R. HiptmairL. ScarabosioC. Urzua Torres

Numerical Methods for Partial Differential Equations ETH Zürich D-MATH

# Homework Problem Sheet 11

## Problem 11.1 An Impossible Interpolation Estimate (Core problem)

[NPDE, Thm. 5.3.37] gave us bounds for the  $L^2(\Omega)$ -norm and  $H^1(\Omega)$ -seminorm of the error of piecewise linear interpolation on a triangular mesh of a bounded polygonal domain  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ . These bounds invariably contained the  $H^2(\Omega)$ -norm of the interpolated function. Now, somebody claims to have found an analogous interpolation estimate of the form

$$\|u - \mathsf{I}_1 u\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \le Ch_{\mathcal{M}} \rho_{\mathcal{M}} |u|_{H^1(\Omega)} \quad \forall u \in H^1(\Omega),$$
(11.1.1)

with some constant C > 0.

(**11.1a**) Show that (11.1.1) implies

$$\|\mathbf{I}_{1}u\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \leq C\|u\|_{H^{1}(\Omega)} \quad \forall u \in H^{1}(\Omega),$$
(11.1.2)

with a constant C > 0 whose dependence of  $h_{\mathcal{M}}$  and  $\rho_{\mathcal{M}}$  should be made explicit.

HINT: First study [NPDE, Rem. 5.3.44].

**Solution:** Using the triangle inequality, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{I}_{1}u\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} &\leq \|u\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} + \|u - \mathbf{I}_{1}u\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \\ &\leq \|u\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} + C_{1}h_{\mathcal{M}}\rho_{\mathcal{M}}|u|_{H^{1}(\Omega)}, \end{aligned}$$

where we denoted by  $C_1$  the constant in (11.1.1). Then we obtain (11.1.2) with  $C = 1 + C_1 h_M \rho_M > 0$ .

(11.1b) Argue why (11.1.2) cannot be true.

HINT: Remember [NPDE, Ex. 2.4.18], [NPDE, Cor. 2.4.24]. Note that we are in a 2D setting.

**Solution:** There is a function with finite  $H^1$ -norm which is unbounded in one point, see [NPDE, Ex. 2.4.18]. Hence the  $L^2$ -norm of its linear interpolant will be unbounded, if an interpolation node coincides with the location of the singularity.

## Problem 11.2 Projection onto Constants (Core problem)

In [NPDE, Section 5.3.1] we derived  $L^2$ - and  $H^1$ -estimates for the error of piecewise linear interpolation on a grid, see [NPDE, Eq. (5.3.14)] and [NPDE, Eq. (5.3.16)]. The key tool was the integral representation formula [NPDE, Eq. (5.3.9)]. In this problem we practice these techniques for an even simpler projection operator.

Given a grid  $\mathcal{M} := \{ ]x_{j-1}, x_j [: 1 \le j \le M \}$  of  $[a, b] \subset \mathbb{R}$  we define a projection onto the space  $\mathcal{S}_0^{-1}(\mathcal{M})$  of piecewise constant discontinuous functions on  $\mathcal{M}$  according to

$$\mathbf{I}_{0}: \begin{cases} L^{2}(]a,b[) \mapsto \mathcal{S}_{0}^{-1}(\mathcal{M}) \\ u \mapsto \sum_{j=1}^{M} \frac{1}{|x_{j}-x_{j-1}|} \int_{x_{j-1}}^{x_{j}} u(\xi) \, \mathrm{d}\xi \cdot \chi_{]x_{j-1},x_{j}[}, \end{cases}$$
(11.2.1)

where  $\chi_{]x_{j-1},x_j[}$  stands for the characteristic function of the interval  $]x_{j-1},x_j[$ , that is

$$\chi_{]x_{j-1},x_{j}[}(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{, if } x \in ]x_{j-1}, x_{j}[, \\ 0 & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$
(11.2.2)

We abbreviate  $K := ]x_{j-1}, x_j[$  for some  $j = 1, \ldots, M$ .

**Remark:** The linear projection  $I_0$  is an instance of an  $L^2$ -projection. Generically, given a (closed) subspace  $V \subset L^2(\Omega)$ , the associated  $L^2$ -projection operator  $Q_V : L^2(\Omega) \mapsto V$  is defined through as solution operator of the variational problem

$$\mathbf{Q}_V u \in V : \quad \langle \mathbf{Q}_V u, v \rangle_{L^2(\Omega)} = \langle u, v \rangle_{L^2(\Omega)} \quad \forall v \in V .$$

(11.2a) Compute  $I_0 u$  on [0, 1] for u(x) = x and an equidistant mesh with meshwidth  $h := M^{-1}$ . Sketch the function  $I_0 u$ .

**Solution:** We apply the definition of  $I_0$  as from (11.2.1), and, since the interpolant is a staircase function, we obtain, by direct computation:

$$I_{0}u = \sum_{j=1}^{M} \frac{1}{|x_{j} - x_{j-1}|} \int_{x_{j-1}}^{x_{j}} u(\xi) \, \mathrm{d}\xi \cdot \chi_{]x_{j-1},x_{j}[}$$
$$= \sum_{j=1}^{M} \frac{1}{2} \frac{x_{j}^{2} - x_{j-1}^{2}}{|x_{j} - x_{j-1}|} \cdot \chi_{]x_{j-1},x_{j}[}$$
$$= \sum_{j=1}^{M} \frac{1}{2} \frac{(x_{j} + x_{j-1})(x_{j} - x_{j-1})}{|x_{j} - x_{j-1}|} \cdot \chi_{]x_{j-1},x_{j}[}$$
$$= \sum_{j=1}^{M} \frac{(x_{j} + x_{j-1})}{2} \cdot \chi_{]x_{j-1},x_{j}[}$$

Hence,  $I_0 u = \frac{(x_j + x_{j-1})}{2}$  in  $(x_{j-1}, x_j)$ . The plot is given in Figure 11.1.

(11.2b) Derive the local integral representation formula for the projection error

$$(u - \mathbf{I}_0 u)(x) = \frac{1}{|x_j - x_{j-1}|} \int_{x_{j-1}}^{x_j} \int_y^x u'(\xi) \, \mathrm{d}\xi \, \mathrm{d}y, \quad x_{j-1} < x < x_j.$$
(11.2.3)

HINT: Use the fundamental theorem of calculus [NPDE, Eq. (2.5.2)].

**Solution:** For  $x_{j-1} \le x \le x_j$ 

$$(u - \mathsf{I}_0 u)(x) = u(x) - \mathsf{I}_0 u(x) = u(x) - \frac{1}{|x_j - x_{j-1}|} \int_{x_{j-1}}^{x_j} u(y) \, \mathrm{d}y$$



Figure 11.1: The function u(x) = x and  $I_0 u$ 

Note that,

$$\int_{x_{j-1}}^{x_j} \int_y^x u'(\xi) d\xi \, \mathrm{d}y = \int_{x_{j-1}}^{x_j} (u(x) - u(y)) \, \mathrm{d}y = |x_j - x_{j-1}| u(x) - \int_{x_{j-1}}^{x_j} u(y) \, \mathrm{d}y$$

Then, using the definition of  $I_0$  as from (11.2.1):

$$(u - I_0 u)(x) = u(x) - \frac{1}{|x_j - x_{j-1}|} \int_{x_{j-1}}^{x_j} u(y) \, \mathrm{d}y$$
  
=  $\frac{|x_j - x_{j-1}|}{|x_j - x_{j-1}|} u(x) - \frac{1}{|x_j - x_{j-1}|} \int_{x_{j-1}}^{x_j} u(y) \, \mathrm{d}y$   
=  $\frac{1}{|x_j - x_{j-1}|} \int_{x_{j-1}}^{x_j} \int_y^x u'(\xi) \, \mathrm{d}\xi \, \mathrm{d}y$ 

(11.2c) Starting from (11.2.3) deduce the estimate

$$||u - \mathsf{I}_0 u||^2_{L^2(]x_{j-1}, x_j[)} \le |x_j - x_{j-1}|^2 |u|^2_{H^1(]x_{j-1}, x_j[)}.$$
(11.2.4)

HINT: Apply the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality for integrals [NPDE, Eq. (2.3.15)] twice.

#### Solution:

$$\begin{split} \|u - \mathbf{I}_{0}u\|_{L^{2}(|x_{j-1},x_{j}|)}^{2} &= \int_{x_{j-1}}^{x_{j}} \left|\frac{1}{|x_{j} - x_{j-1}|} \int_{x_{j-1}}^{x_{j}} \int_{y}^{x} u'(\xi) \,\mathrm{d}\xi \,\mathrm{d}y\right|^{2} \,\mathrm{d}x \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{|x_{j} - x_{j-1}|}\right)^{2} \int_{x_{j-1}}^{x_{j}} \left|\int_{x_{j-1}}^{x_{j}} \int_{y}^{x} 1 \cdot u'(\xi) \,\mathrm{d}\xi \,\mathrm{d}y\right|^{2} \,\mathrm{d}x \\ &\leq \left(\frac{1}{|x_{j} - x_{j-1}|}\right)^{2} \int_{x_{j-1}}^{x_{j}} \left[\left(\int_{x_{j-1}}^{x_{j}} 1^{2} \,\mathrm{d}y\right) \left(\int_{x_{j-1}}^{x_{j}} \left|\int_{y}^{x} u'(\xi) \,\mathrm{d}\xi\right|^{2} \,\mathrm{d}y\right)\right] \,\mathrm{d}x \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{|x_{j} - x_{j-1}|}\right)^{2} \int_{x_{j-1}}^{x_{j}} (x_{j} - x_{j-1}) \left(\int_{x_{j-1}}^{x_{j}} \left|\int_{y}^{x} u'(\xi) \,\mathrm{d}\xi\right|^{2} \,\mathrm{d}y\right) \,\mathrm{d}x \\ &= \frac{1}{|x_{j} - x_{j-1}|} \int_{x_{j-1}}^{x_{j}} \left(\int_{x_{j-1}}^{x_{j}} \left|\int_{y}^{x} 1 \cdot u'(\xi) \,\mathrm{d}\xi\right|^{2} \,\mathrm{d}y\right) \,\mathrm{d}x \\ &\leq \frac{1}{|x_{j} - x_{j-1}|} \int_{x_{j-1}}^{x_{j}} \left(\int_{x_{j-1}}^{x_{j}} \left[\left(\int_{x_{j-1}}^{x_{j}} 1^{2} \,\mathrm{d}\xi\right) \left(\int_{x_{j-1}}^{x_{j}} |u'(\xi)|^{2} \,\mathrm{d}\xi\right)\right] \,\mathrm{d}y \,\mathrm{d}x \\ &= \int_{x_{j-1}}^{x_{j}} \int_{x_{j-1}}^{x_{j}} |u|_{H^{1}(|x_{j-1},x_{j}|)}^{2} \,\mathrm{d}y \,\mathrm{d}x \\ &= |x_{j} - x_{j-1}|^{2} |u|_{H^{1}(|x_{j-1},x_{j}|)}^{2}, \end{split}$$

where for the last inequality, while applying Cauchy-Schwarz, we also used the positivity of the integrand and the monotonicity of the interval to have  $\int_y^x |u'(\xi)|^2 d\xi \leq \int_{x_{j-1}}^{x_j} |u'(\xi)|^2 d\xi = |u|_{H^1(]x_{j-1},x_j[)}^2$ .

(11.2d) Based on (11.2.4) derive the global projection error estimate

$$\|u - \mathsf{I}_0 u\|_{L^2(]a,b[)} \le h_{\mathcal{M}} |u|_{H^1(]a,b[)}, \tag{11.2.5}$$

where  $h_{\mathcal{M}}$  is the meshwidth of  $\mathcal{M}$ .

#### Solution:

$$\begin{aligned} \|u - \mathsf{I}_0 u\|_{L^2(]a,b[)}^2 &= \sum_{\mathcal{M}} \|u - \mathsf{I}_0 u\|_{L^2(]x_{j-1},x_j[)}^2 \\ &\leq \sum_{j=1}^M |x_j - x_{j-1}|^2 |u|_{H^1(]x_{j-1},x_j[)}^2 \\ &\leq h_{\mathcal{M}}^2 |u|_{H^1(]a,b[)}^2 \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$||u - \mathsf{I}_0 u||_{L^2(]a,b[)} \le h_{\mathcal{M}} |u|_{H^1(]a,b[)}.$$

### **Problem 11.3** Convergence of Finite Element Solutions (Core problem)

A student is testing his implementation of a finite element method. On the square domain  $\Omega = (0, 1)^2$  he considers the 2<sup>nd</sup>-order elliptic boundary value problem

$$-\Delta u = 1 \qquad \text{in } \Omega,$$
  

$$u = \frac{1}{4} (1 - \|\boldsymbol{x}\|^2) \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega.$$
(11.3.1)

He computes an approximate solutions  $u_N$  by means of a finite element Galerkin method using linear (piecewise first order polynomials) and quadratic (piecewise second order polynomials) finite elements, denoted by LFE and QFE respectively, on a sequence of triangular meshes  $\mathcal{M}$ .

The following table lists the measured  $H^1(\Omega)$ -seminorm of the discretization error as a function of the meshwidth h.

h	0.70	0.35	0.17	0.088	0.044	0.022	0.011
LFE	0.10	0.051	0.025	0.012	0.0064	0.0032	0.0008
QFE	$1.75 \cdot 10^{-16}$	$1.24 \cdot 10^{-15}$	$5.71 \cdot 10^{-15}$	$2.29 \cdot 10^{-14}$	$8.91 \cdot 10^{-14}$	$3.53 \cdot 10^{-13}$	$1.41 \cdot 10^{-12}$

(11.3a) Show that  $u(x) = \frac{1}{4}(1 - ||x||^2)$  is the exact solution of (11.3.1)

Solution: We have

$$-\Delta u = -\frac{\mathrm{d}^2 u}{\mathrm{d}x_1^2} - \frac{\mathrm{d}^2 u}{\mathrm{d}x_2^2} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} = 1.$$

This solution also (obviously) matches the Dirichlet boundary data.

(11.3b) What kind of convergence (qualitative and quantitative) for linear Lagragian finite elements can be inferred from the error table?

**Solution:** When creating a log-log plot of the error norm versus the meshwidth  $h_M$  the data points are approximately located on a straight line. This hints at algebraic convergence. The rate is given by the slope of the line and can be read off the plot as  $\approx 1$ . You may also use polyfit to determine the rate by linear regression as done in the following MATLAB code.

Listing 11.1: Convergence plot

```
1 data = load ('cvgtab.mat');
2
3 p = polyfit(log(data.h), log(data.H1S_Error_LFE), 1);
4 p(1)
```

Alternatively, you may have noticed that the values of  $h_M$  roughly shrink by a factor of two when advancing to the next finer mesh. The same is true of the error. Hence the quotients

 $\texttt{error}:h_\mathcal{M}$ 

are about constant, which, again, confirms first order convergence.

(11.3c) Explain the striking difference between the behavior of the discretization error for linear and quadratic Lagrangian finite elements.

**Solution:** For p = 2 the errors are around machine precision, because the exact solution u is a quadratic polynomial and belongs to the finite element space  $S_2^0(\mathcal{M})$ . The errors reflect nothing more than numerical roundoff. For p = 1 no the solution has to be approximated and such "free lunch" exists.

### **Problem 11.4 Localized Interpolation Error Estimates**

There is a more refined way than that of [NPDE, Thm. 5.3.37] to state interpolation error estimates. It relies on the piecewise constant *meshwidth function* 

$$\hbar(\boldsymbol{x}) = h_K \quad \text{if} \quad \boldsymbol{x} \in K, \tag{11.4.1}$$

where K is a cell of a triangular mesh  $\mathcal{M}$  of a domain  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ .

Based on [NPDE, Lemma 5.3.34] derive the estimate

$$\|\hbar^{-2}(u - \mathsf{I}_1 u)\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \le C|u|_{H^2(\Omega)} \quad \forall u \in H^2(\Omega),$$
 (11.4.2)

What is a concrete value for the constant C?

Solution: Using [NPDE, Lemma 5.3.34]:

$$\left\|\hbar^{-2}(u-\mathsf{I}_{1}u)\right\|_{L^{2}(K)}^{2} \leq \frac{3}{8}h_{K}^{4}\left|\hbar^{-2}u\right|_{H^{2}(K)}^{2} = \frac{3}{8}|u|_{H^{2}(K)}^{2}.$$

Then, summing over all the triangles:

$$\begin{split} \left\| \hbar^{-2} (u - \mathsf{I}_1 u) \right\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 &\leq \sum_{K \in \mathcal{M}} \frac{3}{8} |u|_{H^2(K)}^2 = \frac{3}{8} |u|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2 \\ \left\| \hbar^{-2} (u - \mathsf{I}_1 u) \right\|_{L^2(\Omega)} &\leq \sqrt{\frac{3}{8}} |u|_{H^2(\Omega)}. \end{split}$$

### **Problem 11.5 Shape Regularity and Angle Condition**

When deriving interpolation error estimates for linear interpolation on triangular meshes in [NPDE, Section 5.3.2], it was convenient to introduce the concept of a shape regularity measure  $\rho_K$  for a triangle K, see [NPDE, Def. 5.3.36]. The intuition is that in 2D the shape regularity measure indicates the degree to which a triangle is distorted. This distortion was linked to the angles in [NPDE, Fig. 198], [NPDE, Fig. 199], and [NPDE, Fig. 200]. This link will be explored in this problem.

Bound the smallest angle of a triangle K by an expression involving only  $\rho_K$ .

HINT: From secondary school recall the formula  $|K| = \frac{1}{2}ab\sin(\gamma)$ , where  $\gamma$  is the angle enclosed by the sides with lengths a, b.

**Solution:** Assume,  $\gamma \leq \beta \leq \alpha$  are related with edges c < b < a, respectively (refer to Figure 11.2). Then, we know by the triangle inequality that b + c > a, and so 2b > a. Then,

$$\sin \gamma = \frac{2}{ab} \cdot \frac{1}{2}ab \sin \gamma < \frac{4}{a^2}|K| = \frac{4}{\rho_K}.$$



Figure 11.2: A Triangle

Published on May 6. To be submitted on May 13.

# References

- [NPDE] Lecture Slides for the course "Numerical Methods for Partial Differential Equations".SVN revision # 76119.
- [NCSE] Lecture Slides for the course "Numerical Methods for CSE".

Last modified on May 18, 2015