

## Solution 9

1. a) We proceed by induction on  $m$ . For  $m = 0$  write  $a_0 = |a_0|e^{iw}$  with  $0 \leq w < 2\pi$ . Set  $b_0 = \log |a_0| + iw$ . It is immediate that  $\exp(b_0) = a_0$ . Assume now the statement to be true for some  $m \geq 0$ . We have that

$$\exp\left(\sum_{k=0}^m b_k s^k\right) = \sum_{k=0}^m \frac{a_k}{k!} s^k + O(s^{m+1}).$$

It follows then that there exists  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$  such that

$$\exp\left(\sum_{k=0}^m b_k s^k\right) = \sum_{k=0}^m \frac{a_k}{k!} s^k + O(s^{m+1}) = \sum_{k=0}^m \frac{a_k}{k!} s^k + \alpha s^{m+1} + O(s^{m+2}).$$

For  $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$  we have, using the Taylor expansion of the exponential series, that

$$\exp(\beta s^{m+1}) = 1 + \beta s^{m+1} + O(s^{2m+2}). \quad (1)$$

Hence,

$$\exp\left(\sum_{k=0}^m b_k s^k + \beta s^{m+1}\right) = \exp\left(\sum_{k=0}^m b_k s^k\right) \exp(\beta s^{m+1})$$

For the first factor on the right hand side we use the induction hypothesis and for the second factor we use (1). We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \exp\left(\sum_{k=0}^m b_k s^k + \beta s^{m+1}\right) \\ &= \left(1 + \beta s^{m+1} + O(s^{2m+2})\right) \left(\sum_{k=0}^m \frac{a_k}{k!} s^k + \alpha s^{m+1} + O(s^{m+2})\right) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^m \frac{a_k}{k!} s^k + (\beta a_0 + \alpha) s^{m+1} + O(s^{m+2}). \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

Let  $b_{m+1} = \beta$  be the solution of the equation

$$\beta a_0 + \alpha = \frac{a_{m+1}}{(m+1)!},$$

which exists since  $a_0 \neq 0$ . Substituting this in (2) we obtain the desired conclusion.

**Bitte wenden!**

- b) We will prove the slightly stronger statement, namely, that the set of such  $\tau$ 's is unbounded in  $\mathbb{R}$ . Pick  $r$  sufficiently small such that

$$D = \{s \in \mathbb{C} : |s - \sigma| \leq r\} \subsetneq \{s \in \mathbb{C} : \frac{1}{2} < \operatorname{Re}(s) < 1\}.$$

For instance, one can choose any  $r$  in the interval  $(0, \min(\sigma - \frac{1}{2}, 1 - \sigma)/2)$ . By hypothesis,  $g$  does not vanish on  $D$  and  $g$  is holomorphic on  $D$  and continuous on its boundary. Hence  $g \in \mathcal{H}(D)$ . By Voronin's Universality Theorem (Theorem 3.2.3 in the notes) we get that there exists  $\tau_k \in \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$\sup_{s \in D} |\zeta(s + i\tau_k) - g(s)| < \frac{\varepsilon r^k}{k!}.$$

Note that in the above equation, on the left hand side, we take the supremum of a continuous function over a compact domain. Hence the supremum is achieved. We indeed proved that

$$\max_{|s| \leq r} |\zeta(s + \sigma + i\tau_k) - g(s)| < \frac{\varepsilon r^k}{k!}.$$

Pick  $0 \leq \ell \leq n - 1$  such that  $\frac{\varepsilon r^\ell}{\ell!}$  is minimal. Choosing  $\tau = \tau_\ell$  then gives the existence of  $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$  with the desired property.

Let  $S_1 \subset \mathbb{R}$  be the set of such  $\tau$ 's. So far we have proven that  $S_1 \neq \emptyset$ . Suppose  $S_1$  is bounded. Pick  $\tilde{\tau}_1 \in S_1$ . Replacing  $\varepsilon$  with  $\frac{\varepsilon}{2}$  we get that the analogous set  $S_2$  is also nonempty. Moreover  $S_2 \subset S_1$ . Pick  $\tilde{\tau}_2 \in S_2$ . Continue inductively. We obtain a sequence  $\tilde{\tau}_k \in S_k \subset S_1$  such that

$$\max_{|s| \leq r} |\zeta(s + \sigma + i\tilde{\tau}_k) - g(s)| < \frac{\varepsilon r^i}{2^{k-1}i!} \quad (3)$$

for all  $0 \leq i \leq n - 1$ . Then (3) implies that, for all  $s$  with  $|s| \leq r$ , we have

$$|\zeta(s + \sigma + i\tilde{\tau}_k) - g(s)| < \frac{\varepsilon r^i}{2^{k-1}i!} \quad (4)$$

for all  $0 \leq i \leq n - 1$  and all  $k \geq 1$ . Since  $\tilde{\tau}_k \in S_1$  and  $S_1$  is bounded, we get that there exists a subsequence  $\tilde{\tau}_{i_k}$  which converges to some limit  $T \in \mathbb{R}$ . Using this in (4) and taking  $i_k \rightarrow \infty$ , we immediately obtain that  $\zeta(s + \sigma + iT) = g(s)$  for all  $s$  with  $|s| \leq r$ . But then, by identity theorem,  $\zeta(s + \sigma + iT)$  and  $g(s)$  would coincide everywhere. We have thus obtained a contradiction and therefore  $S_1$  is unbounded.

- c) We again prove the slightly stronger statement, that the set of such  $\tau$ 's is unbounded in  $\mathbb{R}$ . We have, by Cauchy's formula, that for a holomorphic function  $f$

$$f^{(k)}(0) = \frac{k!}{2\pi i} \oint_{|s|=r} \frac{f(s)}{s^{k+1}} ds.$$

**Siehe nächstes Blatt!**

We use part b). Pick  $M > 0$  arbitrarily large. There exists  $\tau$  with  $|\tau| > M$  such that

$$\max_{|s| \leq r} |\zeta(s + \sigma + i\tau) - g(s)| < \frac{\varepsilon r^k}{k!}$$

for all  $0 \leq k \leq n - 1$ . We prove that  $\tau$  satisfies the desired condition. Choose  $f(s) = \zeta(s + \sigma + i\tau) - g(s)$ . We get that

$$\begin{aligned} |\zeta^{(k)}(\sigma + it) - g^{(k)}(0)| &= \left| \frac{k!}{2\pi i} \oint_{|s|=r} \frac{\zeta(s + \sigma + i\tau) - g(s)}{s^{k+1}} ds \right| \\ &\leq \frac{k!}{2\pi} \oint_{|s|=r} \left| \frac{\zeta(s + \sigma + i\tau) - g(s)}{s^{k+1}} \right| ds \\ &= \frac{k!}{2\pi r^{k+1}} \oint_{|s|=r} |\zeta(s + \sigma + i\tau) - g(s)| ds \\ &\leq \frac{k!}{2\pi r^{k+1}} \oint_{|s|=r} \frac{\varepsilon r^k}{k!} ds \\ &= \frac{\varepsilon}{2\pi r} \oint_{|s|=r} 1 ds = \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

for all  $0 \leq k \leq n - 1$ . Since  $|\tau| > M$  and  $M$  was picked arbitrarily large, the conclusion follows.

- d) We will prove the stronger statement that given  $\varepsilon > 0$  and a point  $a \in \mathbb{C}^n$ , the set  $\{t \in \mathbb{R} : |\varphi_\sigma(t) - A| < \varepsilon\}$  is unbounded in  $\mathbb{R}$ . Pick a point  $a = (a_0, \dots, a_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{C}^n$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Also, pick  $M > 0$  arbitrarily large. We want to show that there exists  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  with  $|t| > M$  such that  $|\varphi_\sigma(t) - a| < \varepsilon$ . Use part a) on the sequence  $(a_0, \dots, a_{n-1})$  and define

$$g(s) = \exp\left(\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} b_k s^k\right)$$

with  $g^{(k)}(0) = a_k$  for  $0 \leq k \leq n - 1$ . From part c) applied to  $g$ , we get that there exists  $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$  with  $|\tau| > M$  such that  $|\zeta^{(k)}(\sigma + i\tau) - a_k| < \frac{\varepsilon}{\sqrt{n}}$ . But then we immediately get  $|\varphi_\sigma(\tau) - a| < \varepsilon$ . Since  $|\tau| > M$  and since  $M$  was chosen arbitrarily large, we are done.

2. We proceed in two steps. In the first step, we show that if  $F$  is a continuous function such that

$$F(\zeta(s), \zeta^{(1)}(s), \dots, \zeta^{(n-1)}(s)) = 0$$

identically for  $s \in \mathbb{C}$ , then  $F$  is the trivial map. Assume by contradiction that there exists some  $a \in \mathbb{C}^n$  for which  $F(a) \neq 0$ . Since  $F$  is continuous, it follows that there exists a neighbourhood  $U$  of  $a$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that

$$|F(z)| > \varepsilon$$

**Bitte wenden!**

for all  $z \in U$ . Choose some  $\sigma$  with  $\frac{1}{2} < \sigma < 1$ . The previous exercises ensures that there exists  $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\varphi_\sigma(\tau) \in U$ . But then  $|F(\varphi_\sigma(\tau))| = 0$  which contradicts  $|F(\varphi_\sigma(\tau))| > \varepsilon$ . Hence  $F$  is trivial.

For the second step, we may assume without loss of generality that  $F_0$  is not the trivial map. As before, there exists an open ball  $U$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $|F_0(z)| > \varepsilon$  for all  $z \in U$ . Denote by  $M$  the maximum of all indices  $m$  for which

$$\sup_{z \in U} |F_m(z)| \neq 0$$

From before we have that such  $M$  exists and  $M \geq 0$ . If  $M = 0$ , then we proceed as in the first step. If  $M > 0$ , we choose a subset  $V \subseteq U$  such that

$$\inf_{z \in V} |F_M(z)| > \varepsilon.$$

The existence of such  $V$  is ensured by the continuity of  $F_M$ . From the proof of the previous exercise, there exists a sequence  $t_j$  with  $|t_j| \rightarrow \infty$  such that  $\varphi_\sigma(t_j) \in V$ . Set

$$R = \max_{0 \leq i \leq M} \sup_{z \in V} |F_i(z)|.$$

But then by the triangle inequality we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{k=0}^N (\sigma + it_j)^k F_k(\varphi_\sigma(t_j)) \right| &= \left| \sum_{k=0}^M (\sigma + it_j)^k F_k(\varphi_\sigma(t_j)) \right| \\ &\geq |\sigma + it_j|^M |F_M(\varphi_\sigma(t_j))| - \left| \sum_{k=0}^{M-1} (\sigma + it_j)^k F_k(\varphi_\sigma(t_j)) \right| \\ &\geq |\sigma + it_j|^M |F_M(\varphi_\sigma(t_j))| - \sum_{k=0}^{M-1} |\sigma + it_j|^k |F_k(\varphi_\sigma(t_j))| \\ &> \varepsilon |\sigma + it_j|^M - R \sum_{k=0}^{M-1} |\sigma + it_j|^k \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

Because  $|t_j| \rightarrow \infty$  we immediately get that  $|\sigma + it_j| \rightarrow \infty$ . But then we have that

$$\left| \sum_{k=0}^N (\sigma + it_j)^k F_k(\varphi_\sigma(t_j)) \right| \rightarrow \infty$$

since the last term in (5) is a polynomial in  $|\sigma + it_j|$  with leading coefficient strictly greater than 0. This proves the statement.