

A Method for Weight Multiplicity Computation Based on Berezin Quantization

David BAR-MOSHE

Dune Medical Devices Ltd., P.O. Box 3131, Caesarea Industrial Park, Israel

E-mail: david@dunemedical.com

Received July 26, 2009, in final form September 16, 2009; Published online September 25, 2009

[doi:10.3842/SIGMA.2009.091](https://doi.org/10.3842/SIGMA.2009.091)

Abstract. Let G be a compact semisimple Lie group and T be a maximal torus of G . We describe a method for weight multiplicity computation in unitary irreducible representations of G , based on the theory of Berezin quantization on G/T . Let $\Gamma_{\text{hol}}(\mathcal{L}^\lambda)$ be the reproducing kernel Hilbert space of holomorphic sections of the homogeneous line bundle \mathcal{L}^λ over G/T associated with the highest weight λ of the irreducible representation π_λ of G . The multiplicity of a weight m in π_λ is computed from functional analytical structure of the Berezin symbol of the projector in $\Gamma_{\text{hol}}(\mathcal{L}^\lambda)$ onto subspace of weight m . We describe a method of the construction of this symbol and the evaluation of the weight multiplicity as a rank of a Hermitian form. The application of this method is described in a number of examples.

Key words: Berezin quantization; representation theory

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 22E46; 32M05; 32M10; 53D50; 81Q70

Dedicated to the memory of Professor Michael Marinov

1 Introduction

Computation of weight multiplicities is a necessary step to the construction of compact semi-simple Lie group representations. There are several known formulas and methods for the computation of weight multiplicities, such as Freudenthal recursive formula [17], the Kostant formula [15], the Littelman's path model [16], and the Billey–Guillemin–Rassart vector partition function [9]. Also, geometric quantization and the orbit method offer many elegant multiplicity formulas for group actions, see for example [13, 12, 20, 21]. The combination of the combinatorial and geometric methods leads to algorithms for multiplicity computation [2].

The present work is also based on quantization methods on coadjoint orbits, namely the Berezin quantization [7] on G/T , however, the method of multiplicity computation, presented here, does not involve a direct combinatorial computation but is rather based on the functional analytical structures of the reproducing kernels on the quantization spaces.

The application of Berezin quantization to the restriction of a unitary irreducible representation of a compact Lie group to a closed subgroup has been studied before in [1, 10]. The work in the present paper has been generalized and extended in [11], which is mainly based on [1, 10] and on the arXiv version of the present work.

Let λ be a dominant weight of the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} of G and π_λ be the corresponding unitary irreducible representation of G . Let \mathcal{L}^λ be the associated homogeneous holomorphic line bundle over G/T , then according to the Borel–Weil theorem [14], the reproducing kernel Hilbert space $\Gamma_{\text{hol}}(\mathcal{L}^\lambda)$ of holomorphic sections of \mathcal{L}^λ is a G -irreducible carrier space of the representation π_λ .

Berezin quantization (in its generalized version on spaces of sections of line bundles [18]) leads to the realization of π_λ [5] in terms of the Berezin symbols [8] which act as integration kernels on $\Gamma_{\text{hol}}(\mathcal{L}^\lambda)$.

We describe a method for the construction of the Berezin's symbol of projector in $\Gamma_{\text{hol}}(\mathcal{L}^\lambda)$ onto the subspace of weight m . The functional analytic structure of this projector expressed in the affine coordinates of the big Schubert cell of G/T enables the computation of the weight multiplicity of m as the rank of a Hermitian form with rational coefficients.

The main results of the present work are given in the following propositions:

Proposition 1. *Let G be compact semisimple Lie group, T a maximal torus of G , and G/T its fundamental projective space. Let π_λ be the irreducible unitary representation of G of highest weight λ . Then the multiplicity $\gamma^\lambda(m)$ of the vector of weight m in the representation space of π_λ is given by:*

$$\gamma^\lambda(m) = \frac{N^\lambda}{V} \int_{G/T} \int_T (m - \lambda)(h) \exp(K^\lambda(h \cdot z, \bar{z})) \exp(-K^\lambda(z, \bar{z})) d\mu(h) d\mu(z, \bar{z}),$$

where N^λ is the dimension of the irreducible representation π_λ , $d\mu(z, \bar{z})$ is the Liouville measure on G/T , V is its total mass, $d\mu(h)$ is the Lebesgue measure on T (normalized to unit total mass), $\mu(h)$ is the character representation of $h \in T$ corresponding to the integral weight μ and $K^\lambda(\zeta, \bar{z}) : G/T \times G/T \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is the analytic continuation of a Kähler potential associated with the first Chern class of the holomorphic homogeneous line bundle \mathcal{L}^λ over G/T .

The application of this formula to weight multiplicity computation can be simplified due to the following two propositions:

Proposition 2. *The Berezin principal symbol of the projector onto the subspace of weight m , in the representation space of π_λ , is given by:*

$$L_m^\lambda(\zeta, \bar{z}) = \int_T (m - \lambda)(h) \exp(K^\lambda(h \cdot \zeta, \bar{z})) d\mu(h).$$

The Berezin principal symbol of the projector onto the subspace of weight m , restricted to the largest Schubert cell Σ_s of G/T is polynomial in the affine coordinates of Σ_s in both of its arguments [5, 6]. This parametrization is used to compute the multiplicity of the weight m in π_λ as follows:

Proposition 3. *Let d^α be the polynomial degree of coordinate z^α in the restriction of $L_m^\lambda(\zeta, \bar{z})$ to the largest Schubert cell Σ_s of G/T . Then the monomials:*

$$u_n = \prod_{\alpha \in \Delta^+} (\zeta^\alpha)^{n_\alpha}, \quad v_n = \prod_{\alpha \in \Delta^+} (z^\alpha)^{n_\alpha}, \quad 0 \leq n_\alpha \leq d_\alpha,$$

$$n = (n_{\alpha_1}, n_{\alpha_2}, \dots, n_{\alpha_D}), \quad D = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} G/T,$$

define a biholomorphic transformation $f : \Sigma_s \times \Sigma_s \rightarrow \mathbb{V} \times \mathbb{V}$, $\mathbb{V} \cong \mathbb{C}^d$, $d = \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta^+} d_\alpha$. (Δ^+ is the set of positive roots of \mathfrak{g} .) Let $\mathbf{L}_m^\lambda(u, \bar{v})$ be the unique Hermitian form (linear in the first argument and antilinear in the second), such that: $(f * \mathbf{L}_m^\lambda)(\zeta, \bar{z}) = L_m^\lambda(\zeta, \bar{z})$, then multiplicity is given by:

$$\gamma^\lambda(m) = \text{rank}_{\mathbb{V}}(\mathbf{L}_m^\lambda).$$

In Section 2, a brief review of the application of Berezin quantization on G/T to the construction of unitary irreducible representations of G , is given. In Section 3, the formula of the projector onto the subspace of a given weight and the integration formula for weight multiplicity based the Berezin theory are developed. In Section 4, the method of computation of a weight multiplicity, based on Proposition 3, is described. In Appendix A, two examples of the application of the present method are given.

2 Berezin quantization and the Borel–Weil theory

2.1 Notations

G is a compact semisimple Lie group;

T is a maximal torus of G ;

G^c is the complexification of G ;

G/T is a fundamental projective space of G ;

W is the Weyl group of G ;

B is a Borel subgroup of containing T ;

N is the unipotent radical of B ;

N_- is the subgroup of opposite to N ($N_- = sNs^{-1}$, where $s \in \text{Norm}(T)$ is any representative of the unique maximal length element of W);

\mathfrak{g} is the Lie algebra of G ;

\mathfrak{t} is the Cartan subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} corresponding to T ;

\mathfrak{g}^c is the Lie algebra of G^c ;

\mathfrak{n} is the Lie algebra of N ;

\mathfrak{n}_- is the Lie algebra of N_- ;

\mathfrak{g}^* is the dual space of \mathfrak{g} ;

$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is the duality map $\mathfrak{g}^* \times \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$;

$\Delta \subset \mathfrak{g}^*$ is the set of roots of \mathfrak{t} in \mathfrak{g}^c ;

$\Delta^+ \subset \Delta$ is the set of positive roots (we choose Δ^+ as the set of roots of \mathfrak{n}_-);

$\Sigma \subset \Delta^+$ is the set of primitive roots ($\Sigma = \{\gamma^j, j = 1, \dots, \text{rank}(\mathfrak{g})\}$);

$\{E_\alpha, \alpha \in \Delta^+\}$ is the set of positive root generators of (generators of \mathfrak{n}_-);

\mathcal{W} is the Weight lattice of G ($\lambda \in \mathcal{W}$ is an integral weight of T);

$\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{W}$ is the positive Weyl chamber ($\mathcal{C} = \{\lambda \in \mathcal{W} \mid \lambda \cdot \alpha \geq 0, \forall \alpha \in \Delta^+\}$, where $\lambda \cdot \alpha$ denotes the inner product induced on \mathcal{W} by the Cartan–Killing form);

$\{w_j \in \mathcal{W}, j = 1, \dots, \text{rank}(\mathfrak{g})\}$ is the set primitive weights ($w_j \cdot \gamma^i = \delta_j^i$).

2.2 The quantization space in Berezin theory

Let $\mathcal{L}^\lambda = (G^c \times \mathbb{C}^\lambda)/B$ be the homogenous holomorphic line bundle over G/T associated with the dominant weight λ , defined as the set of equivalence classes of elements of $G^c \times \mathbb{C}$ under the equivalence relation:

$$(gb, \psi) \sim (g, \lambda(b)\psi).$$

(The equivalence class of (g, φ) is denoted by: $[g, \varphi]$.) Here, $g \in G^c$, $b \in B$, $\varphi \in \mathbb{C}$, $\lambda \in \mathcal{C}$, and $\lambda(b)$ is the character representation $B \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$, defined by:

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda(\exp(iH)) &= \exp(i \langle \lambda, H \rangle), & H \in \mathfrak{t}, \\ \lambda(n) &= 1, & n \in N. \end{aligned}$$

These representations (for all $\lambda \in \mathcal{W}$) exhaust all one dimensional representations of B , since B and T have the same fundamental group and any one dimensional representation of B must be trivial on N .

According to the Borel–Weil theorem [14]: if λ is dominant, then the G action on \mathcal{L}^λ , defined by:

$$g_1 \cdot [g, \varphi] = [g_1 g, \varphi],$$

is irreducible on the space of holomorphic sections $\Gamma_{\text{hol}}(\mathcal{L}^\lambda)$, and the corresponding representation is equivalent to the representation π_λ of highest weight λ of G . Berezin quantization provides a method for realizing π_λ on $\Gamma_{\text{hol}}(\mathcal{L}^\lambda)$ [5]. The representation space in Berezin theory is realized as a reproducing kernel Hilbert space. Specifically, let $\psi : G/T \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^\lambda$ be a holomorphic section of \mathcal{L}^λ , let $(z, \psi(z))$ be the trivialization of ψ on some open neighborhood U . Then the inner product on the space of sections in the Berezin theory is defined by:

$$(\psi_1, \psi_2) = \frac{N^\lambda}{V} \int_{G/T} \psi_1(z) \overline{\psi_2(z)} \exp(-K^{(\lambda)}(z, \bar{z})) d\mu(z, \bar{z}),$$

where the sum over an appropriate open cover of G/T weighted by a partition of unity [18] is implicit. Here, $\psi_1, \psi_2 \in L^2(\Gamma_{\text{hol}}(\mathcal{L}^\lambda))$, N^λ is the dimension of the representation π_λ , and V is the total mass of the Liouville measure $d\mu(z, \bar{z})$. We note that due to the compatibility of the connection on \mathcal{L}^λ defined by the Kähler potential and the Hermitian metric on the Fibers, all the integrations over G/T in this work are of global functions.

The representatives of group elements and of elements of the universal enveloping algebra of its Lie algebra are realized in the Berezin theory by means of symbols, which act as integration kernels on $L^2(\Gamma_{\text{hol}}(\mathcal{L}^\lambda))$. The action of an operator A in the representation space of π_λ on $L^2(\Gamma_{\text{hol}}(\mathcal{L}^\lambda))$ is realized by means of its symbol $A^\lambda(\zeta, \bar{z}) : G/T \times G/T \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ as follows:

$$(\pi_\lambda(A) \circ \psi)(\zeta) = \frac{N^\lambda}{V} \int_{G/T} A^\lambda(\zeta, \bar{z}) \exp(K^{(\lambda)}(\zeta, \bar{z})) \psi(z) \exp(-K^{(\lambda)}(z, \bar{z})) d\mu(z, \bar{z}).$$

We define the covariant principal Berezin symbol of A by:

$$\tilde{A}^\lambda(\zeta, \bar{z}) = A^\lambda(\zeta, \bar{z}) \exp(K^{(\lambda)}(\zeta, \bar{z})).$$

In the parametrization we use, the principal covariant symbols have the property that their restriction to the largest Schubert cell $\Sigma_s \subset G/T$ is polynomial in the affine coordinates of Σ_s [5, 6].

The reproduction property of is expressed through the relation:

$$\psi(\zeta) = \frac{N^\lambda}{V} \int_{G/T} L^\lambda(\zeta, \bar{z}) \psi(z) \exp(-K^{(\lambda)}(z, \bar{z})) d\mu(z, \bar{z}),$$

where $L^\lambda(\zeta, \bar{z})$ is the reproducing kernel of $L^2(\Gamma_{\text{hol}}(\mathcal{L}^\lambda))$. The reproducing kernel can be viewed as the principal covariant symbol of the unit operator in $L^2(\Gamma_{\text{hol}}(\mathcal{L}^\lambda))$. On the other hand it is the kernel of the orthogonal projector on $L^2(\Gamma_{\text{hol}}(\mathcal{L}^\lambda))$ in $L^2(\Gamma(\mathcal{L}^\lambda))$.

Clearly:

$$L^\lambda(\zeta, \bar{z}) = \sum_{j=1}^{N^\lambda} \widehat{\psi}_j(\zeta) \overline{\widehat{\psi}_j(z)},$$

where $\{\widehat{\psi}_j, j = 1, \dots, N^\lambda\}$ is any orthonormal set of $L^2(\Gamma_{\text{hol}}(\mathcal{L}^\lambda))$. We choose to work in the weight basis in which the basic vectors of the orthonormal set are indexed by their weight vectors m corresponding to the Cartan generators and the corresponding degeneracy index i_m , in terms of which the latter equation can be rephrased as:

$$L^\lambda(\zeta, \bar{z}) = \sum_{m \in W^\lambda} \sum_{i_m=1}^{\gamma^\lambda(m)} \widehat{\psi}_{m, i_m}(\zeta) \overline{\widehat{\psi}_{m, i_m}(z)}, \quad (2.1)$$

where W^λ is the weight set of π_λ and $\gamma^\lambda(m)$ is the multiplicity of the weight m in π_λ . A basic property of $L^2(\Gamma_{\text{hol}}(\mathcal{L}^\lambda))$, as a reproducing kernel Hilbert space, is that its reproducing kernel is given in terms of the analytic continuation of the corresponding Kähler potential by the relation:

$$L^\lambda(\zeta, \bar{z}) = \exp(K^\lambda(\zeta, \bar{z})). \quad (2.2)$$

In Berezin's original work [7] the condition (2.2) was imposed in order to establish a quantization (correspondence principle). In the case under study of the reproducing kernel Hilbert spaces of holomorphic sections of line bundles over compact Kähler manifolds, the validity of (2.2) was established in [19].

We shall refer to the Kähler potentials $\{K^j(\zeta, \bar{z}), j = 1, \dots, \text{rank}(\mathfrak{g})\}$ corresponding the fundamental tensor representations, i.e., the representations of highest weights equal to fundamental weights $\{w_j \in \mathcal{W}, j = 1, \dots, \text{rank}(\mathfrak{g})\}$, as the basic Kähler potentials. The corresponding reproducing kernels will be addressed to as the basic reproducing kernels:

$$L^j(\zeta, \bar{z}) \equiv L^{w_j}(\zeta, \bar{z}) = \exp(K^j(\zeta, \bar{z})).$$

Therefore, the Kähler potential corresponding to a general highest weight representation is given by:

$$K^\lambda(\zeta, \bar{z}) = \sum_{j=1}^{\text{rank}(\mathfrak{g})} l_j K^j(\zeta, \bar{z}), \quad \lambda = \sum_{j=1}^{\text{rank}(\mathfrak{g})} l_j w_j, \quad l_j \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}, \quad j = 1, \dots, \text{rank}(\mathfrak{g}).$$

2.3 The T action on G/T and $L^2(\Gamma_{\text{hol}}(\mathcal{L}^\lambda))$

G/T can be parametrized through the canonical diffeomorphism $G/T \cong G^c/B$ by a holomorphic section $\xi(z) : G^c/B \rightarrow G^c$ of the principal bundle $B \rightarrow G^c \rightarrow G^c/B$. The T action on G/T is given by:

$$\xi(h \cdot z) = h\xi(z)h^{-1}, \quad h \in T.$$

The induced T action on $L^2(\Gamma_{\text{hol}}(\mathcal{L}^\lambda))$ can be obtained from the \mathcal{L}^λ property as a homogeneous bundle:

$$(h \circ \psi)(z) = \lambda(h)\psi(h^{-1} \cdot z). \quad (2.3)$$

2.4 Parametrization of G/T and the construction of the basic Kähler potentials

In this section and in the computational examples, all group and universal enveloping algebra elements of G^c will be represented in the basic fundamental representation of G (i.e., operators in the representation space of the basic fundamental representation of G).

We use the Bando–Kuratomo–Maskawa–Uehera method [3, 4] for the construction of the basic Kähler potentials. Let $\{Y_j \in \mathfrak{t}, j = 1, \dots, \text{rank}(\mathfrak{g})\}$ be the set of coweights of \mathfrak{t} defined by:

$$\langle \alpha, Y_j \rangle = \sum_{k=1}^{\text{rank}(\mathfrak{g})} G_{jk} w_k \cdot \alpha,$$

where $G_{jk} = (A^{-1})_{ij} \frac{\gamma^{(i)} \cdot \gamma^{(j)}}{2}$ is metric on the weight space in the weight basis (A is the Cartan matrix). In the terminology of [3] and [4], the generators Y_j are called central charges. Let $\{\eta_j \in \mathfrak{t}, j = 1, \dots, \text{rank}(\mathfrak{g})\}$ be the set of projectors on the lowest value eigenspace of Y_j (in the

basic fundamental representation). Then according to [3] and [4], the basic Kähler potentials can be constructed according to:

$$K^j(\zeta, \bar{z}) = \log \left(\det \left(\eta_j \xi(z)^\dagger \xi(\zeta) \eta_j + 1 - \eta_j \right) \right), \quad j = 1, \dots, \text{rank}(\mathfrak{g}),$$

where $(\cdot)^\dagger$ denotes Hermitian conjugation.

For the specific computations, we use the parametrization of G/T , obtained from the holomorphic diffeomorphism: $N_- \rightarrow \Sigma_s$, given by:

$$\xi(z) = \exp \left(\sum_{\alpha \in \Delta^+} z^\alpha E_\alpha \right), \quad z^\alpha \in \mathbb{C}. \quad (2.4)$$

3 A weight multiplicity formula based on Berezin quantization

The action on $L^2(\Gamma_{\text{hol}}(\mathcal{L}^\lambda))$ can be used to project the representation space onto the subspace spanned by a given weight m , as follows.

On one hand, since $\widehat{\psi}_{m,j_m}$ is a section corresponding to a vector of weight m , then:

$$(h \circ \widehat{\psi}_{m,j_m})(z) = m(h) \widehat{\psi}_{m,j_m}(z).$$

On the other hand, according to (2.3):

$$(h \circ \widehat{\psi}_{m,j_m})(z) = \lambda(h) \widehat{\psi}_{m,j_m}(h \cdot z).$$

Combining the two equations, we obtain:

$$\widehat{\psi}_{m,j_m}(h \cdot z) = (\lambda - m)(h) \widehat{\psi}_{m,j_m}(z).$$

Thus, the projection onto the subspace of a given weight m , can be obtained by the following integration over T :

$$\int_T (m - \lambda)(h) \widehat{\psi}_{m',j_{m'}}(h \cdot z) d\mu(h) = \delta_{m,m'} \widehat{\psi}_{m,j_m}(z),$$

where $d\mu(h)$ is the Lebesgue measure on T . Applying this projection operation to the reproducing kernel $L^\lambda(\zeta, \bar{z})$, we obtain:

$$\sum_{i_m=1}^{\gamma^\lambda(m)} \widehat{\psi}_{m,i_m}(\zeta) \overline{\widehat{\psi}_{m,i_m}(z)} = \int_T (m - \lambda)(h) \left(\sum_{m \in W^\lambda} \sum_{i_m=1}^{\gamma^\lambda(m)} \widehat{\psi}_{m,i_m}(h \cdot \zeta) \overline{\widehat{\psi}_{m,i_m}(z)} \right) d\mu(h),$$

which, upon using (2.1) and (2.2), is the statement of Proposition 2:

$$L_m^\lambda(\zeta, \bar{z}) = \int_T (m - \lambda)(h) \exp(K^\lambda(h \cdot \zeta, \bar{z})) d\mu(h), \quad (3.1)$$

where

$$L_m^\lambda(\zeta, \bar{z}) = \sum_{i_m=1}^{\gamma^\lambda(m)} \widehat{\psi}_{m,i_m}(\zeta) \overline{\widehat{\psi}_{m,i_m}(z)}. \quad (3.2)$$

The principal symbol $L_m^\lambda(\zeta, \bar{z})$ of the projector in $L^2(\Gamma_{\text{hol}}(\mathcal{L}^\lambda))$ onto the subspace spanned by vectors of weight m acts as a reproducing kernel on the same subspace. Now, since the sections $\widehat{\psi}_{m,i_m}$ are orthonormal, we get:

$$\gamma^\lambda(m) = \frac{N^\lambda}{V} \int_{G/T} L_m^\lambda(\zeta, \bar{z}) \exp(-K^\lambda(z, \bar{z})) d\mu(z, \bar{z}). \quad (3.3)$$

Combining (3.1) with (3.3) we obtain the statement of Proposition 1:

$$\gamma^\lambda(m) = \frac{N^\lambda}{V} \int_{G/T} \int_T (m - \lambda)(h) \exp(K^\lambda(h \cdot \zeta, \bar{z})) \exp(-K^\lambda(z, \bar{z})) d\mu(h) d\mu(z, \bar{z}).$$

4 A method for weight multiplicity computation

4.1 Computation by direct integration

The weight multiplicity formula given in the previous section is not constructive. While the construction of the Kähler potentials along the method described in Section 2 and the integration over the maximal torus are straightforward, no simple rules are known in advance for the integration of holomorphic sections. Although, the integration can be performed by elementary integration techniques on the largest Schubert cell, since its complement in G/T is of Liouville measure zero, but even for spaces as small as $Fl(3) \cong SU(3)/S(U(1) \times U(1) \times U(1))$ and small representations, direct integration is a quite lengthy task.

4.2 Weight multiplicity computation as a rank of a Hermitian form

The decomposition of $L_m^\lambda(\zeta, \bar{z})$ given in (3.2) allows multiplicity computations without direct integration. In the parametrization of G/T defined in (2.4), the restriction of any principal Berezin symbol to the largest Schubert cell Σ_s is polynomial in the affine coordinates $(\zeta^\alpha, \bar{z}^\alpha)$. Let d^α be the polynomial degree of coordinate z^α in the restriction of $L_m^\lambda(\zeta, \bar{z})$, and define the monomials:

$$\begin{aligned} u_n(\zeta) &= \prod_{\alpha \in \Delta^+} (\zeta^\alpha)^{n_\alpha}, & v_n(z) &= \prod_{\alpha \in \Delta^+} (z^\alpha)^{n_\alpha}, & 0 \leq n_\alpha \leq d_\alpha, \\ n &= (n_{\alpha_1}, n_{\alpha_2}, \dots, n_{\alpha_D}), & D &= \dim_{\mathbb{C}} G/T. \end{aligned} \quad (4.1)$$

Clearly, any section of the orthonormal subset of weight m is a linear combination of the monomials of (4.1):

$$\widehat{\psi}_{m, i_m}(\zeta) = \sum_n a_{i_m}^n u_n(\zeta). \quad (4.2)$$

The monomials in (4.1), define a biholomorphic transformation $f : \Sigma_s \times \Sigma_s \longrightarrow \mathbb{V} \times \mathbb{V}$, $\mathbb{V} \cong \mathbb{C}^d$, $d = \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta^+} d_\alpha$. Consider the Hermitian form on \mathbb{V} defined by:

$$\mathbf{L}_m^\lambda(u, \bar{v}) = \sum_{i_m=1}^{\gamma^\lambda(m)} \left(\sum_n a_{i_m}^n u_n \right) \left(\sum_n \bar{a}_{i_m}^n \bar{v}_n \right).$$

We have: $f_* \mathbf{L}_m^\lambda = L_m^\lambda$. Suppose there exists another Hermitian form \mathbf{L}'_m^λ such that $f_* \mathbf{L}'_m^\lambda = L_m^\lambda$. This would imply that: $f_* (\mathbf{L}_m^\lambda - \mathbf{L}'_m^\lambda) = 0$. But $f_* (\mathbf{L}_m^\lambda - \mathbf{L}'_m^\lambda)$ is a polynomial function on $\Sigma_s \times \Sigma_s$, therefore it can be identically 0 only if all of its coefficients vanish. This would imply that the coefficients of the Hermitian form $\mathbf{L}_m^\lambda - \mathbf{L}'_m^\lambda$ vanish, hence $\mathbf{L}'_m^\lambda = \mathbf{L}_m^\lambda$.

Let A be the matrix of dimension $\gamma^\lambda(m) \times d$ whose coefficients are $a_{i_m}^n$. Clearly $d \geq \gamma^\lambda(m)$, and also the row vectors of A are linearly independent, otherwise, at least one of the sections in (4.2) is a linear combination of the others, which is impossible, since they are orthonormal. Therefore,

$$\text{rank}(A) = \gamma^\lambda(m).$$

The Hermitian matrix of the Hermitian form \mathbf{L}_m^λ is $A^\dagger A$, whose rank is identical to A , hence we obtained the proof of Proposition 3:

$$\gamma^\lambda(m) = \text{rank}_{\mathbb{V}}(\mathbf{L}_m^\lambda).$$

A Examples

A.1 Computation of some weight multiplicities in the representation $\pi_{(4,2)}$ of $SU(3)$

A suitable basis for the generators of $\mathfrak{sl}(3) = \mathfrak{su}(3)^c$ in the basic fundamental representation is given by:

Positive root generators:

$$E_{\alpha_1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad E_{\alpha_2} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad E_{\alpha_3} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Negative root generators:

$$E_{-\alpha_1} = E_{\alpha_1}^\dagger, \quad E_{-\alpha_2} = E_{\alpha_2}^\dagger, \quad E_{-\alpha_3} = E_{\alpha_3}^\dagger.$$

Cartan subalgebra generators:

$$H_1 = [E_{\alpha_1}, E_{-\alpha_1}] = \text{diag}(1, -1, 0) \quad H_2 = [E_{\alpha_2}, E_{-\alpha_2}] = \text{diag}(0, 1, -1).$$

Central charges:

$$Y_1 = G_{11}H_1 + G_{12}H_2 = \frac{1}{3}\text{diag}(2, -1, -1), \quad Y_2 = G_{21}H_1 + G_{22}H_2 = \frac{1}{3}\text{diag}(1, 1, -2).$$

The projectors required:

$$\eta_1 = \text{diag}(0, 1, 1), \quad \eta_2 = \text{diag}(0, 0, 1).$$

The coset representative:

$$\xi(z) = \exp\left(\sum_{i=1}^3 z_i E_{\alpha_i}\right) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & z_1 & z_3 - z_1 z_2 / 2 \\ 0 & 1 & z_2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The action of the element $h = \exp(i\theta_1 H_1 + i\theta_2 H_2) \in T$ on the affine coordinates:

$$(h \cdot z)_1 = \exp(2i\theta_1 - i\theta_2)z_1, \quad (h \cdot z)_2 = \exp(-i\theta_1 + 2i\theta_2)z_2, \\ (h \cdot z)_3 = \exp(i\theta_1 + i\theta_2)z_3.$$

The fundamental reproducing kernels:

$$L^1(\zeta, \bar{z}) = \det(\eta_1 \xi(z)^\dagger \xi(\zeta) \eta_1 + 1 - \eta_1) = 1 + \zeta_1 \bar{z}_1 + \zeta_3^+ \bar{z}_3^+, \\ L^2(\zeta, \bar{z}) = \det(\eta_2 \xi(z)^\dagger \xi(\zeta) \eta_2 + 1 - \eta_2) = 1 + \zeta_2 \bar{z}_2 + \zeta_3^- \bar{z}_3^-,$$

where $\zeta_3^\pm = \zeta_3 \pm \zeta_1 \zeta_2 / 2$, and similarly for the antiholomorphic coordinates.

The reproducing kernel of $L^2(\Gamma_{\text{hol}}(\mathcal{L}^{(4,2)}))$:

$$L^{(4,2)}(\zeta, \bar{z}) = (1 + \zeta_1 \bar{z}_1 + \zeta_3^+ \bar{z}_3^+)^4 (1 + \zeta_2 \bar{z}_2 + \zeta_3^- \bar{z}_3^-)^2.$$

A.1.1 Computation of the multiplicity of the weight $(0, 1)$ in $\pi_{(4,2)}$

Computation of the projector onto the weight space $(-2, -1)$ in $L^2(\Gamma_{\text{hol}}(\mathcal{L}^{(4,2)}))$:

$$L_{(-2,-1)}^{(4,2)}(\zeta, \bar{z}) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int_0^{2\pi} d\theta_1 \int_0^{2\pi} d\theta_2 \exp(-6i\theta_1 - 4i\theta_2) \\ \times L^{(4,2)}(\exp(2i\theta_1 - i\theta_2)\zeta_1, \exp(-i\theta_1 + 2i\theta_2)\zeta_2, \exp(i\theta_1 + i\theta_2)\zeta_3, \bar{z}_1, \bar{z}_2, \bar{z}_3).$$

The integration result:

$$L_{(-2,-1)}^{(4,2)}(\zeta, \bar{z}) = \frac{17}{64}\zeta_1^5\zeta_2^4\bar{z}_1^5\bar{z}_2^4 + 6\zeta_1^3\zeta_2^2\zeta_3^2\bar{z}_1^3\bar{z}_2^2\bar{z}_3^2 + 20\zeta_1\zeta_3^4\bar{z}_1\bar{z}_3^4 + \frac{5}{4}\zeta_1^4\zeta_2^3\zeta_3\bar{z}_1^4\bar{z}_2^3\bar{z}_3 \\ + 20\zeta_1^2\zeta_2\zeta_3^3\bar{z}_1^2\bar{z}_2\bar{z}_3^3 + \frac{3}{2}\zeta_1^4\zeta_2^3\zeta_3\bar{z}_1^4\bar{z}_2^2\bar{z}_3^2 + \frac{3}{2}\zeta_1^3\zeta_2^2\zeta_3^2\bar{z}_1^3\bar{z}_2^3\bar{z}_3 + 2\zeta_1^2\zeta_2\zeta_3^3\bar{z}_1^2\bar{z}_2^5\bar{z}_3^4 \\ + 2\zeta_1^5\zeta_2^4\bar{z}_1^2\bar{z}_2\bar{z}_3^3 - \frac{1}{2}\zeta_1^4\zeta_2^3\zeta_3\bar{z}_1^5\bar{z}_2^4 - \frac{1}{2}\zeta_1^5\zeta_2^4\bar{z}_1^4\bar{z}_2^3\bar{z}_3 - 5\zeta_1^4\zeta_2^3\zeta_3\bar{z}_1^2\bar{z}_2\bar{z}_3^3 \\ - 5\zeta_1^2\zeta_2\zeta_3^3\bar{z}_1^4\bar{z}_2^3\bar{z}_3 - 6\zeta_1^2\zeta_2\zeta_3^3\bar{z}_1^3\bar{z}_2^2\bar{z}_3^2 - 6\zeta_1^3\zeta_2^2\zeta_3^2\bar{z}_1^2\bar{z}_2\bar{z}_3^3 - 6\zeta_1\zeta_3^4\bar{z}_1^3\bar{z}_2^2\bar{z}_3^2 \\ - 6\zeta_1^3\zeta_2^2\zeta_3^2\bar{z}_1\bar{z}_3^4 + 2\zeta_1\zeta_3^4\bar{z}_1\bar{z}_2^3\bar{z}_3 + 2\zeta_1^4\zeta_2^3\zeta_3\bar{z}_1\bar{z}_3^4 + \frac{1}{4}\zeta_1\zeta_3^4\bar{z}_1^5\bar{z}_2^4 + \frac{1}{4}\zeta_1^5\zeta_2^4\bar{z}_1\bar{z}_3^4 \\ - 8\zeta_1^2\zeta_2\zeta_3^3\bar{z}_1\bar{z}_3^4 - 8\zeta_1\zeta_3^4\bar{z}_1^2\bar{z}_2\bar{z}_3^3 - \frac{9}{8}\zeta_1^3\zeta_2^2\zeta_3^2\bar{z}_1^5\bar{z}_2^4 - \frac{9}{8}\zeta_1^5\zeta_2^4\bar{z}_1^3\bar{z}_2^2\bar{z}_3^2$$

The monomial set of $L_{(-2,-1)}^{(4,2)}$:

$$u_1 = \zeta_1\zeta_3^4, \quad u_2 = \zeta_1^2\zeta_2\zeta_3^3, \quad u_3 = \zeta_1^3\zeta_2^2\zeta_3^2, \quad u_4 = \zeta_1^4\zeta_2^3\zeta_3, \quad u_5 = \zeta_1^5\zeta_2^4, \\ v_1 = z_1z_3^4, \quad v_2 = z_1^2z_2z_3^3, \quad v_3 = z_1^3z_2^2z_3^2, \quad v_4 = z_1^4z_2^3z_3, \quad v_5 = z_1^5z_2^4.$$

The Hermitian form $\mathbf{L}_{(-2,-1)}^{(4,2)}(u, \bar{v})$:

$$\mathbf{L}_{(-2,-1)}^{(4,2)}(u, \bar{v}) = \begin{pmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \\ v_3 \\ v_4 \\ v_5 \end{pmatrix}^\dagger \begin{pmatrix} 20 & -8 & -6 & 2 & \frac{1}{4} \\ -8 & 20 & -6 & -5 & 2 \\ -6 & -6 & 6 & \frac{3}{2} & \frac{-9}{8} \\ 2 & -5 & \frac{3}{2} & \frac{5}{4} & \frac{-1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{4} & 2 & \frac{-9}{8} & \frac{-1}{2} & \frac{17}{64} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u_1 \\ u_2 \\ u_3 \\ u_4 \\ u_5 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Computation of the multiplicity:

$$\gamma^{(4,2)}((-2, -1)) = \text{rank}(\mathbf{L}_{(-2,-1)}^{(4,2)}(u, \bar{v})) = 2.$$

A.1.2 Computation of the multiplicity of the weight $(-6, 4)$ in $\pi_{(4,2)}$

This weight lies on the Weyl group orbit of the highest weight, therefore its multiplicity should be 1. Repeating the same type of computation as in the previous case, we obtain:

$$L_{(-6,4)}^{(4,2)}(\zeta, \bar{z}) = \frac{1}{256}\zeta_1^4\zeta_2^2\bar{z}_1^4\bar{z}_2^2 + \frac{1}{64}\zeta_1^5\zeta_2\zeta_3\bar{z}_1^5\bar{z}_2\bar{z}_3 + \frac{1}{256}\zeta_1^6\zeta_2^2\bar{z}_1^6\bar{z}_2^2 + \frac{1}{128}\zeta_1^5\zeta_2\zeta_3\bar{z}_1^5\bar{z}_2^3 \\ + \frac{1}{256}\zeta_1^6\zeta_2^2\bar{z}_1^4\bar{z}_2^2 + \frac{1}{128}\zeta_1^6\zeta_2\bar{z}_1^5\bar{z}_2\bar{z}_3 + \frac{1}{128}\zeta_1^4\zeta_2^2\bar{z}_1^5\bar{z}_2\bar{z}_3 + \frac{1}{256}\zeta_1^4\zeta_2^2\bar{z}_1^6\bar{z}_2^2 \\ + \frac{1}{128}\zeta_1^5\zeta_2\zeta_3\bar{z}_1^6\bar{z}_2^2.$$

The monomial set of $L_{(-6,4)}^{(4,2)}$:

$$u_1 = \zeta_1^4\zeta_2^2, \quad u_2 = \zeta_1^5\zeta_2\zeta_3, \quad u_3 = \zeta_1^6\zeta_2^2, \\ v_1 = z_1^4z_2^2, \quad v_2 = z_1^5z_2z_3, \quad v_3 = z_1^6z_2^2.$$

The Hermitian form $\mathbf{L}_{(-6,-4)}^{(4,2)}(u, \bar{v})$:

$$\mathbf{L}_{(-2,-1)}^{(4,2)}(u, \bar{v}) = \begin{pmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \\ v_3 \end{pmatrix}^\dagger \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{256} & \frac{1}{128} & \frac{1}{256} \\ \frac{1}{128} & \frac{1}{64} & \frac{1}{128} \\ \frac{1}{256} & \frac{1}{128} & \frac{1}{256} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u_1 \\ u_2 \\ u_3 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Computation of the multiplicity:

$$\gamma^{(4,2)}((-6, 4)) = \text{rank}(\mathbf{L}_{(-6,4)}^{(4,2)}(u, \bar{v})) = 1.$$

A.2 Computation of some weight multiplicities in the representation $\pi_{(1,1)}$ of $SO(5)$

We choose to work in the four dimensional basic fundamental representation of $\mathfrak{sp}(2) \cong \mathfrak{so}(5)$. We use a quaternionic basis for the generators, with:

$$\underline{1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma_+ = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma_- = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

A suitable basis for the generators of $\mathfrak{sp}(2)^c$ in the basic fundamental representation is given by:

Positive root generators:

$$E_{\alpha_1} = \underline{1} \otimes \sigma_+, \quad E_{\alpha_2} = \sigma_+ \otimes \sigma_-, \quad E_{\alpha_3} = \sigma_+ \otimes \sigma_0, \quad E_{\alpha_4} = \sigma_+ \otimes \sigma_+.$$

Cartan subalgebra generators:

$$H_1 = [E_{\alpha_1}, E_{-\alpha_1}] = \underline{1} \otimes \sigma_0, \quad H_2 = [E_{\alpha_2}, E_{-\alpha_2}] = \frac{1}{2}(\sigma_0 \otimes \underline{1} - \underline{1} \otimes \sigma_0).$$

Central charges:

$$Y_1 = G_{11}H_1 + G_{12}H_2 = \frac{1}{2}(\sigma_0 \otimes \underline{1} + \underline{1} \otimes \sigma_0), \quad Y_2 = G_{21}H_1 + G_{22}H_2 = \sigma_0 \otimes \underline{1}.$$

The action of the element $h = \exp(i\theta_1 H_1 + i\theta_2 H_2) \in T$ on the affine coordinates:

$$(h \cdot z)_1 = \exp(2i\theta_1 - i\theta_2)z_1, \quad (h \cdot z)_2 = \exp(-2i\theta_1 + 2i\theta_2)z_2, \\ (h \cdot z)_3 = \exp(i\theta_2)z_3, \quad (h \cdot z)_4 = \exp(2i\theta_1)z_4.$$

The basic reproducing kernels:

$$L^1(\zeta, \bar{z}) = 1 + \zeta_1 \bar{z}_1 + \zeta_4^+ \bar{z}_4^+ + \zeta_3^- \bar{z}_3^-, \\ L^2(\zeta, \bar{z}) = 1 + \zeta_2 \bar{z}_2 + 2\zeta_3^+ \bar{z}_3^+ + (\zeta_4^+ - \zeta_1 \zeta_3^+)(\bar{z}_4^+ - \bar{z}_1 \bar{z}_3^+) + (\zeta_2 \zeta_4^+ - \zeta_3^- \zeta_3^+)(\bar{z}_2 \bar{z}_4^+ - \bar{z}_3^- \bar{z}_3^+),$$

where $\zeta_3^\pm = \zeta_3 \pm \zeta_1 \zeta_2 / 2$, $\zeta_4^+ = \zeta_4 + \zeta_1^2 \zeta_2 / 6$ and similarly for the antiholomorphic coordinates. The reproducing kernel of $L^2(\Gamma_{\text{hol}}(\mathcal{L}^{(1,1)}))$:

$$L^{(1,1)}(\zeta, \bar{z}) = (1 + \zeta_1 \bar{z}_1 + \zeta_4^+ \bar{z}_4^+ + \zeta_3^- \bar{z}_3^-) \\ \times (1 + \zeta_2 \bar{z}_2 + 2\zeta_3^+ \bar{z}_3^+ + (\zeta_4^+ - \zeta_1 \zeta_3^+)(\bar{z}_4^+ - \bar{z}_1 \bar{z}_3^+) + (\zeta_2 \zeta_4^+ - \zeta_3^- \zeta_3^+)(\bar{z}_2 \bar{z}_4^+ - \bar{z}_3^- \bar{z}_3^+)).$$

The principal symbol of the projector onto the weight space $(-1, 0)$ in $L^2(\Gamma_{\text{hol}}(\mathcal{L}^{(1,1)}))$:

$$L_{(-1,0)}^{(1,1)}(\zeta, \bar{z}) = \frac{7}{144} \zeta_1^3 \zeta_2^2 \bar{z}_1^2 \bar{z}_2^2 + \frac{1}{12} \zeta_1^2 \zeta_2 \zeta_3 \bar{z}_1^2 \bar{z}_2 \bar{z}_3 + 3\zeta_3 \zeta_4 \bar{z}_3 \bar{z}_4 + 2\zeta_1 \zeta_3^2 \bar{z}_1 \bar{z}_3^2 + \frac{7}{4} \zeta_1 \zeta_2 \zeta_4 \bar{z}_1 \bar{z}_2 \bar{z}_4 \\ + \frac{3}{2} \zeta_1 \zeta_3^2 \bar{z}_1 \bar{z}_2 \bar{z}_4 + \frac{1}{3} \zeta_1^3 \zeta_2^2 \bar{z}_3 \bar{z}_4 - \zeta_1 \zeta_3^2 \bar{z}_3 \bar{z}_4 + \frac{1}{2} \zeta_1 \zeta_2 \zeta_4 \bar{z}_3 \bar{z}_4 - \frac{1}{12} \zeta_1^3 \zeta_2^2 \bar{z}_1 \bar{z}_2 \bar{z}_4 \\ + \frac{1}{12} \zeta_1^2 \zeta_2 \zeta_3 \bar{z}_1 \bar{z}_2 \bar{z}_4 + \frac{1}{2} \zeta_3 \zeta_4 \bar{z}_1 \bar{z}_2 \bar{z}_4 + \frac{1}{2} \zeta_1^2 \zeta_2 \zeta_3 \bar{z}_3 \bar{z}_4 - \zeta_3 \zeta_4 \bar{z}_1 \bar{z}_3^2 - \frac{1}{4} \zeta_1^3 \zeta_2^2 \bar{z}_1 \bar{z}_3^2 \\ + \frac{1}{18} \zeta_1^3 \zeta_2^2 \bar{z}_1^2 \bar{z}_2 \bar{z}_3 - \frac{1}{6} \zeta_1 \zeta_3^2 \bar{z}_1^2 \bar{z}_2 \bar{z}_3 + \frac{1}{2} \zeta_3 \zeta_4 \bar{z}_1^2 \bar{z}_2 \bar{z}_3 - \frac{1}{6} \zeta_1^2 \zeta_2 \zeta_3 \bar{z}_1 \bar{z}_3^2 - \frac{3}{2} \zeta_1 \zeta_2 \zeta_4 \bar{z}_1 \bar{z}_3^2 \\ - \frac{1}{4} \zeta_1 \zeta_3^2 \bar{z}_1^2 \bar{z}_2^2 + \frac{1}{18} \zeta_1^2 \zeta_2 \zeta_3 \bar{z}_1^2 \bar{z}_2^2 - \frac{1}{12} \zeta_1 \zeta_2 \zeta_4 \bar{z}_1^2 \bar{z}_2^2 + \frac{1}{12} \zeta_1 \zeta_2 \zeta_4 \bar{z}_1^2 \bar{z}_2 \bar{z}_3 + \frac{1}{3} \zeta_3 \zeta_4 \bar{z}_1^2 \bar{z}_2^2.$$

The monomial set:

$$\begin{aligned} u_1 &= \zeta_1^3 \zeta_2^2, & v_1 &= z_1^3 z_2^2, \\ u_2 &= \zeta_1^2 \zeta_2 \zeta_3, & v_2 &= z_1^2 z_2 z_3, \\ u_3 &= \zeta_3 \zeta_4, & v_3 &= z_1^2 z_2 z_3, \\ u_4 &= \zeta_1 \zeta_3^2, & v_4 &= z_1^2 z_2 z_3, \\ u_5 &= \zeta_1 \zeta_2 \zeta_4, & v_5 &= z_1^2 z_2 z_3. \end{aligned}$$

The Hermitian form $\mathbf{L}_{(-1,0)}^{(1,1)}(u, \bar{v})$:

$$\mathbf{L}_{(-1,0)}^{(1,1)}(u, \bar{v}) = \begin{pmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \\ v_3 \\ v_4 \\ v_5 \end{pmatrix}^\dagger \begin{pmatrix} \frac{7}{144} & \frac{1}{18} & \frac{1}{3} & -\frac{1}{4} & \frac{-1}{12} \\ \frac{1}{18} & \frac{1}{12} & \frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{6} & \frac{1}{12} \\ \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{2} & 3 & -1 & \frac{1}{2} \\ -\frac{1}{4} & -\frac{1}{6} & -1 & 2 & \frac{3}{2} \\ \frac{-1}{12} & \frac{1}{12} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{3}{2} & \frac{7}{4} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u_1 \\ u_2 \\ u_3 \\ u_4 \\ u_5 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Computation of the multiplicity:

$$\gamma^{(1,1)}((-1, 0)) = \text{rank}(\mathbf{L}_{(-1,0)}^{(1,1)}(u, \bar{v})) = 2.$$

Acknowledgements

I would like to express my sincere gratitude to R. Pnini for his kind help and support.

References

- [1] Arnal D., Ben Ammar M., Selmi M., Le problème de la réduction à un sous-groupe dans la quantification par déformation, *Ann. Fac. Sci. Toulouse Math. (5)* **12** (1991), 7–27.
- [2] Baldoni M.W., Beck M., Cochet C., Vergne M., Volume computation for polytopes and partition functions of classical root systems, *Discrete Comput. Geom.* **35** (2006), 551–595, [math.CO/0504231](https://arxiv.org/abs/math.CO/0504231).
- [3] Bando M., Kuratomo T., Maskawa T., Uehera S., Structure of nonlinear realization in supersymmetric theories, *Phys. Lett. B* **138** (1984), 94–98.
- [4] Bando M., Kuratomo T., Maskawa T., Uehera S., Nonlinear realizations in supersymmetric theories, *Progr. Theoret. Phys.* **72** (1984), 313–349.
Bando M., Kuratomo T., Maskawa T., Uehera S., Nonlinear realizations in supersymmetric theories. II, *Progr. Theoret. Phys.* **72** (1984), 1207–1213.
- [5] Bar-Moshe D., Marinov M.S., Realization of compact Lie algebras in Kähler manifolds, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **27** (1994), 6287–6298, [hep-th/9407092](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/9407092).
- [6] Bar-Moshe D., Marinov M.S., Berezin quantization and unitary representations of Lie groups, in Topics in Statistical and Theoretical Physics, *Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. Ser. 2*, Vol. 177, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1996, 1–21, [hep-th/9407093](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/9407093).
- [7] Berezin F.A., Quantization, *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat.* **38** (1974), 1116–1175.
- [8] Berezin F.A., Covariant and contravariant symbols of operators, *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat.* **36** (1972), 1134–1167.
- [9] Billey S., Guillemin V., Rassart E., A vector partition function for the multiplicities of $\mathfrak{sl}_k(\mathbb{C})$, *J. Algebra* **278** (2004), 251–293, [math.CO/0307227](https://arxiv.org/abs/math.CO/0307227).
- [10] Baoua O.B., Gelfand pairs with coherent states, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **46** (1998), 247–263.
- [11] Cahen B., Multiplicities of compact Lie group representations via Berezin quantization, *Mat. Vesnik* **60** (2008), 295–309.

-
- [12] Guillemin V., Sternberg S., Geometric quantization and multiplicities of group representations, *Invent. Math.* **67** (1982), 515–538.
 - [13] Heckman G.J., Projections of orbits and asymptotic behavior of multiplicities for compact connected Lie groups, *Invent. Math.* **67** (1983), 333–356.
 - [14] Hurt N.E., Geometric quantization in action, *Mathematics and Its Applications (East European Series)*, Vol. 8, D. Reidel Publishing Co., Dordrecht-Boston, Mass., 1983.
 - [15] Kostant B., A formula for the multiplicity of a weight, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **93** (1959), 53–73.
 - [16] Littelmann P., Paths and root operators in representation theory, *Ann of Math. (2)* **142** (1995), 499–525.
 - [17] O’Raifeartaigh L., Group structures of gauge theories, *Cambridge Monographs on Mathematical Physics*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1986.
 - [18] Peetre J., The Berezin transform and Ha-plitz operators, *J. Operator Theory* **24** (1990), 165–168.
 - [19] Rawnsley J., Cahen M., Gutt S., Quantization of Kähler manifolds. I. Geometric interpretation of Berezin’s quantization, *J. Geom. Phys.* **7** (1990), 45–62.
 - [20] Vergne M., Multiplicities formula for geometric quantization. I, *Duke Math. J.* **82** (1996), 143–179.
 - [21] Vergne M., Multiplicities formula for geometric quantization. II, *Duke Math. J.* **82** (1996), 181–194.