

**SOLUTIONS TO SELECTED EXERCISES: SHEET 4**

EXERCISE 2

(a): First assume that  $w$  is an eigenvector of  $H$ , then:

$$HXw = XHw + [H, X]w = \lambda Xw + 2Xw = (\lambda + 2)Xw$$

so  $Xw$  is an eigenvector of  $H$  for eigenvalue  $\lambda + 2$ . Maximality of  $\Re\lambda$  implies  $Xw = 0$ . Now assume that  $X(H - \lambda)^m w = 0$  for some  $m \geq 1$ , then:

$$HX(H - \lambda)^{m-1}w = (\lambda + 2)X(H - \lambda)^{m-1}w$$

implying that  $X(H - \lambda)^{m-1}w = 0$  by maximality. This proves the general case.

(b): We claim that  $Yw$  is a generalized eigenvector for the eigenvalue  $\lambda - 2$  and if  $w$  is an eigenvector, so is  $Yw$ . Note that  $H^r Y = Y(H - 2)^r$  for all  $r \geq 0$ . Hence the binomial formula implies:

$$(H - (\lambda - 2))^m Y = Y(H - \lambda)^m$$

If we choose  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $(H - \lambda)^m w$  is an eigenvector for eigenvalue  $\lambda$  of  $H$ , then this yields

$$H(H - (\lambda - 2))^m Yw = (\lambda - 2)(H - (\lambda - 2))^m Yw$$

as desired. As  $V$  is finite dimensional,  $H$  has only finitely many distinct eigenvalues and thus there exists  $N$  such that  $Y^N w = 0$ .

(c): Let  $W := \text{span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{w_0, \dots, w_n\}$ . It is clear that  $Yw_i \in W$  by assumption on  $n$  and thus linearity implies  $YW \subseteq W$ . Part (b) shows that  $w_i$  is an eigenvector of  $H$  for every  $0 \leq i \leq n$ , hence  $HW \subseteq W$ . We know that  $Xw_0 \in W$ . Assume that  $XY^k w \in W$ , then:

$$XY^{k+1}w = \underbrace{YXY^k w}_{\in W} + \underbrace{HY^k w}_{\in W} \in W$$

hence  $XW \subseteq W$  follows inductively. Thus  $W$  is  $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})$ -invariant and irreducibility yields  $W = V$ . The  $w_i$  are linearly independent, as they are eigenvectors for  $H$  for distinct eigenvalues. It follows easily by induction that  $XY^k w = k(\lambda - k + 1)Y^{k-1}w$ , where  $0Y^{-1}w := 0$ , hence for  $r_k := k(\lambda - k + 1)$  holds:

$$H \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & & & \\ & \lambda - 2 & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & \ddots \end{pmatrix}$$

$$Y \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & & \\ & 0 & 1 & \\ & & & \ddots \\ & & & & \ddots \end{pmatrix}$$

$$X \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & & \\ r_1 & 0 & & \\ & r_2 & 0 & \\ & & & \ddots \end{pmatrix}$$

(d): Let  $n$  as in part (c), then the above calculation shows:

$$0 = (n+1)(\lambda - n)Y^n w$$

and as  $Y^n w \neq 0$ , it follows  $\lambda = n$ . In particular, the eigenvalues of  $H$  are given by  $\{n, n-2, \dots, -n+2, -n\}$ . The uniqueness of the irreducible representation of dimension  $n+1$  follows, as it is completely determined by  $H$  (as we have proven in parts (a) to (c)).

### EXERCISE 3

Let  $G \subseteq \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{R})$  a closed subgroup with Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ , then we can find an open neighborhood of the origin  $V \subseteq \mathfrak{g}$  such that  $\exp(V) \subseteq G$  is an open neighbourhood of the identity and  $\exp|_V$  is a diffeomorphism onto its image, whose inverse we denote  $\log$ . Let  $U \subseteq V$  an open neighbourhood of the origin such that  $\exp(U)\exp(U) \subseteq \exp(V)$  and denote:

$$X * Y := \log(\exp(X)\exp(Y)) \quad \forall X, Y \in U$$

This is a smooth product structure on  $U$ . Recall the Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff formula:

**Theorem 0.1.** *There is a sequence of homogeneous Lie polynomials  $Z_n \in \mathbb{R}[x, y]$  of degree  $\deg Z_n = n$  such that:*

$$X * Y = \sum_{n \geq 1} Z_n(X, Y) \quad \forall X, Y \in U$$

If  $\mathfrak{g}$  is nilpotent, then there is  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $Z_n = 0$  for all  $n > N$ . The map:

$$* : \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}, \quad (X, Y) \mapsto X * Y := \sum_{n=1}^N Z_n(X, Y)$$

is clearly smooth and extends  $*$  as defined on  $U \times U$ . We need to show that  $\mathfrak{g}$  becomes a group under this definition of the product. First of all  $0 \in \mathfrak{g}$  indeed becomes an identity element, as the inductive formula for  $Z_n$  obtained in class:

$$\begin{aligned} Z_1 &= X + Y \\ (n+1)Z_{n+1} &= \frac{1}{2}[X - Y, Z_n] \\ &\quad + \sum_{\substack{p \geq 1 \\ 2p \leq n}} k_{2p} \sum_{\substack{r_i \geq 1 \\ r_1 + \dots + r_{2p} = n}} [Z_{r_1}, [Z_{r_2}, \dots [Z_{r_{2p}}, X + Y] \dots]] \end{aligned}$$

If  $X = 0$  or  $Y = 0$ , then the above formulae yield  $Z_2 = 0$  immediately. Assume that  $n \geq 2$  and  $Z_2, \dots, Z_n = 0$ , then the above formula yields  $(n+1)Z_{n+1} = 0$ . Let  $n \geq r_1, \dots, r_k \geq 1$ , then:

$$[Z_{r_1}, \dots [Z_{r_k}, X + Y] \dots] = 0$$

If  $r_i \neq 1$  for some  $i$ , this follows from the assumption. Otherwise  $Z_{r_k} = Z_1 = X + Y$  and hence  $[X + Y, X + Y] = 0$  implies that the above expression vanishes. In particular:

$$\sum_{\substack{r_i \geq 1 \\ r_1 + \dots + r_{2p} = n}} [Z_{r_1}, [Z_{r_2}, \dots [Z_{r_{2p}}, X + Y] \dots]] = 0 \quad \forall p \geq 1 : 2p \leq n$$

and thus  $0 * X = X * 0 = X$  for all  $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ . For existence of inversion we note that  $Z_1(-X, X) = 0$  and hence induction again implies that  $Z_n(-X, X) = 0$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Hence  $(\mathfrak{g}, *)$  is a group and the group operations are obviously smooth. On a neighbourhood of  $0 \in \mathfrak{g}$ , the exponential map  $X \mapsto \exp(X)$  is a diffeomorphism, hence  $d_0 \exp$  is an isomorphism of vector spaces  $T_0 \mathfrak{g}$  and  $T_1 G$ . Furthermore we note

that for  $X, Y \in U$  holds  $\exp(X * Y) = \exp(X) \exp(Y)$ , i.e. on a neighbourhood of  $0 \in \mathfrak{g}$ ,  $\exp$  is an isomorphism of groups. We claim that hence the Lie algebra of  $G$  and of  $\mathfrak{g}$  agree. More generally we prove the following generalization of the result shown in class:

**Proposition 0.2.** *Let  $G, H$  Lie groups and  $\phi : G \rightarrow H$  smooth such that on a neighbourhood  $U$  of  $1 \in G$  holds:*

$$\phi(gh) = \phi(g)\phi(h) \quad \forall g, h \in U$$

*Then  $d_1\phi$  is a homomorphism of Lie algebras.*

*Proof.* Let  $v \in T_1G$  and  $w := d\phi v$ . Denote by  $V \in \mathfrak{g}$  and  $W \in \mathfrak{h}$  the corresponding left invariant vector fields. Let  $f \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(H)$ , then:

$$(l_g^* \circ \phi^*)(f) = (\phi^* \circ l_{\phi(g)}^*)(f) \quad \forall g \in U$$

on  $U$  by assumption. Hence:

$$W_{\phi(g)}(f) = w(f \circ l_{\phi(g)}) = v(f \circ \phi \circ l_g) = V_g(f \circ \phi) \quad \forall g \in U$$

As  $f$  was arbitrary,  $W \circ \phi = d\phi V$  on  $U$ , i.e. as vector fields on  $U$  they are  $\phi$ -related. Hence if  $r \in T_1G$ ,  $s := d\phi r \in T_1H$  and  $R, S$  the corresponding left-invariant vector fields, then:

$$[d_1\phi v, d_1\phi r] = [W, S] \circ \phi(1) = (d\phi[V, R])_1 = d_1\phi[V, R]_1 = d_1\phi[v, r]$$

by definition. □

Now we can apply the cited theorem to deduce that  $G \cong \mathfrak{g}$  where the latter is equipped with the  $*$ -operation.