

Bound for Fourier-coefficients and Dirichlet Series

Andrea Peter

January 10th 2007

In this part we want to define Dirichlet series and see that they have an analytic continuation on the s -plane. More precisely, if $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n e^{2\pi i n z}$ is the Fourier expansion of a function f , then we define the Dirichlet series associated with f by $L(s; f) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n n^{-s}$. Moreover, we define the function $\Lambda_N(s; f) = (\frac{2\pi}{\sqrt{N}})^{-s} \Gamma(s) L(s; f)$ and want to show the following theorem:

Theorem 0.1 *Let $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ be holomorphic functions on H , satisfying certain conditions (see later). Let $g(z) = (-i\sqrt{N}z)^{-k} f(\frac{1}{Nz})$ for some positive numbers k and N . Then $\Lambda_N(s; f)$ can be analytically continued to the whole s -plane, satisfying the functional equation*

$$\Lambda_N(s; f) = \Lambda_N(k - s; g).$$

1 Hecke bound for Fourier-coefficients

First we want to do a short repetition on modular forms and cusp forms.

Let $f(z)$ be a meromorphic function on the upper half-plane H , and let k be an integer. Suppose that $f(z)$ satisfies the relation

$$f(\gamma z) = (cz + d)^k f(z) \quad \forall \gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z}).$$

Definition 1.1 (modular form of weight k) *If $f(z)$ as defined above is holomorphic on H and at infinity (i.e. the coefficients a_n of the Fourier series are all zero for $n < 0$), then $f(z)$ is called a modular function of weight k for $SL(\mathbb{Z})$.*

Definition 1.2 (cusp form of weight k) *If we further have $a_0 = 0$ (i.e. the modular form vanishes at infinity), then $f(z)$ is called a cusp form of weight k .*

For our aim to show the theorem mentioned in the introduction, we need the following very important result about boundness of the Fourier-coefficients of a modular form:

Theorem 1.3 *If f is a cusp form of weight $2k$, then*

$$a_n = O(n^k)$$

In other words, the quotient $\frac{|a_n|}{n^k}$ remains bounded when $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof Because f is a cusp form, we have $a_0 = 0$ and we can factor out the factor q in the Fourier expansion $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n q^n$, where $q = e^{2\pi i z}$. We see that

$$|f(z)| = |q| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_n q^{n-1}| = O(|q|) = O(e^{-2\pi y}) \quad (q \rightarrow 0) \quad (1)$$

with $y = \text{Im}(z)$.

We define $\phi(z) = |f(z)|y^k$. The function ϕ is continuous and formula (1) shows that ϕ tends to 0 for $y \rightarrow \infty$. This implies that ϕ is bounded. So there exists a constant M such that for all $z \in H$:

$$|f(z)| \leq M y^{-k} \quad (2)$$

Now we fix y and vary x between 0 and 1. The point $q = e^{2\pi i(x+iy)}$ runs along a circle C_y with center 0. By the residue formula, we have

$$a_n = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{C_y} \tilde{f}(q)q^{-n-1}dq = \int_0^1 f(x+iy)q^{-n}dx$$

Using (2), we get from this

$$\begin{aligned} |a_n| &= \left| \int_0^1 f(x+iy)q^{-n}dx \right| \leq \int_0^1 |f(x+iy)||q^{-n}|dx \leq My^{-k} \int_0^1 |q^{-n}|dx \\ &= My^{-k} \int_0^1 |e^{-n2\pi i(x+iy)}|dx = My^{-k} e^{2\pi ny} \end{aligned}$$

This inequality is valid for all $y > 0$. Let $y = \frac{1}{n}$, it gives $|a_n| \leq e^{2\pi} Mn^k$ and therefore $a_n = O(n^k)$. \square

Lemma 1.4 *If $f = G_k$, the order of magnitude of a_n is n^{2k-1} . More precisely, there exist two constants $A, B > 0$ such that $An^{2k-1} \leq |a_n| \leq Bn^{2k-1}$.*

Proof By $\sigma_k(n)$ we denote the sum $\sum_{d|n} d^k$ of k th-powers of positive divisors of n . From Group 2 we know:

For every integer $k \geq 2$, one has

$$G_k(z) = 2\zeta(2k) + 2 \frac{(2\pi i)^{2k}}{(2k-1)!} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma_{2k-1}(n)q^n \quad (3)$$

Formula (3) shows that there exists a constant $A > 0$ such that $a_n = (-1)^k A \sigma_{2k-1}(n)$. Hence $|a_n| = A \sigma_{2k-1}(n) \geq An^{2k-1}$.

On the other hand we have $\frac{|a_n|}{n^{2k-1}} = A \sum_{d|n} \frac{d^{2k-1}}{n^{2k-1}} = A \sum_{d|n} \frac{1}{\frac{n}{d}} \leq A \sum_{d=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{d^{2k-1}} = A\zeta(2k-1) < +\infty$. \square

Theorem 1.5 *If f is a modular form of weight $2k$, but not a cusp form, then the order of magnitude of a_n is n^{2k-1} .*

Proof Write f in the form $\lambda G_k + h$ with $\lambda \neq 0$ and h a cusp form. (Group 2 showed, that this is possible.) Now we apply Lemma 1.4 on λG_k and Theorem 1.3 on h and we see that $a_n = O(n^{2k-1})$. \square

2 Dirichlet Series

Definition 2.1 ($L(s; f)$) *For a holomorphic function with Fourier expansion $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n e^{2\pi i n z}$, which converges absolutely and uniformly on any compact subset of H and for which exists a $\nu > 0$ such that $f(z) = O(\text{Im}(z)^{-\nu})$ uniformly on $\text{Re}(z)$ for $\text{Im}(z) \rightarrow 0$, we put $L(s; f) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n n^{-s}$. We call $L(s; f)$ the Dirichlet series associated with f .*

Then by a similar argument as in Theorem 1.3, we have $a_n = O(n^\nu)$ and therefore $|a_n n^{-s}| = O(n^{\nu - \text{Re}(s)})$ and $L(s; f)$ converges absolutely and uniformly on any compact subset of $\text{Re}(s) > 1 + \nu$, so that it is holomorphic on $\text{Re}(s) > 1 + \nu$.

Lemma 2.2 For a sequence $\{a_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ of complex numbers, put $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n e^{2\pi i n z}$ for $z \in H$. If $a_n = O(n^\nu)$ with some $\nu > 0$, then the right-hand side is convergent absolutely and uniformly on any compact subset of H , and $f(z)$ is holomorphic on H . Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} f(z) &= O(\operatorname{Im}(z)^{-\nu-1}) && (\operatorname{Im}(z) \rightarrow 0) \\ f(z) - a_0 &= O(e^{-2\pi \operatorname{Im}(z)}) && (\operatorname{Im}(z) \rightarrow \infty) \end{aligned}$$

Proof For $\nu > 0$, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n^\nu}{(-1)^n} \binom{-\nu-1}{n}^{-1} = \Gamma(\nu+1)$$

Hence there exists $L > 0$ such that

$$|a_n| \leq L(-1)^n \binom{-\nu-1}{n}$$

for all $n \geq 0$. Put $z = x + iy$, then

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |a_n| |e^{2\pi i n z}| \leq L \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n \binom{-\nu-1}{n} \right) e^{-2\pi n y} = L(1 - e^{-2\pi y})^{-\nu-1}$$

This implies that $f(z)$ is convergent absolutely and uniformly on any compact subset of H . Since $(1 - e^{-2\pi y}) = O(y)$ as $y \rightarrow 0$, we see that $|f(z)| = O(y^{-\nu-1})$. Moreover $f(z)$ is bounded when $y \rightarrow \infty$. Put $g(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n+1} e^{2\pi i n z}$. Since $g(z)$ also satisfies the assumption, it is bounded on a neighborhood of ∞ . Therefore we obtain $f(z) - a_0 = e^{2\pi i z} g(z) = O(e^{-2\pi y})$ as $y \rightarrow \infty$. \square

Definition 2.3 ($\Lambda_N(s; f)$) For $N > 0$ we put $\Lambda_N(s; f) = \left(\frac{2\pi}{\sqrt{N}}\right)^{-s} \Gamma(s) L(s; f)$.

Now we can prove the theorem mentioned in the introduction.

Theorem 2.4 Let $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n e^{2\pi i n z}$ and $g(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n e^{2\pi i n z}$ be holomorphic functions on H as in definition 2.1. Let $g(z)$ satisfy $g(z) = (-i\sqrt{N}z)^{-k} f\left(\frac{-1}{Nz}\right)$ for some positive numbers k and N . Then $\Lambda_N(s; f)$ can be analytically continued to the whole s -plane, satisfying the functional equation

$$\Lambda_N(s; f) = \Lambda_N(k-s; g)$$

and $\Lambda_N(s; f) + \frac{a_0}{s} + \frac{b_0}{k-s}$ is holomorphic on the whole s -plane and bounded on any vertical strip, where b_0 is the first Fourier coefficient of $g(z)$.

Proof Since there exists $\nu > 0$ such that $a_n = O(n^\nu)$, $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_n| e^{-2\pi n t / \sqrt{N}}$ ($t > 0$) and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} |a_n| t^\sigma e^{-2\pi n t / \sqrt{N}} t^{-1} dt$ ($\sigma > \nu + 1$) are convergent. Therefore we see, for

$Re(s) > \nu + 1$

$$\begin{aligned}
\Lambda_N(s; f) &= \left(\frac{2\pi}{\sqrt{N}}\right)^{-s} \Gamma(s) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n n^{-s} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n n^{-s} \left(\frac{2\pi}{\sqrt{N}}\right)^{-s} \Gamma(s) \\
&= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n (2\pi n / \sqrt{N})^{-s} \int_0^{\infty} e^{-t} t^{s-1} dt \\
&= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} a_n t^s e^{-2\pi n t / \sqrt{N}} t^{-1} dt \\
&= \int_0^{\infty} t^s \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n e^{-2\pi n t / \sqrt{N}} \right) t^{-1} dt \\
&= \int_0^{\infty} t^s (f(it/\sqrt{N}) - a_0) t^{-1} dt \\
&= \frac{-a_0}{s} + \int_1^{\infty} t^{-s} f(i/\sqrt{N}t) t^{-1} dt + \int_1^{\infty} t^s (f(it/\sqrt{N}) - a_0) t^{-1} dt
\end{aligned}$$

Since $g(z) = (-i\sqrt{N}z)^{-k} f\left(\frac{-1}{Nz}\right)$, we obtain (setting $z = \frac{it}{\sqrt{N}}$)

$$\begin{aligned}
\Lambda_N(s; f) &= -\frac{a_0}{s} - \frac{b_0}{k-s} + \int_1^{\infty} t^{k-s} (g(it/\sqrt{N}) - b_0) t^{-1} dt \\
&\quad + \int_1^{\infty} t^s (f(it/\sqrt{N}) - a_0) t^{-1} dt
\end{aligned}$$

on $Re(s) > \text{Max}\{k, \nu + 1\}$.

By Lemma 2.2, we have, when t tends to ∞

$$\begin{aligned}
f(it) - a_0 &= O(e^{-2\pi t}), \\
g(it) - b_0 &= O(e^{-2\pi t})
\end{aligned}$$

so that $\int_1^{\infty} t^{k-s} (g(it/\sqrt{N}) - b_0) t^{-1} dt$ and $\int_1^{\infty} t^s (f(it/\sqrt{N}) - a_0) t^{-1} dt$ are absolutely convergent on any vertical strip. Therefore they are holomorphic on the whole s -plane. If we define $\Lambda_N(s; f)$ for any $s \in \mathbb{C}$, it is a meromorphic function on the whole s -plane and $\Lambda_N(s; f) + \frac{a_0}{s} + \frac{b_0}{k-s}$ is an entire function and bounded on any vertical strip.

Similarly $\Lambda_N(s; g)$ is also an entire function and bounded on the whole s -plane, and satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}
\Lambda_N(k-s; g) &= -\frac{a_0}{s} - \frac{b_0}{k-s} + \int_1^{\infty} t^{k-s} (g(it/\sqrt{N}) - b_0) t^{-1} dt \\
&\quad + \int_1^{\infty} t^s (f(it/\sqrt{N}) - a_0) t^{-1} dt
\end{aligned}$$

Comparing $\Lambda_N(k-s; g)$ and $\Lambda_N(s; f)$, we obtain $\Lambda_N(k-s; g) = \Lambda_N(s; f)$. \square

Corollary 2.5 *If in theorem 2.4 the function f is a cusp form and if we put $f = g$ and $N = 1$, then $\Lambda_N(s; f)$ is holomorphic on the whole s -plane.*

Proof Since f is a cusp form, the coefficients $a_0 = b_0 = 0$ and holomorphicness follows. \square