

Exercise sheet 12

1) a) G Lie Group, $X \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(TM)$ left-invariant VF

a) Let $a(t) := \phi_t^X(e)$, i.e. $\dot{a}(t) = X(a(t))$, $a(0) = e$

Claim: $a(s+t) = a(s)a(t)$

pf: Fix s , then define $b(t) := a(s)^{-1} a(s+t)$

then:

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{b}(t) &= \frac{d}{dt} L_{a(s)^{-1}} a(s+t) \\ &= \left(d L_{a(s)^{-1}} \right)_{a(s+t)} \dot{a}(s+t) \\ &= \left(d L_{a(s)^{-1}} \right)_{a(s+t)} X(a(s+t)) \\ &= \left(L_{a(s)^{-1}} \right)_* X(a(s)^{-1} a(s+t)) \\ &= X(b(t)) \end{aligned}$$

since $b(0) = a(s)^{-1} a(s) = e \implies a(t) = b(t) = a(s)^{-1} a(s+t)$.
 \uparrow
 uniqueness of integral curve

b) Let X left-invariant, let $U \subset G$ be small open set of $\phi^X: (-t, t) \times U \rightarrow G$ is def.

Claim: The flow $\phi^X: (-t, t) \times U \rightarrow G$ can be extended

to $\phi^X: (-t, t) \times G \rightarrow G$

pf: if ϕ^X on $(-t, t) \times U$ is defined, then it is also defined on $(-t, t) \times L_g^{-1}(U)$ since

$$\phi^X = \phi \circ L_g^{-1} X = L_g^{-1} \phi_X L_g$$

Thus we can extend ϕ^X on any $g \in G, \tilde{t} \in (-t, t)$

Claim: ϕ^X is defined on $\mathbb{R} \times G$

pf: Suppose that $\exists t \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ s.t. $\phi^X: (-t, t) \times G \rightarrow G$ is defined and t is maximal.

Let $s_1, s_2 < t$, s.t. $s_1 + s_2 > t$ then

$$\phi_{t+s}^X(g) = \phi_t^X(\phi_{s_1}^X(\phi_{s_2}^X(g))) \quad \text{is well def.}$$

$$\Rightarrow \downarrow \Rightarrow \underline{t = +\infty}$$

c) Prop: $\phi_t^{sX} = \phi_{st}^X$

pf: $\frac{d}{dt} \phi_{st}^X(p) = sX(\phi_{st}^X(p))$

since $\left. \frac{d}{dt} \phi_{st}^X(p) \right|_{t=0} = \phi_{s0}^X(p) = p$

\Rightarrow the two integral curves agree.

d) exp: $T_b \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$
 $X \rightarrow \alpha_X(t) = \phi_t^X(p)$

by b) this map is well-defined.

Prop: $\exp(sX) \cdot \exp(tX) = \exp((s+t)X)$

pf: Not:

$$\phi_t^X(p) = L_{g^{-1}} \phi_t^X(p) L_g = g^{-1} \phi_t^X(gp)$$

We have with $g = \phi_s^X(p)$

$$\phi_s^X(p) \cdot \phi_t^X(p) = \phi_t^X(\phi_s^X(p)) \quad (*)$$

Thm:

$$\begin{aligned} \exp((s+t)X) &= \phi_{s+t}^X(p) \\ &= \phi_{s+t}^X(p) \\ &= \phi_t^X(\phi_s^X(p)) \\ &\stackrel{(*)}{=} \phi_s^X(p) \cdot \phi_t^X(p) \\ &= \phi_s^X(p) \cdot \phi_t^X(p) \\ &= \exp(sX) \exp(tX) \end{aligned}$$

\square

Sine: $\psi: \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$
 $z \rightarrow \frac{z-1}{z+1}$

is a given diffeomorphism:

$$S^1 \times \mathbb{D} \xrightarrow{\psi^{-1}} S^1 \times \mathbb{H} \xrightarrow{\phi^{-1}} S^1 \times \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \times \mathbb{R} \xrightarrow{f} SL(2, \mathbb{R})$$

a chain of diffeomorphisms.

d) $\exp: SL(2, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ is not surj.

pf: Consider $B \in SL(2, \mathbb{R})$

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}, \text{ then}$$

$$\det(B - \lambda I) = (a-\lambda)(d-\lambda) - bc = \lambda^2 - \text{tr}(B)\lambda + 1$$

$$\Rightarrow \lambda_1, \lambda_2 = \frac{\text{tr}(B) \pm \sqrt{\text{tr}(B)^2 - 4}}{2}$$

Thm: if $|\text{tr}(B)| < 2 \Rightarrow |\lambda_1| = |\lambda_2| = 1 \Rightarrow B \sim$ rotation

if $|\text{tr}(B)| > 2$: since $\sqrt{\text{tr}(B)^2 - 4} < |\text{tr}(B)|$

\Rightarrow if $\lambda_1 > 0 \Rightarrow \lambda_2 > 0$, if $\lambda_1 < 0 \Rightarrow \lambda_2 < 0$

Now: suppose $\forall B$ s.t. $\lambda_1 < 0, \lambda_2 < 0$, suppose that $\exists A \in sl(2, \mathbb{R})$ s.t. $\exp(A) = B$

now since $\text{tr}(A) = 0$ by Cayley-Hamilton then

$$A^2 = -\det(A) \cdot I$$

i) if $\det(A) = 0 \Rightarrow A^2 = 0 \Rightarrow A \sim \begin{pmatrix} 0 & h \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\exp(A)$ is conjugate to $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & h \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$

i.e. a matrix with only 1 eigenvalue 1.

ii) if $\det(A) > 0$, then the eigenvalues of A are h and $-h$ ($\text{tr} A = 0$!) s.t. $-h^2 > 0 \Rightarrow h$ is purely imaginary with $h = it$

2) a) Let A be a complex (or real) square matrix,

then:

$$\det(\exp(A)) = \exp(\operatorname{tr}(A))$$

pf: This is just a calculation. The solution is:

• at Wikipedia entry (Jacobi's formula for matrices) 😊

It can be solved via an explicit calculation

b) This is totally similar to other matrices - law groups (see previous exercise)

c) Recall that any $g \in \operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ can be written uniquely as

$$g = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta & -\sin \theta \\ \sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} r & 0 \\ 0 & 1/r \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} * \\ * \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} r \cos \theta & x r \cos \theta - (\sin \theta)/r \\ r \sin \theta & x r \sin \theta - (\cos \theta)/r \end{pmatrix}$$

where: $r = \sqrt{a^2 + b^2} > 0$, $\cos \theta = \frac{a}{r}$, $\sin \theta = \frac{c}{r}$

and $x = \frac{ab + cd}{a^2 + c^2}$

By computation we have:

- ① such a decomposition is well defined
- ② it is unique (check!)

Now consider:

$$f: \begin{matrix} S^1 \times \mathbb{R}_{>0} \times \mathbb{R} & \longrightarrow & \operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbb{R}) \\ (\theta, r, x) & \longrightarrow & \begin{pmatrix} * \\ * \end{pmatrix} \end{matrix}$$

This map is clearly smooth + inj + surj.

$\Rightarrow f$ is a diffeo

Now we have an isomorphism $f: \mathbb{R}_{>0} \times \mathbb{R} \cong \mathbb{H} = \{ z \in \mathbb{C} \mid \operatorname{Im} z > 0 \}$

$$(a, b) \longmapsto b + i \cdot a$$

thus $\exp(A)$ has eigenvalues e^{it}, e^{-it}

(ii) if $\det A \neq 0$ the A has two eigenvalues $\lambda, -\lambda$ with $-\lambda < 0$
then the eigenvalues of $\exp(A)$ are $e^\lambda, e^{-\lambda} > 0$.

\Rightarrow this we have a contradiction!

VIEL ERFOLG !

