## Exercise sheet 9

Due date: 13:00, May 9.

Location: Next to HG G 52.1.

**Exercise 9.1** Recall that an investment and consumption pair  $(\psi, \tilde{c})$  is self-financing if  $\psi_1 \cdot S_0 + \tilde{c} = \tilde{v}_0$  and

$$\Delta \psi_{k+1} \cdot S_k + \tilde{c}_k = 0,$$

for  $k = 1, \ldots, T - 1$ . Define the undiscounted wealth by  $\tilde{W}_0 = \tilde{v}_0$  and  $\tilde{W}_k := \psi_k \cdot S_k$ ,  $\tilde{W}_0 := \tilde{v}_0 = \psi_1 \cdot S_0 + \tilde{c}_0$ , for  $k = 1, \ldots, T$ ,  $W = \tilde{W}/S^0$  and  $c = \tilde{c}/S^0$ .

(a) Show in detail that  $(\psi, \tilde{c})$  is self-financing if and only if

$$W_k = v_0 + \sum_{j=1}^k (\vartheta_j \cdot \Delta X_j - c_{j-1}), \quad \text{for } k = 0, \dots, T.$$

(b) Show that the pair  $(\psi, \tilde{c})$  with initial wealth  $\tilde{v}_0$  is self-financing if and only if

$$\tilde{W}_k = \tilde{v}_0 + \sum_{j=1}^k \vartheta_j \cdot \Delta S_j - \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \tilde{c}_j.$$

**Exercise 9.2** For a twice differentiable utility function  $U : [0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ , the so-called *relative risk aversion* is given by

$$-\frac{xU''(x)}{U'(x)}.$$

- (a) Characterize all utility functions  $U = U^{\gamma}$  with constant relative risk aversion equal to  $\gamma$ . Normalize the functions so that  $U^{\gamma}(1) = 0$  and  $(U^{\gamma})'(1) = 1$ .
- (b) Verify that  $\lim_{\gamma \to 1} U^{\gamma}(x) = U^{1}(x)$  for all x.
- (c) For a differentiable function  $f : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ , the *elasticity* of f is defined as

$$\frac{xf'(x)}{f(x)}$$

Show that with  $U^{\gamma}(0) = 0$  instead of the normalization above, utility functions with constant relative risk aversion  $\gamma \neq 1$  also have constant elasticity.

**Exercise 9.3** Consider a general arbitrage-free market with a numéraire, positive asset prices and T = 1. Denote by  $H^{\text{call}}$  the (discounted) payoff of a call option with discounted strike K > 0, i.e.,

$$H^{\text{call}} = \left(X_1^1 - K\right)^+.$$

Updated: April 29, 2016

1 / 2

(a) Show that

$$\left(X_0^1 - K\right)^+ \le \pi_b(H^{\text{call}}) \le \pi_s(H^{\text{call}}) \le X_0^1.$$

Now consider the market introduced in Exercise 7.3, i.e.,  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  with  $\mathcal{F}_0$  trivial,  $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_1 = \sigma(S_1^1)$  and assets given by

$$S_0^0 = 1,$$
  $S_0^1 = 1,$   
 $S_1^0 = e^r,$   $S_1^1 = e^Y,$ 

where Y follows a standard normal distribution under P. Define the set

$$\Pi^{\text{bin}}(H^{\text{call}}) = \left\{ E_{P^b} \left[ H^{\text{call}} \right] \middle| \begin{array}{c} P^b \circ (S_1^1)^{-1} \text{ has mass} \\ \text{in two points and} \end{array} \\ E_{P^b} \left[ \frac{S_1^1}{e^r} \right] = S_0^1 \right\}$$

as the set of arbitrage-free prices under measures for which the market is binomial.

- (b) Construct a sequence of martingale measures absolutely continuous to P that converges weakly to a martingale measure  $P^b$  such that the law of  $S_1^1$  under  $P^b$  has mass in only two points.
- (c) Show that

$$\Pi^{\mathrm{bin}}(H^{\mathrm{call}}) \subseteq \left[\pi_b(H^{\mathrm{call}}), \pi_s(H^{\mathrm{call}})\right].$$

(d) Show that

 $\sup \Pi^{\mathrm{bin}}(H^{\mathrm{call}}) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \inf \Pi^{\mathrm{bin}}(H^{\mathrm{call}}) = (1 - K)^+,$ 

and conclude that the universal bounds in (a) are attained in this market.