

## Solution 5

### Acknowledgements

The following solutions were kindly provided by Nicolas Müller. The exercise is based on [1], where also further information can be found.

1. a) No solution provided for this exercise.
- b) From Linear Algebra, we have the following theorem:

$$\lambda_{n-1} = \max_{\varphi \in L_{\mathbb{R}}^2 \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\langle \varphi, (\text{Id} - M)\varphi \rangle}{\langle \varphi, \varphi \rangle}$$

and every maximizing  $\varphi$  is an eigenvector of  $\lambda_{n-1}$ .

We then have

$$\begin{aligned} 2 - \lambda_{n-1} &= 2 - \max_{\varphi \in L_{\mathbb{R}}^2 \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\langle \varphi, (\text{Id} - M)\varphi \rangle}{\langle \varphi, \varphi \rangle} \\ &= 2 + \min_{\varphi \in L_{\mathbb{R}}^2 \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\langle \varphi, (-\text{Id} + M)\varphi \rangle}{\langle \varphi, \varphi \rangle} \\ &= 2 + \min_{\varphi \in L_{\mathbb{R}}^2 \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\langle \varphi, (-2\text{Id} + (\text{Id} + M))\varphi \rangle}{\langle \varphi, \varphi \rangle} \\ &= 2 + \min_{\varphi \in L_{\mathbb{R}}^2 \setminus \{0\}} -2 + \frac{\langle \varphi, (\text{Id} + M)\varphi \rangle}{\langle \varphi, \varphi \rangle} \\ &= \min_{\varphi \in L_{\mathbb{R}}^2 \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\sum_{\substack{e \in E \text{ with} \\ \text{ep}(e) = \{v,w\}}} (\varphi(v) + \varphi(w))^2}{\sum_{v \in V} \text{val}(v)\varphi(v)^2} \end{aligned}$$

and if a vector  $v$  is a minimizer, then it is also a maximizer in the theorem quoted above and hence an eigenvector of  $\lambda_{n-1}$ .

- c) We have

$$\begin{aligned} 2 - \lambda_{n-1} &= \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^V \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\sum_{\{u,v\} \in E} (x_u + x_v)^2}{\sum_{v \in V} \text{val}(x)x_v^2} \leq \min_{x \in \{-1,0,1\}^V \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\sum_{\{u,v\} \in E} (x_u + x_v)^2}{\sum_{v \in V} \text{val}(x)x_v^2} \\ &\leq 2 \min_{x \in \{-1,0,1\}^V \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\sum_{\{u,v\} \in E} |x_u + x_v|}{\sum_{v \in V} \text{val}(x)|x_v|} = 2\beta(\Gamma) \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality follows because for  $x, y \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$ , we have  $x^2 = |x|$  and  $|x + y| \in \{0, 1, 2\}$  and therefore  $(x + y)^2 \leq 2|x + y|$ .

**Bitte wenden!**

d) In general we have

$$|y_v^t + y_u^t| \in \{0, 1, 2\}$$

and therefore

$$\mathbb{E}[|y_v^t + y_u^t|] = 1 \cdot P(|y_v^t + y_u^t| = 1) + 2 \cdot P(|y_v^t + y_u^t| = 2)$$

Suppose that  $x_v \cdot x_u \geq 0$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} |y_u^t + y_v^t| &= \begin{cases} 2 & |t| \leq \min(|x_u|, |x_v|) \\ 1 & \min(|x_u|, |x_v|) < |t| \leq \max(|x_u|, |x_v|) \\ 0 & |t| > \max(|x_u|, |x_v|) \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} 2 & t^2 \leq \min(x_u^2, x_v^2) \\ 1 & \min(x_u^2, x_v^2) < t^2 \leq \max(x_u^2, x_v^2) \\ 0 & t^2 > \max(x_u^2, x_v^2) \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Since  $t^2$  is uniformly distributed in  $]0, 1]$ , it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} P(|y_v^t + y_u^t| = 2) &= \min(x_u^2, x_v^2) \\ P(|y_v^t + y_u^t| = 1) &= \max(x_u^2, x_v^2) - \min(x_u^2, x_v^2) \end{aligned}$$

And therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[|y_u^t + y_v^t|] &= \max(x_u^2, x_v^2) + \min(x_u^2, x_v^2) = x_u^2 + x_v^2 \\ &= |x_u|^2 + |x_v|^2 \leq (|x_u| + |x_v|)(|x_u| + |x_v|) \stackrel{\text{same sign}}{=} |x_u + x_v|(|x_u| + |x_v|) \end{aligned}$$

Suppose now that  $x_v \cdot x_u \leq 0$ . Then

$$|y_u^t + y_v^t| = \begin{cases} 1 & \min(x_u^2, x_v^2) < t^2 \leq \max(x_u^2, x_v^2) \\ 0 & \max(x_u^2, x_v^2) < t^2 \vee \min(x_u^2, x_v^2) \geq t^2 \end{cases}$$

and we get

$$\mathbb{E}[|y_u^t + y_v^t|] = \max(x_u^2, x_v^2) - \min(x_u^2, x_v^2) = |x_u^2 - x_v^2| = |x_u - x_v||x_u + x_v| \leq |x_u + x_v|(|x_u| + |x_v|)$$

In conclusion, for arbitrary signs of  $x_u$  and  $x_v$  we get

$$\mathbb{E}[|y_u^t + y_v^t|] \leq |x_u + x_v|(|x_u| + |x_v|)$$

e) We have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left[ \sum_{\{u,v\} \in E} |y_u^t + y_v^t| \right] &= \sum_{\{u,v\} \in E} \mathbb{E}[|y_u^t + y_v^t|] \leq \sum_{\{u,v\} \in E} |x_u + x_v|(|x_u| + |x_v|) \\ &\stackrel{\text{Cauchy-Schwarz in } \mathbb{R}^n}{=} \sqrt{\sum_{\{u,v\} \in E} \underbrace{|x_u + x_v|^2}_{=(x_u + x_v)^2}} \sqrt{\sum_{\{u,v\} \in E} (|x_u| + |x_v|)^2}. \end{aligned}$$

**Siehe nächstes Blatt!**

Additionally,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E} \left[ \sum_{v \in V} \text{val}(v) |y_v^t| \right] &= \sum_{v \in V} \text{val}(v) \mathbb{E}[|y_v^t|] = \sum_{v \in V} \text{val}(v) P(x_v^2 \geq t^2) \\ &= \sum_{v \in V} \text{val}(v) x_v^2.\end{aligned}$$

Also

$$\sum_{\{u,v\} \in E} (|x_u| + |x_v|)^2 \stackrel{\text{Young's inequality}}{\leq} 2 \sum_{\{u,v\} \in E} x_u^2 + x_v^2 = 2 \sum_{v \in V} \text{val}(v) x_v^2.$$

For the final part we have

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\mathbb{E} \left[ \sum_{\{u,v\} \in E} |y_u^t + y_v^t| \right]}{\mathbb{E} \left[ \sum_{v \in V} \text{val}(v) |y_v^t| \right]} &\leq \frac{\sqrt{\sum_{\{u,v\} \in E} (x_u + x_v)^2} \sqrt{\sum_{\{u,v\} \in E} (|x_u| + |x_v|)^2}}{\sqrt{\sum_{v \in V} \text{val}(v) x_v^2}} \\ &\leq \frac{\sqrt{2} \cdot \sqrt{\sum_{\{u,v\} \in E} (x_u + x_v)^2}}{\sqrt{\sum_{v \in V} \text{val}(v) x_v^2}}.\end{aligned}$$

f) We have

$$P \left( \frac{X}{Y} \leq \frac{\mathbb{E}[X]}{\mathbb{E}[Y]} \right) = P(X\mathbb{E}[Y] \leq \mathbb{E}[X]Y) = P(Y\mathbb{E}[X] - X\mathbb{E}[Y] \geq 0)$$

and

$$\mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[X]Y - \mathbb{E}[Y]X] = \mathbb{E}[X]\mathbb{E}[Y] - \mathbb{E}[Y]\mathbb{E}[X] = 0$$

It follows that  $P(\mathbb{E}[X]Y - \mathbb{E}[Y]X \geq 0) > 0$  which finishes the proof.

g) Let  $x$  be a minimizer of

$$\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\sum_{\substack{e \in E \text{ with} \\ \text{ep}(e) = \{v,w\}}} (x_v + x_w)^2}{\sum_{v \in V} \text{val}(v) x_v^2}.$$

Since  $x \neq 0$  and the above expression is obviously invariant under multiplying  $x$  with a nonzero scalar, we can choose  $x$  such that  $\|x\|_\infty = 1$ . Define

$$\begin{aligned}X &= \sum_{\{u,v\} \in E} |y_u^t + y_v^t|, \\ Y &= \sum_{v \in V} \text{val}(v) |y_v^t|,\end{aligned}$$

**Bitte wenden!**

where  $y$  and  $t$  are as defined in d). Because  $\|x\|_\infty = 1$ , it is impossible that all  $\forall v \in V : y_v^t = 0$ . Therefore  $P(Y > 0) = 1$ . By f), we have that

$$P\left(\frac{X}{Y} \leq \frac{\mathbb{E}[X]}{\mathbb{E}[Y]}\right) > 0.$$

Hence, there exists a  $t_0 \in ]0, 1]$  such that  $X(t_0)/Y(t_0) \leq \mathbb{E}[X]/\mathbb{E}[Y]$ . From the definitions of  $\beta$  and  $y^{t_0}$  we get that

$$\beta(\Gamma) \leq \frac{X(t_0)}{Y(t_0)} \leq \frac{\mathbb{E}[X]}{\mathbb{E}[Y]} \stackrel{e)}{\leq} \sqrt{2 \frac{\sum_{\{u,v\} \in E} (x_u + x_v)^2}{\sum_{v \in V} \text{val}(x) x_v^2}} = \sqrt{2(2 - \lambda_{n-1})}.$$

## References

- [1] Luca Trevisan, Lecture Notes “Graph Partitioning, Expanders and Spectral Methods”, Lecture 5, available at <http://www.eecs.berkeley.edu/~luca/expanders2016/index.html>