## Solutions of exercise sheet 10

1. Let $d \geq 2$ be an integer, and $H \leq S_{d}$ be a subgroup generated by a set of transpositions, such that $H$ acts transitively on $\{1, \ldots, d\}$. Prove that $H=S_{d}$. [Hint: It is enough to show that $H$ contains, for some fixed $i$, all permutations $(i k)$ with $k \neq i$. Start with a permutation $(i j) \in H$, and for $k$ arbitrary construct a "path" of transpositions from $j$ to $k$. Then...]

Solution: Suppose that $H$ contains all the permutations of the kind $(i k)$ for fixed $i$. Then for each $k^{\prime}, k^{\prime \prime}$ we have $H \ni\left(i k^{\prime}\right)\left(i k^{\prime \prime}\right)\left(i k^{\prime}\right)=\left(k^{\prime} k^{\prime \prime}\right)$, so that $H$ contains all transpositions and hence $H=S_{d}$.

By hypothesis there is a transposition $\tau=(i j) \in H$ (since $H$ is non-trivial as it is non-transitive). Suppose that $k$ differs from both $i$ and $j$. Then by transitivity of $H$ there exists transpositions $\tau_{0}, \ldots, \tau_{l}$ such that the composite $\tau_{0} \cdots \tau_{l}$ sends $j$ to $k$. Without loss of generality we may assume that $\tau_{l}$ does not fix $j$ (else, we can remove and use induction on $l$ ). Moreover, without loss of generality we may assume that two $\tau_{s} \neq \tau_{s+1}$ for each $s$ (else, we can remove them both, and again use induction on $l$ ). Furthermore, we can also assume that $\tau_{s}$ switches the image of $j$ via $\tau_{s+1} \cdots \tau_{l}$ (else, we can take $s$ maximal such that $\tau_{s}$ and $\tau_{s+1}$ are disjoint and notice that the image of $j$ through $\sigma_{s+1} \cdots \sigma_{l}$ is fixed by $\tau_{s}$, which can then be removed), and prove with an easy induction that this allows to write $\tau_{l}=\left(i_{s} i_{s+1}\right)$ where $i_{0}:=k, i_{l+1}:=j$ and $i_{1}, \ldots, i_{l}$ are some other elements. Then $k=\left(\left(k i_{1}\right)\left(i_{1} i_{2}\right) \cdots\left(i_{l} j\right)\right)(j)$ where all the transposition lie in $H$. We can also assume, without loss of generality, that the $i_{s}$ are all different for $s=0, \ldots, l+1$ (else, if $i_{s}=i_{s^{\prime}}$, then one can remove the transpositions $\tau_{s}, \ldots, \tau_{s^{\prime}-1}$ and use induction).

Now we have $k=\left(k i_{1} i_{2} \cdots i_{l} j\right)(j)$ for distinct $i_{s}$. There are now two cases:

- Suppose that $i \neq i_{s}$ for each $s$. Let $\gamma=\left(k i_{1} i_{2} \cdots i_{l} j\right)$. Then

$$
H \ni \gamma^{-1}(i j) \gamma^{-1}=(i k)
$$

- Suppose that $i=i_{s}$ for some $s$. We have $\sigma:=\left(k i_{1} i_{2} \cdots i_{s-1} i\right) \in H$, so that

$$
H \ni \tau\left(\sigma \tau \sigma^{-1}\right) \tau^{-1}=\tau(j k) \tau^{-1}=(i k)
$$

In both cases, we have proved that $(i k) \in H$, so that our initial considerations allow us to conclude.
2. Let $K$ be a field, and let $L_{1} / K, L_{2} / K$ be two finite extensions lying in a fixed algebraic closure $\bar{K}$ of $K$.

1. Let $L_{1} L_{2} \subseteq \bar{K}$ be the smallest extension of $K$ containing $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$. Show that $L_{1} L_{2}$ is a finite extension of $K$.
2. Assume that $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$ are normal extensions of $K$. Show that $L_{1} L_{2}$ is also a normal extension of $K$.
3. Assume that $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$ are separable extensions of $K$. Show that $L_{1} L_{2}$ is also a separable extension of $K$.
4. Now assume that $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$ are Galois extensions of $K$ with Galois groups $G_{i}:=$ $\operatorname{Gal}\left(L_{i} / K\right)$. Show that restriction of automorphisms induces an injective group homomorphism

$$
\varphi: \operatorname{Gal}\left(L_{1} L_{2} / K\right) \longrightarrow G_{1} \times G_{2} .
$$

5. Assume that $L_{1} \cap L_{2}=K$. Show that $\varphi$ is surjective.
6. Construct a field extension $L / \mathbb{Q}$ with $\operatorname{Gal}(L / \mathbb{Q})=\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$.

## Solution:

1. Since $L_{1} L_{2} \subseteq \bar{K}$, the extension $L_{1} L_{2} / K$ is algebraic, and we are only left to prove that it is finitely generated. By hypothesis both the extensions $L_{i} / K$ are finitely generated. Adjoining to $K$ some chosen generators of $L_{1} / K$ together with some chosen generators of $L_{2} / K$ we get a finitely generated extension of $K$ which contains both $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$, and has then to coincide with $L_{1} L_{2}$ by definition. Hence $L_{1} L_{2}$ is finitely generated over $K$.
2. Let $\sigma: L_{1} L_{2} \longrightarrow \bar{K}$ be a $K$-embedding, and let us prove that $\sigma\left(L_{1} L_{2}\right)=L_{1} L_{2}$ to conclude normality of $L_{1} L_{2} / K$. This is quite straightforward: $\sigma\left(L_{1} L_{2}\right)$ contains $\sigma\left(L_{i}\right)$ for both $i$, which is $L_{i}$ by hypothesis. Then $\sigma\left(L_{1} L_{2}\right) \supseteq L_{1} L_{2}$ by hypothesis, and equality is immediate by equality of the dimensions of the two sides as $K$ vector space (and injectivity of $\sigma$ ).
3. Write $L_{1}=K\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{t}\right)$. All the $\alpha_{i}$ 's are separable over $K$. Then $L_{1} L_{2}=$ $L_{2}\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{t}\right)$, and all the $\alpha_{i}$ 's are separable over $L_{2}$ (because their minimal polynomials $L_{2}$ are factors of their minimal polynomials over $K$ ), so that $L_{1} L_{2} / L_{2}$ is separable. Since separability is preserved in towers of extensions, $L_{1} L_{2} / K$ is a separable extension.
4. Clearly $L_{1} L_{2} / K$ is Galois by the two previous points. Define

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi: \operatorname{Gal}\left(L_{1} L_{2} / K\right) & \longrightarrow G_{1} \times G_{2} \\
\sigma & \mapsto\left(\left.\sigma\right|_{L_{1}},\left.\sigma\right|_{L_{2}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

This is clearly a group homomorphism. Suppose $\sigma \in \operatorname{ker}(\varphi)$. Then $\left.\sigma\right|_{L_{i}}=\mathrm{id}_{L_{i}}$ for $i=1,2$. Then applying $\sigma$ to generators of the extensions $L_{i} / K$, the procedure used in Point 1 to construct $L_{1} L_{2}$ proves that $\sigma=\operatorname{id}_{L_{1} L_{2}}$, so that $\varphi$ is injective.
5. Let $H_{1}=\operatorname{Gal}\left(L_{1} L_{2} / L_{2}\right)$ and $H_{2}=\operatorname{Gal}\left(L_{1} L_{2} / L_{1}\right)$. They are subgroups of $\phi$. Moreover, $\phi\left(H_{1}\right)=K_{1} \times 1$ and $\phi\left(H_{2}\right)=1 \times K_{2}$ for some subgroups $K_{i}$ of $G_{i}$, so that we can identify $H_{i} \leq G_{i}$ for $i=1,2$. To conclude, we just need to show that $H_{1} \times H_{2}=\operatorname{Gal}\left(L_{1} L_{2} / K\right)$, which is quite straightforward by Galois correspondence. Indeed, $L^{H_{1} \times H_{2}} \subseteq L^{H_{i}}=L_{i}$, so that $L^{H_{1} \times H_{2}} \subseteq L_{1} \cap L_{2}=K$.
3. [Gauss sums] Let $p$ be an odd prime and define the Legendre symbol as follows for $x \in \mathbb{F}_{p}^{\times}$:

$$
\left(\frac{x}{p}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
1 \text { if } x \text { is a square in } \mathbb{F}_{p}^{\times} \\
-1 \text { if } x \text { is a not square in } \mathbb{F}_{p}^{\times}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Recall that the association $x \mapsto\left(\frac{a}{p}\right)$ defines a group homomorphism $\mathbb{F}_{p}^{\times} \longrightarrow\{ \pm 1\}$. (See last semester's - Algebra I, HS 2014 - Exercise sheet 13, Exercise 2).

Let

$$
\tau:=\sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_{p}^{\times}}\left(\frac{a}{p}\right) \exp \left(\frac{2 \pi i a}{p}\right) .
$$

Prove directly by Galois theory that $\tau^{2} \in \mathbb{Q}^{\times}$, but $\tau \notin \mathbb{Q}^{\times}$.
[Hint: Compute the action of the Galois group of $\mathbb{Q}\left(\xi_{p}\right) / \mathbb{Q}$, where $\xi_{p}=\exp \left(\frac{2 \pi i}{p}\right)$. Recall that $\left.\left[\mathbb{Q}\left(\xi_{p}\right): \mathbb{Q}\right]=p-1.\right]$

## Solution:

By definition, $\tau \in \mathbb{Q}\left(\xi_{p}\right)$, and we know that $\operatorname{Gal}\left(\mathbb{Q}\left(\xi_{p}\right) / \mathbb{Q}\right) \cong(\mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z})^{\times}$, where the class $b+p \mathbb{Z}$, with $p \nmid b$, acts via $\xi_{p} \mapsto \xi_{p}^{b}$. Hence, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
(b+p \mathbb{Z}) \cdot \tau & =(b+p \mathbb{Z}) \cdot \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_{p}^{\times}}\left(\frac{a}{p}\right) \exp \left(\frac{2 \pi i a}{p}\right)=\sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_{p}^{\times}}\left(\frac{a}{p}\right) \exp \left(\frac{2 \pi i a b}{p}\right) \\
& =\left(\frac{b}{p}\right) \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_{p}^{\times}}\left(\frac{a b}{p}\right) \exp \left(\frac{2 \pi i a b}{p}\right)=\left(\frac{b}{p}\right) \tau .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then it's clear that $\tau^{2}$ is fixed by all automorphisms of $\mathbb{Q}\left(\xi_{p}\right) / \mathbb{Q}$, while $\tau$ is not (as $\mathbb{F}_{p}^{\times}$contains non-squares). By Galois theory, this means that $\tau \notin \mathbb{Q}^{\times}$and $\tau^{2} \notin \mathbb{Q}^{\times}$.

