

## Exercise Sheet 4 - Solutions

1. a) Develop a theory of algebraic subvarieties of  $\mathbb{P}^n \times \mathbb{P}^m$  using bihomogeneous polynomials. For this you must define the Zariski topology and regular functions.
- b) Prove that the projections  $\mathbb{P}^n \times \mathbb{P}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$  and  $\mathbb{P}^n \times \mathbb{P}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^m$  are algebraic maps.
- c) The set  $\mathbb{P}^n \times \mathbb{P}^m$  is covered by the sets  $U_i \times U_j \cong \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}^m = \mathbb{C}^{n+m}$  for  $i = 0, \dots, n, j = 0, \dots, m$ . Verify that a set  $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n \times \mathbb{P}^m$  is Zariski-closed if and only if  $X \cap U_i \times U_j \subset \mathbb{C}^{n+m}$  is Zariski-closed for all  $i, j$ . For such  $X$ , verify that a function  $f : V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  from an open subset  $V \subset X$  is algebraic if and only if its restriction to  $V \cap U_i \times U_j$  is algebraic for all  $i, j$ .

### Solution

- a) Let  $x_0, \dots, x_n, y_0, \dots, y_m$  be variables. For  $\alpha \in (\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})^{n+1}, \beta \in (\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})^{m+1}$  we write

$$\mathbf{x}^\alpha = x_0^{\alpha_0} x_1^{\alpha_1} \dots x_n^{\alpha_n}, \mathbf{y}^\beta = y_0^{\beta_0} y_1^{\beta_1} \dots y_m^{\beta_m}.$$

A polynomial  $F \in \mathbb{C}[x_0, \dots, x_n, y_0, \dots, y_m] = \mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}]$  is called *bihomogeneous of bidegree*  $(d, e)$  if it is a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear combination of terms  $\mathbf{x}^\alpha \mathbf{y}^\beta$  with  $|\alpha| = \alpha_0 + \dots + \alpha_n = d, |\beta| = e$ . Such a polynomial has a well-defined zero-locus  $V(F) \subset \mathbb{P}^n \times \mathbb{P}^m$ .

An algebraic variety  $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n \times \mathbb{P}^m$  is the common zero locus of finitely many bihomogeneous polynomials  $F_1, \dots, F_k \in \mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}]$ . The open sets of the Zariski topology on  $X$  are given by sets of the form

$$X \setminus (V(G_1) \cup V(G_2) \cup \dots \cup V(G_r)),$$

for  $G_1, \dots, G_r \in \mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}]$  bihomogeneous. A function  $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  on an open subset  $U \subset X$  is algebraic if for every point  $p \in U$  there is a neighborhood  $W$  of  $p$  in  $U$  and bihomogeneous polynomials  $h, g$  of the same bidegree with  $g \neq 0$  on  $W$  such that  $f|_W = h/g$ .

As before, a map  $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  (where  $U, W$  are either quasi-projective varieties or open subsets of a variety in  $\mathbb{P}^n \times \mathbb{P}^m$ ) is algebraic if it is continuous with respect to the Zariski topology and respects composition with algebraic functions.

- b) The projection  $\pi_1 : \mathbb{P}^n \times \mathbb{P}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$  is continuous as the preimage of  $V(F)$  for  $F \in \mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}]$  homogeneous of degree  $d$  is exactly  $V(F)$ , where now  $F \in \mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}]$  is thought of as a bihomogeneous polynomial of bidegree  $(d, 0)$ .

We have seen before that we can check that a continuous function between quasi-projective varieties is algebraic by restricting to an open cover of the target space. By the same arguments as before, we can also apply this in our situation (where the domain is now  $\mathbb{P}^n \times \mathbb{P}^m$ ). The target  $\mathbb{P}^n$  is covered by the sets  $U_i$ ,  $i = 0, \dots, n$  as usual. Here, our morphism is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_1 : U_i \times \mathbb{P}^m &\rightarrow U_i \cong \mathbb{C}^n \\ ([x_0, \dots, x_n], [y_0, \dots, y_m]) &\mapsto \left( \frac{x_0}{x_i}, \dots, \frac{\widehat{x_i}}{x_i}, \dots, \frac{x_n}{x_i} \right). \end{aligned}$$

We see that all coordinate functions are the quotients of bihomogeneous polynomials  $x_j$ ,  $x_i$  of bidegree  $(1, 0)$  and thus algebraic functions. Thus  $\pi_1$  is algebraic.

- c) The important point for us is to relate bihomogeneous polynomials in  $x_0, \dots, x_n, y_0, \dots, y_m$  with arbitrary polynomial in  $x_0, \dots, \widehat{x_i}, \dots, \widehat{y_j}, \dots, y_m$ . We do this for  $i = j = 0$ . For any bihomogeneous  $F$ , we have that  $f = F^{uh} = F(1, x_1, \dots, x_n, 1, y_1, \dots, y_m)$  is a polynomial. Conversely, for some polynomial  $f \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_m]$  of maximal total degree  $d$  in the  $x_i$  and  $e$  in the  $y_j$ , we can homogenize it with respect to  $x_0, y_0$  by multiplying the monomial  $x_1^{\alpha_1} \dots x_n^{\alpha_n} y_1^{\beta_1} \dots y_m^{\beta_m}$  with  $x_0^{d - \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i} y_0^{e - \sum_{j=1}^m \beta_j}$ . Thus we obtain a polynomial  $F = f^h$  which is homogeneous of bidegree  $(d, e)$ .

For  $F$  a bihomogeneous polynomial, we have  $V(F) \cap U_{00}$  is cut out by the polynomial  $F^{uh}$  in  $U_{00}$ . Conversely, for  $V(f) \subset U_{00}$  closed we have  $V(f) = V(f^h) \cap U_{00}$ , so  $V(f)$  is closed in the subset topology of  $U_{00} \subset \mathbb{P}^n \times \mathbb{P}^m$ . This shows that the usual topology on  $U_{ij} \cong \mathbb{C}^{nm}$  is the subset topology for  $U_{ij} \subset \mathbb{P}^n \times \mathbb{P}^m$ . The claimed result follows as a subset  $C \subset X$  of a topological space  $X$  with an open cover  $X = \bigcup_{\alpha} U_{\alpha}$  is closed if and only if  $C \cap U_{\alpha} \subset U_{\alpha}$  is closed for all  $\alpha$ .

Similarly, if a regular function is locally given by  $F/G$  for  $F, G$  bihomogeneous of the same bidegree, then on  $U_{00}$  it is given by  $F^{uh}/G^{uh}$ . Conversely, given  $f, g$  polynomials in  $x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_m$ , we can homogenize them with  $x_0, y_0$  to have the same bidegrees and then

$$\frac{f}{g} = \frac{f^h}{g^h} \Big|_{U_{00}}.$$

2. For  $n, m \geq 1$  integers, the *Segre-embedding* of  $\mathbb{P}^n \times \mathbb{P}^m$  in  $\mathbb{P}(\mathbb{C}^{n+1} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{m+1}) = \mathbb{P}^{(n+1)(m+1)-1}$  is the map

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma : \mathbb{P}^n \times \mathbb{P}^m &\rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{(n+1)(m+1)-1} \\ ([x_0, \dots, x_n], [y_0, \dots, y_m]) &\mapsto \left[ (x_i y_j)_{\substack{i=0, \dots, n \\ j=0, \dots, m}} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

- a) Show that  $\sigma$  is a well-defined algebraic morphism — it is continuous in the Zariski topology and takes regular functions to regular functions.  
b) Find equations for the image of the Segre embedding.

- c) Prove that  $\mathbb{P}^n \times \mathbb{P}^m$  is isomorphic to the image of the Segre embedding. In particular, show that the Segre embedding is bijective onto the image and the two have the same Zariski topology and the same notion of regular functions.

### Solution

- a) Let the homogeneous coordinates on  $\mathbb{P}^{(n+1)(m+1)-1}$  be given by  $z_{ij}$  with  $i = 0, \dots, n, j = 0, \dots, m$ . Then for  $F \in \mathbb{C}[z_{ij} : i, j] = \mathbb{C}[\mathbf{z}]$  homogeneous of degree  $d$ , we have

$$\sigma^{-1}(V(F)) = V(F(x_0y_0, x_0y_1, \dots, x_0y_m, x_1y_0, \dots, x_ny_m))$$

is the vanishing set of a bihomogeneous polynomial of bidegree  $(d, d)$ . Thus  $\sigma$  is continuous.

Note that the preimage of  $U_{ij} = \mathbb{P}^{(n+1)(m+1)-1} \setminus V(z_{ij})$  is given by  $U_i \times U_j$  and the restriction of  $\sigma$  to this preimage is

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma|_{U_i \times U_j} : U_i \times U_j &\rightarrow U_{ij} \cong \mathbb{C}^{nm} \\ ([x_0, \dots, x_n], [y_0, \dots, y_m]) &\mapsto \left( \frac{x_0y_0}{x_iy_j}, \dots, \frac{x_ny_m}{x_iy_j} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Hence all coordinate functions are given as the quotients of the polynomials  $x_r y_s$  and  $x_i y_j$ , both of bidegree  $(1, 1)$ . Thus indeed  $\sigma$  is algebraic.

- b) We claim that for  $i, k \in \{0, \dots, n\}$  and  $j, l \in \{0, \dots, m\}$ , the equations  $z_{ij}z_{kl} - z_{il}z_{kj}$  cut out the image of  $\sigma$ . It is immediate that they are homogeneous equations of degree 2, which vanish on the image of  $\sigma$ , as  $x_i y_j x_k y_l - x_i y_l x_k y_j = 0$ .

On the other hand, assume that  $z = [(z_{ij})_{i,j}]$  is in the vanishing locus of all the equations. One of the coordinates  $z_{ij}$  must be nonzero and for convenience we say that  $z_{00} \neq 0$ . By rescaling the numbers  $z_{ij}$  we may assume  $z_{00} = 1$ . Then the equation above for  $k = 0, l = 0$  tells us  $z_{ij} = z_{i0}z_{0j}$  for all  $i, j$ . Thus

$$z = \sigma([1, z_{10}, z_{20}, \dots, z_{n0}], [1, z_{01}, \dots, z_{0m}])$$

is indeed contained in the image of  $\sigma$ .

- c) In part b) we have actually constructed an inverse  $\sigma^{-1}$  to  $\sigma$  on the open subset  $\text{Image}(\sigma) \cap U_{z_{00}}$  and by similar formulas, one defines the inverse map on  $\text{Image}(\sigma) \cap U_{z_{ij}}$  for general  $i, j$ . Together, this shows already that  $\sigma$  is bijective.

To conclude that  $\sigma$  is an isomorphism, we must show that  $\sigma^{-1}$  is an algebraic morphism. But again this can be checked on the open cover  $U_i \times U_j$  of  $\mathbb{P}^n \times \mathbb{P}^m$  on the one hand and  $\text{Image}(\sigma) \cap U_{z_{ij}}$  on the other hand. For notational convenience, we again consider the case  $i = j = 0$ .

For a bihomogeneous polynomial  $F \in \mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}]$ , its zero set on  $U_0 \times U_0$  has as preimage under  $\sigma^{-1}$  the vanishing set

$$V(F(1, z_{10}, z_{20}, \dots, z_{n0}, 1, z_{01}, \dots, z_{0m})) \cap \text{Image}(\sigma) \subset U_{z_{00}} \cong \mathbb{C}^{nm},$$

which is closed in  $\mathbb{C}^{nm}$  as the zero locus of a polynomial. Similarly, for an algebraic function  $F/G$  on (some subset of)  $U_i \times U_j$ , the composition  $F \circ \sigma^{-1}/G \circ \sigma^{-1}$  is the quotient of two polynomials and hence algebraic.

3. For  $d, n \geq 1$ , the  $d$ -th Veronese embedding of  $\mathbb{P}^n$  is the map

$$\nu_d : \mathbb{P}^n \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{\binom{n+d}{n}-1}$$

whose homogeneous coordinates are given by the  $\binom{n+d}{n}$  monomials of degree  $d$  in the coordinates  $x_0, \dots, x_n$  of  $\mathbb{P}^n$ . For instance if  $n = 2, d = 2$ , we have

$$\nu_2 : \mathbb{P}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^5, [x_0, x_1, x_2] \mapsto [x_0^2, x_0x_1, x_0x_2, x_1^2, x_1x_2, x_2^2].$$

Prove that  $\nu_d$  is an algebraic map. Show that the image of  $\nu_d$  is defined by quadratic equations (find the equations).

**Solution** As we have seen on the last exercise sheet, a function between projective spaces, whose coordinates are given by homogeneous polynomials of the same degree (that don't vanish simultaneously) is well-defined and algebraic. But indeed the coordinates of  $\nu_d$  cannot vanish simultaneously, because then in particular  $x_0^d = x_1^d = \dots = x_n^d = 0$ , so all  $x_i = 0$ , a contradiction.

We label the coordinates on  $\mathbb{P}^{\binom{n+d}{n}-1}$  by  $z_\alpha$  for  $\alpha \in (\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})^{n+1}$  with  $|\alpha| = \alpha_0 + \dots + \alpha_n = d$ . Then the  $z_\alpha$ -coordinate of  $\nu_d$  is exactly  $\mathbf{x}^\alpha$ . We claim that the image of  $\nu_d$  is cut out by the quadratic equations

$$z_\alpha z_\beta - z_\gamma z_\delta \text{ for } \alpha + \beta = \gamma + \delta \in (\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})^{n+1}. \quad (1)$$

Using  $\mathbf{x}^\alpha \cdot \mathbf{x}^\beta = \mathbf{x}^{\alpha+\beta}$ , one sees that all the equations above vanish on the image of  $\nu_d$ .

Assume on the other hand that we have  $[z] = [z_\gamma : |\gamma| = d]$  satisfying the equations above. Assume that  $z_\alpha \neq 0$  (so we will rescale  $z$  such that  $z_\alpha = 1$ ) and let  $i \in \{0, \dots, n\}$  with  $\alpha_i > 0$ . Define a vector  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$  by  $x_j = z_{\alpha - e_i + e_j}$  for  $j = 0, \dots, n$ . Here  $e_i = (0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots, 0)$  is the  $i$ -th unit vector in  $(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})^{n+1}$ . We claim that there exists a constant  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  such that

$$z_\beta = \lambda \mathbf{x}^\beta \text{ for all } \beta \text{ with } |\beta| = d. \quad (2)$$

Showing this finishes the proof, as then firstly  $\lambda \neq 0$  as  $z \neq 0$  and thus  $[z] = [\lambda z] = \sigma([x_0, \dots, x_n])$ . As  $x_i = z_\alpha = 1$ , we (must) set  $\lambda = z_{de_i}$  and then the equation (2) is satisfied for  $\beta = de_i$ .

We now show that if it is satisfied for some  $\beta$  with  $\beta_j > 0$ , it is also satisfied for  $\beta - e_j + e_k$  for all  $k$ . As we can reach every  $\beta$  starting from  $de_i$  by successively adding vectors  $-e_i + e_k$ , the statement then holds for all  $\beta$  as claimed. But from equation (1) we get

$$z_\beta z_{\alpha - e_i + e_k} = z_{\beta - e_j + e_k} z_{\alpha - e_i + e_j}.$$

But using the assumption and the definition of  $\mathbf{x}$ , we get

$$\lambda \mathbf{x}^\beta x_k = z_{\beta - e_j + e_k} x_j$$

and hence  $z_{\beta - e_j + e_k} = \lambda \mathbf{x}^{\beta + e_k - e_j}$ , which finishes the proof.

4. A *rational normal curve* in  $\mathbb{P}^n$  is the composition of  $\nu_n : \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$  with a projectively linear transformation, i.e. a map of the form  $p \mapsto Ap$  for  $A \in \text{GL}_{n+1}$ . Let  $p_0, \dots, p_{n+2}$  be  $n+3$  points in general linear position in  $\mathbb{P}^n$ . Prove that there exists a rational normal curve which passes through the points  $p_i$ .

**Solution** As the points are in general linear position, we can change coordinates on  $\mathbb{P}^n$  by a projectively linear transformation, such that for  $0 \leq i \leq n$  we have  $p_i = [0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots, 0]$ , where the 1 is at the  $i$ -th position. Consider now  $n+1$  (pairwise distinct) points  $[a_i, b_i]$  in  $\mathbb{P}^1$ ,  $0 \leq i \leq n$  for some  $a_i, b_i \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $a_i, b_i \neq 0$ . We use homogeneous coordinates  $x, y$  on  $\mathbb{P}^1$ . Let us consider the linear polynomials  $\lambda_i = b_i x - a_i y$  and define a function  $f : \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$  of degree  $n$  by

$$f([x, y]) = \left[ \prod_{j=1}^n \lambda_j(x, y), \dots, \prod_{j \neq i}^n \lambda_j, \dots, \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} \lambda_j \right]$$

As  $\lambda_j(a_i, b_i) = 0$  for  $i = j$  and  $\neq 0$  otherwise, we have  $f([a_i, b_i]) = p_i$  for  $i = 0, \dots, n$ . Furthermore, we have

$$f([0, 1]) = \left[ \left( \prod_{j \neq i} (-a_j) \right)_{i=0, \dots, n} \right] = \left[ \frac{1}{a_0}, \frac{1}{a_1}, \dots, \frac{1}{a_n} \right], \quad (3)$$

since  $\prod_{j \neq i} (-a_j) = (-1)^{n-1} a_0 \cdots a_n / a_i$  and all the  $a_i$  are non-zero. Let  $p_{n+1} = [c_0, \dots, c_n]$ . As  $p_{n+1}$  is in general linear position,  $c_i \neq 0$  for all  $i$  (otherwise it would be in the span of  $n$  of the points). By setting,  $a_i = 1/c_i$  we have  $f([0, 1]) = p_{n+1}$ . With the same argument for  $[1, 0]$  and the  $b_i$ , we are done.

- 5\*. Show that for  $V \subset \mathbb{C}^m$ ,  $W \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  affine varieties, the set  $V \times W \subset \mathbb{C}^{m+n}$  is an affine variety. Compute  $I(V \times W)$  and show that the coordinate ring  $\Gamma(V \times W)$  is given by  $\Gamma(V) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \Gamma(W)$ .

**Solution** It is immediately clear that for  $I = I(V), J = I(W)$ , the ideal  $(I, J)$  in  $\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_m, y_1, \dots, y_n]$  generated by the ideals of  $V, W$  has exactly the vanishing locus  $V \times W$ . Here we use the inclusion  $I \subset \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_m] \subset \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_m, y_1, \dots, y_n]$  (similar for  $J$ ). We claim that  $I(V \times W) = (I, J)$ . Once we have this, the coordinate ring of  $V \times W$  is exactly

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma(V \times W) &= \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_m, y_1, \dots, y_n] / (I, J) \\ &= \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_m] / I \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[y_1, \dots, y_n] / J = \Gamma(V) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \Gamma(W). \end{aligned}$$

The proof of  $I(V \times W) = (I, J)$  uses that we work over the algebraically closed field  $\mathbb{C}$ . A very good account of such a proof can be found in the following math-stackexchange thread:

<http://www.math.stackexchange.com/questions/731218/generators-for-radical-ideal-of-product-of-affine-varieties>.

**Due April 4.**