

# Mathematical Foundations For Finance

## Exercise Sheet 7

Please hand in by Wednesday, 05/11/2014, 13:00, into the assistant's box next to office HG E 65.2.

**Exercise 7-1.** Let  $(\tilde{S}^0, \tilde{S}^1)$  be a binomial model with  $\tilde{S}_0^1 := 1$  and  $u > r > d > -1$ . Denote by  $(\hat{S}^0, \hat{S}^1)$  the market discounted with  $\tilde{S}^1$ , i.e.

$$\hat{S}^0 := \frac{\tilde{S}^0}{\tilde{S}^1} \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{S}^1 := \frac{\tilde{S}^1}{\tilde{S}^1} \equiv 1.$$

- (a) Show that there exists a unique equivalent martingale measure  $\mathbb{Q}^{**}$  for  $\hat{S}^0$ .
- (b) Let  $\mathbb{Q}^*$  be the unique equivalent martingale measure for  $S^1$ . Show that the density of  $\mathbb{Q}^{**}$  with respect to  $\mathbb{Q}^*$  on  $\mathcal{F}_T$  is given by

$$\frac{d\mathbb{Q}^{**}}{d\mathbb{Q}^*} = S_T^1.$$

*Hint.* Use Corollary 2.1.4 in the lecture notes.

- (c) Show that for an *undiscounted* payoff  $\tilde{H} \in L_+^0(\mathcal{F}_T)$  we have

$$\tilde{S}_k^0 \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}^*} \left[ \frac{\tilde{H}}{\tilde{S}_T^0} \middle| \mathcal{F}_k \right] = \tilde{S}_k^1 \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}^{**}} \left[ \frac{\tilde{H}}{\tilde{S}_T^1} \middle| \mathcal{F}_k \right], \quad k = 0, \dots, T.$$

This formula shows that the risk-neutral pricing method is invariant under a so-called *change of numéraire*.

*Hint.* Use Bayes' formula (Lemma 2.3.1 2) in the lecture notes).

**Exercise 7-2.** An *American option* with maturity  $T$  and payoff process  $U = (U_k)_{k=0, \dots, T}$ , where  $U$  is an adapted process, is a contract between buyer and seller where the buyer has the right to stop the contract at any time  $0 \leq k \leq T$  and then to receive the (discounted) payoff  $U_k$ . The buyer is allowed to choose as exercise time for the option any stopping time with values in  $\{0, \dots, T\}$ . The goal of this exercise is to analyze the corresponding *arbitrage-free price* of an American option. With some effort, one can show that the *arbitrage-free price process*  $\bar{V} = (\bar{V}_k)_{k=0, \dots, T}$  for an American option can be expressed by the backward recursive scheme

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{V}_T &= U_T, \\ \bar{V}_k &= \max \{U_k, \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}} [\bar{V}_{k+1} | \mathcal{F}_k]\} \quad \text{for } k = 0, \dots, T-1, \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where  $\mathbb{Q}$  is an equivalent martingale measure for the considered market.

- (a) Give an economic argument why (1) is a reasonable.
- (b) Show that  $\bar{V}$  is the smallest  $\mathbb{Q}$ -supermartingale dominating  $U$ , i.e., show that
- $\bar{V}$  is a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -supermartingale such that  $\bar{V}_k \geq U_k$   $\mathbb{P}$ -a.s. for all  $k = 0, \dots, T$ .
  - if  $V'$  is a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -supermartingale such that  $V'_k \geq U_k$   $\mathbb{P}$ -a.s. for all  $k = 0, \dots, T$ , then  $V'_k \geq \bar{V}_k$   $\mathbb{P}$ -a.s. for all  $k = 0, \dots, T$ .

(c) Assume now that  $r > 0$  so that the bank account is strictly increasing.

- (i) Show that in the *put option* case, i.e.,  $U_j = \frac{1}{(1+r)^j} (\tilde{K} - \tilde{S}_j^1)^+$ , the price of an American option at time 0 is greater than the price of a European option, for large enough strikes  $\tilde{K}$ , i.e.,

$$\bar{V}_0 > V_0^{\tilde{P}_T^{\tilde{K}}},$$

for  $\tilde{K}$  large enough, where  $V_0^{\tilde{P}_T^{\tilde{K}}}$  denotes the discounted price at time 0 of a European put option with maturity  $T$  and strike price  $\tilde{K}$ .

- (ii) Show that in the *call option* case, i.e.,  $U_j = \frac{1}{(1+r)^j} (\tilde{S}_j^1 - \tilde{K})^+$ , the price of the American call option and the European call option coincide. This means, show that

$$\bar{V}_0 = V_0^{\tilde{C}_T^{\tilde{K}}},$$

where  $V_0^{\tilde{C}_T^{\tilde{K}}}$  denotes the price at time 0 of an European call option with maturity  $T$  and strike price  $\tilde{K}$ .

**Exercise 7-3.** We consider an American option with maturity  $T$  and payoff process  $Z = (Z_k)_{k=0, \dots, T}$  on a complete market with pricing measure  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Assume  $Z$  is adapted (or consider the filtration generated by the payoff process). We want to prove that the price process of the American option is indeed given by the process  $U = (U_k)_{k=0, \dots, T}$  defined as follows :

$$\begin{aligned} U_T &= Z_T \\ U_k &= \max(Z_k, \mathbb{E}[U_{k+1} | \mathcal{F}_k]), \text{ for } k \in \{0, 1, \dots, T-1\}. \end{aligned}$$

This process is called the Snell envelope of  $Z$ . It is the smallest supermartingale that dominates the process  $Z$  as proved in Exercise 7-2.

- (a) Define the random variable  $\sigma_0 = \inf\{n \geq 0 \mid U_n = Z_n\}$ . Prove that it is a stopping time for the filtration generated by the payoff process  $Z$ .
- (b) Prove that the stopped process  $(U_{k \wedge \sigma_0})_{k \in \{0, 1, \dots, T\}}$  is a martingale.
- (c) Define for  $k \in \{0, 1, \dots, T\}$  the set  $\mathcal{T}_{k, T}$  of all stopping times taking values in  $\{k, k+1, \dots, T\}$ . Prove that  $\sigma_0$  satisfies :

$$U_0 = \mathbb{E}[Z_{\sigma_0} \mid \mathcal{F}_0] = \sup_{\tau \in \mathcal{T}_{0, T}} \mathbb{E}[Z_{\sigma_0} \mid \mathcal{F}_0]$$

and more generally :

$$U_k = \mathbb{E}[Z_{\sigma_k} \mid \mathcal{F}_k] = \sup_{\tau \in \mathcal{T}_{k, T}} \mathbb{E}[Z_{\sigma_k} \mid \mathcal{F}_k] \text{ for } k \in \{0, 1, \dots, T\},$$

where :  $\sigma_k = \inf\{n \geq k \mid U_n = Z_n\}$ .

**Exercise 7-4.** In this exercise we want to compare the price of a European call option and an American call option over time (and verify that the price process of these two options are indeed the same). We consider a binomial model with  $T = 4$  periods,  $S_0^1 = 100$ ,  $K = 80$ ,  $u = -d = 0.1$  and  $r = 0$ .

- (a) Simulate the binomial market price tree and compute the option prices at each node as well as the replicating strategy.

**Please see next sheet!**

## Mathematical Foundations For Finance

- (b) Modify your code to compute the price of a European and an American put option over time as well as their replicating strategies. What do you observe ?

*For further information please see*

`www.math.ethz.ch/education/bachelor/lectures/hs2014/math/mff/` and  
`www.math.ethz.ch/assistant_groups/gr3/praesenz.`