

## Solution sheet 6

1. Set  $\Gamma := SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$  and let  $\alpha \in GL_2^+(\mathbb{Q})$ .

a) Show that the subgroup  $\Gamma_1 := \alpha^{-1}\Gamma\alpha \cap \Gamma$  is a congruence subgroup.

**Proof:** It is enough to show that  $\alpha^{-1}\Gamma\alpha$  contains a principal subgroup. Choose a large enough positive integer  $N$  such that  $N\alpha, N\alpha^{-1} \in M_2(\mathbb{Z})$ . We claim that  $\Gamma(N^2) \subset \alpha^{-1}\Gamma\alpha$ . Any  $\gamma \in \Gamma(N^2)$  is of the form  $\text{id} + N^2g$  for some  $g \in M_2(\mathbb{Z})$  and hence

$$\alpha\gamma\alpha^{-1} = \text{id} + (N\alpha)g(N\alpha^{-1})$$

has integer entries and determinant 1 so that  $\alpha\gamma\alpha^{-1} \in \Gamma$ . This shows that  $\alpha\Gamma(N^2)\alpha^{-1} \subset \Gamma$  and, equivalently,  $\Gamma(N^2) \subset \alpha^{-1}\Gamma\alpha$ .

b) Show that for any  $f, g \in S_k(\Gamma)$  we have that  $f|_k\alpha, g|_k\alpha \in S_k(\Gamma_1)$  and that

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \langle f|_k\alpha, g|_k\alpha \rangle,$$

where the latter inner product is with respect to  $\Gamma_1$ .

**Proof:**

Consider any  $\tau \in \Gamma_1$ . Then there exists  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  such that  $\tau = \alpha^{-1}\gamma\alpha$  and hence

$$(f|_k\alpha)|_k\tau = f|_k(\alpha\tau) = f|_k(\gamma\alpha) = (f|_k\gamma)|_k\alpha = f|_k\alpha$$

since  $f|_k\gamma = f$  by assumption. In order to see the second assertion let  $\Gamma_2 := \Gamma \cap \alpha\Gamma\alpha^{-1}$  so that  $\alpha^{-1}\Gamma_2\alpha = \Gamma_1$ . Let  $\mathcal{F}_2$  be a fundamental domain for  $\Gamma_2$ . Then  $\alpha^{-1}\mathcal{F}_2$  is a fundamental domain for  $\Gamma_1$ . Setting  $\overline{\Gamma_1} := \{\pm \text{id}\}\Gamma_1 \subset \Gamma$  and denoting by  $\mathcal{F}$  the standard fundamental domain for  $\Gamma$  we thus get

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f|_k\alpha, g|_k\alpha \rangle &:= \frac{1}{|SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) : \overline{\Gamma_1}|} \int \int_{\alpha^{-1}\mathcal{F}_2} (f|_k\alpha)(z) \overline{(g|_k\alpha)(z)} y^k \frac{dx dy}{y^2} \\ &= \frac{1}{|SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) : \overline{\Gamma_1}|} \int \int_{\mathcal{F}_2} f(z) \overline{g(z)} y^k \frac{dx dy}{y^2} \\ &= \frac{1}{|SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) : \overline{\Gamma_2}|} \int \int_{\mathcal{F}_2} f(z) \overline{g(z)} y^k \frac{dx dy}{y^2} \\ &= \int \int_{\mathcal{F}} f(z) \overline{g(z)} y^k \frac{dx dy}{y^2} \\ &= \langle f, g \rangle \end{aligned}$$

by the invariance of the inner product and since

$$|SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) : \overline{\Gamma_1}| = |SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) : \overline{\alpha^{-1}\Gamma_2\alpha}| = |SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) : \overline{\Gamma_2}|.$$

2. Let  $\Gamma = \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ , fix  $\alpha \in \mathrm{GL}_2^+(\mathbb{Q})$  and set  $\Gamma_1 := \alpha^{-1}\Gamma\alpha \cap \Gamma$ .

- a) Consider the double coset  $\Gamma\alpha\Gamma$  in  $\mathrm{GL}_2^+(\mathbb{Q})$ . There is a natural group action by  $\Gamma$  on  $\Gamma\alpha\Gamma$  by left matrix multiplication. Show that the assignment

$$\Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma\alpha\Gamma, \quad \gamma \mapsto \alpha\gamma$$

yields a one-to-one correspondence between  $\Gamma \backslash \Gamma\alpha\Gamma$  and  $\Gamma_1 \backslash \Gamma$ . By Part 1a), it follows then that the orbit space  $\Gamma \backslash \Gamma\alpha\Gamma$  is finite.

**Proof:**

The assignment  $\gamma \mapsto \alpha\gamma$  induces a surjective map from  $\Gamma$  to  $\Gamma \backslash \Gamma\alpha\Gamma$ . Consider moreover any  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \Gamma$  such that  $\Gamma\alpha\gamma_1 = \Gamma\alpha\gamma_2$ . Then we have

$$\gamma_1\gamma_2^{-1} \in \alpha^{-1}\Gamma\alpha \cap \Gamma = \Gamma_1$$

as needed.

Let the slash operator for  $\mathrm{GL}_2^+(\mathbb{Q})$  be defined as

$$f|_k[\gamma](z) = (\det \gamma)^{k-1} j(\gamma, z)^{-k} f(\gamma z)$$

for any  $\gamma \in \mathrm{GL}_2^+(\mathbb{Q})$ . Let  $f \in \mathcal{M}_k(\Gamma)$ . Consider the double coset slash operator given by

$$f|_k[\Gamma\alpha\Gamma] := \sum_{[\gamma] \in \Gamma \backslash \Gamma\alpha\Gamma} f|_k[\gamma].$$

- b) Check that the above double coset operator is well-defined. Then show that the assignment  $f \mapsto f|_k[\Gamma\alpha\Gamma]$  defines an operator

$$\mathcal{M}_k(\Gamma) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_k(\Gamma), \quad \text{resp.} \quad \mathcal{S}_k(\Gamma) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_k(\Gamma).$$

**Proof:**

Consider any complete sets of representatives  $R$  and  $R'$  for  $\Gamma \backslash \Gamma\alpha\Gamma$  and any  $f \in \mathcal{M}_k(\Gamma)$ . In order to see that the operator is well defined we need to show that

$$\sum_{\gamma \in R} f|_k\gamma = \sum_{\gamma' \in R'} f|_k\gamma'.$$

For any  $\gamma \in R$  there exist unique  $\gamma' \in R'$  and  $\sigma \in \Gamma$  such that  $\gamma = \sigma\gamma'$ . Since  $f \in \mathcal{M}_k(\Gamma)$ , we have

$$f|_k\gamma = f|_k(\sigma\gamma') = (f|_k\sigma)|_k\gamma' = f|_k\gamma'$$

from which the equality of the sums follows.

Moreover, for any  $g \in \Gamma$  the set  $Rg$  is again a complete set of representatives for  $\Gamma \backslash \Gamma\alpha\Gamma$ . From this and the previously shown statement we conclude that

$$(f|_k[\Gamma\alpha\Gamma])|_kg = f|_k[\Gamma\alpha\Gamma]$$

for any  $g \in \Gamma$ . Finally, it is immediately checked that any summand of  $f|_k[\Gamma\alpha\Gamma]$  is holomorphic at all cusps and vanishes at all cusps if  $f$  does. From this the exercise follows.

3. We set  $\Gamma := SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$  and let  $k$  be any integer. Let  $p$  be a prime number and consider

$$\alpha_p := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & p \end{pmatrix} \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z}).$$

The  $p$ 'th Hecke operator of weight  $k$  is the operator  $T_p : M_k(\Gamma) \rightarrow M_k(\Gamma)$  that sends  $f \in M_k(\Gamma)$  to  $T_p(f) := p^{\frac{k}{2}-1} f|_k[\Gamma\alpha_p\Gamma]$ .

a) Show that

$$\Gamma\alpha_p\Gamma = \{\alpha \in GL_2(\mathbb{Z}) \mid \det \alpha = p\} = \bigcup_{j=0}^{p-1} \Gamma \begin{pmatrix} 1 & j \\ 0 & p \end{pmatrix} \cup \Gamma \begin{pmatrix} p & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

and conclude that

$$T_p(f)(\tau) = \frac{1}{p} \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} f\left(\frac{\tau+j}{p}\right) + p^{k-1} f(p\tau)$$

for any  $f \in M_k(\Gamma)$ . This shows that the definition of the Hecke operator  $T_p$  given here agrees with the one given in class.

**Proof:**

By a Lemma shown in the lecture, a complete set of representatives for the action of  $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$  on  $\{\alpha \in M_2(\mathbb{Z}) \mid \det \alpha = p\}$  is given by

$$R := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \mid ad = p, 0 \leq b < d \right\} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & j \\ 0 & p \end{pmatrix} \mid 0 \leq j < p \right\} \cup \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} p & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}.$$

Moreover, we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} p & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = -S \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & p \end{pmatrix} S \in \Gamma\alpha_p\Gamma$$

and

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & j \\ 0 & p \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & p \end{pmatrix} T^j \in \Gamma\alpha_p\Gamma.$$

From this the first equality follows. Finally,

$$\begin{aligned} (T_p f)(\tau) &= p^{\frac{k}{2}-1} \sum_{\gamma \in R} f|_k \gamma(\tau) \\ &= p^{\frac{k}{2}-1} \sum_{j=1}^{p-1} f|_k \begin{pmatrix} 1 & j \\ 0 & p \end{pmatrix}(\tau) + p^{\frac{k}{2}-1} f|_k \begin{pmatrix} p & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}(\tau) \\ &= p^{\frac{k}{2}-1} \sum_{j=1}^{p-1} p^{\frac{k}{2}} (0\tau + p)^{-k} f\left(\frac{\tau+j}{p}\right) + p^{\frac{k}{2}-1} p^{\frac{k}{2}} (0\tau + 1)^{-k} f(p\tau) \\ &= \frac{1}{p} \sum_{j=1}^{p-1} f\left(\frac{\tau+j}{p}\right) + p^{k-1} f(p\tau). \end{aligned}$$

b) For any any positive integer  $m$  and any  $f = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n q^n \in M_k(\Gamma)$  consider

$$V_m(f) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n q^{mn}$$

and

$$U_m(f) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_m n q^n.$$

Show that

$$T_p(f) = U_p(f) + p^{k-1} V_p(f) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n q^n,$$

where  $b_n := a_{pn} + p^{k-1} a_{\frac{n}{p}}$  and  $a_{\frac{n}{p}} := 0$  if  $p$  does not divide  $n$ .

**Proof:**

We have

$$f(m\tau) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n q^{mn} = V_m f(\tau)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} f\left(\frac{\tau+j}{m}\right) &= \frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n q^{\frac{n}{m}} e^{2\pi i j \frac{n}{m}} \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n q^{\frac{n}{m}} \left( \frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} e^{2\pi i j \frac{n}{m}} \right) = \sum_{m|n} a_n q^{\frac{n}{m}} = U_m f(\tau), \end{aligned}$$

where we have used that

$$\frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} e^{2\pi i j \frac{n}{m}} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } m|n \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

By Part a) we thus get

$$\begin{aligned} (T_p f)(\tau) &= \frac{1}{p} \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} f\left(\frac{\tau+j}{p}\right) + p^{k-1} f(p\tau) = U_p f(\tau) + p^{k-1} V_p f(\tau) \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{pn} q^n + p^{k-1} \sum_{\substack{n \geq 0 \\ p|n}} a_{\frac{n}{p}} q^n, \end{aligned}$$

from which the Exercise follows.

c) Show that

$$1 - T_p X + p^{k-1} X^2 = (1 - U_p X)(1 - p^{k-1} V_p X)$$

where both sides are regarded as polynomials in the variable  $X$  with coefficients in the Hecke algebra  $\mathcal{H}$  which operates on the  $\mathbb{C}$ -subspace of  $\mathbb{C}[[q]]$  formed by the  $q$ -expansions of elements  $f \in M_k$ .

Prove that the following formal identities hold:

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} T_n n^{-s} = \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{k-1} V_n n^{-s} \right) \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} U_n n^{-s} \right) \quad T_n = \sum_{d|n} d^{k-1} V_d U_{\frac{n}{d}}$$

**Proof:**

It is immediately checked that  $U_p V_p = \text{id}$ . Using Part b) we thus get

$$\begin{aligned} 1 - T_p X + p^{k-1} X^2 &= 1 - (U_p + p^{k-1} V_p) X + p^{k-1} X^2 \\ &= 1 - U_p X - p^{k-1} V_p X + p^{k-1} U_p V_p X^2 \\ &= (1 - U_p X)(1 - p^{k-1} V_p X). \end{aligned}$$

Since the association  $n \mapsto T_n$  is multiplicative, we may use the first Exercise of Sheet 5 to get that formally

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} T_n n^{-s} = \prod_{p \in \mathbb{P}} \prod_{n \geq 1} \frac{T_p^n}{p^{sn}} = \prod_{p \in \mathbb{P}} (1 - T_p p^{-s} + p^{k-1-2s})^{-1},$$

where the second equality is checked via a straightforward computation which uses that  $T_{p^r} = T_p T_{p^{r-1}} - p^{k-1} T_{p^{r-2}}$  for any integer  $r \geq 2$ . We moreover have that

$$1 - T_p p^{-s} + p^{k-1-2s} = (1 - p^{k-1} V_p p^{-s})^{-1} (1 - U_p p^{-s})$$

by Part b). As is immediately checked, the associations  $n \mapsto U_n$  and  $n \mapsto n^{k-1} V_n$  are completely multiplicative. Exercise 1 of Sheet 5 thus implies that

$$\prod_{p \in \mathbb{P}} (1 - p^{k-1} V_p p^{-s})^{-1} \prod_{p \in \mathbb{P}} (1 - U_p p^{-s})^{-1} = \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{k-1} V_n n^{-s} \right) \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} U_n n^{-s} \right).$$

We therefore get the desired formal identity

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} T_n n^{-s} = \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{k-1} V_n n^{-s} \right) \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} U_n n^{-s} \right).$$

Finally, we write the right hand side of the above equation in terms of one sum and get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} T_n n^{-s} &= \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{k-1} V_n n^{-s} \right) \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} U_n n^{-s} \right) = \sum_{n, m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}} n^{k-1} V_n U_m (nm)^{-s} \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m|n} \left( \frac{n}{m} \right)^{k-1} V_{\frac{n}{m}} U_m n^{-s} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{d|n} d^{k-1} V_d U_{\frac{n}{d}} \right) n^{-s}, \end{aligned}$$

where in the second last equality we have replaced  $nm$  by  $n$  and the condition  $m > 0$  by  $m|n$ . This yields the second formal identity.

4. a) Let  $k \geq 4$  be an even integer and let  $d$  be the  $\mathbb{C}$ -dimension of  $S_k(SL_2(\mathbb{Z}))$ . Choose any non-negative integers  $a, b$  such that  $12 \neq 4a + 6b \leq 14$  and  $4a + 6b = k \pmod{12}$ . For each  $1 \leq j \leq d$ , define

$$f_j := \Delta^j E_6^{2(d-j)+b} E_4^a = \sum_{1 \leq n < \infty} a_n^{(j)} q^n,$$

where  $\Delta$  is the normalized discriminant function and  $E_4$  and  $E_6$  are the normalized Eisenstein series of weight 4 respectively 6. Verify that  $a_n^{(j)} = 0$  for  $n < j$  and  $a_j^{(j)} = 1$ . Conclude that the  $f_j$  form a basis for  $S_k(SL_2(\mathbb{Z}))$ . This basis is called the *Miller basis*. Show moreover, that a modular form in  $S_k(SL_2(\mathbb{Z}))$  has integral Fourier coefficients if and only if it is a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -linear combination of the Miller basis.

**Proof:**

Let  $\Delta = \sum_{0 \leq n < \infty} a_n q^n$  and  $E_4 = \sum_{0 \leq n < \infty} b_n q^n$  and  $E_6 = \sum_{0 \leq n < \infty} c_n q^n$  denote the Fourier expansions of the respective forms. We know that  $a_0 = 0$  and  $a_1 = b_0 = c_0 = 1$ . From this we see that  $a_n^{(j)} = 0$  for any  $n < j$  and  $a_j^{(j)} = 1$ . This implies that the  $f_j$ 's are  $\mathbb{C}$ -linearly independent. Moreover, the weight of any of the  $f_j$  is

$$12j + 12(d - j) + 4a + 6b = 12d + 4a + 6b$$

which we claim is  $k$  so that indeed  $f_j \in S_k(\Gamma)$  for any  $1 \leq j \leq d$ . By a theorem shown in the lecture, we have  $d = \lfloor \frac{k}{12} \rfloor - 1$  if  $k = 2 \pmod{12}$  and  $d = \lfloor \frac{k}{12} \rfloor$  otherwise. Using that

$$\lfloor \frac{k}{12} \rfloor = \frac{k - 4a - 6b}{12} + \lfloor \frac{4a + 6b}{12} \rfloor$$

and that  $12 \neq 4a + 6b \leq 14$ , the claim thus follows.

Let us turn to the second assertion of this exercise. By construction, any of the  $f_j$  has integral Fourier coefficients. In order to see the converse, we use Gaussian elimination to find a basis  $g_1, \dots, g_d$  of  $S_k(\Gamma)$  such that for any  $1 \leq i, j \leq d$  the  $i$ 'th Fourier coefficient of  $g_j$  is  $\delta_{i,j}$ . Then the transformation matrix from the basis  $f_1, \dots, f_d$  to the basis  $g_1, \dots, g_d$  has integral coefficients and determinant 1. Consequently, any of the  $g_j$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -linear combination of the  $f_j$ . Consider now any  $f \in S_k(\Gamma)$  with integral coefficients. By construction of the  $g_j$ , we have that  $f$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -linear combination of the  $g_j$ . Hence  $f$  is also a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -linear combination of the  $f_j$  as desired.

- b) Let  $f$  be a normalized Hecke eigenform for  $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ . Show that the Fourier coefficients of  $f$  are algebraic integers.

**Proof:**

Since  $f$  is a normalized Hecke eigenfunction, its Fourier coefficients are eigenvalues of Hecke operators. We thus show that the eigenvalues of the  $n$ 'th Hecke operator  $T_n$  are algebraic integers for any integer  $n \geq 1$ . Let  $k$  denote the weight of  $f$  and let  $f_1, \dots, f_d$  denote the Miller basis for  $S_k(\Gamma)$ . By construction, any of the  $f_j$  has integral coefficients. Thus also  $T_n(f_j)$  has integral coefficients by the explicit formula shown in the class for

the coefficients of  $T_n(f_j)$  in terms of the coefficients of  $f_j$ . By Part a),  $T_n(f_j)$  is thus a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -linear combination of the  $f_1, \dots, f_d$ . Hence the matrix representing  $T_n$  with respect to the Miller basis has entries in  $\mathbb{Z}$ . Consequently, the characteristic polynomial of  $T_n$  is monic with coefficients in  $\mathbb{Z}$ . The eigenvalues of  $T_n$  are therefore indeed algebraic integers.