

# Graph Theory

## Solutions 7

*The purpose of this write-up is merely to provide some guideline on how solutions should look like, and to help clean up hazy arguments. For hints, feel free to consult your teaching assistant.*

### Problem 3:

We need to color the vertices of  $G$  using  $m = \max \chi(G_r) + \chi(G_{r+1})$  colors. The main idea that we need is the fact that there is no edge between  $G_r$  and  $G_{r-2}$  for any  $r$ , so we can color them independently of each other.

We color the vertices inductively. First we color  $G_0 = v$  with some color. Then we have  $m - \chi(G_0)$  colors left to use for  $G_1$ . Since  $\chi(G_1) \leq m - \chi(G_0)$ , we can properly color  $G_1$  using  $\chi(G_1)$  colors that all differ from the colors of  $G_0$ . And so on: in the  $r$ 'th step we color  $G_r$  using  $\chi(G_r)$  colors that are all different from the ones we used to color  $G_{r-1}$  (we can do this because  $\chi(G_r) \leq m - \chi(G_{r-1})$ ). And this will be a proper coloring, because all edges of  $G$  either go within some  $G_r$ , or between some  $G_{r-1}$  and  $G_r$ . (And, since  $G$  is connected, we color all the vertices eventually.)

### Problem 4:

If  $G$  is  $l$ -degenerate, then  $\chi(G) \leq l+1$ . So suppose  $\chi(G) > l+1$ . Then  $G$  is not  $l$ -degenerate, hence contains some subgraph of minimum degree at least  $l+1$ . But as we saw in the first week of the course, a graph of minimum degree  $l+1$  contains a path of length  $l+1$ . Contradiction.

**Problem 5:** The chromatic number of  $G$  is the minimum number of independent sets covering the vertices, so it is the minimum number of complete graphs in  $\overline{G}$  covering all the vertices. As  $\overline{G}$  is bipartite, its cliques are singletons and edges — to have as few cliques as possible, we want to use as many edges as we can. This means that  $\chi(G) = n - \nu(\overline{G})$ , where  $n$  is the number of vertices.

$\omega(G) = \alpha(\overline{G})$ , so we want to have a large independent set. But an independent set  $I$  spans no edges, so  $V - I$  covers all the edges. This means that large independent sets correspond to small edge-covering sets, i.e.  $\alpha(\overline{G}) = n - \tau(\overline{G})$ . But from König's theorem, we know  $\nu(\overline{G}) = \tau(\overline{G})$  for bipartite  $\overline{G}$ , so we are done.