

# Indecomposable representations, Endomorphisms and the Krull-Remak-Schmidt theorem

Christian Sbardella, Mateo Rodriguez, Patrik Kuehn, Claudio Sibilía

21.10.2008

In this section we consider finite dimensional representations.

**Definition 1:** Let  $X_1, \dots, X_r$  be a finite number of representations. A *direct sum*  $X = X_1 \oplus \dots \oplus X_r$  is a representation  $X$  together with morphisms  $\iota_i : X_i \rightarrow X$  and  $\pi_i : X \rightarrow X_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq r$ , such that  $\sum_{i=1}^r \iota_i \pi_i = id_X$  and  $\pi_i \iota_i = id_{X_i}$ .

**Definition 2:** A family of representations  $X_1, \dots, X_r$  of  $X$  satisfying:  $X = \sum_{i=1}^r X_i$  and  $X_i \cap \sum_{i' \neq i} X_{i'} = 0$  for  $1 \leq i \leq r$  is called *direct sum decomposition* of  $X$ .

**Lemma 1:** Let  $X = X_1 \oplus \dots \oplus X_r$  and  $Y = Y_1 \oplus \dots \oplus Y_s$ . Then we have induced *vector space decompositions*:

$$\bigoplus_{i=1}^r Hom(X_i, Y) \simeq Hom(X, Y) \simeq \bigoplus_{j=1}^s Hom(X, Y_j).$$

**Definition 3:** A representation  $X$  is called *indecomposable* if  $X \neq 0$  and  $X = X_1 \oplus X_2$  implies  $X_1 = 0$  or  $X_2 = 0$ .

**Definition 4:** The set of morphisms  $X \rightarrow Y$  we denote by  $Hom(X; Y)$ . The set of morphisms  $X \rightarrow X$  is the set of the *endomorphisms*  $X \rightarrow X$  and we write  $End(X)$ . Note that  $(End(X), +, \circ)$  is a ring.

**Lemma 2:** (*Fitting*) Let  $X$  be a representation and  $\phi$  an endomorphism:

1) For large enough  $r$ , we have  $X = Im\phi^r \oplus Ker\phi^r$ .

2) If  $X$  is *indecomposable*, then  $\phi$  is either an *automorphism* or *nilpotent*.

**Definition 5:** A ring is called *local* if the sum of two non-units is again a non-unit.

**Proposition 1:** A representation  $X$  is indecomposable if and only if  $\text{End}(X)$  is local. (The assumption on  $X$  to be *finite* is necessary).

**Example 1:** (Counterexample) Let  $k[t]$  denote the polynomial ring in one variable and consider the following representation of the Kronecker quiver. The endomorphism ring of  $X$  is isomorphic to  $k[t]$ .

So the proposition 1 doesn't hold for infinite dimensional  $X$ .

**Definition 6:** Given a pair  $X, Y$  of representations, we define the *radical*:  $\text{Rad}(X, Y) = \{ \phi \in \text{Hom}(X, Y) \mid \tau\phi\sigma \text{ is non-invertible for every pair } \sigma : Z \rightarrow X \text{ and } \tau : Y \rightarrow Z, \text{ with } Z \text{ indecomposable} \}$ .

**Lemma 3:** Let  $X, Y$  be a pair of representations.

- 1)  $\text{Rad}(X, Y)$  is a subspace of  $\text{Hom}(X, Y)$ .
- 2)  $\text{Rad}(X, Y_1 \oplus Y_2) = \text{Rad}(X, Y_1) \oplus \text{Rad}(X, Y_2)$ .
- 3)  $\text{Rad}(X_1 \oplus X_2, Y) = \text{Rad}(X_1, Y) \oplus \text{Rad}(X_2, Y)$ .
- 4) If  $X$  and  $Y$  are indecomposable, then  $\text{Hom}(X, Y) \setminus \text{Rad}(X, Y)$  equals the set of isomorphisms  $X \rightarrow Y$ .

**Proof:** 1) Let  $\phi_1, \phi_2 \in \text{Rad}(X, Y)$ . Choose  $\sigma \in \text{Hom}(Z, X)$  and  $\tau \in \text{Hom}(Y, Z)$  with  $Z$  indecomposable. Then  $\tau\phi_1\sigma$  and  $\tau\phi_2\sigma$  are non-invertible, and therefore  $\tau(\phi_1 + \phi_2)\sigma = \tau\phi_1\sigma + \tau\phi_2\sigma$  is non-invertible, since  $\text{End}(Z)$  is local by proposition 1. Thus  $\phi_1 + \phi_2$  belongs to  $\text{Rad}(X, Y)$ .

2) Let  $Y = Y_1 \oplus Y_2$  and  $\phi = (\phi_i) \in \text{Hom}(X, Y)$  with  $\phi_i \in \text{Hom}(X, Y_i)$  for  $i=1, 2$ . Choose  $\sigma \in \text{Hom}(Z, X)$  and  $\tau = (\tau_i) \in \text{Hom}(Y, Z)$  with  $Z$  indecomposable and  $\tau_i \in \text{Hom}(Y_i, Z)$  for  $i=1, 2$ . Then  $\tau\phi\sigma = \tau_1\phi_1\sigma + \tau_2\phi_2\sigma$ .

If  $\phi_i \in \text{Rad}(X, Y_i)$  for  $i=1, 2$ , then  $\tau_i\phi_i\sigma$  is non-invertible for  $i=1, 2$ , and therefore  $\tau\phi\sigma$  is non-invertible, since  $\text{End}(Z)$  is local by proposition 1. Thus  $\phi$  belongs to  $\text{Rad}(X, Y)$ . Conversely, let  $\phi \in \text{Rad}(X, Y)$  and fix  $i \in \{1, 2\}$ . Then  $\phi_i \in \text{Rad}(X, Y_i)$  because we can put  $\tau_j = 0$  for  $j \neq i$  and have that  $\tau_i\phi_i\sigma = \tau\phi\sigma$  is non-invertible.

3) Analogous to part 2).

4) Let  $\phi \in \text{Hom}(X, Y) \setminus \text{Rad}(X, Y)$ . Choose  $\sigma \in \text{Hom}(Z, X)$  and  $\tau \in \text{Hom}(Y, Z)$  with  $Z$  indecomposable such that  $\tau\phi\sigma$  is invertible. Then  $\phi$  is invertible because  $X$  is indecomposable, and  $\tau$  is invertible because  $Y$  is indecomposable. Thus  $\phi$  is invertible.

It is clear that an isomorphism  $X \longrightarrow Y$  does not belong to  $\text{Rad}(X, Y)$ .

□

**Theorem(Krull-Remak-Schmidt):** Let  $X$  be a finite dimensional representation. Then there exists a decomposition  $X = X_1^{a_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus X_r^{a_r}$  with the  $X_i$  pairwise non-isomorphic indecomposable representations and each  $a_i \geq 1$ . If  $X = Y_1^{b_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus Y_s^{b_s}$  is another such decomposition, then  $r = s$  and, after reordering,  $X_i \cong Y_i$  and  $a_i = b_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq r$ .

## References

H.Krause, Representation of quivers via reflection functors.