

# Applied Stochastic Processes

## Solution Sheet 5

### Solution 5.1

- (a) Let us define the random variables  $\tilde{S}_n = S_n - S_0$  for  $n \geq 1$  and the process  $\tilde{N}$  by  $\tilde{N}_t := \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{1}(\tilde{S}_k \leq t)$ . We have  $\tilde{N}_t \geq N_t$  for all  $t \geq 0$ ,  $\mathbb{P}$ -almost surely. Therefore, by Lemma 2 (ii) of the lecture notes, it holds that  $\mathbb{E}[N_t^r] < \infty$  for any  $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$  and any  $r \in \mathbb{N}$ .
- (b) By construction of  $N$ , it is nondecreasing, and the monotonicity property of expectations yields that  $M$  is nondecreasing as well.

Let  $0 < s < t \in \mathbb{R}^+$ , we have  $N_{s+\delta} \leq N_t$  for all  $0 \leq \delta < t - s$ . By the previous question,  $N_t$  is integrable.  $N$  is right-continuous by construction and we have  $\lim_{\delta \downarrow 0} N_{s+\delta} = N_s$   $\mathbb{P}$ -a.s. Using the dominated convergence theorem, we conclude that  $\lim_{\delta \downarrow 0} M(s+\delta) = M(s)$ . This proves that  $M$  is right-continuous.

By the monotone convergence theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned} M(t) &= \mathbb{E}[N_t] \\ &= \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{1}(S_k \leq t)\right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}\left[\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbf{1}(S_k \leq t)\right] \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{k=1}^n \mathbf{1}(S_k \leq t)\right] \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{1}(S_k \leq t)] \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}[S_k \leq t] \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} G * F^{*k}(t). \end{aligned}$$

This proves the claim.

(c) Let  $s > 0$ , we have similarly to the proof from the lecture,

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{M}(s) &= \int_0^\infty e^{-sx} dM(x) \\
&= \int_0^\infty e^{-sx} d \sum_{k=1}^\infty G * F^{*k}(x) \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^\infty \int_0^\infty e^{-sx} d(G * F^{*k})(x) \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^\infty \mathbb{E}[e^{-sS_k}] \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^\infty \mathbb{E}[e^{-sS_0}] \mathbb{E}[e^{-sT_1}]^k \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^\infty \hat{G}(s) \hat{F}(s)^k \\
&= \hat{G}(s) \frac{\hat{F}(s)}{1 - \hat{F}(s)},
\end{aligned}$$

where we first used the definition of the Laplace transform, the independence of the  $T_i$ 's, that they are identically distributed and the independence of  $S_0$  from the  $T_i$ 's. For  $s = 0$  both sides of the equality are infinite.

(d) Let  $t \geq 0$ . We have from (b) the equality  $M(t) = \sum_{k=1}^\infty G * F^{*k}(t)$ . Rewriting,

$$\begin{aligned}
M(t) &= G * F(t) + \sum_{k=2}^\infty G * F^{*k}(t) \\
&= G * F(t) + \sum_{k=2}^\infty \int_0^t G * F^{*k-1}(t-s) dF(s) \\
&= G * F(t) + \sum_{k=1}^\infty \int_0^t G * F^{*k}(t-s) dF(s) \\
&= G * F(t) + \int_0^t M(t-s) dF(s).
\end{aligned}$$

For  $t < 0$ , it holds that  $M(t) = 0$ .

### Solution 5.2

Observe that the probability distribution of the interarrival times  $T_n$  is Gamma( $\lambda, 2$ ), which is the convolution of two Exp( $\lambda$ ) distributions. The interarrival times can thus be written as  $T_n = V_{2n-1} + V_{2n}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  where  $V_n$  are independent and exponentially distributed random variables with rate  $\lambda$ .

Let  $(\tilde{N}_t)_{t \geq 0}$  be the renewal process with interarrival times  $V_n$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Hence, for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
P[N_t = n] &= P[\tilde{N}_t = 2n] + P[\tilde{N}_t = 2n + 1] \\
&= e^{-\lambda t} \left( \frac{(\lambda t)^{2n}}{(2n)!} + \frac{(\lambda t)^{2n+1}}{(2n+1)!} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
M(t) &= \mathbb{E}[N_t] = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} nP[N_t = n] \\
&= e^{-\lambda t} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( 2n \frac{(\lambda t)^{2n}}{(2n)!} + (2n+1) \frac{(\lambda t)^{2n+1}}{(2n+1)!} - \frac{(\lambda t)^{2n+1}}{(2n+1)!} \right) \\
&= \frac{e^{-\lambda t}}{2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n \frac{(\lambda t)^n}{n!} - \frac{e^{-\lambda t}}{2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda t)^{2n+1}}{(2n+1)!} \\
&= \frac{\lambda t}{2} - \frac{e^{-\lambda t}}{2} \left( \frac{e^{\lambda t} - e^{-\lambda t}}{2} \right) \\
&= \frac{\lambda t}{2} - \frac{1 - e^{-2\lambda t}}{4}.
\end{aligned}$$

From this we obtain  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{M(t)}{t} = \frac{\lambda}{2}$ .

### Solution 5.3

(a) Let  $S_k = T_1 + \dots + T_k$ . For  $t \geq 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
g(t) &= P[Y_t = 1] \\
&= P[Y_t = 1, T_1 > t] + P[Y_t = 1, T_1 \leq t] \\
&= P[U_1 > t] + E \left[ \sum_{k \geq 1} \mathbf{1}_{\{S_k \leq t < S_k + U_{k+1}\}} \right] \\
&= P[U_1 > t] + E \left[ \sum_{k \geq 1} \mathbf{1}_{\{T_1 + S_k - S_1 \leq t < T_1 + S_k - S_1 + U_{k+1}\}} \right],
\end{aligned}$$

where  $T_1$  is independent of  $S_k - S_1$  and of  $U_{k+1}$ , and  $S_k - S_1 \stackrel{D}{=} S_{k-1}$  for  $k \geq 1$ . By conditioning on  $T_1$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&E \left[ \sum_{k \geq 1} \mathbf{1}_{\{T_1 + S_k - S_1 \leq t < T_1 + S_k - S_1 + U_{k+1}\}} \middle| T_1 \right] \\
&= E \left[ \sum_{k \geq 1} \mathbf{1}_{\{S_k - S_1 \leq t - s < S_k - S_1 + U_{k+1}\}} \right] \Bigg|_{s=T_1} = g(t - T_1) \quad \text{a.e. on } \{T_1 \leq t\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, using the tower property,

$$g(t) = P[U_1 > t] + E[g(t - T_1) \mathbf{1}_{\{T_1 \leq t\}}] = P[U_1 > t] + \int_0^t g(t - s) dF(s), \quad t \geq 0.$$

(b) Note that  $T_1$  is the sum of two independent random variables  $U_1$  and  $V_1$ , which have densities  $u(t) = \lambda e^{-\lambda t} \mathbf{1}_{\{t \geq 0\}}$  and  $v(t) = \mu e^{-\mu t} \mathbf{1}_{\{t \geq 0\}}$ , respectively. Hence  $T_1$  also has a density  $f$ , which is given by  $f = u * v$ . In particular,  $f$  is supported on  $[0, \infty)$  and for  $t \geq 0$  we have

$$\begin{aligned}
f(t) &= (u * v)(t) = \int_0^t u(s)v(t-s) ds = \lambda \mu \int_0^t e^{-\lambda s - \mu(t-s)} ds \\
&= \lambda \mu e^{-\mu t} \int_0^t e^{(\mu-\lambda)s} ds = \frac{\lambda \mu}{\mu - \lambda} e^{-\mu t} (e^{(\mu-\lambda)t} - 1) \\
&= \frac{\lambda \mu}{\mu - \lambda} (e^{-\lambda t} - e^{-\mu t}).
\end{aligned}$$

Next, by a) we know that  $g$  satisfies the renewal equation

$$g(t) = \mathbb{P}[U_1 > t] + \int_0^t g(t-s)f(s) ds, \quad t \geq 0.$$

Observing that  $\mathbb{P}[U_1 > t] = e^{-\lambda t} \mathbf{1}_{\{t \geq 0\}} = h(t)$  yields the claim.