

## Solutions 5

1. Given a fixed codeword  $w \in \text{Im}(C) \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q^n$ , let  $B_t(w) \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q^n$  be the set of messages having Hamming distance at most  $t$  from  $w$  (the *Hamming ball* of radius  $t$  centered at  $w$ ). We have

$$|B_t(w)| = \sum_{k=0}^t \binom{n}{k} (q-1)^k.$$

Note that the size of the Hamming ball does not depend on the center  $w$ . We claim that  $|B_t(w)| \geq q^t$ . To see this, look at the set  $S_t(w)$  of all codewords  $w' \in \mathbb{F}_q^n$  which agree with  $w$  on the last  $n-t$  coordinates. More formally, let  $S_t(w) = \{w' \in \mathbb{F}_q^n : w'_i = w_i \text{ for all } i > t\}$ . Clearly  $|S_t(w)| = q^t$  and  $S_t(w) \subseteq B_t(w)$ , hence  $|B_t(w)| \geq q^t$ . If the minimal distance of  $\text{Im}(C)$  is  $d$ , then the balls  $B_t(w)$  for  $w \in \text{Im}(C)$  must be pairwise disjoint, where  $t = \lfloor \frac{d}{2} \rfloor$ . In particular

$$q^{t+k} = q^t \cdot |\mathbb{F}_q^k| \leq \sum_{w \in \text{Im}(C)} |B_t(w)| \leq |\mathbb{F}_q^n| = q^n.$$

This implies that  $t \leq n - k$ , hence the minimal distance  $d$  is at most  $2t + 1 \leq 2(n - k) + 1$ .

There is another argument that yields a better bound of  $d \leq n - k + 1$ . We begin with  $|\text{Im}(C)| = q^k$  codewords. By the pigeonhole principle, there exists a subset  $X_1 \subseteq \text{Im}(C)$  of size at least  $|X_1| \geq q^{k-1}$  for which all the codewords from  $X_1$  agree on the first coordinate. Using the same principle again, we can find a subset  $X_2 \subseteq X_1$  of size at least  $q^{k-2}$  such that all the codewords in  $X_2$  agree on the first two coordinates. Repeating this process  $k-1$  times, we obtain a set  $X_{k-1}$  of size at least  $q$ , such that all the codewords in  $X_{k-1}$  agree on the first  $k-1$  coordinates. Hence the minimal distance of  $C$  is at most  $n - k + 1$ .

2. (i) Let  $e \in \mathbb{R}^n$  be any vector. We claim that all coordinates of  $H \cdot e$  are less than  $\|e\|_1$  in absolute value. More formally,

$$\|H \cdot e\|_\infty \leq \|e\|_1. \tag{1}$$

The claim is true, because for every  $w \in \{-1, 1\}^n$ , we have

$$|e \cdot w| = \left| \sum_{i=1}^n e_i w_i \right| = \left| \sum_{i=1}^n e_i w_i \right| \leq \sum_{i=1}^n |e_i w_i| = \sum_{i=1}^n |e_i| = \|e\|_1.$$

**Bitte wenden!**

Let  $\tilde{h}$  be the vector obtained from the original codeword  $h$  by replacing 0's with  $-1$ 's. This vector  $\tilde{h}$  is the actual row from which  $h$  was obtained. We know that exactly one coordinate of  $H \cdot \tilde{h}$  is  $n$ , and all the remaining others are zero. The index of the nonzero coordinate in  $x$  is the same as the index of the row  $\tilde{h}$  in  $H$ , and we denote it by  $j$ . Since the code  $h$  was transmitted, with less than  $\frac{n}{4}$  errors, we have

$$\|\tilde{y} - \tilde{h}\|_1 < 2 \cdot \frac{n}{4} = \frac{n}{2}. \quad (2)$$

Let  $x = H \cdot \tilde{y}$ , and let  $e = \tilde{y} - \tilde{h}$ . We have

$$x = H \cdot \tilde{y} = H \cdot (\tilde{h} + e) = H \cdot \tilde{h} + H \cdot e,$$

and by (1) and (2), we have

$$\|x - H \cdot \tilde{h}\|_\infty = \|H \cdot e\|_\infty \leq \|e\|_1 < \frac{n}{2}.$$

This implies that  $|x_j - n| < \frac{n}{2}$ , hence  $x_j > \frac{n}{2}$ . On the other hand, for every  $i \neq j$ , we have  $|x_i - 0| < \frac{n}{2}$ , hence  $x_i < \frac{n}{2}$ . Thus the largest coordinate of  $x$  indicates what was the original codeword  $h$ .

- (ii) We remark that the matrix in the statement of the exercise can also be described as

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} H \\ -H \end{pmatrix} = \left( (-1)^{\langle x, y \rangle} \right)_{x \in \{0,1\}^{m+1}, y \in \{1\} \times \{0,1\}^m},$$

where  $\langle x, y \rangle$  denotes the inner product of  $x$  and  $y$ , while the  $2n \times 2n$ -Hadamard matrix can be written as

$$M' = \begin{pmatrix} H & H \\ H & -H \end{pmatrix} = \left( (-1)^{\langle x, y \rangle} \right)_{x, y \in \{0,1\}^{m+1}}.$$

The process of decoding for this punctuated Hadamard code, is slightly different. For each received message  $y$ , let  $\tilde{y}$  be vector obtained from  $y$  by replacing 0's with  $-1$ 's. To find the original codeword  $h$  from the faulty message  $y$ , we look at the coordinate of  $H \cdot \tilde{y}$  having highest absolute value, say, coordinate  $j$ . If that particular coordinate is positive, the codeword  $h$  corresponds to the  $j^{\text{th}}$  row of  $H$ . But if the coordinate is negative, then the codeword  $h$  corresponds to the  $j^{\text{th}}$  row of  $-H$ .

3. If  $S_1, \dots, S_m \subseteq [d]$  is a  $(m, d, l, a)$ -design, then for every  $1 \leq i \leq m$ , we have

$$\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} 2^{|S_i \cap S_j|} \leq \sum_{j < i} 2^a = 2^a(i-1) \leq 2^a(m-1).$$

The above inequality proves that  $S_1, \dots, S_m$  is also a weak  $(m, d, l, a)$ -design.

**Siehe nächstes Blatt!**

4. Let  $\alpha = \mathbb{P}_y[A(y, P(y_{|S_1}) \cdots P(y_{|S_{i-1}}) = P(y_{|S_i})]$ . By an averaging argument, there is a choice  $\tilde{y}$  for the bits of  $y$  outside the set  $S_i$  such that

$$\mathbb{P}_{y'}[A(y', P(y'_{|S_1}) \cdots P(y'_{|S_{i-1}}) = P(y'_{|S_i})] \geq \alpha,$$

where in the above probability,  $y' \in \{0, 1\}^d$  is taken uniformly among all vectors agreeing with  $\tilde{y}$  on the coordinates outside of  $S_i$ .

Renaming  $y'_{|S_i}$  as  $x$ , we observe that  $x$  varies uniformly over  $\{0, 1\}^l$ , while  $P(y_{|S_j})$  for  $j \neq i$  is now a function  $P_j$  of  $x$ , that depends only on  $|S_i \cap S_j|$  bits of  $x$ . So, we have

$$\mathbb{P}_x[A(y(x), P_1(x) \cdots P_{i-1}(x)) = P(x)] \geq \alpha.$$

Therefore, it suffices to let  $\mathcal{F}_i$  to be the set of all functions  $f$  of the form  $x \mapsto (y(x), P_1(x) \cdots P_{i-1}(x))$ , where  $P_j(x)$  depends only on a set  $T_{ij}$  of input bits of  $x$ , where  $|T_{ij}| = |S_i \cap S_j|$ . The number of such functions  $P_j$  is  $2^{|T_{ij}|} = 2^{|S_i \cap S_j|}$ . Also,  $y(x)$  is a function that places  $x$  in the positions indexed by  $S_i$  and fixes all the other  $d - l$  positions according to  $\tilde{y}$ . The total number of choices for  $y(x)$  is exactly  $2^{d-l}$ . Hence the size of  $\mathcal{F}_i$  can be bounded by

$$\log |\mathcal{F}_i| \leq d - l + \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} 2^{|S_i \cap S_j|},$$

finishing the proof.