

Geometry & Topology Monographs
 Volume 1: The Epstein Birthday Schrift
 Pages 295{301

On the fixed-point set of automorphisms of non-orientable surfaces without boundary

M Izquierdo
 D Singerman

Abstract Macbeath gave a formula for the number of fixed points for each non-identity element of a cyclic group of automorphisms of a compact Riemann surface in terms of the universal covering transformation group of the cyclic group. We observe that this formula generalizes to determine the fixed-point set of each non-identity element of a cyclic group of automorphisms acting on a closed non-orientable surface with one exception; namely, when this element has order 2. In this case the fixed-point set may have simple closed curves (called *ovals*) as well as fixed points. In this note we extend Macbeath's results to include the number of ovals and also determine whether they are twisted or not.

AMS Classification 20F10, 30F10; 30F35, 51M10, 14H99

Keywords Automorphism of a surface, NEC group, universal covering transformation group, oval, fixed-point set

For David Epstein on the occasion of his sixtieth birthday

1 Introduction

Let Y be a compact non-orientable Klein surface of genus $p \geq 3$. By genus here we mean the number of cross-caps of the surface. Let $t: Y \rightarrow Y$ be an automorphism of order M . If $1 < i < M$ and if $i \neq M/2$ then the fixed-point set of t^i consists of isolated fixed points and their number can be calculated, as described below, by a formula which is completely analogous to Macbeath's formula [5] concerning automorphisms of Riemann surfaces. However, if $M = 2N$ then the fixed-point set of the involution t^N consists of a finite number n of disjoint simple closed curves called *ovals* together with a finite number of isolated fixed points [2], [6]. The ovals may be *twisted* or *untwisted* which means that they have Möbius band or annular neighbourhoods respectively.

In this note we calculate the number of ovals and isolated fixed-points of t^N and whether the ovals are twisted or not.

The information is given, as in Macbeath [5] in terms of the universal covering transformation group.

The authors acknowledge Mälardalen University and the Swedish Natural Science Research Council for financial support.

2 The universal covering transformation group

If Y is a compact non-orientable Klein surface of genus $p \geq 3$ then the orientable two-sheeted covering surface of Y has genus $2p - 2$, so that the universal covering space of Y is the upper half-plane H (with the hyperbolic metric) and the group of covering transformations is a non-orientable surface subgroup K generated by glide-reflections. If G is a group of automorphisms of Y then the elements of G lift to a *non-euclidean crystallographic (NEC) group* acting on H . There is a smooth epimorphism

$$\pi : \Gamma \rightarrow G \tag{1}$$

whose kernel is K , where smooth means that π preserves the orders of elements of finite order in Γ . The transformation group $(\Gamma; H)$ is called the *universal covering transformation group* of $(G; Y)$.

Now let $G = \langle t \mid t^{2N} = 1 \rangle$ be a cyclic group of order $2N$. As π is smooth we must have $\pi(c) = t^N$ for every reflection c in Γ . Also we cannot have two distinct reflections in Γ whose product has finite order. So it follows, in the canonical presentation of NEC groups as given in [4] or [3], that Γ has empty period cycles.

Thus Γ has signature of the form

$$s(\Gamma) = (g; \infty; [m_1; \dots; m_n]; f(\infty)^k g) \tag{2}$$

with k empty period cycles; then Γ has one of the two presentations depending on whether there is a $+$ or a $-$ in the signature;

for the $(+)$ case

$$\begin{aligned} x_1, \dots, x_n, e_1, \dots, e_k, c_1, \dots, c_k, a_1, b_1, \dots, a_g, b_g \\ x_i^{m_i} = 1; i = 1, \dots, n; c_j^2 = c_j e_j^{-1} c_j e_j = 1; j = 1, \dots, k; \\ x_1 \dots x_n e_1 \dots e_k a_1 b_1 a_1^{-1} b_1^{-1} \dots a_g b_g a_g h^{-1} b_g^{-1} \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

for the (−) case

$$x_1, \dots, x_n; e_1, \dots, e_k; c_1, \dots, c_k; d_1, \dots, d_g; j$$

$$x_i^{m_i} = 1; i = 1, \dots, n; c_j^2 = c_j e_j^{-1} c_j e_j = 1; j = 1, \dots, k; x_1 \dots x_n e_1 \dots e_k d_1^2 \dots d_g^2 \quad (4)$$

In these presentations the generators x_i are elliptic elements, the generators c_j are reflections, the generating reflections of Γ , and the generators e_j are orientation-preserving transformations called the connecting generators. Each empty period cycle corresponds to a conjugacy class of reflections in Γ .

One important fact to note about these presentations is that the connecting generator e_j commutes with the generating reflection c_j , and in fact the centralizer of c_j in Γ is just the group $\langle e_j, c_j \rangle = C_2 \times C_1$. (See [8])

3 The fixed-point set of a power of t

Let Y be a non-orientable surface of topological genus $p \geq 3$ and let t be an automorphism of order $2N$. If $1 < i < 2N$ and $i \notin N$ then the number of fixed points of the automorphism t^i is given by Macbeath's formula (see [5]). If t^i has order d then t^i has

$$2N \sum_{d|m_j} \frac{1}{m_j} \quad (5)$$

fixed points, where m_j runs over the periods in $s(t)$.

This is because Macbeath's proof (applying to Fuchsian groups) only uses the facts that each period corresponds to a unique conjugacy class of elliptic elements of Γ , and each elliptic element has a unique fixed point in H . Now, the number of isolated fixed points of t^i is independent of the smooth epimorphism $\Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma_i$ above. However the epimorphism $\Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma_i$ does play a part in the number of ovals of t^N .

Theorem 3.1 *Let Y be a non-orientable surface of topological genus $p \geq 3$. Let $G = C_{2N} = \langle t \mid t^{2N} = 1 \rangle$ be a group of automorphisms of Y , and let Γ and Γ_i be as described in equations 1 and 2. If $(e_j) = t^{v_j}$ then the number of ovals of the involution t^N is*

$$\sum_{j=1}^k (N; v_j) \quad (6)$$

and the number of isolated fixed points of t^N is

$$2N \sum_{m_j \text{ even}} \frac{1}{m_j}$$

Proof Let $\Gamma = \langle h t^N i \rangle$ so that Γ contains the group $K = Ker \pi$ with index 2. Now, Γ must have signature of the form

$$s(\Gamma) = (g; \quad ; [2^{(r)}]; f(\quad)^s g) \tag{7}$$

with r periods equal to 2 and s empty period cycles.

The reason that all periods in Γ are equal to 2 is because if m_j in $s(\Gamma)$ is even then $x_j^{m_j=2} \in \Gamma$ and any elliptic element of Γ are conjugate to some $x_j^{m_j=2}$ (see [7]).

By results in [2] (see also [3]), r is the number of isolated fixed points of t^N and is given by Macbeath's formula

$$2N \times \prod_{m_j \text{ even}} \frac{1}{m_j}$$

It also follows from [2] that the number of ovals of t^N is just the number s of period cycles in Γ , which corresponds to the number of conjugacy classes of reflections in Γ . As a reflection c_j in Γ belongs also to K and the group K has k conjugacy classes of reflections, we just have to determine into how many $\{$ conjugacy classes the $\{$ conjugacy class of c_j splits. We shall use the epimorphism π to calculate this number.

There is a transitive action of Γ on the $\{$ conjugacy classes of c_j in Γ by letting Γ map the reflection $g c_j g^{-1}$ to $g c_j^{-1} g^{-1}$, with $g \in \Gamma$. (Because $\Gamma / K \cong C_2$). Clearly, if $\Gamma = K$ then Γ has a trivial action on these $\{$ conjugacy classes. So we have an action of $\Gamma / K = C_{2N} / C_2 = C_N$ on these classes. As the centralizer of c_j in Γ is just $\langle h c_j, e_j, i \rangle$, the stabilizer of the $\{$ conjugacy classes of c_j in Γ are the cosets $\langle e_j, \dots, e_j^{j-1} \rangle$, where $j = \exp e_j$, the least positive power of e_j that belongs to K . Now, let $\nu_j = \exp_K e_j$. Then either $\nu_j = j$ or $\nu_j = 2j$.

The additive group Z_{2N} contains a subgroup isomorphic to Z_N and $a \in Z_N$ has order $\frac{N}{(N;a)}$ in Z_N so that a has the same order in Z_{2N} if and only if $(2N;a) = 2(N;a)$. If $(2N;a) = (N;a)$ then the order of a in Z_{2N} is twice the order of a in Z_N and we then find that

$$\nu_j = j \quad \text{if} \quad (2N; \nu_j) = 2(N; \nu_j)$$

and

$$\nu_j = 2j \quad \text{if} \quad (2N; \nu_j) = (N; \nu_j);$$

where $(e_j) = t^{\nu_j}$.

By the above argument on the action of σ_j on the $\{$ conjugacy classes of c_j $\}$ we see that the number of such classes is N/v_j , which is

if $\sigma_j = \sigma_j$

$$\frac{N}{j} = \frac{N}{\sigma_j} = \frac{N(2N; v_j)}{2N} = \frac{(2N; v_j)}{2} = (N; v_j);$$

or if $\sigma_j = 2\sigma_j$

$$\frac{N}{j} = \frac{2N}{\sigma_j} = \frac{2N(2N; v_j)}{2N} = (2N; v_j) = (N; v_j)$$

Thus in both cases the generating reflection c_j of σ_j induces $(N; v_j)$ conjugacy classes of reflections in Σ . Thus the number of ovals of t^N in Y is

$$\prod_{j=1}^{\times} (N; v_j) \tag{8}$$

□

Theorem 3.2 *The ovals of t^N in Y induced by the j th period cycle in Σ are twisted if $(2N; v_j) = (N; v_j)$ and untwisted if $(2N; v_j) = 2(N; v_j)$.*

Proof As we have found in Theorem 3.1, the j th empty period cycle in Σ induces $(N; v_j)$ empty period cycles in Σ . The generating reflections of these period cycles are just conjugates of c_j in Σ and, as the corresponding connecting generator e_j is just the orientation-preserving element generating the centralizer of c_j in Σ , we see that the connecting generator of each of the period cycles in Σ

induced by the j th period cycle in Σ is just conjugate to e_j^j , $j = \exp e_j$ as in the proof of Theorem 3.1. Now, let $\theta: \Sigma \rightarrow C_2 = \text{gph } i$, where $\sigma = t^N$, be the restriction of the epimorphism $\sigma: \Sigma \rightarrow C_{2N}$. Then

if $\sigma_j = \sigma_j$

$$\theta(e_j^j) = \theta(e_j^{\sigma_j}) = \theta(e_j^{\sigma_j}) = 1$$

if $\sigma_j = 2\sigma_j$

$$\theta(e_j^j) = \theta(e_j^{\frac{j}{2}}) = \theta(e_j^{\frac{j}{2}}) = \dots;$$

the generator of C_2 . Generally, if c is the generating reflection of an empty period cycle of Σ and e is the corresponding connecting generator then figures 1 and 2 show that $\theta(e) = 1$ corresponds to an untwisted oval while $\theta(e) = \dots$ corresponds to a twisted oval.

However, as in the proof of Theorem 3.1 $\sigma_j = \sigma_j$ if and only if $(2N; v_j) = 2(N; v_j)$ and hence we have untwisted ovals while $\sigma_j = 2\sigma_j$ if and only if $(2N; v_j) = (N; v_j)$ and we have twisted ovals. □




Figure 1: $\theta(e) = 1$ so $e \in 2K$




Figure 2: $\theta(e) = \dots$ so $ce \in 2K$

4 Bounds and examples

In [6] (also see [2]) Scherrer showed that that if an involution of a non-orientable surface of genus ρ has $j F j$ fixed points and $j V j$ ovals then

$$j F j + 2 j V j = \rho + 2:$$

In our examples we will show that for any integer N we can find a non-orientable surface of genus ρ admitting a C_{2N} action with generator t such that t^N attains the Scherrer bound.

Example 1 Bujalance [1] found the maximum order for an automorphism t of a non-orientable surface Y of genus $\rho \geq 3$; it is 2ρ for odd ρ and $2(\rho - 1)$ for even ρ . The universal covering transformation group has signature $s(\) = (0; [2; \rho]; f(\)g)$ for odd ρ , and signature $s(\) = (0; [2; 2(\rho - 1)]; f(\)g)$ for even ρ . There is, essentially, only one way of defining the epimorphism in each case:

if ρ is odd, we define $\pi : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow C_{2\rho}$ by $(x_1) = t^\rho, (x_2) = t^2, (c) = t^\rho$, and $(e) = t^{\rho-2}$,

if ρ is even, we define $\pi : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow C_{2(\rho-1)}$ by $(x_1) = t^{\rho-1}, (x_2) = t^1, (c) = t^{\rho-1}$, and $(e) = t^{\rho-2}$.

Using Macbeath's formula (5) we see that the involution t^ρ has ρ fixed points for surfaces of both odd and even genera. Now, if ρ is odd then the involution t^ρ also has, by Theorems 3.1 and 3.2, one twisted oval if ρ is odd as $(\rho; \rho - 2) = (2\rho; \rho - 2) = 1$. If ρ is even then the involution $t^{\rho-1}$ has, by Theorems 3.1 and 3.2, one untwisted oval as $(\rho - 1; \rho - 2) = 1$ and $(2(\rho - 1); \rho - 2) = 2(\rho; \rho - 2) = 2$. We note that the involution t^ρ obeys the Scherrer bound. Note that the orders

of the cyclic groups in Bujalance's examples are $\equiv 2 \pmod{4}$. Our second example shows that the Scherrer bound can be obtained for the involution in a C_4 action.

Example 2 Let Y be a non-orientable surface of genus $p = 3$, and let t be an automorphism of Y of order 4. Let Y have signature

$$(0; +; [2^{(r)}; 4; 4]; (\)^k)$$

and define a smooth epimorphism $\pi : Y \rightarrow C_4$ by mapping the generators of order two to t^2 , the two generators of order 4 to t and t^{-1} and the connecting generators to the identity. We then find that for the involution t^2 , $j \in \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$, and $j \in \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$, and $p = 4k + 2r$, so that we find in finitely many surfaces where the Scherrer bound is attained for the involution in C_4 . This is easily extended to groups of order $4m$ by replacing the two periods 4 in the signature of Y by $4m$.

References

- [1] **E Bujalance**, *Cyclic groups of automorphisms of compact non-orientable Klein surfaces without boundary*, Pac. J. of Math. 109 (1983) 279{289
- [2] **E Bujalance, A F Costa, S Natanzon, D Singerman**, *Involutions of compact Klein surfaces*, Math. Z. 211 (1992) 461{478
- [3] **E Bujalance, J J Etayo, J M Gamboa, G Gromadzki**, *A combinatorial approach to groups of automorphisms of bordered Klein surfaces*, Lect. Notes in Math. vol. 1439, Springer-Verlag (1990)
- [4] **A M Macbeath**, *The classification of non-euclidean plane crystallographic groups*, Canad. J. Math. 19 (1967) 1192{1205
- [5] **A M Macbeath**, *Action of automorphisms of a compact Riemann surface on the first homology group*, Bull. London. Math. Soc. 5 (1973) 103{108
- [6] **W Scherrer**, *Zur Theorie der endlichen Gruppen topologischer Abbildungen von geschlossenen Flächen in sich*, Comment. Math. Helv. 1 (1929) 60{119
- [7] **D Singerman**, *Subgroups of Fuchsian groups and finite permutations groups*, Bull. London. Math. Soc. 2 (1970) 319{323
- [8] **D Singerman**, *On the structure of non-euclidean crystallographic groups*, Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. 76 (1974) 233{240

Department of Maths, Mälardalen University, 721 23 Västerås, Sweden
and

Department of Maths, University of Southampton, Southampton, SO17 1BJ, UK

Email: mi o@mdh.se, ds@maths.soton.ac.uk

Received: 15 November 1997