

Brownian Motion and Stochastic Calculus Solution 5

Solution 5-1

a) Since $X_t \sim \mathcal{N}(x, t)$ under W_x ,

$$W_x(X_t < 0) = \Phi\left(-\frac{x}{\sqrt{t}}\right).$$

Thus, by continuity of the normal distribution,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} W_x(X_t < 0) = \frac{1}{2}.$$

Therefore, since Brownian motion paths are a.s. continuous, we get that for all $x > 0$,

$$W_x(H_0 < \infty) \geq \frac{1}{2}.$$

Applying symmetry, we can extend the result to the case $x < 0$. Finally, $W_0(H_0 < \infty) = 1$ by definition of H_0 and Brownian motion.

Thus, directly from the simple Markov property, we get

$$W_x(X_t = 0 \text{ for some } t \geq n) = E_x[W_{X_n}(H_0 < \infty)] \geq \frac{1}{2}.$$

Taking the limit $n \rightarrow \infty$, it follows that $W_x(A) \geq \frac{1}{2}$ for any x .

b) We know from part a) that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} W_x(X_t = 0 \text{ for some } t \geq n) = W_x(A) \geq \frac{1}{2}.$$

Now, observe that A is an element of the asymptotic σ -field, i.e. $A \in \widehat{\mathcal{F}} := \bigcap_{t \geq 0} \widehat{\mathcal{F}}_t$, where, $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_t := \sigma(X_u, u \geq t)$ for $t \geq 0$. Therefore, we conclude from Exercise 2.3 that either $W_x(A) = 0$ for each $x \in \mathbb{R}$ or $W_x(A) = 1$ for each $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and so $W_x(A) = 1$ for all x .

Solution 5-2

a) \implies b) : Fix any $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. For any $t \geq 0$ we see directly that M_t^λ is \mathcal{F}_t -measurable as B_t is, and is integrable as it is dominated by the constant $e^{\lambda^2 t/2}$. Now, for any $0 \leq s \leq t < \infty$, we can write

$$E[M_t^\lambda | \mathcal{F}_s] = E\left[M_s^\lambda \exp\left(i\lambda(B_t - B_s) + \frac{\lambda^2(t-s)}{2}\right) \middle| \mathcal{F}_s\right].$$

Note that M_s^λ is measurable w.r.t. \mathcal{F}_s and $B_t - B_s$ is independent of \mathcal{F}_s , hence

$$E[M_t^\lambda | \mathcal{F}_s] = M_s^\lambda \exp\left(\frac{\lambda^2(t-s)}{2}\right) E\left[\exp(i\lambda(B_t - B_s))\right] \quad (1)$$

Since $B_t - B_s$ has law $\mathcal{N}(0, t-s)$, we have

$$E\left[\exp(i\lambda(B_t - B_s))\right] = \exp\left(-\frac{\lambda^2(t-s)}{2}\right) \quad (2)$$

Putting (2) into (1) yields $E[M_t^\lambda | \mathcal{F}_s] = M_s^\lambda$.

b) \implies a): By assumption, (M_t^λ) is a martingale, hence for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}, 0 \leq s \leq t$, we have $E[M_t^\lambda | \mathcal{F}_s] = M_s^\lambda$. Moreover,

$$E[M_t^\lambda | \mathcal{F}_s] = E\left[M_s^\lambda \exp\left(i\lambda(B_t - B_s) + \frac{\lambda^2(t-s)}{2}\right) \middle| \mathcal{F}_s\right].$$

Since (B_t) is \mathcal{F}_t adapted, M_s^λ is measurable w.r.t. \mathcal{F}_s , hence

$$E[M_t^\lambda | \mathcal{F}_s] = M_s^\lambda \exp\left(\frac{\lambda^2(t-s)}{2}\right) E\left[\exp(i\lambda(B_t - B_s)) \middle| \mathcal{F}_s\right].$$

Since $E[M_t^\lambda | \mathcal{F}_s] = M_s^\lambda$, we have

$$E\left[\exp(i\lambda(B_t - B_s)) \middle| \mathcal{F}_s\right] = \exp\left(-\frac{\lambda^2(t-s)}{2}\right). \quad (3)$$

Taking expectation again at both sides yields

$$E\left[\exp(i\lambda(B_t - B_s))\right] = \exp\left(-\frac{\lambda^2(t-s)}{2}\right). \quad (4)$$

Thus, by the property of the characteristic functions, we see directly that the random variable $B_t - B_s$ has law $\mathcal{N}(0, t-s)$. Moreover, we see that the random variable $B_t - B_s$ is independent of \mathcal{F}_s . Indeed, for any random variable X which is \mathcal{F}_s -measurable, let us compute the joint characteristic function of $(B_t - B_s, X)$. For all $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\begin{aligned} E\left[\exp(i\lambda(B_t - B_s)) \exp(i\mu X)\right] &= E\left[E\left[\exp(i\lambda(B_t - B_s)) \middle| \mathcal{F}_s\right] [\exp(i\mu X)]\right] \\ &\stackrel{\text{by (3)}}{=} \exp\left(-\frac{\lambda^2(t-s)}{2}\right) E[\exp(i\mu X)] \\ &\stackrel{\text{by (4)}}{=} E\left[\exp(i\lambda(B_t - B_s))\right] E[\exp(i\mu X)]. \end{aligned}$$

So we get the conclusion.

Solution 5-3

- a) For any $n \geq m$, $N, M > 0$, by the backward submartingale property, using that the set $\{-X_n > M\} \in \mathcal{F}_n$, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
E[-X_n \mathbf{1}_{\{-X_n \geq M\}}] &= E[-X_n] - E[-X_n \mathbf{1}_{\{-X_n < M\}}] \\
&\leq E[-X_n] - E[-X_m \mathbf{1}_{\{-X_n < M\}}] \\
&= E[-X_n] - E[-X_m] + E[-X_m \mathbf{1}_{\{-X_n \geq M\}}] \\
&= E[X_m - X_n] + E[-X_m \mathbf{1}_{\{-X_n \geq M, -X_m \geq N\}}] \\
&\quad + E[-X_m \mathbf{1}_{\{-X_n \geq M, 0 < -X_m < N\}}] + E[-X_m \mathbf{1}_{\{-X_n \geq M, -X_m \leq 0\}}] \\
&\leq E[X_m - X_n] + E[-X_m \mathbf{1}_{\{-X_n \geq M, -X_m \geq N\}}] + E[-X_m \mathbf{1}_{\{-X_n \geq M, 0 < -X_m < N\}}] \\
&\leq E[X_m] - E[X_n] + E[|X_m| \mathbf{1}_{\{-X_m \geq N\}}] + \frac{N}{M} E[-X_n \mathbf{1}_{\{-X_n \geq M\}}] \\
&\leq E[X_m] - E[X_n] + E[|X_m| \mathbf{1}_{\{-X_m \geq N\}}] + \frac{N}{M} E[-X_n \mathbf{1}_{\{-X_n \geq 0\}}] \\
&= E[X_m] - E[X_n] + E[|X_m| \mathbf{1}_{\{-X_m \geq N\}}] + \frac{N}{M} E[X_n^-].
\end{aligned}$$

- b) (i) First, as $(X_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a backward submartingale, we obtain that for any n

$$X_n^+ = X_n + X_n^- \leq E[X_0 | \mathcal{F}_n] + X_n^-$$

Thus, as $(E[X_0 | \mathcal{F}_n])_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is uniformly integrable, if we can show that $(X_n^-)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is uniformly integrable, we can conclude that also $(X_n^+)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is uniformly integrable which then implies that $(X_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is uniformly integrable.

- (ii) Now, we prove that $\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} E[X_n^-] < \infty$. We first observe that $(X_n^+)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is also a backward submartingale. Indeed, due to Jensen's inequality, we obtain that

$$E[X_n^+ | \mathcal{F}_{n+1}] \geq E[X_n | \mathcal{F}_{n+1}]^+ \geq X_{n+1}^+.$$

Assume by contradiction that $\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} E[X_n^-] = \infty$. Then, we can find a subsequence $(n_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} E[X_{n_k}^-] = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} E[X_n^-] = \infty.$$

Knowing that $(X_n^+)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a backward submartingale, we obtain for any k that

$$E[X_{n_k}^-] = E[X_{n_k}^+] - E[X_{n_k}] \leq E[X_0^+] - E[X_{n_k}] \leq E[|X_0|] - E[X_{n_k}].$$

But as X_0 is integrable and as $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} E[X_{n_k}] > -\infty$ by assumption, we obtain that

$$\infty = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} E[X_{n_k}^-] \leq E[|X_0|] - \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} E[X_{n_k}] < \infty$$

which gives us a contradiction. Thus we have proved that $\sup_{u \in \mathbb{N}} E[X_u^-] < \infty$.

- (iii) $(X_n^-)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is uniformly integrable if and only if for any $\varepsilon > 0$ we can find $M \geq 0$ such that

$$\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} E[-X_n \mathbf{1}_{\{-X_n \geq M\}}] \leq \varepsilon.$$

Now note that

$$\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} E[-X_n \mathbf{1}_{\{-X_n \geq M\}}] \leq \max_{n < m} E[-X_n \mathbf{1}_{\{-X_n \geq M\}}] \vee \sup_{n \geq m} E[-X_n \mathbf{1}_{\{-X_n \geq M\}}].$$

Since X_n is integrable for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, for each m , we can find $M_m \geq 0$ such that for any $M \geq M_m$

$$\max_{0 \leq n \leq m} E[-X_n \mathbf{1}_{\{-X_n \geq M\}}] \leq \varepsilon.$$

This is because we are looking at the maximum over finitely many terms.
 Now it suffices to find $M \geq M_m$ such that

$$\sup_{n \geq m} E[-X_n \mathbf{1}_{\{-X_n \geq M\}}] \leq \varepsilon.$$

Fix any $\varepsilon > 0$. The assumption that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E[X_n] > -\infty$ implies that $E[X_n]$ is a Cauchy sequence. Hence we can find $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for any $n \geq m$

$$E[X_m] - E[X_n] \leq \varepsilon/3.$$

For any $n \geq m$, $N, M > 0$, by a), by the choice of m and by (b ii) as $\sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} E[X_k^-] < \infty$, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} E[-X_n \mathbf{1}_{\{-X_n \geq M\}}] &\leq E[X_m] - E[X_n] + E[|X_m| \mathbf{1}_{\{-X_m \geq N\}}] + \frac{N}{M} E[X_n^-] \\ &\leq \varepsilon/3 + E[|X_m| \mathbf{1}_{\{-X_m \geq N\}}] + \frac{N}{M} E[X_n^-] \\ &\leq \varepsilon/3 + E[|X_m| \mathbf{1}_{\{-X_m \geq N\}}] + \frac{N}{M} \sup_{u \in \mathbb{N}} E[X_u^-]. \end{aligned}$$

Since X_u is integrable for any $u \in \mathbb{N}$ we can find N big enough such that second term above is smaller than $\varepsilon/3$. After having chosen N we can find $M \geq M_m$ such that that the last term above is smaller than $\varepsilon/3$. Thus, we get for that chosen M that

$$\sup_{n \geq m} E[-X_n \mathbf{1}_{\{-X_n \geq M\}}] \leq \varepsilon$$

hence we get the result.