6.1. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be open and $u : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$.

Prove that the following are equivalent:

(i)
$$u \in W^{1,\infty}_{loc}(\Omega)$$
.

(ii) u is locally Lipschitz.

Hint: For (i) implies (ii) use mollifiers $\rho_{\delta}(x) := \frac{1}{\delta^n} \rho(x/\delta)$ with $\operatorname{supp} \rho \subset B_1(0)$ and estimate the Lipschitz constant for $u_{\delta} = u * \rho_{\delta}$ as $\delta \to 0$. For (ii) implies (i), consider a fixed vector $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and define the difference quotient

$$u_j(x) := j \left[u(x + \frac{\xi}{j}) - u(x) \right].$$

Prove that there is $u^{\xi} \in L^{\infty}_{loc}$, such that a subsequence of u_j weakly converges to u^{ξ} in L^2_{loc} . Show that

$$\int_{\Omega} u^{\xi} \varphi = - \int_{\Omega} u \partial_{\xi} \varphi$$

for any $\varphi \in C_0^{\infty}(\Omega)$ by proving a similar equality for u_j and taking the limit.

Solution: (i) \Rightarrow (ii): Let $u \in W^{1,\infty}_{loc}(\Omega)$. Then $u_{\delta} = \rho_{\delta} * u \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)^1$ converges to u almost everywhere on Ω . Also

$$|\nabla u_{\delta}(x)| \le \|\nabla u\|_{L^{\infty}(B_{\delta}(x))} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \rho_{\delta}(y) \, \mathrm{d}y = \|\nabla u\|_{L^{\infty}(B_{\delta}(x))}$$

for $\delta > 0$ with $B_{\delta}(x) \subset \Omega$. Hence for $x \in \Omega$ where $u_{\delta}(x)$ converges to u(x), let $\delta_0 > 0$ such that $\overline{B_{\delta_0}(x)} \subset \Omega$. Then for $x + \xi \in B_{\delta_0}(x)$ such that $u_{\delta}(x + \xi)$ converges to $u(x + \xi)$, we get

$$|u(x) - u(x+\xi)| = \lim_{\delta \to 0} |u_{\delta}(x) - u_{\delta}(x+\xi)| = \lim_{\delta \to 0} \left| \int_{0}^{1} \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}s} u_{\delta}(x+s\xi) \, \mathrm{d}s \right|$$
$$= \lim_{\delta \to 0} \left| \int_{0}^{1} \nabla u(x+s\xi) \cdot \xi \, \mathrm{d}s \right| \le \lim_{\delta \to 0} |\xi| \int_{0}^{1} |\nabla u(x+s\xi)| \, \mathrm{d}s$$
$$\le \lim_{\delta \to 0} ||\nabla u_{\delta}||_{L^{\infty}(B_{\delta}(x))} |\xi| \le ||\nabla u||_{L^{\infty}(B_{\delta_{0}}(x))} |\xi|$$

and so $C_x := \|\nabla u\|_{L^{\infty}(\overline{B_{\delta_0}(x)})} < \infty$. As C_x is independent of ξ , we can change u on a set of measure zero on K and we get that $u|_{B_{\delta_0(x)}}$ is Lipschitz continuous on $B_{\delta_0}(x)$ with constant C_x .

30th March 2016

¹As usual, you extend u outside of Ω in \mathbb{R}^n by definition of $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and then take this function for the convolution.

ETH Zürich	Functional Analysis II	D-math
FS 2016	Exercise Sheet 6 - Solution	Charel Antony

So far, we know how to make u Lipschitz in a neighbourhood of almost every point. Now we need to convince ourselves that we can make changes to u on a set of measure zero such that u is locally Lipschitz everywhere. For this use σ compactness of Ω . Indeed, fix a nested sequence of compact sets $\Omega_n \subset \Omega_{n+1}$ that exhausts ${}^2 \Omega$ and now for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we change u on a set of measure zero on Ω_n . We note that if $u|_{\Omega_n}$ is locally Lipschitz, we don't have to change u on Ω_n to make it locally Lipschitz in Ω_{n+1} . Now for the Lebesgue measure, full measure sets are also dense, hence for this dense set $\{x_\nu \in \Omega_n\}$, $B_{\delta_0(x_\nu)}(x_\nu)$ covers Ω_n . Thus finitely many cover, and for these finitely many balls, change u on a set of measure zero as before, to make $u|_{\Omega_n}$ locally Lipschitz. This proves that we can change u on a set of measure zero, to make it locally Lipschitz on Ω as the countable union of measure zero sets is of measure zero.

<u>(ii)</u> \Rightarrow (i): Define u_j as in the hint where we extend u outside of Ω . Let $\Omega_n \subset \Omega_{n+1}$ an exhausting, increasing sequence of bounded open sets. Then the Ω_n can be chosen such that for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there is $j \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $x + \xi/j \in \Omega_{n+1}$ for every $x \in \Omega_n$. Then there is a Lipschitz constant C_n for $\overline{\Omega}_{n+1}$ by finite covering property and so we have

$$|u_j(x)| \le jC_n \frac{|\xi|}{j} = C_n |\xi|$$

for all $x \in \Omega_n$. So therefore, $\|u_j\|_{L^2(\Omega_n)} \leq C_n |\xi| \operatorname{vol}(\Omega_n)^{1/2}$. As $L^2(\Omega_n)$ is reflexive and separable, we have by Banach-Alaoglu theorem from FAI a weakly convergent subsequence $u_{j_k} \rightharpoonup u_n^{\xi} \in L^2(\Omega_n)$. Making this construction inductively with the previous subsequence, we get by uniqueness of weak limits, that $u_n^{\xi}|_{\Omega_n} = u_{n+1}^{\xi}|_{\Omega_n}$. Thus we can define the function $u^{\xi} : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ by $u^{\xi}|_{\Omega_n} := u_n^{\xi}$. As Ω_n is exhausting, the diagonal subsequence will weakly converge to u^{ξ} in $L^2_{loc}(\Omega)$.

Now to prove that $u^{\xi} \in L^{\infty}_{loc}(\Omega)$. This comes from the fact that every u_j defines a linear functional on L^1_{loc} , whose dual is L^{∞}_{loc} and the Banach-Steinhaus theorem from FAI. Namely, we have that for Ω_n as before, that for $v \in L^1(\Omega_n)$ that

$$\left|\int_{\Omega_n} u_j v\right| \le C_n \left\|v\right\|_{L^1(\Omega_n)}$$

where C_n is a Lipschitz constant for $\overline{\Omega_{n+1}}$ and we have that $L_j v := \int_{\Omega_n} u_j \varphi$ is Cauchy on the dense subset $L^2(\Omega_n) \subset L^1(\Omega_n)^3$. Hence by Banach-Steinhaus, there is an operator $L \in L^1(\Omega_n)^*$ such that L_j strongly converges to L. This means in particular, that there is a function $w \in L^{\infty}(\Omega_n)$ such that $Lv = \int_{\Omega_n} wv$ for all $v \in L^1(\Omega_n)$. Due to strong convergence, we also have for every $v \in L^2(\Omega_n)$ that $Lv = \int_{\Omega_n} u^{\xi} v$. Hence,

²This means that $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \Omega_n = \Omega$.

³Density follows from the fact that $L^2(\Omega_n)$ already contains all the $C^0(\Omega_n)$ which form a dense subset.

D-math	Functional Analysis II	ETH Zürich
Charel Antony	Exercise Sheet 6 - Solution	FS 2016

w is also a weak limit of u_j on $L^2(\Omega_n)$. By uniqueness of weak limits, we have $u^{\xi} = w$ and so $u^{\xi} \in L^{\infty}(\Omega_n)$.

Let us prove that u^{ξ} is the weak derivative of u in the direction of ξ , namely for u_j we have the following for every $\varphi \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$:

$$\begin{split} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} j[u\left(x + \frac{\xi}{j}\right) - u(x)]\varphi(x) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} ju\left(x + \frac{\xi}{j}\right)\varphi(x) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} ju(x)\varphi(x) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} ju(x)\varphi\left(x - \frac{\xi}{j}\right) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} ju(x)\varphi(x) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u(x)[j(\varphi\left(x - \frac{\xi}{j}\right) - \varphi(x)] \end{split}$$

where we used invariance under translation of the Lebesgue measure in the second line. Therefore, as we take $j \to \infty$, by definition of u^{ξ} , we get

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u^{\xi} \varphi = -\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u \partial_{\xi} \varphi$$

6.2. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be defined by

$$\begin{split} \Omega &:= \Omega_0 \cup \bigcup_{m=0}^{\infty} \Omega_m \\ \Omega_0 &:= \{ (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : 0 < x < 1, 0 < y < \frac{1}{2} \} \\ \Omega_m &:= \{ (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : \frac{1}{2^{2m+1}} < x < \frac{1}{2^{2m}}, \frac{1}{2} \le y < 1 \} \end{split}$$

- (a) Show that the embedding $W^{1,2}(\Omega) \to L^2(\Omega)$ is not compact.
- (b) Show that $W^{1,2}(\Omega)$ is not a subset of $L^q(\Omega)$ for q > 2.

Solution:

(a) Consider the function u_m supported in Ω_m given by

$$u_m(x,y) = \begin{cases} 2^m & \text{for } (x,y) \in \Omega_m, \frac{3}{4} < y < 1\\ 4(y-\frac{1}{2})2^m & \text{for } (x,y) \in \Omega_m, \frac{1}{2} < y < \frac{3}{4}\\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

 $30\mathrm{th}$ March 2016

Then a short calculation shows that

$$\frac{1}{4} \le \int_{\Omega} |u_m|^2 \, \mathrm{d}x \le \frac{1}{2}, \qquad \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_m|^2 \le 4$$

and so $\{u_m\}$ is bounded in $W^{1,2}(\Omega)$. Assume now that a subsequence u_m would converge to $u \in L^2(\Omega)$. Then since u_m converges point wise almost everywhere to zero, we see by dominated convergence (Ω is bounded) that $u \equiv 0$. But this gives us a contradiction to $\frac{1}{4} \leq \int_{\Omega} |u_m|^2 dx$.

(b) Let us define the function u_m as

$$u_m(x,y) = \begin{cases} \frac{2^m}{m} & \text{for } (x,y) \in \Omega_m, \frac{3}{4} < y < 1\\ \frac{4}{m}(y - \frac{1}{2})2^m & \text{for } (x,y) \in \Omega_m, \frac{1}{2} < y < \frac{3}{4}\\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

and $u = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} u_m$. Then a similar calculation as in (a) shows that

$$\int_{\Omega} |u_m|^2 \, \mathrm{d}x \le \frac{1}{2m^2}, \qquad \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_m|^2 \le \frac{4}{m^2} \qquad \int_{\Omega} |u_m|^q \, \mathrm{d}x \ge \frac{2^{(q-2)m}}{4m^q}$$

for all q > 2. Therfore,

$$||u||_{W^{1,2}(\Omega)}^2 \le \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (\frac{1}{2} + 4) \frac{1}{m^2} < \infty$$

whereas

$$||u||_{L^q(\Omega)}^q \ge \frac{1}{4} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{2^{(q-2)m}}{m^q} = \infty.$$

Hence $u \in W^{1,2}(\Omega) \setminus L^q(\Omega)$.

6.3. Show that $C^{\infty}(\overline{\Omega})$ is not dense in $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ for $p \ge 1$ where:

- (a) $\Omega = (-1,0) \cup (0,1).$
- (b) $\Omega := \{(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : |(x,y)| < 1\} \setminus \{(x,0) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : 0 \le x < 1\}.$

Hint: For (b), prove that for $0 < \epsilon \le 1$ and for any smooth function $\varphi : [-\epsilon, \epsilon] \to \mathbb{R}$, one has

$$\int_{-\epsilon}^{0} |\varphi(t)| \, \mathrm{d}t + \int_{0}^{\epsilon} |1 - \varphi(t)| \, \mathrm{d}t + \int_{-\epsilon}^{\epsilon} |\varphi'(t)| \, \mathrm{d}t \ge \epsilon.$$

30th March 2016

4/7

Then consider a function $u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ which cannot be extended to a continuous function on $B_1(0)$ and find a contradiction once you try to approximate it by smooth functions.

Solution:

(a) Let $p \geq 1$. Consider the function $u := \mathbb{1}_{(0,1)} \in L^p(\Omega)$, and assume that there is a sequence $u_m \in C^{\infty}((\overline{\Omega}) = C^{\infty}((-1,1))$ converging to u in $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$. Then $u \in W^{1,p}((-1,1))$, but this is a contradiction to the fact that every element of $W^{1,p}((-1,1))$ has a continuous representative.

(b) To prove the suggested inequality in the hint, set $s := \sup_{(0,\epsilon)} \varphi$ and $r = \inf_{(-\epsilon,0)} \varphi$. We always have $r \leq s$. Let us only treat the case where $0 \leq r \leq s \leq 1$, for the other cases are similar. Then we get the following estimates

$$\int_{-\epsilon}^{0} |\varphi| \, \mathrm{d}t \ge r\epsilon, \qquad \int_{0}^{\epsilon} |\varphi| \, \mathrm{d}t \ge (1-s)\epsilon, \qquad \text{and} \ \int_{-\epsilon}^{\epsilon} |\dot{\varphi}| \, \mathrm{d}t \ge s-r.$$

As $\epsilon \leq 1$, we conclude as $(s-r)(1-\epsilon) + \epsilon \geq \epsilon$. This inequality basically tells us that a smooth function cannot jump from 0 to 1 without recking up some derivative.

Let $p \geq 1$. Now consider the cube Q centred at $x = (\frac{1}{2}, 0)$ of side length $2\epsilon < \frac{1}{4}$. Then $Q \cap \Omega$ has two connected components Q_+ and Q_- . Fix u to be 1 on Q_+ and 0 on Q_- . Then u can be extended to a function (even smooth by cutting off) on Ω of class $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$. Now assume there is a sequence of functions $\varphi_n \in C^{\infty}(\overline{\Omega})$ converging to u in $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$. Then we apply the above inequality to every function $\varphi_{n,x}(t) := \varphi_n(x,t)$ for $x \in (-\epsilon, \epsilon)$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, to get by Fubini

$$\int_{Q} |u - \varphi_n| + \int_{Q} |\nabla u - \nabla u_n| \ge 2\epsilon^2.$$

This means that $||u - \varphi_n||_{W^{1,1}(\Omega)} \ge 2\epsilon^2$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore, as Ω is bounded, there is a constant C(p) > 0 by Hölder, such that

$$C(p) \|u - \varphi_n\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)} \ge \|u - \varphi_n\|_{W^{1,1}(\Omega)} \ge 2\epsilon^2.$$

This is a contradiction to φ_n converging to u in $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$.

6.4. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be open. Let $u_n \in W^{k,p}(\Omega)$ be a Cauchy sequence with $u_n \to u$ in $L^p(\Omega)$. Prove that $u \in W^{k,p}(\Omega)$ and that $u_n \to u$ in $W^{k,p}(\Omega)$.

Solution: As $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ is a Banach space, we have that $u_n \to v$ in $W^{k,p}(\Omega)$ where $v \in W^{k,p}(\Omega)$. As $||w||_{L^p(\Omega)} \leq ||w||_{W^{k,p}(\Omega)}$ for all $w \in W^{k,p}(\Omega)$, $u_n \to v$ in $L^p(\Omega)$ as well. So by uniqueness of limits, u = v.

6.5. Let $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^n$, $p \ge 2$ and $u : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ in $W^{2,p}(\Omega)$.

30th March 2016

(a) For n = 1, prove that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} |u'|^p \le C(p) \int_{\mathbb{R}} |uu''|^{\frac{p}{2}}$$

(b) Prove that

 $\|u\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)} \le C(n,p) \|u\|_{L^{p}(\Omega)}^{1/2} \|u\|_{W^{2,p}(\Omega)}^{1/2}$

(c) Prove that

 $\|u\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)} \le C(n,p) \|u\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}^{1/2} \|u\|_{W^{2,\frac{p}{2}}(\Omega)}^{1/2}$

Hint: For (a) start with a compactly supported smooth function u and consider $v := u' |u'|^{p-2}$. Then use the integration by part formula for w = uv and the generalised Hölder inequality.

Solution:

(a) It is by density enough to prove the inequality for $u \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$. Take $v = u' |u'|^{p-2}$, then this is a function in $C_c^1(\mathbb{R})$ and its derivative is $v' = (p-1) |u'|^{p-2} u''$. Then for w = uv, we have $w' = |u'|^p + (p-1)uu'' |u'|^{p-2}$. Hence, by integration by part, we have due to compact support

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} |u'|^p = (p-1) \int_{\mathbb{R}} uu'' |u'|^{p-2} \le (p-1) (\int_{\mathbb{R}} |u''u|^{\frac{p}{2}})^{\frac{2}{p}} (\int_{\mathbb{R}} |u'|^{(p-2)\frac{p}{p-2}})^{\frac{p-2}{p}}$$

where we used Hölder with exponents $\frac{p}{2}$ and $\frac{p}{p-2}$. Hence by dividing by $\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |u'|^{(p-2)\frac{p}{p-2}}\right)^{\frac{p-2}{p}}$, we get

$$(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |u'|^p)^{\frac{2}{p}} \le (p-1)(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |u''u|^{\frac{p}{2}})^{\frac{2}{p}} \Leftrightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}} |u'|^p \le (p-1)^{\frac{p}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |uu''|^{\frac{p}{2}}$$

(b) Again fix $u \in C_0^{\infty}(\Omega)$. We have

$$\|u\|_{L^{p}(\Omega)} = \|u\|_{L^{p}(\Omega)}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|u\|_{L^{p}(\Omega)}^{\frac{1}{2}} \le \|u\|_{L^{p}(\Omega)}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|u\|_{W^{2,p}(\Omega)}^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

By (a) for i = 1, ..., n, we have for $x \in \Omega$ with $x_i = 0$, that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| \partial_i u(x + te_i) \right|^p \, \mathrm{d}t \le C(p) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| u(x + te_i) \partial_i^2 u(x + te_i) \right|^{\frac{p}{2}} \, \mathrm{d}t$$

Now integrating over all the other components, we get

$$\int_{\Omega} \left| \partial_i u \right|^p \le C(p) \int_{\Omega} \left| u \partial_i^2 u \right|^{\frac{p}{2}} \le C(p) \left(\int_{\Omega} \left| u \right|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{\Omega} \left| \partial_i^2 u \right|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

30th March 2016

6/7

where we used Hölder with exponents 2 and 2. And hence taking the p^{th} root and summing up all the estimates, we get

 $\|u\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)} \le C(n,p) \|u\|_{L^p(\Omega)}^{1/2} \|u\|_{W^{2,p}(\Omega)}^{1/2}$

(c) As before in (b), we get

$$\int_{\Omega} \left| \partial_i u \right|^p \le C(p) \int_{\Omega} \left| u \partial_i^2 u \right|^{\frac{p}{2}} \le C(p) \left\| u \right\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}^{\frac{p}{2}} \int_{\Omega} \left| \partial_i^2 u \right|^{\frac{p}{2}}$$

And hence taking the p^{th} root and summing up all the estimates, we get

 $\|u\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)} \le C(n,p) \|u\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}^{1/2} \|u\|_{W^{2,\frac{p}{2}}(\Omega)}^{1/2}$