$  denotes the  $G$ -invariant inner product on  $V$  and  $\lambda_i$  the vector in  $V$  that represents the linear functional  $\lambda_i$ . Since  $f$  and  $\lambda_i$  are  $K$ -finite, Lemma 1 says that the functions  $x \mapsto \langle L_x f, \lambda_i \rangle$  are in  $L^2(E_*)$ . □

Therefore the restriction map from  $V$  to  $L^2(E_*)$  is well defined on the subspace of  $K$ -finite vectors in  $V$ . Let  $D$  be the subspace of functions on  $V$  such that their restriction to  $H$  is square integrable. Lemma 2 implies that  $D$  is a dense subspace in  $V$ . We claim that the restriction map  $r : D \rightarrow L^2(E_*)$  is a closed linear transformation. In fact, if  $f_n$  is a sequence in  $D$  that converges in  $L^2$  to  $f \in V$  and such that  $r(f_n)$  converges to  $g \in L^2(E_*)$ , then, since  $f_n$  converges uniformly on compacts to  $f$ ,  $g$  is equal to  $r(f)$  almost everywhere. That is,  $f \in D$ . Since  $r$  is a closed linear transformation, it is equal to the product

$$(1) \quad r = UP$$

of a positive semidefinite linear operator  $P$  on  $V$  times a unitary linear map  $U$  from  $V$  to  $L^2(E_*)$ . Moreover, if  $X$  is the closure of the image of  $r$  in  $L^2(E_*)$ , then the image of  $U$  is  $X$ . Besides, whenever  $r$  is injective,  $U$  is an isometry of  $V$  onto  $X$  ([2], 13.9). Since  $r$  is  $H$ -equivariant we have that  $U$  is  $H$ -equivariant ([2], 13.13). In order to continue we need to recall the Borel embedding of a bounded symmetric domain and to make more precise the realization of the holomorphic Discrete Series  $(\pi, V)$  as the square integrable holomorphic sections of a holomorphic vector bundle. Since  $G$  is a linear Lie group,  $G$  is the identity connected component of the set of real points of a complex connected semisimple Lie group  $G_{\mathbb{C}}$ . The  $G$ -invariant holomorphic structure on  $G/K$  determines a splitting  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{p}_- \oplus \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{p}_+$  so that  $\mathfrak{p}_-$  becomes isomorphic to the holomorphic tangent space of  $G/K$  at the identity coset. Let  $P_-, K_{\mathbb{C}}, P_+$  be the associated complex analytic subgroups of  $G_{\mathbb{C}}$ . Then, the map  $P_- \times K_{\mathbb{C}} \times P_+ \rightarrow G_{\mathbb{C}}$  defined by multiplication is a diffeomorphism onto an open dense subset in  $G_{\mathbb{C}}$ . Hence, for each  $g \in G$  we may write  $g = p_-(g)k(g)p_+(g) = p_-k(g)p_+$  with  $p_- \in P_-, k(g) \in K_{\mathbb{C}}, p_+ \in P_+$ . Moreover, there exists a connected, open and bounded domain  $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathfrak{p}_-$  such that  $G \subset \exp(\mathcal{D})K_{\mathbb{C}}P_+$  and such that the map

$$(2) \quad g \longrightarrow p_-(g)k(g)p_+(g) \longrightarrow \log(p_-(g)) \in \mathfrak{p}_-$$

gives rise to a biholomorphism between  $G/K$  and  $\mathcal{D}$ . The identity coset corresponds to 0. Now we consider the embedding of  $H$  into  $G$ . Our hypothesis on  $H$  implies that there exists a real linear subspace  $\mathfrak{q}_0$  of  $\mathfrak{p}_-$  so that  $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} \mathfrak{q}_0 = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathfrak{p}_-$  and  $H \cdot 0 = \mathcal{D} \cap \mathfrak{q}_0$ . In fact, let  $J$  denote complex multiplication on the tangent space of  $G/K$ , then  $\mathfrak{q}_0$  is

the subspace  $\{X - iJX\}$  where  $X$  runs over the tangent space of  $H/K_1$  at the identity coset. Let  $E$  be the holomorphic vector bundle over  $G/K$  attached to  $(\tau, W)$ . As it was pointed out we assume that  $(\pi, V)$  is the space of square integrable holomorphic sections for  $E$ . We consider the real analytic vector bundle  $E_\star$  over  $H/K_1$  attached to  $(\tau_\star, W)$ . Thus  $E_\star \subset E$ . The restriction map  $r : \mathcal{C}^\infty(E) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^\infty(E_\star)$  maps the  $K$ -finite vectors  $V_F$  of  $V$  into  $L^2(E_\star)$ . Because we are in the situation  $H/K_1 = \mathcal{D} \cap \mathfrak{q}_0 \subset \mathcal{D} \subset \mathfrak{p}_-$  and  $H/K_1$  is a real form of  $G/K$ ,  $r$  is one to one when restricted to the subspace of holomorphic sections of  $E$ . Thus,  $r : V \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^\infty(E_\star)$  is one to one. Hence,  $U$  gives rise to a unitary equivalence (as  $H$ -module) from  $V$  to a subrepresentation of  $L^2(E_\star)$ . We need to show that the map  $U$ , defined in (1), is onto, equivalently to show that the image of  $r$  is dense. To this end, we use the fact that the holomorphic vector bundle  $E$  is holomorphically trivial. We now follow [6]. We recall that

$$\mathcal{C}^\infty(E) = \{F : G \rightarrow W, F(gk) = \tau(k)^{-1}F(g) \text{ and smooth}\}.$$

$$\mathcal{O}(E) = \{F : G \rightarrow W, F(gk) = \tau(k)^{-1}F(g) \text{ smooth and } R_Y f = 0 \forall Y \in \mathfrak{p}_+\}.$$

We also recall that  $(\tau, W)$  extends to a holomorphic representation of  $K_{\mathbb{C}}$  in  $W$  and to  $K_{\mathbb{C}}P_+$  as the trivial representation of  $P_+$ . We denote this extension by  $\tau$ . Let  $\mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathcal{D}, W) = \{f : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow W, f \text{ is smooth}\}$ . Then, the following correspondence defines a linear bijection from  $\mathcal{C}^\infty(E)$  to  $\mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathcal{D}, W)$  :

$$(3) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}^\infty(E) \ni F &\leftrightarrow f \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathcal{D}, W) \\ F(g) &= \tau(k(g))^{-1}f(g \cdot 0), \quad f(z) = \tau(k(g))F(g), \quad z = g \cdot 0 \end{aligned}$$

Here,  $k(g)$  is as in (2). Note that  $\tau(k(gk)) = \tau(k(g))\tau(k)$ . Moreover, the map (3) takes holomorphic sections onto holomorphic functions. The action of  $G$  in  $E$  by left translation, corresponds to the following

$$(4) \quad (g \cdot f)(z) = \tau(k(x))\tau(k(g^{-1}x))^{-1}f(g^{-1} \cdot z) \quad \text{for } z = x \cdot 0$$

Thus,  $(k \cdot f)(z) = \tau(k)f(k^{-1} \cdot z), k \in K$ . The  $G$ -invariant inner product on  $E$  corresponds to the inner product on  $\mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathcal{D}, W)$  whose norm is

$$(5) \quad \|f\|^2 = \int_G \|\tau(k(g))^{-1}f(g \cdot 0)\|^2 dg$$

Actually, the integral is over the  $G$ -invariant measure on  $\mathcal{D}$  because the integrand is invariant under the right action of  $K$  on  $G$ . We denote by  $L^2(\tau)$  the space of square integrable functions from  $\mathcal{D}$  into  $W$  with respect to the inner product (5). Now, in [14] it is proved that the  $K$ -finite holomorphic sections of  $E$  are in  $L^2(E)$ . Hence, Lemma 2 implies that

$$(6) \quad \text{the } K\text{-finite holomorphic functions from } \mathcal{D} \text{ into } W \text{ are in } L^2(\tau).$$

Via the Killing form,  $\mathfrak{p}_-, \mathfrak{p}_+$  are in duality. Thus, we identify the space of holomorphic polynomial functions from  $\mathcal{D}$  into  $W$  with the space  $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{p}_+) \otimes W$ . The action (4) of  $K$  becomes the tensor product of the adjoint action on  $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{p}_+)$  with the  $\tau$  action of  $K$  in

$W$ . Thus, (6) implies that  $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{p}_+) \otimes W$  are the  $K$ -finite vectors in  $L^2(\tau) \cap \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{D}, W)$ . In particular, the constant functions from  $\mathcal{D}$  to  $W$  are in  $L^2(\tau)$ . The sections of the homogeneous vector bundle  $E_\star$  over  $H/K_1$  are the functions from  $H$  to  $W$  such that  $f(hk) = \tau(k)^{-1}f(h)$ ,  $k \in K_1$ ,  $h \in H$ . We identify sections of  $E_\star$  with functions from  $\mathcal{D} \cap \mathfrak{q}_0$  into  $W$  via the map (3). Thus,  $L^2(E_\star)$  is identified with the space of functions

$$L^2(\tau_\star) := \{f : \mathcal{D} \longrightarrow W, \int_H \|\tau(k(h))^{-1}f(h \cdot 0)\|^2 dh < \infty\}$$

The action on  $L^2(\tau_\star)$  is as in (4). Now, the restriction map for functions from  $\mathcal{D}$  into  $W$  to functions from  $\mathcal{D} \cap \mathfrak{q}_0$  into  $W$  is equal to the map (3) followed by restriction of sections from  $\mathcal{D}$  to  $\mathcal{D} \cap \mathfrak{q}_0$  followed by (3). Therefore, Lemma 2 together with (6) imply that the restriction to  $\mathcal{D} \cap \mathfrak{q}_0$  of a  $K$ -finite holomorphic function from  $\mathcal{D}$  to  $W$  is an element of  $L^2(\tau_\star)$ . Since  $\mathfrak{q}_0$  is a real form of  $\mathfrak{p}_-$  when we restrict holomorphic polynomials in  $\mathfrak{p}_-$  to  $\mathfrak{q}_0$  we obtain all the polynomial functions in  $\mathfrak{q}_0$ . Thus, all the polynomial functions from  $\mathfrak{q}_0$  into  $W$  are in  $L^2(\tau_\star)$ . In particular, we have that

$$(7) \quad \int_H \|\tau(k(h))^{-1}v\|^2 dh < \infty, \forall v \in W$$

Now, given  $\epsilon > 0$  and a compactly supported continuous function  $f$  from  $\mathcal{D} \cap \mathfrak{q}_0$  to  $W$ , the Stone-Weierstrass Theorem produces a polynomial function  $p$  from  $\mathfrak{q}_0$  into  $W$  so that  $\|f(x) - p(x)\| \leq \epsilon$ ,  $x \in \overline{\mathcal{D}} \cap \mathfrak{q}_0$ . Formula (7) says that  $\|f - p\|_{L^2(\tau_\star)} \leq \epsilon$ . Hence, the image by the restriction map of  $V = \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{D}, W) \cap L^2(\tau)$  is a dense subset. Thus, the linear transformation  $U$  in (1) is a unitary equivalence from  $V$  to  $L^2(\tau_\star)$ . Therefore, Theorem 1 is proved.

REMARK 2. For a holomorphic unitary irreducible representations which is not necessarily square integrable, condition (7) is exactly the condition used by Olafsson in [12] to show an equivalent statement to Theorem 1.

**References**

[1] CAMPORESI R., *The Helgason-Fourier transform for homogeneous vector bundles over Riemannian symmetric spaces*, Pacific J. of Math. **179** 2 (1997), 263–300.

[2] FELL AND DORAN, *Representations of \*-algebras, locally compact groups and Banach \*-algebraic bundles*, Academic Press, 1988.

[3] HARISH-CHANDRA, *Harmonic analysis on real reductive groups; I. The theory of constant term*, J. Funct. Anal. **19** (1975), 104–204.

[4] JAFFEE A., *Real forms of Hermitian symmetric spaces*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **81** (1975), 456–458.

- [5] JAFFEE A., *Anti-holomorphic automorphism of the exceptional symmetric domains*, J. Diff. Geom. **13** (1978), 79–86.
- [6] JACOBSEN-VERGNE, *Restriction and expansions of holomorphic representations*, J. Funct. Anal. **34** (1979), 29–53.
- [7] KNAPP A. W., *Representation theory of semisimple groups*, Princeton Mathematical Series, Princeton Univ. Press, 1986.
- [8] KOBAYASHI T., *Harmonic analysis on homogeneous manifolds of reductive type and unitary representation theory*, in: “Selected papers on harmonic analysis, groups and invariants” (Nomizu K. ed.), Amer. Math Soc. Transl. Ser. 2 **183** (1999), 1–33.
- [9] KOIKE K., *On representations of the classical groups*, in: “Selected papers on harmonic analysis, groups and invariants” (Nomizu K. ed.), Amer. Math Soc. Transl. Ser. 2 **183** (1999), 79–100.
- [10] NARASIMHAN M.S. AND OKAMOTO K., *An analogue of the Borel-Weil-Bott Theorem for hermitian symmetric pairs of non compact type*, Annals of Math. **91** (1970), 486–511.
- [11] OLAFSSON G., *Symmetric spaces of hermitian type, differential geometry and its applications* **1** (1991), 195–233.
- [12] OLAFSSON G., *Analytic continuation in representation theory and harmonic analysis, global analysis and harmonic analysis* (Bourguignon J. P., Branson T. and Hijazi O. eds.), Seminaires et Congres **4** (2000), 201–233.
- [13] OLAFSSON G. AND ORSTED B., *Generalizations of the Bargmann transforms*, in: “Proceedings of Workshop on Lie Theory and its applications in Physics” (Dobrev, Clausthal, Hilgert eds.), Clausthal, August 1996.
- [14] SCHMID W., *Homogeneous complex manifolds and representations of semisimple Lie groups*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA **59** (1968), 56–59.
- [15] SCHMID W.,  *$L^2$ -cohomology and the discrete series*, Annals of Math. **103** (1976), 375–394.
- [16] TROMBI-VARADARAJAN, *Asymptotic behavior of eigenfunctions on a semisimple Lie group: the discrete spectrum*, Acta Mathematica **129** (1972), 237–280.



**AMS Subject Classification: 22E46.**

Jorge A. VARGAS  
FAMAF-CIEM  
Universidad Nacional de Córdoba  
5000 Córdoba, ARGENTINA  
e-mail: [vargas@famaf.unc.edu.ar](mailto:vargas@famaf.unc.edu.ar)

*Lavoro pervenuto in redazione il 05.03.2001 e, in forma definitiva, il 29.09.2002.*

