Solution 2

1. Präsenzaufgabe

(a) Let $u \in M$. To show coerciveness of E, we estimate E(u) from below.

$$E(u) = \int_0^d (\sqrt{1 + |u'|^2} + u) \, dx \ge \int_0^d \sqrt{1 + |u'|} \, dx - \int_0^d |u| \, dx.$$

To estimate the negative term we use the following trick.

$$\begin{aligned} x \in [0, \frac{d}{2}] \ \Rightarrow \ |u(x)| &= \left| \int_0^x u'(y) \, dy \right| \le \int_0^{\frac{d}{2}} |u'(y)| \, dy \le \int_0^{\frac{d}{2}} \sqrt{1 + |u'|} \, dy, \\ x \in [\frac{d}{2}, d] \ \Rightarrow \ |u(x)| &= \left| -\int_x^d u'(y) \, dy + u(d) \right| \le \int_{\frac{d}{2}}^d |u'(y)| \, dy + 1 \le \int_{\frac{d}{2}}^d \sqrt{1 + |u'|} \, dy + 1. \end{aligned}$$

This allows us to estimate

$$\begin{split} \int_0^d |u(x)| \, dx &= \int_0^{\frac{d}{2}} |u(x)| \, dx + \int_{\frac{d}{2}}^d |u(x)| \, dx \\ &\leq \frac{d}{2} \int_0^{\frac{d}{2}} \sqrt{1 + |u'|^2} \, dy + \frac{d}{2} \int_{\frac{d}{2}}^d \sqrt{1 + |u'|^2} \, dy + \frac{d}{2} \\ &= \frac{d}{2} \int_0^d \sqrt{1 + |u'|^2} \, dy + \frac{d}{2}. \end{split}$$

Therefore,

$$E(u) \ge (1 - \frac{d}{2}) \int_0^d \sqrt{1 + |u'|^2} \, dx - \frac{d}{2}$$

As $(1 - \frac{d}{2}) > 0$, we get that E is coercive as claimed.

(b) Consider the sequence $(u_k) \subset M$ where $u_k(x)$ is given by the values $u_k(0) = 0$, $u_k(\varepsilon) = -k$, $u_k(d - \varepsilon - \frac{\varepsilon}{k}) = -k$, $u_k(d) = 1$ and linear interpolation in between. We assume $0 < \varepsilon \ll 1 \le k$ and compute

$$\int_{0}^{d} u_{k} dx = -k(d - \varepsilon - \frac{\varepsilon}{k}) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2k}$$

$$< -k(d - \varepsilon) + 1,$$

$$\int_{0}^{d} \sqrt{1 + |u_{k}'|^{2}} dx = (2\varepsilon + \frac{\varepsilon}{k})\sqrt{1 + (\frac{k}{\varepsilon})^{2}}$$

$$\leq (2\varepsilon + \frac{\varepsilon}{k})(\frac{k}{\varepsilon} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2k})$$

$$= 2k + 1 + \frac{\varepsilon^{2}}{k} + \frac{\varepsilon^{2}}{2k^{2}}$$

$$\leq 2k + 2,$$

$$u_{k}$$

where we used $\sqrt{1+s^2} \le (s+\frac{1}{2s})$ for s > 0. -k-

We conclude

$$E(u_k) \le 2k - k(d - \varepsilon) + 3 = (2 - d + \varepsilon)k + 3.$$

If d > 2, there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $(2 - d + \varepsilon) < 0$ and we obtain $E(u_k) \to -\infty$ for $k \to \infty$.

(c) For d = 2 we choose the sequence u_k from above and set $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{k}$ for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then the same estimate yields $E(u_k) \leq \varepsilon k + 3 = 4$, which contradicts coerciveness as $||u_k||_{W^{1,1}} \to \infty$. From the final estimate in (a) we deduce $E(u) \geq -1$ if d = 2.

2. Minimisation of General Functionals.

We want to apply Theorem 1.1.1. from the lecture to this functional. Therefore we realize that $H_0^1(\Omega)$ is reflexive and E is coercive because $E(u) = \int_{\Omega} f(x, u, \nabla u) dx \ge \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx$, which tends to ∞ whenever $||u||_{H_0^1} \to \infty$.

What is left to show is that E is weakly sequentially lower semicontinuous. Let $u_m \xrightarrow{w} u$ in $H_0^1(\Omega)$. By considering only the subsequence on which $(E(u_k))_k$ approaches its limes inferior we may assume that $(E(u_k))_k$ is convergent.

Since the embedding $H_0^1(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^2(\Omega)$ is compact, we may extract a subsequence such that $u_k \to u$ converges in $L^2(\Omega)$ which again allows a subsequence converging pointwise almost everywhere. We will use this to conclude Step 2.

Step 1. As $\nabla u_k \xrightarrow{w} \nabla u$ in $L^2(\Omega)$, by Mazur's Lemma (Satz 4.6.2. from the FAI-script), there exist norm-convergent convex linear combinations

$$P_{\ell} = \sum_{m=m_0}^{\ell} a_{\ell}^m \nabla u_m \xrightarrow{\|\cdot\|_{L^2(\Omega)}} \nabla u, \qquad \sum_{m=m_0}^{\ell} a_{\ell}^m = 1, \qquad a_{\ell}^m > 0.$$

We may reduce $(P_{\ell})_{\ell}$ to a subsequence converging pointwise almost everywhere. This makes $(f(x, u, P_{\ell}))_{\ell}$ a sequence of non-negative functions converging pointwise on Ω neglecting a set of measure zero and the Lemma of Fatou applies.

$$\int_{\Omega} f(x, u, \nabla u) \, dx \le \liminf_{\ell \to \infty} \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} f(x, u, P_{\ell}) \, dx \tag{Fatou}$$

$$\leq \liminf_{\ell \to \infty} \sum_{m=m_0}^{\ell} a_{\ell}^m \int_{\Omega} f(x, u, \nabla u_m) \, dx \qquad (\text{convexity})$$

$$\leq \sup_{m \geq m_0} \int_{\Omega} f(x, u, \nabla u_m) dx$$

$$\leq \limsup_{m \to \infty} \int_{\Omega} f(x, u, \nabla u_m) dx. \qquad (m_0 \to \infty)$$

Step 2. (Eisen) The functions $g_m = f(\cdot, u_m, \nabla u_m) - f(\cdot, u, \nabla u_m)$ converge in measure to zero.

To ease notation, the prefix $\{x \in \Omega \mid \ldots\}$ is omitted when specifying sets. Assume, for contradiction, there exists $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that for every *m* in a subsequence $\Lambda_1 \subset \mathbb{N}$

$$0 < \delta \le \left| \left\{ |g_m(x)| \ge \varepsilon_0 \right\} \right|.$$

The weakly convergent sequence ∇u_m is bounded in $L^2(\Omega)$ uniformly with respect to m (Banach-Steinhaus). Therefore, there exists some large b (of order $\delta^{-1/2}$) such that

$$\left|\left\{\left|\nabla u_m(x)\right| \ge b\right\}\right| < \frac{1}{2}\delta$$

for all m, which then implies $\frac{1}{2}\delta < |\Omega_m|$, where

$$\Omega_m := \Big\{ |g_m(x)| \ge \varepsilon_0, \ |\nabla u_m(x)| < b \Big\}.$$

Moreover, since Ω is bounded, the set Q of all $x \in \Omega$ which appear for infinitely many m has positive measure |Q| > 0. Note that

$$Q := \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{\Lambda_1 \ni m \ge n} \Omega_m.$$

It therefore intersects nontrivially with $W = \{u_m(x) \to u(x)\}$, the set of pointwise convergence. Choose $x_0 \in Q \cap W$ and collect $\Lambda_2 = \{m \in \Lambda_1 \mid x_0 \in \Omega_m\}$. By construction, $\nabla u_m(x_0)$ is bounded and therefore converges on a subsequence $\Lambda_3 \subset \Lambda_2$ to some $p \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Since convexity in \mathbb{R}^n implies continuity, we may conclude both

$$f(x_0, u(x_0), \nabla u_m(x_0)) \to f(x_0, u(x_0), p),$$

$$f(x_0, u_m(x_0), \nabla u_m(x_0)) \to f(x_0, u(x_0), p)$$

as $\Lambda_3 \ni m \to \infty$. This finally contradicts $|g_m(x_0)| \ge \varepsilon_0$.

Step 3. Since g_m converges in measure, we can extract a subsequence which converges pointwise almost everywhere. Moreover, by Egorov's Theorem, for every $\delta > 0$ there exists a set Ω_{δ} of measure $|\Omega_{\delta}| < \delta$ such that $g_m(x)$ converges uniformly with respect to $x \in \Omega \setminus \Omega_{\delta}$. In particular, for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there is $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for every $m \ge N$ and every $x \in \Omega \setminus \Omega_{\delta}$

$$f(x, u(x), \nabla u_m(x)) < f(x, u_m(x), \nabla u_m(x)) + \varepsilon$$

Fix $\varepsilon > 0$. Applying the integral estimates from Step 1 in $\Omega \setminus \Omega_{\delta}$ we obtain

$$\int_{\Omega \setminus \Omega_{\delta}} f(x, u, \nabla u) \, dx \leq \limsup_{m \to \infty} \int_{\Omega \setminus \Omega_{\delta}} f(x, u, \nabla u_m) \, dx$$
$$\leq \limsup_{m \to \infty} \int_{\Omega \setminus \Omega_{\delta}} f(x, u_m, \nabla u_m) \, dx + \varepsilon |\Omega|$$
$$\leq \lim_{m \to \infty} E(u_m) + \varepsilon |\Omega|. \qquad (f \ge 0)$$

Finally, for any $\eta > 0$ there exists $\delta > 0$ and a corresponding set Ω_{δ} such that

$$\int_{\Omega_{\delta}} f(x, u, \nabla u) \, dx \le \eta$$

since $f(x, u, \nabla u) \leq C |\nabla u|^2 + C$ is integrable. We conclude

$$E(u) \leq \lim_{m \to \infty} E(u_k) + \varepsilon |\Omega| + \eta$$

for any $\varepsilon, \eta > 0$ which completes the proof.

3. Weak Maximum Principle

(a) Consider the function $\varphi(x) = u_+(x) = \max\{u(x), 0\}$. We have that $\varphi \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ with weak derivative

$$\nabla \varphi(x) = \begin{cases} \nabla u(x) & \text{ if } u \ge 0\\ 0 & \text{ if } u \le 0. \end{cases}$$

Inserting φ into the test equation we get:

$$0 \ge \sum \int_{\Omega} a^{ij} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial u_+}{\partial x_j} dx + \int_{\Omega} cuu_+ dx$$
$$= \sum \int_{\Omega} a^{ij} \frac{\partial u_+}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial u_+}{\partial x_j} dx + \int_{\Omega} cuu_+ dx$$
$$\ge \lambda \|\nabla u_+\|^2 + \int_{\Omega} cuu_+ dx \ge \lambda \|\nabla u_+\|^2$$

where the second-last inequality follows from the uniform positiv definiteness of (a^{ij}) and the last inequality follows from positiveness of c. Therefore $\nabla u_+ = 0$ a.e. and as $u_+ \in H_0^1$, $u_+ = 0$ a.e., so $u \leq 0$ on Ω .

(b) The same calculations as above can be applied to $u + \alpha$, if $\alpha \leq 0$ and the conclusion will hold similarly. We can use this for $\alpha = \inf_{\partial\Omega} u \leq 0$. Then we can as well modify the proof so that for u a weak supersolution with $u \geq 0$ on $\partial\Omega$ we have $u \geq 0$ a.e.